

# Induction and homotopy initiality for a class of 1-HITs

Gabe Dijkstra

University of Nottingham

May 20th, 2016

j.w.w. Thorsten Altenkirch, Paolo Capriotti and Fredrik Nordvall Forsberg

# Goal

- Ultimately: have a way to specify higher inductive types
- For every specification we should be able to generate:
  - the category of algebras
  - introduction rules and induction principle
- *Sanity check*: show that initiality and induction coincide
- In this talk: initiality and induction coincide for a class of 1-HITs
- We want to do this all in type theory itself

# Category theory in type theory

- We want to talk about categories in type theory
- We do not want to truncate anything: we work with hom-*types*, not hom-sets
- We also do not want to talk about  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories
- We will deal with coherence *lazily*: we keep track of how much structure and coherence we need from our categories and functors and provide exactly that

# Initiality and induction

Put this on two slides?

Given an endofunctor  $F : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$ , we can think of the *inductive type*  $T$  generated by  $F$  in two ways:

## Initiality

Define category  $F\text{-alg}$ :

- objects:  
 $(X : \text{Type}) \times (\theta : FX \rightarrow X)$
- morphisms  $(X, \theta) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$ :  
 $(f : X \rightarrow Y) \times (f_0 : f \circ \theta = \rho \circ Ff)$

$T$  is the carrier of the initial object of  $F\text{-alg}$  with its constructor  $c : FT \rightarrow T$  its algebra structure.

## Induction

$T : \text{Type}$  with constructor

$c : FT \rightarrow T$  satisfies the induction principle if for all:

- $P : T \rightarrow \text{Type}$
- $m : (x : FT) \times \square_F P\ x \rightarrow P(\theta\ x)$

we get:

- $s : (x : T) \rightarrow P\ x$
- $s_0 : (x : FT)$   
 $\rightarrow s\ (\theta\ x) = m\ x\ (\bar{F}\ s\ x)$

# Initiality versus induction in type theory

- An object  $X$  of category  $\mathcal{C}$  is (*homotopy*) *initial* if we have:  
 $(Y : |\mathcal{C}|) \rightarrow \text{is-contr}(\mathcal{C}(X, Y))$
- For ordinary inductive types initiality and induction are equivalent (Sojakova et al.)

Get proper year citation and stuff

- For initiality we only need objects and morphisms
- For induction we need more structure

# Induction categorically

Instead of proving:

initiality  $\iff$  induction

directly, we instead show:

initiality  $\iff$  *section* induction  $\iff$  induction

An object  $X : |\mathcal{C}|$  satisfies the *section induction principle* if for every  $Y : |\mathcal{C}|$  any  $p : \mathcal{C}(Y, X)$  has a section  $s : \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ .

# Initiality implies induction

Show animation for this

# Induction implies initiality

Show animation for this



# Structure needed

- To talk about initiality, defining objects and morphisms suffices
- Defining sections requires:
  - Composition
  - Identity morphisms
- Showing that initiality and section principle coincide requires:
  - Products
  - Equalisers
  - Associativity
  - Identity laws

# Higher inductive types versus ordinary inductive types

Ordinary inductive type  $T$  with constructors

- $c_0 : F_0 T \rightarrow T$
- $\vdots$
- $c_k : F_k T \rightarrow T$

where every  $F_i : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$  is a (strictly positive) functor.

# Higher inductive types versus ordinary inductive types

Ordinary inductive type  $T$  with constructor:

- $c : F_0 T + \dots + F_k T \rightarrow T$

where every  $F_i : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$  is a (strictly positive) functor.

# Higher inductive types versus ordinary inductive types

Ordinary inductive type type  $T$  with constructor:

- $c : FT \rightarrow T$

where  $F : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$  is a (strictly positive) functor.

# Higher inductive types versus ordinary inductive types

Higher inductive types, e.g. the circle  $S^1$  has constructors:

- $\text{base} : S^1$
- $\text{loop} : \text{base} =_{S^1} \text{base}$
- Dependencies on previous constructors
- *Higher* constructors: target of constructors not always  $T$ , but can also be an iterated path space of  $T$ .

Single functor  $\text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$  no longer suffices

# General framework

Constructors are *dependent dialgebras*, type  $T$  with constructors:

- $c_0 : (x : F_0 T) \rightarrow G_0(T, x)$
- $c_1 : (x : F_1(T, c_0)) \rightarrow G_1((T, c_0), x)$
- $\vdots$
- $c_k : (x : F_k(T, c_0, \dots, c_{k-1})) \rightarrow G_k((T, c_0, \dots, c_{k-1}), x)$