## Notes on 1-HITs

## Gabe Dijkstra

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Describing higher inductive types
- 3 Restricted 1-HITs
- 4 Homotopy initiality for W-types

In type theory, a data type is described by its introduction rule (the constructors) and its elimination rule (the induction principle) along with a computation rule describing how these two rules interact.

Natural numbers example?

## 4.1 Induction principle

Given a functor  $F: \mathsf{Type} \to \mathsf{Type}$  given as a container  $F:\equiv \mathsf{S} \triangleleft \mathsf{P},$  the W-type  $\mathsf{W}$  is defined as having the following introduction rule / constructor:

```
c\,:\, F\,W\,\to\, W
```

as well as an elimination rule / induction principle:

```
ind :  \begin{array}{c} (B:W \rightarrow \mathsf{Type}) \\ (m:(x:FW) \rightarrow \Box \, F \, B \, x \rightarrow B \, (c \, x)) \\ (x:W) \\ \rightarrow \, B \, x \end{array}
```

with computation rule:

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{ind}\text{-}\beta_0 \ : \\ (\mathsf{B} \ : \ \mathsf{W} \ \to \ \mathsf{Type}) \\ (\mathsf{m} \ : \ (\mathsf{x} \ : \ \mathsf{F} \ \mathsf{W}) \to \Box \ \mathsf{F} \ \mathsf{B} \ \mathsf{x} \to \mathsf{B} \ (\mathsf{c} \ \mathsf{x})) \\ (\mathsf{x} \ : \ \mathsf{F} \ \mathsf{W}) \\ \to \ \mathsf{ind} \ \mathsf{B} \ \mathsf{m} \ (\mathsf{c} \ \mathsf{x}) \ = \ \mathsf{m} \ \mathsf{x} \ (\Box \mathsf{-lift} \ \mathsf{F} \ (\mathsf{ind} \ \mathsf{B} \ \mathsf{m}) \ \mathsf{x}) \end{array}
```

## 4.2 Algebras

The type of *F-algebras*, or simply *algebras*, can be defined as follows:

$$\mathsf{Alg} \ :\equiv \ (\mathsf{X} \ : \ \mathsf{Type}) \times (\mathsf{\theta} \ : \ \mathsf{F} \ \mathsf{X} \ \to \ \mathsf{X})$$

where the type morphisms is defined as follows:

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Alg\text{-}hom} \,:\, \mathsf{Alg} \,\to\, \mathsf{Alg} \,\to\, \mathsf{Type} \\ \mathsf{Alg\text{-}hom} \,\, (\mathsf{X},\theta) \,\, (\mathsf{Y},\rho) \,\,:\equiv \\ \qquad \qquad (\mathsf{f} \,:\, \mathsf{X} \,\to\, \mathsf{Y}) \\ \qquad \times \, (\mathsf{f\text{-}}\beta_0 \,:\, (\mathsf{x} \,:\, \mathsf{F} \,\mathsf{X}) \,\to\, \mathsf{f} \,(\theta\,\mathsf{x}) \,=\, \rho \,(\mathsf{F} \,\mathsf{f}\,\mathsf{x})) \end{array}
```

The witness of commutativity has suggestively been named f- $\beta_0$  as this gives us the  $\beta$ -rule for the recursion and induction principles.

Something about having  $f_0: f \circ \theta \equiv \rho \circ F$  f instead. We need function extensionality either way, but this way it makes the arguments later on a bit easier. Also, the dependent versions don't work as pointfree as these ones

#### 4.2.1 Homotopy initial algebras

We call an algebra  $(X, \theta)$  homotopy initial if it has the property that for every algebra  $(Y, \rho)$ , Alg-hom  $(X, \theta)$   $(Y, \rho)$  is contractible, i.e.:

```
is-initial : Alg \to Type
is-initial \theta :\equiv (\rho : Alg) \to \text{is-contr} (Alg-hom \,\theta \,\rho)
\equiv (\rho : Alg) \to (f : Alg-hom \,\theta \,\rho) \times ((g : Alg-hom \,\theta \,\rho) \to f = g)
```

## 4.2.2 Equality of algebra morphisms

As we see in the definition of homotopy initiality, we need to be able to talk about equality of algebra morphisms. Given algebras  $(X, \theta)$  and  $(Y, \rho)$  and morphisms  $(f, f-\beta_0)$  and  $(g, g-\beta_0)$  between them, we know that, by equality on  $\Sigma$ -types, the following holds:

```
\begin{array}{l} (f,f\text{-}\beta_0) \ = \ (g,g\text{-}\beta_0) \\ \simeq (p:f=g) \\ \times (p\text{-}\beta_0: transport \ (\lambda \ h \rightarrow (x:F\ X) \rightarrow h \ (\theta \ x) \ = \ \rho \ (F\ h \ x)) \ f\text{-}\beta_0 \ = \ g\text{-}\beta_0) \end{array}
```

We not only need to show that the functions f and g are equal, but also that their  $\beta$ -laws are in some sense compatible with each other, respecting the equality f = g.

As it turns out, the above is equivalent to something which is more convenient in subsequent proofs:

transport (
$$\lambda h \rightarrow (x : F X) \rightarrow h (\theta x) = \rho (F h x)$$
) p f- $\beta_0 = g$ - $\beta_0$ 

The last equation tells us that showing that two algebra morphisms are equal is somewhat like giving an algebra morphism from the witness of the  $\beta$ -law for f to that of g, as we can see if we draw the corresponding diagram:

comm diag

## 4.3 Initiality implies induction

The induction principle tells us that for a family  $B:W\to \mathsf{Type}$  and a motive  $m:(x:FW)\to \Box FBx\to B(cx)$ , we get a dependent function ind:  $(x:W)\to Bx$  along with a computation rule.

Note that m, along with c, can be seen as a morphism between the families (W,B) and  $(FW, \Box FB)$ .

Another way to say this is that given a function  $p:B\to W$  for some B: Type and that we want  $\theta:FB\to B$  such that  $\theta$ , along with c, becomes a morphism  $Fp\to p$  in the arrow category. This is equivalent to asking for an algebra  $(B,\theta)$  along with an algebra morphism  $(B,\theta)\to (W,c)$ .

## 4.4 Induction implies initiality

# 5 Homotopy initiality for restricted 1-HITs