# Penalized Regression

G. de los Campos

12/05/2022

Up to now, we considered methods that estimate parameters by either maximizing the likelihood function (ML) or by minimaizing the residual sum of squares (OLS). These methods have good statistical properties (e.g., OLS is unbiased and has minimum variance among the class of linear unbiased estiamtors, ML is asymptotically unbiased and asymptotically efficient). However, the performace of these methods can be sub-optimal when the number of parameters to be estimated (e.g., the number of regression coefficients) is large relative to sample size and when predictors are highly colinear. One way to circunvent this problem is by using variable selection procedures, some of which can be obtained by using penalized regressions.

## 1) Penalized Regression

In a penalized regression, estimates are obtained by minimizing a penalized log-likelihood or, in the case of linear models, a penalized residual sum of squares:

$$\hat{\beta} = argmin\{(y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta) + \lambda J(\beta)\}\$$

where  $J(\beta)$  is a penalty function.

Choosing  $\lambda = 0$  leads Ordinary Least Squares estimates; however, for any  $\lambda > 0$  the solution won't be equivalent to OLS.

Common choices for the penalty function are the

- L2-norm,  $J(\beta)=\sum_j \beta_j^2$  (aka Ridge Regression, Hoerl and Kennard 1970 ), L-1 norm  $J(\beta)=\sum_j |\beta_j|$  (aka Lasso, Tibshirani, 1996 ), and,
- Linear combinations of the two  $J(\beta) = (1 \alpha) \sum_{j} \beta_{j}^{2} + \alpha \sum_{j} |\beta_{j}|$  (aka Elastic Net, Zhou and Hastie, 2005 ), for some  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

Ridge regression shrunk OLS estimates towards zero, without making variable selection. Lasso and Elastic Net combine variable selection and shrinkage.

Commonly, these models are fitted over a grid of values of the regularization parameter ( $\lambda$ ) and optimal value for the penalization parameter is often chosen by evaluating the ability of the fitted models to predict data that was not used to train the models (i.e., testing data).

Best subset selection is obtained using as a penality a function that counts the number of non-zero effects:  $J(\beta) = \sum_i 1(\beta_i \neq 0)$ . This approach identifies the best subset of predictors and estimate their coefficients without any shrinkage. However, the optimization problem of best-subset selction is non-convex.

Forward regression is an apporach where we select predictors sequentially until some stopping criteria is met. This approch can be viewed as an approximation to best-subset selection; however, a forward regression does not guarantee optimal best subset selection.

## 2) Data

To illustrate these methods we will use a famous prostate cancer data set which can be found in this link.

```
DATA=read.table('https://hastie.su.domains/ElemStatLearn/datasets/prostate.data',header=TRUE)head(DATA)
```

```
##
        lcavol lweight age
                                 lbph svi
                                                1cp gleason pgg45
                                                                        lpsa
## 1 -0.5798185 2.769459 50 -1.386294
                                        0 -1.386294
                                                          6
                                                                0 -0.4307829
## 2 -0.9942523 3.319626 58 -1.386294
                                        0 -1.386294
                                                          6
                                                                0 -0.1625189
                                                          7
## 3 -0.5108256 2.691243 74 -1.386294
                                       0 -1.386294
                                                               20 -0.1625189
## 4 -1.2039728 3.282789 58 -1.386294
                                       0 -1.386294
                                                          6
                                                                0 -0.1625189
                                                          6
## 5 0.7514161 3.432373 62 -1.386294
                                       0 -1.386294
                                                                0 0.3715636
## 6 -1.0498221 3.228826 50 -1.386294
                                       0 -1.386294
                                                          6
                                                                0 0.7654678
##
     train
## 1 TRUE
## 2
     TRUE
## 3
     TRUE
## 4
     TRUE
## 5
     TRUE
## 6 TRUE
train=DATA[,'train']
DATA=DATA[,-ncol(DATA)]
## Training and testing data
DATA.TRN=DATA[train,]
DATA.TST=DATA[!train,]
dim(DATA.TRN)
## [1] 67 9
```

```
## [1] 30 9
```

dim(DATA.TST)

Our objective is to build a prediction model for the log of the PSA (lpsa) as a function of the other variables included in the data set.

The function takes a starting model (fm0 in the example), a scope argument defining the range of models to be examined, and a direction for the search (forward) in the example below.

The function prints, for each step fo the forward search the change produce in the model by each of the variables that were not yet included and picks one. The final model is returned.

## 3) OLS with all predictors

```
fm=lm(lpsa~.,data=DATA.TRN)
summary(fm)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = lpsa ~ ., data = DATA.TRN)
##
## Residuals:
##
        Min
                  1Q
                        Median
                                     3Q
                                              Max
## -1.64870 -0.34147 -0.05424 0.44941
##
## Coefficients:
```

```
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                           1.553588
                                     0.276 0.78334
## (Intercept)
               0.429170
## lcavol
                                     5.366 1.47e-06 ***
                0.576543
                           0.107438
## lweight
               0.614020
                          0.223216
                                     2.751
                                            0.00792 **
## age
               -0.019001
                           0.013612
                                    -1.396
                                            0.16806
## lbph
               0.144848
                           0.070457
                                     2.056
                                            0.04431 *
## svi
               0.737209
                           0.298555
                                     2.469
                                            0.01651 *
## lcp
               -0.206324
                           0.110516
                                    -1.867
                                            0.06697
## gleason
               -0.029503
                           0.201136
                                    -0.147
                                            0.88389
## pgg45
               0.009465
                           0.005447
                                     1.738 0.08755
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.7123 on 58 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.6944, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6522
## F-statistic: 16.47 on 8 and 58 DF, p-value: 2.042e-12
```

If we were to use pvalues, we would select lcavol, lweight, and svi; however, due to colinearity, we may be leaving out of the model important variables.

#### Prediction accuracy in the testing set

• Part A of INCLASS-19

# 4) Forward Regression

## + lbph

## + pgg45

1

1

The step() function can be used to implement forward regression (as well as backgward elimination and stepwise methods that combine both forward and backward regression).

```
fm0=lm(lpsa~1,data=DATA)
fullModel='lpsa ~ lcavol+lweight+age+lbph+svi+lcp+gleason+pgg45'
fwd=step(fm0,scope=fullModel,direction='forward',data=DATA)
## Start: AIC=28.84
## lpsa ~ 1
##
##
             Df Sum of Sq
                               RSS
                                       AIC
## + lcavol
                   69.003
                           58.915 -44.366
              1
## + svi
              1
                   41.011
                           86.907
                                    -6.658
## + lcp
                   38.528
                           89.389
              1
                                   -3.926
## + lweight
             1
                   24.019 103.899
                                   10.665
                   22.814 105.103
## + pgg45
              1
                                   11.783
## + gleason
              1
                   17.416 110.502
                                    16.641
## + 1bph
              1
                    4.136 123.782
                                   27.650
                    3.679 124.239
                                    28.007
## + age
                           127.918 28.838
## <none>
## Step: AIC=-44.37
## lpsa ~ lcavol
##
##
             Df Sum of Sq
                              RSS
                                      AIC
                   7.1726 51.742 -54.958
## + lweight
             1
## + svi
                   5.2375 53.677 -51.397
              1
```

3.2658 55.649 -47.898

1.6980 57.217 -45.203

```
## <none>
                           58.915 -44.366
                   0.6562 58.259 -43.452
## + lcp
              1
## + gleason
              1
                   0.4156 58.499 -43.053
## + age
                   0.0025 58.912 -42.370
              1
##
## Step: AIC=-54.96
## lpsa ~ lcavol + lweight
##
##
             Df Sum of Sq
                              RSS
                                      AIC
## + svi
                   5.1737 46.568 -63.177
## + pgg45
              1
                   1.8158 49.926 -56.424
                           51.742 -54.958
## <none>
## + lcp
                   0.8187 50.923 -54.506
              1
## + gleason
              1
                   0.7163 51.026 -54.311
## + age
                   0.6456 51.097 -54.176
              1
## + lbph
                   0.4440 51.298 -53.794
##
## Step: AIC=-63.18
## lpsa ~ lcavol + lweight + svi
##
             Df Sum of Sq
                              RSS
                                      AIC
                  0.97296 45.595 -63.226
## + lbph
                           46.568 -63.177
## <none>
                  0.62301 45.945 -62.484
## + age
              1
## + pgg45
              1
                  0.50069 46.068 -62.226
## + gleason
              1
                  0.34449 46.224 -61.898
## + lcp
                  0.06937 46.499 -61.322
              1
##
## Step: AIC=-63.23
## lpsa ~ lcavol + lweight + svi + lbph
##
             Df Sum of Sq
##
                              RSS
                                      AIC
## + age
                  1.15879 44.437 -63.723
## <none>
                           45.595 -63.226
                  0.33173 45.264 -61.934
## + pgg45
              1
                  0.20691 45.389 -61.667
## + gleason
              1
## + lcp
                  0.10115 45.494 -61.441
##
## Step: AIC=-63.72
## lpsa ~ lcavol + lweight + svi + lbph + age
##
             Df Sum of Sq
                              RSS
                                      AIC
## <none>
                           44.437 -63.723
                  0.66071 43.776 -63.176
## + pgg45
              1
                  0.47674 43.960 -62.769
## + gleason
              1
                  0.13040 44.306 -62.008
## + lcp
```

#### Prediction accuracy in testing data

• Part B of INCLASS-19

### Remarks:

- For large-scale screenings the step function can be too slow. As an altern ative you can use the FWD() function of the BGDataExt package.
- The functions stepAIC() and stepBIC() of the MASS package perform forward regression by minimizing

AIC and BIC, respectively.

# 6) Lasso regression using the glmnet package

The following example shows how to fit a Lasso regression using the glmnet package.

- $\alpha = 1$  is used to fit Lasso (use  $\alpha = 0$  for Ridge Regression, and any value betwen 0 and 1 for Elastic Net).
- By default glmnet() runs models over a grid of 100 values of the penalization parameter ( $\lambda$ )
- The object returned by glmnet() is a list containing:
  - \$lambda the grid of values of  $\lambda$ ,
  - \$beta a matrix with estimated coefficients (rows) for each value of  $\lambda$  in the grid (columns).
  - \$df, the number of non-zero effects in the solution for each value of  $\lambda$ .
  - \$a0, the estimated intercept for each value of  $\lambda$ .


```
library(glmnet)
```

```
## Loading required package: Matrix
```

```
## Loaded glmnet 4.1-6
```

```
y=DATA.TRN[,'lpsa']
X=as.matrix(DATA.TRN[,colnames(DATA)!='lpsa'])
fmL=glmnet(y=y,x=X,alpha=1)

plot(fmL$df)
```



There were changes in the model DF at the following steps

```
which(diff(fmL$df)!=0)
```

```
## [1] 1 8 10 16 29 32 56
```

Finding what predictors were active at each step in the grid

```
for(i in which(diff(fmL$df)!=0)){
   message('---- step ',i,' -----')
   print(colnames(X)[fmL$beta[,i]!=0])
}
## ---- step 1 -----
## character(0)
## ---- step 8 -----
## [1] "lcavol"
## ---- step 10 -----
## [1] "lcavol" "lweight"
## ---- step 16 -----
## [1] "lcavol" "lweight" "svi"
## ---- step 29 -----
## [1] "lcavol" "lweight" "lbph"
                                   "svi"
                                            "pgg45"
## ---- step 32 -----
## [1] "lcavol" "lweight" "age"
                                   "lbph"
                                                      "pgg45"
                                            "svi"
## ---- step 56 -----
## [1] "lcavol" "lweight" "age"
                                   "lbph"
                                            "svi"
                                                      "lcp"
                                                                "pgg45"
```

Evaluating prediction accuracy for each value of lambda

• Part C of INCLASS-19