

Overview

- ▶ On May 8, 2012, North Carolina voters approved Amendment One. This poster examines four different models used to predict North Carolina county voting behavior.
- ▶ To ensure accurate predictive power for future observations, the data are split into a training set (80%) and a test set (20%).
- ▶ Root mean squared error of the test set is used as a measure of model adequacy.
- ▶ All computations and graphs are created with the open source software R [?].

K-Fold Cross-Validation

- ▶ Cross validation is the simplest and most widely used method for estimating prediction error [?]. This method directly estimates the expected extra-sample error, $Err = E[L(Y, \hat{f}(X))]$. In this work, the loss function, L , is the square root of the average squared error loss.
- ▶ The data in this project is split into $K = 10$ equal sized parts. The cross-validation estimate of the prediction error is
$$CV(\hat{f}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, \hat{f}^{-K(i)}(x_i)),$$
where $\hat{f}^{-K}(x)$ denotes the fitted function with the K^{th} part of the data removed.

Basic Models Used

I. Least Squares Regression

Note: Variables are described in the Variable Table handout.

- a. Model from [?] applied to Training data (mod1A) — Percent voting for Amendment One is modeled using the predictors pet18.24, medinc, pctb, mccain08, evanrate, pctrural, and pctba.
- b. Our OLS model applied to Training data (mod1B) — Percent voting for Amendment One is modeled using the predictors obama08, pctrural, pctw, pctd, pctb, log(pet18.24), log(petcolenrol), pctfin, log(pctfd), pctown, medinc, medinc², evanrate, evanrate², pctfb, pctfb², log(pctstud), and log(colden).

II. Cross validated, $K = 10$, and pruned, $n_{\text{leaves}} = 4$, regression tree [?] (mod2)


III. Random Forest built from, $n_{\text{trees}} = 5000$, [?] (mod3)

A Pink Histogram

A Pink Histogram

	Sepal.Length	Sepal.Width	
1	5.1	3.5	
2	4.9	3.0	
3	4.7	3.2	
4	4.6	3.1	
5	5.0	3.6	
	Petal.Length	Petal.Width	Species
1	1.4	0.2	setosa
2	1.4	0.2	setosa
3	1.3	0.2	setosa
4	1.5	0.2	setosa
5	1.4	0.2	setosa
	Sepal.Length	Sepal.Width	
Min.	:4.300	Min. :2.000	
1st Qu.:	5.100	1st Qu.:2.800	
Median	:5.800	Median :3.000	
Mean	:5.843	Mean :3.057	
3rd Qu.:	6.400	3rd Qu.:3.300	
Max.	:7.900	Max. :4.400	
	Petal.Length	Petal.Width	
Min.	:1.000	Min. :0.100	
1st Qu.:	1.600	1st Qu.:0.300	
Median	:4.350	Median :1.300	
Mean	:3.758	Mean :1.199	
3rd Qu.:	5.100	3rd Qu.:1.800	
Max.	:6.900	Max. :2.500	
	Species		
setosa	:50		
versicolor:	50		
virginica	:50		

King County Real Estate



Prediction Errors

Further Directions

- ▶ Use the models developed in this poster to predict county votes for states that have had similar marriage amendments such as South Carolina, Wisconsin, South Dakota, Florida, Idaho, Alabama, Utah, Michigan, Texas, Arkansas, Louisiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Ohio, and Nebraska.
- ▶ Use ensemble methods (combining multiple models) for better prediction.
- ▶ Make our local maps available via the internet using the shiny server.

References

[1] R Core Team.
R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2015.