

# Galois Cohomology and Kummer Theory

## 1 A Question about Cyclic Field Extensions

Here's a natural question.

### Question 1.1

*Let  $L/K$  be a Galois extension, and suppose that the Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Then is  $L = K(\sqrt[n]{a})$  for some  $a \in K$ ?*

The answer, it turns out, is no, and one doesn't have to look far to find a counterexample, just take any Galois extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  with Galois group  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  (for example, the splitting field of  $x^3 + x^2 - 2x - 1$ ). The proof isn't too tricky, if  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{a})$ , then as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $K = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\sqrt[3]{a} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\sqrt[3]{a}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . But the Galois conjugates of  $\sqrt[3]{a}$  are:

$$\sqrt[3]{a}, \zeta \sqrt[3]{a}, \zeta^2 \sqrt[3]{a},$$

where  $\zeta$  is a primitive cube root of 1. In particular, the last two of these are not contained in  $K$ , so that  $K$  is not Galois. (You can also factor  $x^3 - a = (x - \sqrt[3]{a})(x^2 + x\sqrt[3]{a} + \sqrt[3]{a}^2)$  and see that the discriminant of the latter is negative, so that it can't factor any further and thus the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt[3]{a}$  doesn't split).

Notice that if  $\mathbb{Q}$  had contained  $\zeta$ , then we would have had no trouble observing that the extension  $K$  was Galois. Indeed, an extension  $K$  is Galois precisely when all the conjugates of a primitive element are in  $K$ . Alternatively, one could factor the minimal polynomial  $x^3 - a = (x - \sqrt[3]{a})(x - \zeta \sqrt[3]{a})(x - \zeta^2 \sqrt[3]{a})$  and see it splits.

Let's summarize our observations so far, but in a slightly more general context. Suppose  $L/K$  is a Galois extension with  $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . If we want  $L \cong K(\sqrt[n]{a})$  for some  $a \in K$ , (notice this implicitly assumes  $x^n - a$  is irreducible in  $K[x]$ ), then we need the  $n$ -th roots of unity to be in  $L$ . Indeed, as  $L$  is Galois, the minimal polynomial of  $a$  must split in  $L$ :

$$x^n - a = (x - \sqrt[n]{a})(x - \zeta \sqrt[n]{a}) \cdots (x - \zeta^{n-1} \sqrt[n]{a}).$$

Here  $\zeta$  is now a primitive  $n$ 'th root of 1. Since Galois acts transitively on the roots of this polynomial, this says that  $\zeta \sqrt[n]{a} \in L$  so that  $\zeta = \zeta \sqrt[n]{a} / \sqrt[n]{a} \in L$ .

### Question 1.2

*Can one use that the Galois group is  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  to show that in fact  $\zeta \in K$ ?*

In particular, we've seen that that a positive answer to Question 1.1 has an explicit obstruction: if  $K$  does not contain a primitive  $n$ 'th root of unity, then the answer is no. On its surface, this obstruction seems much stronger than what is necessary. It tells us that if  $K$  does not contain a primitive  $n$ 'th root of unity, then  $K(\sqrt[n]{a})$  isn't even Galois of degree  $n$ . That is, it tells us that the answer to Question 1.1 is **always no**. That is, at a glance, giving  $K$  a primitive root of 1 puts us in the situation where maybe the answer to Question 1.1 is **sometimes**. The remarkable fact is that this is the *only* obstruction. That is, if  $K$  has a primitive root of unity, the answer to Question 1.1 is **always yes!** (With some restrictions on the characteristic of  $K$ ).

**Theorem 1.3**

Let  $L/K$  be a Galois extension and suppose that the Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , and suppose that the characteristic of  $K$  does not divide  $n$ . If  $K$  contains a primitive  $n$ 'th root of 1, then  $L \cong K(\sqrt[n]{a})$  for some  $a \in K$ .

The goal of this project is to prove this fact. Notice that there is nothing cohomological in nature about this statement. But a remarkably clever proof of this fact can be extracted from the long exact sequence on cohomology for a particular (right) derived functor.

## 2 Group Cohomology

To turn this problem into a cohomological one we use the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $G$  be a group. A  $G$  module is an abelian group  $A$  equipped with an action by  $G$  by automorphisms.

**Exercise 2.2**

Show that the following characterizations of the notion of a  $G$ -module are equivalent.

1. A  $G$ -module  $A$  (as in Definition 2.1).
2. An abelian group  $A$  together with a group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$ .
3. A (ring theoretic) module  $A$  over the group algebra  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ .

The crucial example for this project is the following.

**Example 2.3**

Let  $K$  be a field and  $L/K$  a Galois field extension with Galois group  $G$ . Then the Galois action naturally makes  $K$  and  $L$  into  $G$ -modules with their underlying additive abelian group structure. (What is the action on  $K$ ?). Similarly, the multiplicative groups  $K^\times$  and  $L^\times$  have natural  $G$ -module structures (with their multiplicative abelian group structures).

We can make  $G$ -modules into a category as follows.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $G$ -modules. A homomorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  is called  $G$ -equivariant if for any  $g \in G$  and  $a \in A$  one has:

$$\varphi(g \cdot a) = g \cdot \varphi(a).$$

**Exercise 2.5**

1. Show that the category of  $G$ -modules with  $G$ -equivariant homomorphisms is an abelian category.
2. Show that the category of  $G$ -modules has enough injectives.

We now can define the following functor from  $G$ -modules to abelian groups:

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $A$  be a  $G$ -module. The  $G$ -invariance of  $A$  is  $A^G = \{a \in A : g \cdot a = a \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ .

**Exercise 2.7**

Let  $K$  and  $L$  be as in example 2.3. Compute  $L^G, (L^\times)^G, K^G, (K^\times)^G$ .

**Exercise 2.8**

Show that  $A \mapsto A^G$  is a left exact functor from the category of  $G$ -modules to the category of abelian groups.

Due to Exercises 2.5 and 2.8, we may define the right derived functors of invariance, which is the *group cohomology*. The  $i$ 'th right derived functor will be denoted:

$$H^i(G, \bullet).$$

**Exercise 2.9**

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be a  $G$ -module equipped with a trivial action, and  $A$  any  $G$ -module. View both as (ring theoretic)  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  modules.

1. Show  $A^G \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}, A)$ .
2. Show  $H^i(G, A) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^i(\mathbb{Z}, A)$

### 3 Galois Cohomology and the Kummer Sequence

Now we have the basic construction of group cohomology. We want to apply this general framework in a Galois theoretic context. Like we saw in Example 2.3 and Exercise 2.7, Galois theory gives us a natural source of  $G$ -modules. We first give the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.** For a positive integer  $n$  and a ring  $R$ , we define the  $n$ 'th roots of unity to be

$$\mu_n(R) := \{r \in R : r^n = 1\}.$$

Notice that taking the  $n$ 'th roots of unity gives a functor from commutative rings to abelian groups.

**Exercise 3.2**

Let  $K$  be a field extension and  $n$  an integer prime the the characteristic of  $K$ . Let  $\overline{K}$  be a separable closure of  $K$  (in characteristic 0 this is the same as an algebraic closure). Let  $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  be the Galois group.

1. Show that  $\mu_n(\overline{K})$  is a  $\Gamma_K$  module, and compute its invariance:  $\mu_n(\overline{K})^{\Gamma_K}$ .
2. Prove that the following is an exact sequence in the category of  $\Gamma_K$ -modules:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu_n(\overline{K}) \longrightarrow \overline{K}^\times \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^n} \overline{K}^\times \longrightarrow 1.$$

This is often called the *Kummer sequence*.

Now let's outline the general strategy to prove Theorem 1.3. Indeed, we can run the general machinery of cohomology to automatically obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_n(\overline{K})^{\Gamma_K} \longrightarrow (\overline{K}^\times)^{\Gamma_K} \longrightarrow (\overline{K}^\times)^{\Gamma_K} \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(\Gamma_K, \mu_n(\overline{K})) \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma_K, \overline{K}^\times). \quad (1)$$

Your objectives are now the following.

**Exercise 3.3**

- (i) Prove  $H^1(\Gamma_K, \overline{K}^\times) = 0$ . This is often known as Hilbert's theorem 90.
- (ii) Suppose  $\mu_n(\overline{K}) \subseteq K$ . Then establish a correspondence between elements of  $H^1(\Gamma_K, \mu_n(\overline{K}))$  and Galois extension of  $K$  with galois group isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $m$  dividing  $n$ .
- (iii) Find a suitable interpretation of the boundary map  $\delta$  in terms of part (b). That is, given some element  $a \in (\overline{K}^\times)^{\Gamma_K}$ , describe the cyclic extension  $\delta(a)$  corresponds to in terms of  $a$ . (Recall that you computed  $(\overline{K}^\times)^{\Gamma_K}$  in exercise 2.7.

Putting Exercise 3.3 together with the exactness of Sequence (1) should then give a straightforward proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 4 The Bar Resolution

The key to solving Exercise 3.3 (and the general trick to explicitly computing group cohomology, at least in low degrees) is to construct an explicit cochain complex  $C^\bullet(G, A)$  for which:

$$H^i(G, A) = H^i(C^\bullet(G, A)).$$

One can do this quite explicitly, the idea is to use Exercise 2.9 to translate the problem into finding a projective resolution  $\mathbb{Z}$  in the category of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules, and then applying  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\bullet, A)$  to that resolution. This resolution I think is called the Bar resolution.

### Exercise 4.1

A good reference for this part (which spells out some of the details more carefully) is Dummit and Foote Chapter 17.2 Exercises 1 and 3. For this problem we let  $G$  be a finite group.

1. Show that the *augmentation map*  $\text{aug} : \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defined by the rule  $\sum a_i g_i \mapsto \sum a_i$  is a surjective map of  $G$ -modules.
2. Define:

$$F_n := \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{Z}[G]}_{n+1\text{-times}}.$$

Define an action on of  $G$   $F_n$  on simple tensors via the rule:

$$g \cdot (g_0 \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n) = gg_0 \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n.$$

Show that  $F_n$  is a projective (even free!)  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module, generated by elements of the form  $1 \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n$ .

3. For  $n > 0$ , define a differential  $d_n : F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1}$  on generators via the rule:

$$1 \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n \mapsto g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_i g_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n + (-1)^n \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{n-1}.$$

Prove that:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_4} F_3 \xrightarrow{d_3} F_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\text{aug}} \mathbb{Z},$$

is a projective (even free!) resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in the category of  $G$ -modules. (Show it's null homotopic. [DF] 17.2 Exercise 1 describes a chain homotopy which might be helpful).

4. We now get a cochain complex by applying mapping into  $A$ . Define  $C^i(G, A) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(F_i, A)$ . Deduce that:

$$H^i(G, A) = H^i(C^\bullet(G, A)).$$

5. This is really only helpful if we can get a good grasp on what  $C^i(G, A)$  is. But the  $F_i$  are tailor made to translate back into group theory. Give an identification between  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(F_i, A)$  and the set of functions  $G^i \rightarrow A$  (not group homomorphisms!). (Here  $G^i$  is the cartesian product of  $i$ -copies of  $G$ , where  $G^0 = \{id\}$ ). Unwind what the differentials do with this interpretation. (This is written up in [DF] Equation 17.18).
6. That was a mouthful. As a sanity check, use your interpretation from part (e) to confirm that  $H^0(G, A) = A^G$ .
7. We care about  $H^1$ . Give an explicit description of  $H^1$ . In particular, by part (e) you can identify  $C^1(G, A)$  with functions  $f : G \rightarrow A$ . Explicitly describe what it means for  $f$  to be a cocycle. What about a coboundary?