Homework 9 Written Solutions

Written Part

In the written part we explore some attacks on the Elgamal Digital Signature algorithm.

5. First let's describe a way Eve can produce documents that appear to be signed by Sam. Let p be a prime number and $g \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ a primitive root. Let i and j be integers such that $\gcd(j, p-1) = 1$. Let A be arbitrary. Set:

$$S_1 \equiv g^i A^j \mod p$$

$$S_2 \equiv -S_1 j^{-1} \mod p - 1$$

$$D \equiv -S_1 i j^{-1} \mod p - 1$$

(a) Show that the pair (S_1, S_2) is a valid Elgamal signature for the document D. In particular, this means Eve can produce valid Elgamal signatures.

Proof. We we run elgamalVerify we compute:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A^{S_1} S_1^{S_2} & \equiv & A^{g^i A^j} (g^i A^j)^{-g^i A^j j^{-1}} \\ & \equiv & A^{g^i A^j} A^{-g^i A^j} g^{-g^i A^j i j^{-1}} \\ & \equiv & g^{-S_1 i j^{-1}} \mod p, \end{array}$$

which is precisely the value of $g^D \mod p$.

- (b) Explain why this doesn't mean that Eve can forge Sam's signature on a given document. The document D depends on the choice of i and j. If one were to start for with D and try to reverse engineer i and j, one would have to solve the discrete log problem when trying to find i and j giving S_1 (for example).
- 6. In this exercise we describe a security flaw in the Elgamal digital signature algorithm, caused by a careless signer. Suppose that Sam signed two distinct documents D and D' using the same random value k.
 - (a) Explain how Eve can immediately recognize that Samantha has made this blunder.

Proof. An Elgamal encryption scheme fixes a prime p and primitive root g at the outset (in fact this is public information!). Then a signature consists of 2 peices (S_1, S_2) , and the first $S_1 \equiv g^k \mod p$ only depends on k, and if the same k is used twice S_1 is the same each time.

(b) Let the signature for D be $D^{sig} = (S_1, S_2)$ and the signature for D' be $D'^{sig} = (S'_1, S'_2)$. Explain how Eve can recover Samantha's secret signing key a. We first see that $S_1 \equiv S'_1 \equiv g^k \mod p$. Then we consider S_2 and S'_2 :

$$S_2 \equiv (D - aS_1)k^{-1} \mod p - 1$$

 $S_2' \equiv (D' - aS_1')k^{-1} \mod p - 1.$

We first will first find k. We know the values of S_2, S_2' , so we can subtract them, and because $S_1 \equiv S_1' \mod p$ we get the following congruence:

$$S_2 - S_2' \equiv (D - D')k^{-1} \mod p - 1.$$

We also know the values of D and D' (these are the public documents), so that if $g = \gcd(D - D', p - 1)$ is equal to 1, we could just divide and find k^{-1} (and therefore k). Unfortunately, this is not the case in general. Nevertheless, HW2 Problem 7 gave us methods to study solutions of linear equations modulo p - 1. Let $s = S_2 - S'_2$ and d = D - D'. Then we are solving:

$$dx = s \mod p - 1,\tag{1}$$

for x. We know k^{-1} is a solution, so that there are g many solutions to Equation 1 (by HW2 Problem 7). In fact, we showed in HW2 Problem 7 if a_0 is any solution to equation 1, the set of solutions is:

$$\left\{a_0, a_0 + \frac{p-1}{g}, a_0 + 2\frac{p-1}{g}, \cdots, a_0 + (g-1)\frac{p-1}{g}\right\}.$$

We know that k^{-1} must be part of this list, so if we can find some a_0 solving this equation, we narrow our search considerably. To do this we use the extended Euclidean algorithm to find u, v such that du + (p-1)v = g. By HW2 Problem 7, the fact that Equation 1 has a solution means that g|s, so that $s/g = \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Multiplying the equation through by ℓ we get:

$$s = g\ell = du\ell + (p-1)v\ell \equiv d(u\ell) \mod p - 1$$

so that $a_0 = u\ell$ is a solution. Then one of $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{g-1}\}$ is k^{-1} , where $a_i = a_0 + i\frac{p-1}{g}$. To see which one it is, we compute

$$S_1^{a_i} = (g^k)^{a_i} = g^{a_i k} \mod p$$

for each i. If the output is congruent to g, then $g^{a_ik-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$ so that the order of g (which is p-1) divides a_ik-1 . This implies that $a_i \equiv k^{-1} \mod p-1$, so that inverting this a_i recovers k.

This is a great start. Now that we know k we can try to recover a in a similar way. We will use the equation:

$$S_2 \equiv (D - aS_1)k^{-1} \mod p - 1.$$

Multiplying through by k, subtracting D, and multiplying by -1 gives:

$$aS_1 = D - kS_2 \mod p - 1 \tag{2}$$

As above, if $g' = \gcd(S_1, p-1)$ were equal to 1, then we could divide by S_1 and recover a. But of course this is not always true. We must run the same method as before, letting $d' = S_1$ and $s' = D - kS_2$, and searching for solutions to:

$$d'x = s' \mod p - 1 \tag{3}$$

The process is identical. We first find a single solution using HW2 Problem 7 and the Euclidean algorithm to write d'u' + (p-1)v' = g', multiplying through by ℓ' where where

 $g'/s'=\ell'\in\mathbb{Z}$, so that $x=a'_0=u'\ell'$ is a solution. Then we write the set of solutions $\{a'_0,a'_1,\cdots,a'_{g-1}\}$ where $a'_i=a'_0+i\frac{p-1}{g'}$. We know that a is a solution to equation 3, so that it must be equal to one of the a'_i . To find which one we compute $g^{a'_i}\mod p$ for each i, and see which one is equal to the public verification key $A\equiv g^a\mod p$. Since g is a primitive root, if $g^{a'_i}\equiv g^a\mod p$, we know $a'_i\equiv a\mod p-1$, and so we have extracted Sam's private signing key.

A few comments. First, in general the gcd of 2 numbers much smaller than the two numbers themselves, so reducing our search for k (respectively a) to just $\gcd(d, p-1)$ (resp. $\gcd(d', p-1)$) many candidates is quite a speed up. Second, each time we found our list of candidates of k (resp. a) we ran essentially the same process, so this would be a good pace to have a helper function.