

## Homework Assignment 4

Due Friday, February 18

1. In this exercise we study products of finite cyclic groups. Recall that we denote by  $Z_n$  the cyclic group of order  $n$  (written multiplicatively).

- (a) Prove that  $Z_2 \times Z_2$  is not a cyclic group.

*Proof.* Notice that  $|Z_2 \times Z_2| = 4$ . Therefore if it were cyclic, it would need a generator  $x$  of order 4. But notice that if  $x = (a, b)$  then  $x^2 = (a^2, b^2) = (1, 1)$  since  $a, b$  have order  $\leq 2$  as elements of  $Z_2$ . Therefore  $|x| \leq 2$  so  $x$  cannot generate the entire group.  $\square$

- (b) Prove that  $Z_2 \times Z_3 \cong Z_6$ . Conclude that  $Z_2 \times Z_3$  is a cyclic group.

*Proof.* For simplicity we use the identification  $Z_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and write additively. I claim  $(\bar{1}, \bar{1})$  generates  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed, since  $|\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}| = 6$  it suffices to show that  $|(\bar{1}, \bar{1})| = 6$ . Suppose that for some  $n > 0$  we have  $n(\bar{1}, \bar{1}) = (\bar{n}, \bar{n}) = (0, 0)$ . This implies that  $2|n$  and that  $3|n$ . In particular we have  $6|n$ . Thus the smallest  $n$  can be is 6. As  $(\bar{6}, \bar{6}) = (0, 0)$  we have  $|(\bar{1}, \bar{1})| = 6$  completing the proof.  $\square$

Those two examples really cover all the bases. Use the intuition you gained from them to prove the following classification result.

- (c) Show that  $Z_n \times Z_m$  is cyclic if and only if  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ . (Hint: recall that up to isomorphism there is only one cyclic group of order  $N$  for every positive integer  $N$ ).

*Proof.* The real heavy lifting here is done because  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$  if and only if  $\text{lcm}(m, n) = mn$ . I will state and prove this here as a lemma, but it is rather well known and elementary so I am ok with it being used without proof.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  be positive integers. then*

$$\gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = ab.$$

*In particular,  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  if and only if  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = ab$ .*

*Proof.* By the fundamental theorem of arithmetic we have prime factorizations

$$\begin{aligned} a &= p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n} \\ b &= p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \cdots p_n^{\beta_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where we allow  $\alpha_i$  or  $\beta_i$  to be 0 so that the  $p_i$  are the same. Then it is clear that,

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(a, b) &= p_1^{\min(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} p_2^{\min(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} \cdots p_n^{\min(\alpha_n, \beta_n)} \\ \text{lcm}(a, b) &= p_1^{\max(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} p_2^{\max(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} \cdots p_n^{\max(\alpha_n, \beta_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the product is

$$\gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = p_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1} p_2^{\alpha_2 + \beta_2} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n + \beta_n} = ab,$$

and we win.  $\square$

With this in hand we can prove the classification result. As in part (b) we identify  $Z_n$  with  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and write additively. First suppose that  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ . Then  $(\bar{1}, \bar{1})$  is a generator for  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed, if  $a > 0$  and

$$a(\bar{1}, \bar{1}) = (\bar{a}, \bar{a}) = (0, 0)$$

then  $n|a$  and  $m|a$ , so that  $\text{lcm}(m, n) = mn$  divides  $a$ . Thus

$$|(\bar{1}, \bar{1})| = mn = |\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}|,$$

so  $(\bar{1}, \bar{1})$  generates the group and so it is cyclic of order  $mn$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\gcd(n, m) \neq 1$ . Then  $l = \text{lcm}(m, n) < mn$ . Therefore for any  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $l(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = (\bar{la}, \bar{lb}) = (0, 0)$  so that  $|(\bar{a}, \bar{b})| \leq l < mn$  and it cannot be a generator. Therefore  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  cannot be cyclic.  $\square$

2. Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  a *nonempty* subset of  $G$ . Let's introduce a few tricks to speed up testing if something is a subgroup.

- (a) (*Subgroup Criterion*) Suppose that for all  $x, y \in H$ ,  $xy^{-1} \in H$ . Show that  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

*Proof.*  $H$  is nonempty by assumption. Suppose  $x \in H$ . Then by assumption  $xx^{-1} = 1 \in H$ . Since  $1, x \in H$ , then  $1x^{-1} = x^{-1} \in H$ , so  $H$  is closed under inversion. Now fix  $x, y \in H$ . We have already seen  $H$  is closed under inversion so that  $x, y^{-1} \in H$ , and thus  $x(y^{-1})^{-1} = xy \in H$ . Therefore  $H$  is closed under multiplication so we win.  $\square$

- (b) (*Finite Subgroup Criterion*) Show that if  $H$  is finite and closed under multiplication, then  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

*Proof.*  $H$  is nonempty and closed under multiplication by assumption. All that remains is to show it is closed under inversion. Since  $H$  is closed under multiplication, we know that the set  $\{x, x^2, x^3, x^4, \dots\} \subseteq H$ . Since  $H$  is finite, we know that the list of powers of  $x$  cannot go on forever without repeating (else we would be exhibiting infinitely many different elements of  $H$ ). Therefore there is some  $i < j$  with  $x^i = x^j$ . In particular,  $x^{j-i} = 1$ , and  $x^{-1} = x^{j-i-1} \in \{x, x^2, x^3, \dots\} \subseteq H$ , and therefore  $H$  is closed under inversion. (To be completely precise, one could also have  $j - i - 1 = 0$ , but then  $x^{-1} = 1 = x \in H$  so we're ok.)  $\square$

3. Let  $G$  be a group. Let  $H, K \leq G$  be two subgroups.

- (a) Show that the intersection  $H \cap K$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

*Proof.* We first must show  $H \cap K$  is nonempty, but as  $H$  and  $K$  are both subgroups, they both contain 1, and therefore so does  $H \cap K$ . Next we must show that  $H \cap K$  has inverses, so fix an member  $x$ . As  $x$  is in the subgroup  $H$ , so is  $x^{-1}$ , and we can similarly argue that  $x^{-1} \in K$  as well. Therefore  $x^{-1} \in H \cap K$ . Finally we must show that if  $x, y \in H \cap K$ , then so is  $xy$ . But  $x, y \in H$  implies  $xy$  is also because  $H$  is a subgroup, and similarly  $xy \in K$ . Therefore  $xy \in H \cap K$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

- (b) Give an example to show that the union  $H \cup K$  need not be a subgroup of  $G$ .

*Proof.* The even numbers  $2\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, 6, \dots\} \leq \mathbb{Z}$  and the multiples of three  $3\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9\} \leq \mathbb{Z}$  are both subgroups of the integers. Their union  $2\mathbb{Z} \cup 3\mathbb{Z}$  consists of integers which are either even or multiples of 3. Thus it contains both 2 and 3. But their sum  $2 + 3 = 5$  is not even or a multiple of 3, thus is not in the union. Therefore the union isn't closed under addition, and therefore is not a subgroup.  $\square$

- (c) Show that  $H \cup K$  is a subgroup of  $G$  if and only if  $H \subset K$  or  $K \subset H$ .

*Proof.* If  $H \subset K$ , then  $H \cup K = K$  is a subgroup, and if  $K \subset H$  the proof is identical. Conversely, suppose that  $H \cup K$  is a subgroup. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that neither of  $H$  or  $K$  is contained in the other, so that we can find  $h \in H \setminus K$  and  $k \in K \setminus H$ . As  $H \cup K$  is a subgroup that  $hk \in H \cup K$ , so (without loss of generality) we may assume that  $hk \in H$ . But then multiplying by  $h^{-1}$  on the left, we have  $k \in H$ , contrary to our assumption.  $\square$

- (d) Adjust your proof from part (a) to show that the intersection of an arbitrary collection of subgroups is a subgroup. That is, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of subgroups of  $G$ . Show that

$$\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$$

is a subgroup of  $G$ . This completes the proof that the subgroup generated by a subset is in fact a subgroup.

**Hint.** For part (d), the proof should be very similar to part (a), with only cosmetic modifications. You won't need to use induction. In fact, since  $\mathcal{A}$  could in principle be uncountable, induction won't work without modifications (think about why this is).

*Proof.* We first must show  $\mathbb{H} = \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$  is nonempty, but since  $1 \in H$  for all  $H$ , 1 is in their intersection. Next we must show that  $\mathbb{H}$  has inverses, so fix an member  $x$ . As  $x$  is in each  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ , so is  $x^{-1}$ , so that  $x^{-1}$  is in the intersection and thus in  $\mathbb{H}$ . Finally we must show that if  $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$ , then so is  $xy$ . But for each  $H$  we know  $x, y \in H$ , so that  $xy \in H$  as well. Since this holds for each  $H$ ,  $xy$  is in the intersection, which is  $\mathbb{H}$ .  $\square$

4. Given a homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ , we obtain 2 important subgroups, one of  $G$  and one of  $H$ . They are called the *kernel* of  $\varphi$  and *image* of  $\varphi$  and are defined by the following rules:

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \varphi &= \{g \in G : \varphi(g) = 1_H\}, \\ \text{im } \varphi &= \{h \in H : h = \varphi(g) \text{ for some } g \in G\}. \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show that  $\ker \varphi$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

*Proof.* We know  $1_G \in \ker \varphi$  by HW3 Problem 4(a) so that it is nonempty. If  $x \in \ker \varphi$  then applying HW3 Problem 4(b) we have:

$$\varphi(x^{-1}) = \varphi(x)^{-1} = 1_H^{-1} = 1_H.$$

so that  $x^{-1} \in \ker \varphi$  also. If  $x, y \in \ker \varphi$ , then

$$\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y) = 1_H \cdot 1_H = 1_H,$$

so that  $xy$  is too. Thus it is a subgroup.  $\square$

- (b) Show that  $\text{im } \varphi$  is a subgroup of  $H$ .

*Proof.* We must first show it is nonempty, but by HW3 Problem 4(a) it contains  $1_H$ . Next we show it contains inverses, but this follows by HW3 Problem 4(b) as if  $x = \varphi(a) \in \text{im } \varphi$  then  $x^{-1} = \varphi(a)^{-1} = \varphi(a^{-1})$ . Finally, if  $x = \varphi(a)$  and  $y = \varphi(b)$  are in the image, then  $xy = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = \varphi(ab)$  is in the image as well.  $\square$

- (c) *Important:* Show that  $\varphi$  is injective if and only if  $\ker \varphi = \{1_G\}$ . (This is an incredibly useful fact!)

*Proof.* Suppose  $\varphi$  is injective. If  $g \in \ker \varphi$  then  $\varphi(g) = 1_H = \varphi(1_G)$  so that by injectivity  $g = 1_G$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\ker \varphi = \{1_G\}$ . Fix  $x, y \in G$  and suppose  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y) = h$ . Then:

$$\varphi(xy^{-1}) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)^{-1} = h \cdot h^{-1} = 1_H.$$

Thus  $xy^{-1} = 1_G$ . Multiplying on the right by  $y$  shows  $x = y$  and so  $\varphi$  injects.  $\square$

5. The kernel has the following important generalization. For  $h \in H$  define the *fiber over  $h$*  as

$$\varphi^{-1}(h) = \{g \in G : \varphi(g) = h\}.$$

This is sometimes also called the *preimage of  $h$* . Observe that by definition, the kernel of  $\varphi$  is the fiber over 1.

- (a) Show that the fiber over  $h$  is a subgroup if and only if  $h = 1_H$ .

*Proof.* If  $h = 1_H$  then  $\varphi^{-1}(h) = \ker \varphi$  which we showed was a subgroup in 4(a). Conversely, suppose  $\varphi^{-1}(h)$  is a subgroup. Then in particular it contains  $1_G$ . So that  $h = \varphi(1_G) = 1_H$  as desired.  $\square$

- (b) Show that the *nonempty* fibers of  $\varphi$  form a partition of  $G$ . (In particular, if  $\varphi$  is surjective its fibers partition  $G$ .)

*Proof.* First notice we are only considering nonempty fibers so the elements of the partition are by definition nonempty. We must show their union is all of  $G$ , but if  $g \in G$  then  $\varphi(g) = h$  and so  $g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)$  as desired. Lastly we must show they have empty intersections. Let  $g \in \varphi^{-1}(h) \cap \varphi^{-1}(h')$ . Then  $h = \varphi(g) = h'$  so they were the same fibers to begin with.  $\square$

- (c) Show that all nonempty fibers have the same cardinality. (Hint: if  $\varphi^{-1}(h)$  is nonempty, build a bijection between it and  $\ker \varphi$ .) Observe that this generalizes 2(c).

*Proof.* (Note: in my opinion this is the most difficult problem of the assignment).

It suffices to build a bijection  $f : \ker \varphi \rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(h)$ . Fix some  $x \in \varphi^{-1}(h)$ . For  $g \in \ker \varphi$ , define  $f(g) = x \cdot g$ . Let us begin by first checking that this defines a map to  $\varphi^{-1}(h)$ , i.e., that the image of  $f$  actually lies in the fiber over  $h$ . To check this we apply  $\varphi$  to  $xg$  and notice that

$$\varphi(xg) = \varphi(x)\varphi(g) = h \cdot 1_H = h,$$

so that  $xg \in \varphi^{-1}(h)$  as desired. What remains is to show that  $f$  is a bijection. To do this we construct an inverse  $f^{-1} : \varphi^{-1}(h) \rightarrow \ker \varphi$ . As  $f$  was multiplication by  $x$  then the inverse should be multiplication by  $x^{-1}$ . As above, we begin by showing this map actually lands in the kernel, that is, fixing  $g' \in \varphi^{-1}(h)$ , we must see that  $x^{-1}g' \in \ker \varphi$ . Applying  $\varphi$  we see

$$\varphi(x^{-1}g') = \varphi(x^{-1})\varphi(g') = \varphi(x)^{-1}\varphi(g') = h^{-1}h = 1_H,$$

so that it is indeed in the kernel. From here it is clear that  $f^{-1}$  is an inverse to  $f$ , as composition is multiplication by  $x^{-1}x$  or  $xx^{-1}$ , i.e., multiplication by  $1_G$  or the identity map. Thus we have built a bijection between  $\ker \varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(h)$  and so they must have the same cardinality. Since every nonempty fiber has the same cardinality as  $\ker \varphi$  they all have the same cardinality.  $\square$

6. Let  $G$  be a group and  $A$  a set, and suppose we are given homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow S_A$ . Show that the rule:

$$g \cdot a = \varphi(g)(a) \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ and } a \in A,$$

describes a group action of  $G$  on  $A$ , and further that the permutation representation of this action is  $\varphi$  itself.

*Proof.* We first show that the rule given actually defines a group action. There are two conditions:

- (a)  $1 \cdot a = a$  for all  $a \in A$
- (b)  $g \cdot (h \cdot a) = (gh) \cdot a$  for all  $g, h \in G$  and  $a \in A$

To show the first we observe that by HW3 Problem 4(a):  $\varphi(1) = id_A$ . Therefore:

$$1 \cdot a = \varphi(1)(a) = id_A(a) = a,$$

as desired. To show the second condition we compute:

$$g \cdot (h \cdot a) = \varphi(g)(\varphi(h)(a)) = (\varphi(g) \circ \varphi(h))(a) = \varphi(gh)(a) = (gh) \cdot a.$$

Here we use that that multiplication in  $S_A$  is composition, and  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism, so that  $\varphi(g) \circ \varphi(h) = \varphi(gh)$ . Therefore we have confirmed that the rule defines an action.

Consider the action defined above, and let  $\psi : G \rightarrow S_A$  be the permutation representation. That is,  $\psi(g) = \sigma_g$  where  $\sigma_g(a) = g \cdot a$ . We want to confirm that  $\varphi = \psi$ . This means showing that for every  $g \in G$ ,  $\varphi(g)$  and  $\psi(g)$  agree as functions on  $A$ . To see this we compute:

$$\psi(g)(a) = \sigma_g(a) = g \cdot a = \varphi(g)(a).$$

$\square$

7. Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $A$ . For an element  $a \in A$ , we define the *stabilizer* of  $a$  to be the collection of elements of  $G$  that act trivially on  $a$ , that is:

$$G_a := \{g \in G : g \cdot a = a\}.$$

The *kernel* of the group action is the collection of elements of  $G$  that act trivially on *all* of  $A$ , that is:

$$G_0 := \{g \in G : g \cdot a = a \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$

- (a) Prove that  $G_a$  and  $G_0$  are subgroups of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Notice that  $1 \cdot a = a$ , so that  $1 \in G_a$ . Suppose  $g, h \in G_a$ . Then:

$$(gh) \cdot a = g \cdot (h \cdot a) = g \cdot a = a,$$

so that  $gh \in G_a$ , and therefore it is closed under multiplication. Suppose that  $g \in G_a$ . Then:

$$g^{-1} \cdot a = g^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot a) = (g^{-1}g) \cdot a = 1 \cdot a = a,$$

so that  $g^{-1} \in G_a$ . Therefore  $G_a$  is closed under inversion as well, and is therefore a subgroup.

The proof for  $G_0$  is very similar. First that  $1 \cdot a = a$  for every  $a$ , so that  $1 \in G_0$ . Suppose  $g, h \in G_0$ . Then for each  $a \in A$ :

$$(gh) \cdot a = g \cdot (h \cdot a) = g \cdot a = a,$$

so that  $gh \in G_0$ , and therefore it is closed under multiplication. Suppose that  $g \in G_0$ . Then for every  $a \in A$ :

$$g^{-1} \cdot a = g^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot a) = (g^{-1}g) \cdot a = 1 \cdot a = a,$$

so that  $g^{-1} \in G_0$ . Therefore  $G_0$  is closed under inversion as well, and is therefore a subgroup.  $\square$

- (b) Prove that  $G_0$  is equal to the kernel of the permutation representation associated to the action of  $G$  on  $A$ . (cf. Problem 4: This justifies the naming convention).

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow S_A$  be the permutation representation sending  $g$  to the function  $\sigma_g(a) = g \cdot a$ .

$$\begin{aligned} g \in \ker \varphi &\Leftrightarrow \sigma_g = id_A \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sigma_g(a) = a \text{ for every } a \in A \\ &\Leftrightarrow g \cdot a = a \text{ for every } a \in A \\ &\Leftrightarrow g \in G_0. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

8. For  $n \geq 2$  let  $G = S_n$  be the symmetric group equipped with its natural action on  $\Omega_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  by permutations. For  $i \in \Omega_n$ , let  $G_i = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(i) = i\}$  be the stabilizer of  $i$ . Describe an isomorphism between  $G_i$  and  $S_{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* Reordering the elements of  $\Omega_n$ , we may assume that  $i = n$ . Then an element of  $G_n$  is just a permutation of  $1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , keeping  $n$  fixed. In particular, this gives an action on  $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . The permutation representation is then a homomorphism  $G_n \rightarrow S_{n-1}$ . It is surjective as any permutation of  $1, \dots, n-1$  can be extended to a permutation of  $1, \dots, n$  by keeping  $n$  fixed. To see injectivity suppose  $\sigma \in G_n$  is in the kernel. This means it fixes  $1, \dots, n-1$ , and since it is in  $G_n$  it fixes  $n$ . Therefore  $\sigma$  is the identity permutation, and so the kernel is trivial. By 4(c), the map is injective.  $\square$