

# ChemE Visitor Scheduling 3

Garrett Dowdy

April 9, 2017

## 1 Introduction

This document describes a mathematical model for solving the ChemE Visitor Scheduling problem.

My understanding of the problem is as follows:

- Each visitor submits a rank-ordered list of the faculty they'd like to meet.
- Each faculty member submits their availability.
- Visitors are assigned to meet with faculty during specific periods.
- We want to maximize the overall happiness of the visitors.
- We want to maximize the minimum level of happiness.

## 2 Definitions

### 2.1 Sets

- Let  $V = \{1, \dots, n_V\}$  denote the set of visitors.
- Let  $F = \{1, \dots, n_F\}$  denote the set of faculty.
- Let  $T = \{1, \dots, n_T\}$  denote the set of time periods.
- Let  $T_{TG} \subset T$  denote the subset of time periods that overlap with the TG.

### 2.2 Problem Data

- Let  $A \in \{0, 1\}^{n_F \times n_T}$  be a matrix describing the availability of the faculty. In particular, if faculty  $f$  is available for a meeting during period  $t$ , then  $A(f, t) = 1$ . Otherwise,  $A(f, t) = 0$ .
- Let  $P \in \{0, \dots, 10\}^{n_V \times n_F}$  be a matrix describing the visitors' preferences regarding who they'd like to meet. In particular, if visitor  $v$  really wants to meet faculty  $f$  (that is, if faculty  $f$  is their first choice), then  $P(v, f) = 100$ . If faculty  $f$  is their second choice,  $P(v, f) = 81$ , and so on, down to their tenth choice, for which  $P(v, f) = 1$ . In general, if faculty  $f$  is visitor  $v$ 's  $c$ th choice, then

$$P(v, f) = (10 - c + 1)^2. \tag{1}$$

For a faculty member  $f$  not appearing on visitor  $v$ 's preference list,  $P(v, f) = 0$ .

## 2.3 Decision Variables

- Let  $x \in \{0, 1\}^{n_V \times n_F \times n_T}$  be a matrix describing decisions to schedule meetings between visitors and faculty. In particular, if visitor  $v$  is assigned to meet with faculty  $f$  during period  $t$ , then  $x(v, f, t) = 1$ . Otherwise,  $x(v, f, t) = 0$ .
- Let  $y \in \{0, 1\}^{n_V \times n_T}$  be a matrix describing decisions to schedule the visitors for free time. In particular, if visitor  $v$  is scheduled for free time during period  $t$ , then  $y(v, t) = 1$ . Otherwise,  $y(v, t) = 0$ .
- Let  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  be the minimum level of happiness. This definition will be enforced via a combination of the objective function and constraints.

## 3 Constraints

1. Each visitor must be assigned to either a faculty meeting or free time for each period:

$$\sum_{f \in F} x(v, f, t) + y(v, t) = 1, \quad \forall v \in V, \forall t \in T. \quad (2)$$

2. Each faculty can meet with at most one student during a given period:

$$\sum_{v \in V} x(v, f, t) \leq 1, \quad \forall f \in F, \forall t \in T. \quad (3)$$

3. Visitors can only meet with faculty when the faculty are available:

$$\sum_{v \in V} x(v, f, t) \leq A(f, t), \quad \forall f \in F, \forall t \in T. \quad (4)$$

4. Each visitor-faculty pair can only meet at most once

$$\sum_{t \in T} x(v, f, t) \leq 1, \quad \forall v \in V, \forall f \in F. \quad (5)$$

5. Each visitor must have at least  $n^L$  periods of free time:

$$\sum_{t \in T} y(v, t) \geq n^L, \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (6)$$

6. Each visitor must have at least  $m^L$  faculty meetings:

$$\sum_{t \in T} \sum_{f \in F} x(v, f, t) \geq m^L, \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (7)$$

7. Each visitor's happiness level must be at least  $h$ :

$$\sum_{t \in T} \sum_{f \in F} x(v, f, t) P(v, f) \geq h, \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (8)$$

8. Each visitor can only have at most one meeting during the TG

$$\sum_{t \in T_{TG}} \sum_{f \in F} x(v, f, t) \leq 1, \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (9)$$

## 4 Objective

The objective function (to be maximized) will have three terms:

$$c_1 \cdot x + c_2 \cdot x + c_3 h \quad (10)$$

The rationale for each of these terms is described below.

- Give the visitors their preferences:

$$c_1(v, f, t) = w_{\text{pref}} P(v, f), \quad \forall v \in V, \forall f \in F, \forall t \in T. \quad (11)$$

The weighting factor  $w_{\text{pref}} \geq 0$  determines how important this objective is. I recommend that its value be kept around  $w_{\text{pref}} = 1$ .

- It's better to have a meeting than no meeting at all:

$$c_2(v, f, t) = w_{\text{meet}}, \quad \forall v \in V, \forall f \in F, \forall t \in T, \quad (12)$$

The weighting factor  $w_{\text{meet}} \geq 0$  determines how important this objective is. I recommend that its value be kept small, for example,  $w_{\text{meet}} = 1$ .

- Maximize the minimum level of happiness:

$$c_3 = w_{\text{min}}. \quad (13)$$

The weighting factor  $w_{\text{min}} \geq 0$  determines how important this objective is. I recommend that its value be kept small, for example,  $w_{\text{min}} = 1$ .

## 5 The Full Problem

The full optimization problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x, y} \quad & c_1 \cdot x + c_2 \cdot x + c_3 h \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x \in \{0, 1\}^{n_V \times n_F \times n_T}, \\ & y \in \{0, 1\}^{n_V \times n_T}, \\ & \sum_{f \in F} x(v, f, t) + y(v, t) = 1, \quad \forall v \in V, \forall t \in T, \\ & \sum_{v \in V} x(v, f, t) \leq 1, \quad \forall f \in F, \forall t \in T, \\ & \sum_{v \in V} x(v, f, t) \leq A_V(f, t), \quad \forall f \in F, \forall t \in T, \\ & \sum_{t \in T} x(v, f, t) \leq 1, \quad \forall v \in V, \forall f \in F, \\ & \sum_{t \in T} y(v, t) \geq n^L, \quad \forall v \in V, \\ & \sum_{t \in T} y(v, t) \leq n^U, \quad \forall v \in V, \\ & \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{f \in F} x(v, f, t) P(v, f) \geq h, \quad \forall v \in V. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$