```
    i) λx.λy.(λx.y λy.x)
    ii) λx.(x (λy.(λx.x y) x))
    iii) λa.(λb.a λb.(λa.a b))
    iv) (λfree.bound λbound.(λfree.free bound))
    v) λp.λq.(λr.(p (λq.(λp.(r q)))) (q p))
```

6) Remove any name clashes in the expressions in exercise 5 above.

3. CONDITIONS, BOOLEANS AND INTEGERS

3.1. Introduction

In this chapter we are going to start to add layers to the λ calculus to develop a higher level functional notation.

First of all we will use the pair functions from chapter 1 to represent conditional expressions with truth values true and false. We will then use these to develop boolean operations like not, and and or.

Next we will use the pair functions to represent natural numbers in terms of the value zero and the successor function.

Finally, we will introduce notations for simplifying function definitions and λ expressions, and for an 'if .. then ... else' form of conditional expression.

For the moment we will be looking at untyped representations of truth values and functions. We will develop typed representations in chapter 5.

3.2. Truth values and conditional expression

Boolean logic is based on the truth values TRUE and FALSE with logical operations NOT, AND, OR and so on.

We are going to represent TRUE by select_first and FALSE by select_second, and use a version of make_pair to build logical operations. To motivate this, consider the C conditional expression:

```
<condition>?<expression>:<expression>
```

If the <condition> is TRUE then the first <expression> is selected for evaluation and if the <condition> is FALSE then the second <expression> is selected for evaluation.

For example, to set max to the greater of x and y:

```
max = x>y?x:y
```

or to set absx to the absolute value of x:

```
absx = x<0?-x:x
```

We can model a conditional expression using a version of the make pair function:

```
def cond = \lambdae1.\lambdae2.\lambdac.((c e1) e2)
```

Consider cond applied to the arbitrary expressions <expression1> and <expression2>:

```
((cond <expression1>) <expression2>) ==
```

Now, if this function is applied to select_first:

```
(\lambda c.((c <expression1>) <expression2>) select_first) =>
((select_first <expression1>) <expression2>) => ... =>
<expression1>
```

and if it is applied to select_second:

```
(\lambda c.((c <expression1>) <expression2>) select_second) =>
((select_second <expression1>) <expression2>) => ... =>
<expression2>
```

Notice that the <condition> is the last argument for cond, not the first.

Now, we will use the conditional expression and cond function with:

```
def true = select_first
def false = select_second
```

to model some of the logical operators.

3.3. **NOT**

NOT is a unary operator of the form:

```
NOT <operand>
```

which we will describe through a truth table with X standing for the single operand:

Note that if the operand is TRUE then the answer is FALSE and if the operand is FALSE then the answer is TRUE. Thus NOT could be written using an conditional expression as:

```
X ? FALSE : TRUE
```

We can describe this using selectors so if the operand is TRUE then FALSE is selected and if the operand is FALSE then TRUE is selected. This suggests using:

```
def not = \lambda x.(((cond false) true) x)
```

Simplifying the inner body gives:

```
(((cond false) true) x) ==
     (((\lambda e1.\lambda e2.\lambda c.((c e1) e2) false) true) x) =>
     ((\lambda e2.\lambda c.((c false) e2) true) x) =>
     (\lambda c.((c false) true) x) =>
     ((x false) true)
so we will use:
     def not = \lambda x.((x false) true)
Let us try:
     NOT TRUE
as:
     (not true) ==
     (\lambda x.((x false) true) true) =>
     ((true false) true) ==
     ((\lambda first.\lambda second.first false) true) =>
     (\lambda second.false true) =>
     false
and:
     NOT FALSE
as:
     (not false) ==
     ((\lambda x.((x false) true) false) =>
     ((false false) true) ==
     ((\lambda first.\lambda second.second false) true) =>
     (\lambda second.second true) =>
     true
```

3.4. AND

AND is a binary operator of the form:

which correspond to the truth table.

```
<operand> AND <operand>
```

which we will describe with a truth table with X standing for the left operand and Y standing for the right operand:

X	Y	X AND Y
FALSE	 FALSE	 FALSE
FALSE	TRUE	FALSE
TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
TRUE	TRUE	TRUE

Note that if the left operand is TRUE then the final value depends on the right operand and if the left operand is FALSE then the final value is FALSE so AND could be modelled using the conditional expression as:

```
X ? Y : FALSE
```

Using selectors, if the left operand is TRUE then select the right operand and if the left operand is FALSE then select FALSE, so we will define AND as:

```
def and = \lambda x. \lambda y. (((cond y) false) x)
```

Simplifying the inner body gives:

```
(((cond y) false) x) ==
(((\lambda e1.\lambda e2.\lambda c.((c e1) e2) y) false) x) =>
((\lambda e2.\lambda c.((c y) e2) false) x) =>
(\lambda c.((c y) false) x) =>
((x y) false)
```

so we will now use:

```
def and = \lambda x. \lambda y. ((x y) \text{ false})
```

For example, we could write:

```
TRUE AND FALSE
```

as:

```
((and true) false) ==
((\lambda x.\lambda y.((x y) false) true) false) =>
(\lambda y.((true y) false) false) =>
((true false) false) ==
((\lambda first.\lambda second.first false) false) =>
false
```

3.5. OR

OR is a binary operator of the form:

```
<operand> OR <operand>
```

which we will again describe with a truth table using X for the left operand and Y for the right operand:

X	У .	X OR Y
	+	+
FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
FALSE	TRUE	TRUE
TRUE	FALSE	TRUE
TRUE	TRUE	TRUE

Note that if the first operand is TRUE then the final value is TRUE and otherwise the final value is the second operand, so we could describe this using the conditional expression as:

```
X ? TRUE : Y
```

Using selectors, if the first operand is TRUE then select TRUE and if the first operand is FALSE then select the second operand:

```
def or = \lambda x. \lambda y. (((cond true) y) x)
```

Simplifying the inner body:

```
(((cond true) y) x) ==
(((λe1.λe2.λc.((c e1) e2) true) y) x) =>
((λe2.λc.((c true) e2) y) x) =>
(λc.((c true) y) x) =>
((x true) y)
```

Now we will use:

```
def or = \lambda x. \lambda y. ((x true) y)
```

For example, we could write:

```
FALSE OR TRUE
```

as:

```
((or false) true) ==
((\lambda x.\lambda y.((x true) y) false) true) =>
(\lambda y.((false true) y) true) =>
((false true) true) =>
((\lambda first.\lambda second true) true) =>
(\lambda second.second true) =>
```

true

3.6. Natural numbers

We tend to take numbers for granted in programming but we now have to consider how to represent them explicitly. Our approach will be based on the ability to define **natural numbers** - non-negative integers - as **successors** of **zero**:

```
1 = successor of 0

2 = successor of 1
= successor of successor of 0

3 = successor of 2
= successor of successor of 1
= successor of successor of successor of of of the successor of successor of successor of successor of of the successor of successor of successor of of the successor of successor of successor of other successor other successor of other successor other successor
```

Thus, the definition of an arbitrary integer will be that number of successors of zero. We need to find a function zero to represent zero and a successor function succ so that we can define:

```
def one = (succ zero)
  def two = (succ one)
  def three = (succ two)

and so on.

Note that:
  two == (succ (succ zero))
  three == (succ (succ one)) == (succ (succ zero)))

and so on.
```

There are a variety of ways of representing zero and succ. We will use:

```
def zero = identity  def succ = \lambda n. \lambda s. ((s false) n)
```

so each time succ is applied to a number n it builds a pair function with false first and the original number second. For example:

```
one ==  (succ zero) == \\ (\lambda n. \lambda s. ((s false) n) zero) => \\ \lambda s. ((s false) zero)
```

Similarly:

This representation enables the definition of a unary function iszero which returns true if its argument is zero and false otherwise. Remember that a number is a function with an argument which may be used as a selector. For an arbitrary number:

```
\lambdas.((s false) <number>)
```

if the argument is set to select_first then false will be selected:

```
(\lambda s.((s false) <number>) select_first) =>
((select_first false) <number>) ==
((\lambda first \lambda second.first false) <number>) =>
(\lambda second.false <number>) =>
false
```

If zero, which is the identity function, is applied to select_first then select_first, which is the same as true by definition, will be returned:

```
(zero select_first) ==
(λx.x select_first) =>
select_first ==
true
```

This suggests using:

```
def iszero = \lambda n.(n select_first)
```

Notice that iszero applies the number to the selector rather than the selector to the number. This is because our number representation models numbers as functions with selector arguments.

We can now define the predecessor function pred so that:

```
(pred one) => ... => zero
(pred two) => ... => one
(pred three) => ... => two
```

and so on.

For our number representation, pred should strip off a layer of nesting from an arbitrary number:

```
\lambdas.((s false) <number>)
```

and return the:

```
<number>
```

This suggests using select_second because:

```
(\lambda s.((s false) <number>) select_second) =>
((select_second false) <number>) ==
((\lambda first.\lambda second.second false) <number>) =>
<number>
```

so we might define a first version of pred as:

```
def pred1 = \lambdan.(n select_second)
```

However, there is a problem with zero as we only have positive integers. Let us try our present pred1 with zero:

```
(pred1 zero) ==
(\lambda n.(n select_second) zero) =>
(zero select_second) ==
(\lambda x.x select_second) =>
select_second ==
false
```

which is not a representation of a number.

We could define the predecessor of zero to be zero and check numbers to see if they are zero before returning their predecessor, using:

```
<number> = zero ? zero : predecessor of <number>
```

So:

```
def pred = \lambda n.(((cond zero) (pred1 n)) (iszero n))
```

Simplifying the body gives:

```
(((cond zero) (pred1 n)) (iszero n)) ==
(((λe1.λe2.λc.((c e1) e2) zero) (pred1 n)) (iszero n)) =>
((λe2.λc.((c zero) e2) (pred1 n)) (iszero n)) =>
(λc.((c zero) (pred1 n)) (iszero n)) =>
(((iszero n) zero) (pred1 n))
```

Substituting for pred1 and simplifying gives:

```
(((iszero n) zero) (λn.(n select_second) n)) ==
(((iszero n) zero) (n select_second)) ==
```

so now we will use:

```
def pred = \lambda n.(((iszero n) zero) (n select_second))
```

Alternatively, we might say that the predecessor of zero is undefined. We won't look at how to handle undefined values here.

When we use pred we will have to be careful to check for a zero argument.

3.7. Simplified notations

By now you will have noticed that manipulating λ expressions involves lots of brackets. As well as being tedious and fiddley, it is a major source of mistakes due to unmatched or mismatched brackets. To simplify things, we will allow brackets to be omitted when it is clear what is intended. In general, for the application of a function <function> to N arguments we will allow:

so in a function application, a function is applied first to the nearest argument to the right. If an argument is itself a function application then the brackets must stay. There must also be brackets round function body applications. For example, we could re-write pred as:

```
def pred = \lambda n.((iszero n) n (n select_second))
```

We can also simplify name/function association definitions by dropping the λ and . , and moving the bound variable to the left of the = so:

```
def <names> = \lambda<name>.<expression>
```

where <names> is one or more <name>s becomes:

```
def <names> <name> = <expression>
We can now re-write all our definitions:
    def identity x = x
    def self_apply s = s s
    def apply func = \lambdaarg.(func arg)
    and hence:
         def apply func arg = func arg
    def select_first first = \lambdasecond.first
    and hence:
         def select_first first second = first
    def select_second first = \lambdasecond.second
     and hence:
         def select_second first second = second
    def make pair e1 = \lambdae2.\lambdac.(c e1 e2)
    and hence:
         def make_pair e1 e2 = \lambdac.(c e1 e2)
    and hence:
         def make_pair e1 e2 c = c e1 e2
    def cond e1 e2 c = c e1 e2
    def true first second = first
    def false first second = second
    def not x = x false true
    def and x y = x y false
    def or x y = x true y
For some functions there are standard equivalent notations. Thus, it is usual to write:
    cond <true choice> <false choice> <condition>
in an if ... then ... else ... form. We will use:
    if <condition>
     then <true choice>
     else <false choice>
```

For example, we could re-write pred's definition as:

```
def pred n =
  if iszero n
  then zero
  else n select second
```

Similarly, using our conditional derivation of booleans, we could rewrite not as:

```
def not x =
   if x
   then false
   else true

and and as:

   def and x y =
   if x
   then y
   else false

and or as:

   def or x y =
   if x
   then true
   else y
```

3.8. Summary

In this chapter we have:

- developed representations for conditional expressions and truth values, and used them to develop boolean operations
- developed a representation for natural numbers based on zero and the successor function
- introduced notations for removing brackets from expressions, simplifying function definitions and an 'if .. then ... else ...' form of conditional expression

Some of these topics are summarised below.

Removing brackets

```
( ... ((<function> <argument1>) <argument2>) ... <argumentN>) ==
    <function> <argument1> <argument2> ... <argumentN>
```

Simplifying function definitions

```
def <names> = \lambda<name>.<expression> == def <names> <name> = <expression>
```

if ... then ... else ...

```
if <condition>
then <true choice>
else <false choice> ==
cond <true choice> <false choice> <condition>
```

3.9. Exercises

1) The boolean operation implication is defined by the following truth table:

X	Y	X IMPLIES Y
FALSE	FALSE	TRUE
FALSE	TRUE	TRUE
TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
TRUE	TRUE	TRUE

Define a lambda calculus representation for implication:

```
def implies = \lambda x . \lambda y ...
```

Show that the definition satisfies the truth table for all boolean values of x and y.

2) The boolean operation equivalence is defined by the following truth table:

X	Y	X EQUIV Y
FALSE	FALSE	 TRUE
FALSE	TRUE	FALSE
TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
TRUE	TRUE	TRUE

Define a lambda calculus representation for equivalence:

```
def equiv = \lambda x . \lambda y ...
```

Show that the definition satisfies the truth table for all boolean values of x and y.

3) For each of the following pairs, show that functions a) and b) are equivalent for all boolean values of their arguments:

```
i) a) \( \lambda x \lambda y \) (and (not x) (not y) )
b) \( \lambda x \lambda y \) (not (or x y))
ii) a) implies
b) \( \lambda x \lambda y \) (implies (not y) (not x))
iii) a) not
b) \( \lambda x \) (not (not (not x)))
iv) a) implies
b) \( \lambda x \lambda y \) (not (and x (not y)))
v) a) equiv
b) \( \lambda x \lambda y \) (implies y x))
```

4) Show that:

```
\lambda x.(\text{succ (pred } x)) and: \lambda x.(\text{pred (succ } x))
```

are equivalent for arbitrary non-zero integer arguments. Explain why they are not equivalent for a zero argument.

4. Recursion and arithmetic

4.1. Introduction

In this chapter we are going to look at how recursion is used for repetition in functional programming.

To begin with, we will see that our existing definition notation cannot be used to introduce recursion because it leads to infinite substitution sequences. We will then see that this can be overcome through absraction in individual functions.

Next, we will discuss the introduction of recursion using a general purpose construct based on function self application.

Finally, we will look at the use of recursion to build a wide variety of arithmetic operations.

4.2. Repetition, iteration and recursion

Repetition involves doing the same thing zero or more times. It is useful to distinguish **bounded repetition**, where something is carried out a fixed number of times, from the more general **unbounded iteration**, where something is carried out until some condition is met. Thus, for bounded repetition the number of repetitions is known in advance whereas for unbounded repetition it is not.

It is important to relate the form of repetition to the structure of the item to be processed. Bounded repetition is used where a **linear** sequence of objects of known length is to be processed, for example to process each element of an array. Here, the object sequence can be related to a consecutive range of values. For example, arrays have addresses which are linear sequences of integers.

Unbounded repetition is used where a **nested** sequence of objects is to be processed and the number of layers of nesting is unkown. For example, a filing system might consist of a nested hierarchy of directories and files. Processing such a filing system involves starting at the root directory and then processing the files and sub-directories. Processing the sub-directories involves processing their files and sub-directories, and so on. In general, the depth of directory nesting is unknown. For unbounded repetition, processing ends when the end of the nesting is reached. For example, processing a filing system ends when all the files at every level of directory nesting have been processed.

Bounded repetition is a weaker form of unbounded repetition. Carrying out something a fixed number of times is the same as carrying it out until the last item in a sequence has been dealt with.

In imperative languages repetition is based primarily on **iterative** constructs for repeatedly carrying out structured assignment sequences. For example, in Pascal, FOR statements provide bounded iteration over a range of integers and WHILE or REPEAT statements provide unbounded iteration until a condition is met. Here, repetition involves repeatedly inspecting and changing variables in common memory.