TTC Change Thorotone TTC Ane 9



Is there a (set! $m \dots$) in the value part of (let $((m \ n)) \dots$)

No. Are you asking whether we should unname again?

We could, couldn't we?

Yes, because now a name is replaced by a name.

Do it again!

```
(if (zero? n)
    (quote pizza)
    (cons (deepM (sub1 n))
        (quote ())))
```

Wouldn't you like to know how much help deepM gives?

What does that mean?

Once upon a time, we wrote *deepM* to remember what values *deep* had for given numbers.

Oh, yes.

How many conses does deep use to build pizza

None.

How many *conses* does *deep* use to build (((((pizza)))))

Five, one for each topping.

How many *conses* does *deep* use to build (((pizza)))

Three.

How many conses does deep use to build pizza with a thousand toppings?	1000.
How many conses does deep use to build all possible pizzas with at most a thousand toppings?	That's a big number: the conses of (deep 1000), and the conses of (deep 999), and, and the conses of (deep 0).
You mean 500,500?	Yes, thank you, Carl F. Gauss (1777–1855).
Yes, there is an easy way to determine this number, but we will show you the hard way. It is far more exciting.	Okay.
Guess what it is?	Can we write a function that determines it for us?
Yes, we can write the function $consC$ which returns the same value as $cons$ and counts how many times it sees arguments.	This is no different from writing deepR except that we use add1 to build a number rather than cons to build a list.
	$(\textbf{define } consC \\ (\textbf{let } ((N \ 0)) \\ (\textbf{lambda } (x \ y) \\ (\textbf{set! } N \ (add1 \ N)) \\ (cons \ x \ y))))$
Don't forget the imaginary name.	$(\underline{\mathbf{define}}\ \underline{N}_1\ 0)$

 $\begin{array}{c} (\underline{\mathbf{define}} \ consC \\ (\mathbf{lambda} \ (x \ y) \end{array}$

Could we use this function to determine 500,500?	Sure, no problem.
How?	We just need to use $consC$ instead of $cons$ in the definition of $deep$:
	(define deep (lambda (m) (if (zero? m)
Wasn't this exciting?	Well, not really.
So let's see whether this new deep counts conses	How about determining the value of (deep 5)?
That is easy; we shouldn't bother. What is the value of N_1	We don't know, it is imaginary.
But that's how we count conses	How could we possibly see something that is imaginary?
Here is one way.	Is this as if we had written:
(define counter)	$(\underline{\mathbf{define}}\ \underline{N}_2\ 0)$
(define consC (let ((N 0)) (set! counter (lambda () N)) (lambda (x y) (set! N (add1 N)) (cons x y))))	$(\underline{\mathbf{define}}\ counter \ (\mathbf{lambda}\ () \ \underline{N}_2))$
	$ \begin{array}{c} (\underline{\mathbf{define}} \ consC \\ (\mathbf{lambda} \ (x \ y) \\ (\mathbf{set!} \ \underline{N_2} \ (add1 \ \underline{N_2})) \\ (cons \ x \ y))) \end{array} $

It changed N_3 to 0.
500500.
Yes!
Don't we need to modify its definition so that it uses $consC$?
(define deepM
Probably five?
500505.
Yes, but it means we forgot to initialize with set-counter.

Five.
5.
((((((((pizza))))))).
Obvious: 7.
No, we wanted to count the number of conses that were needed to build (deepM 5) and (deepM 7).
Because that was the point of $deep M$.
Don't we need to initialize?
1000.
499,500.
Thank you, Alan J. Perlis (1922–1990).

But we know the value of food!

```
((((((((((((((more pizza)))))))))))))))))))
  (((((((((((((more pizza)))))))))))))))))
   ((((((((((((more pizza))))))))))))))))))
    (((((((((((more pizza)))))))))))))))
     ((((((((((more pizza))))))))))))
      (((((((((more pizza))))))))))
       (((((((((more pizza))))))))))
        ((((((((more pizza))))))))
        (((((((more pizza)))))))
         ((((((more pizza))))))
          (((((more pizza)))))
           ((((more pizza))))
            (((more pizza)))
             ((more pizza))
              (more pizza)
               more pizza)
```

What is the value of (counter)	5.
What is the value of (set-counter 0)	
(rember1*C2 a l) where a is noodles and l is ((food) more (food))	((food) more (food)), because this list does not contain noodles.
And what is the value of (counter)	5, because rember1*C2 needs five consCs to rebuild the list ((food) more (food)).
What food are you in the mood for now?	Find a good restaurant that specializes in it and dine there tonight.