Do you remember tables from chapter 10? A table is something that pairs names with values. We used lists and entries. How did we represent tables? Could a table be anything else? Yes, a function. A table acts like a function, because it pairs names with values, in the same way that functions pair arguments with results. So let's use functions to make tables. Here is In The Little Schemer we used a way to make an empty table: (car (quote ())). (define the-empty-table (lambda (name) ...)) Don't fill in the dots! It breaks The Law of Car. What does that do? If a table is a function, how can we extract We apply the table to the name. whatever is associated with a name? Write the function lookup that does that. (define lookup (lambda (table name) (table name))) Can you explain how extend works? Here are our words: "It takes a name and a value together with (define extend a table and returns a table. The new table (lambda (name1 value table) first compares its argument with the name.

(lambda (name2)

((eq? name2 name1) value)

(else (table name2))))))

(cond

If they are identical, the value is returned.

Otherwise, the new table returns whatever

the old table returns."

What is the value of No answer. (define x 3) No answer. What is $(value \ e)$ where e is (define x 3) What is value The name is familiar from chapter 10. But, the function value there does not handle (define ...).So the new value might be defined like this. Yes, this might do for a while. And don't bother filling in the dots, now. We will do (define value that later. (lambda (e)(cond ((define? e) (*define e)) (else $(the\text{-}meaning\ e)))\dots))$ Oh no! Should we continue with (letcc ...) now? Okay, we'll wait until later. Whew! We don't need to define it now, because it is Do we need define? easy, but here it is anyway. (define define? (lambda (e)(cond ((atom? e) #f) ((atom? (car e))(eq? (car e) (quote define))) (else #f))))

Do we need *define

Yes, we need it. With (**define** ...), we can add new definitions.

Here is *define

```
(define global-table ... the-empty-table ...)
```

This function looks like one of those functions that remembers its arguments.

```
(define *define

(lambda (e)

(set! global-table

(extend

(name-of e)

(box

(the-meaning

(right-side-of e)))

global-table))))
```

Yes, *define uses global-table to remember those values that were **defined**. The table appears to be empty at first.

Is it empty?

We shall soon find out.

When *define extends a table with a name and a value, will the name always stand for the same value? No, with (**set!**...) we can change what a name stands for, as we have often seen.

Is this the reason why *define puts the value in a box before it extends the table?

If we knew what a *box* was, the answer might be yes.

Here is the function that makes boxes:

```
(define box

(lambda (it)

(lambda (sel)

(sel it (lambda (new)

(set! it new))))))
```

It should: bons from chapter 18 is a similar function.

Does this remind you of something we have discussed before?

Have we seen this before?	Remember $Y_!$ from chapter 16?	
Is it important that we always have the most recent value of global-table	Yes, we will soon see why that is.	
Here is meaning	It translates e to a function that knows what to do with the expression and the table.	
(define meaning (lambda (e table) ((expression-to-action e) e table)))		
What do you think the function expression-to-action does?		
Do we need to define expression-to-action	No, we have seen it in chapter 10; it is easy; and it can wait until later.	
Fine, we will consider it later.	Okay.	
Here is the most trivial action.	The function *identifier is similar to *quote,	
(define *quote (lambda (e table) (text-of e)))	but it uses table to look up what a given name is paired with.	
Can you define *identifier		
And what is a name paired with?	A name is paired with a box that contains its current value. So *identifier must unbox the result of looking up the value.	
And how does *identifier look up the value?	It's best to have *identifier use lookup, which finds the box that is paired with the name in the table.	
	(define *identifier (lambda (e table) (unbox (lookup table e))))	

Okay one more:

Trivial, with that kind of name:

```
(define box-all
(lambda (vals)
(cond
((null? vals) (quote ()))
(else (cons (box (car vals))
(box-all (cdr vals)))))))
```

Can you define box-all

```
Take a look at beglis
                                                    It is the same as
What is
                                                       (let ((val (meaning (car es) table)))
   ((lambda (val) ...)
    (meaning (car es) table))
                                                    which first determines the value of
                                                    (meaning (car es) table) and then the value
                                                    of the value part.
                                                    Our functions will work for all the definitions
Why didn't we use (let ...)
                                                    that we need for them. And they do not need
                                                    to deal with expressions of the shape (let ...)
                                                    because we know how to do without them.
How do you do without (let ...) in
                                                    Like this: it's the same as
                                                      ((lambda (x) (+ x 10)) 1).
  (let ((x\ 1))\ (\Rightarrow x\ 10))
Do you remember how to do without
                                                    Yes, it's the same as
                                                      ((\mathbf{lambda}\ (x\ y)\ (\div x\ y))\ 1\ 10).
(let ...) in
  (\mathbf{let}\ ((x\ 1)\ (y\ 10))\ (+x\ y))
                                                    First, it determines the value of
So what does
   (let ((val (meaning (car es) table)))
                                                    (meaning (car es) table) and names it val.
     (beglis (cdr es) table))
                                                    And then, it determines the value of
do for beglis
                                                    (beglis (cdr es) table).
What happens to the value named val
                                                    Nothing. It is ignored.
```

Why did we determine a	value that is
ignored in the end?	

Because the values of all but the last expression in the value part of a (lambda ...) are ignored.

Can you summarize now what the function beglis does for *lambda We summarize:

"The function *beglis* determines the values of a list of expressions, one at a time, and returns the value of the last one."

How does *lambda work?

When given (lambda (x y ...) ...), it returns the function that is in the inner box of *lambda.

What does that function do?

It takes the values of the arguments and apparently extends *table*, pairing each formal name, x, y, ..., with the corresponding argument value.

Write the function *multi-extend*, which takes a list of names, a list of values, and a table and constructs a new table with *extend* No problem.

Okay, so now that we know how *table* is extended, what happens after the new table is constructed? The function that represents a (lambda ...) expression uses the resulting table to determine the value of the body of the (lambda ...) expression, which was the first argument to *lambda.

What's in Store?

Which parts of the table can change even Each box that the table remembers for any though the table stays the same? given name may change its value. True. That's how (set! ...) works, right? Write odd? and even? as recursive functions. Do you mean this pair of functions? (define odd? (lambda (n)(cond ((zero? n) #f) (else $(even? (sub1 \ n)))))$ (define even? (lambda (n)(cond ((zero? n) #t.) (else (odd? (sub1 n))))))No answer. Yes, what is $(value \ e)$ where e is (define odd? (lambda (n) (cond ((zero? n) #f) (else (even? (sub1 n)))))) A function. What is (value (quote odd?)) Which table does the function use when we The function extends lookup-in-global-table by pairing n with (a box containing) 0. ask (value e) where *e* is (odd? 0) And then? Eventually we get the result: #f.

What kind of function does *application expect from (meaning e table) where
e is car-

It will need to be a function that takes all of its arguments in a list and then does the right thing.

How many values should the list contain that (meaning (quote car) table) receives?

Exactly one.

And what kind of value should this be?

The value must be a list. And then we take its car.

Define the function that we can use to represent *car*

Let's call it :car.

```
(define :car
(lambda (args-in-a-list)
(car (car args-in-a-list))))
```

Are there other primitives for which we should have a representation?

Yes, cdr is one, and add1 is another.

We should have a function that makes representations for such functions. Here is one:

```
 \begin{array}{c} (\textbf{define} \ a\text{-}prim \\ (\textbf{lambda} \ (p) \\ (\textbf{lambda} \ (args\text{-}in\text{-}a\text{-}list) \\ (p \ (car \ args\text{-}in\text{-}a\text{-}list))))) \end{array}
```

We also need one for functions like *cons* that take two arguments.

No problem: now the argument list must contain exactly two elements, and we just do what is necessary:

```
(define b-prim

(lambda (p)

(lambda (args-in-a-list)

(p (car args-in-a-list)

(car (cdr args-in-a-list))))))
```

And now we can define *const

```
(define *const
  (lambda (e table)
    (cond
      ((number? e) e)
      ((eq? e #t) #t)
      ((eq? e #f) #f)
      ((eq? e (quote cons))
       (b-prim cons))
      ((eq? e (quote car))
       (a-prim car))
      ((eq? e (\mathbf{quote} \ \mathsf{cdr}))
       (a-prim \ cdr)
      ((eq? e (quote eq?))
       (b-prim eq?))
      ((eq? e (quote atom?))
       (a-prim atom?))
      ((eq? e (quote null?))
       (a-prim null?))
      ((eq? e (quote zero?))
       (a-prim zero?))
      ((eq? e (quote add1))
       (a-prim\ add1))
      ((eq? e (quote sub1))
       (a-prim \ sub1)
      ((eq? e (quote number?))
       (a-prim number?)))))
```

Where? Why? There are no repeated expressions.

Can you rewrite *const using (let ...)

```
What is (value e)
where
e is (define Is
(cons
(cons
(cons 1 (quote ()))
(quote ())))
```

We add Is to *global-table* and rember what it stands for.

```
What is (value \ e)
where
e is (car (car (car ls)))
```

1.

How do we determine this value?	It is an application, so we need to find out what car is and the value of the argument.
How do we determine the value of car	We use the function *const: (*const (quote car)) tells us.
And that is?	It is the same as $(a\text{-}prim\ car)$, which is like $:car.$
How do we determine the value of the argument?	It is an application, so we need to find out what car is and the value of the argument.
(value (quote car))	We use the function *const: (*const (quote car)) tells us.
And?	It is the same as $(a\text{-}prim\ car)$, which is like $:car.$
How do we determine the value of the argument?	It is an application, so we need to find out what car is and the value of the argument.
(value (quote car))	We use the function *const: (*const (quote car)) tells us.
How often did we have to figure out the value of $(a\text{-}prim\ car)$	Three times.
Is it the same value every time?	It sure is.
Is this wasteful?	Yes: let's name the value!
Can we really use (let)	We can: we just saw how to replace it.

What's in Store?

```
Where do we put the (let ...)
```

Around (cond ...)?

When would we determine the values in this $(\mathbf{let} \dots)$

Each time *const determines the value of car.

So this wouldn't help.

Let's put the (let ...) outside of (lambda ...).

Here is *const with (**let** ...)

```
(define *const
  (let ((:cons (b-prim cons))
       (:car (a-prim car))
        (:cdr (a-prim cdr))
        (:null? (a-prim null?))
        (:eq? (b-prim eq?))
        (:atom? (a-prim atom?))
        (:number? (a-prim number?))
        (:zero? (a-prim zero?))
        (:add1 (a-prim add1))
        (:sub1 (a-prim sub1))
        (:number? (a-prim number?)))
    (lambda (e table)
       (cond
         ((number? e) e)
         ((eq? e \#t) \#t)
         ((eq? e #f) #f)
         ((eq? e (quote cons)) : cons)
         ((eq? e (quote car)) : car)
         ((eq? e (\mathbf{quote} \ \mathsf{cdr})) : cdr)
         ((eq? e (quote null?)) :null?)
         ((eq? e (\mathbf{quote eq?})) : eq?)
         ((eq? e (quote atom?)) :atom?)
         ((eq? e (quote zero?)) :zero?)
         ((eq? e (quote add1)) : add1)
         ((eq? e (\mathbf{quote sub1})) : sub1)
         ((eq? e (quote number?))
          :number?)))))
```

Can you rewrite *const without (let ...)

```
(define *const
 ((lambda (:cons :car :cdr :null?
              :eq? :atom?
              :zero? :add1 :sub1 :number?)
      (lambda (e table)
        (cond
          ((number? e) e)
          ((eq? e \#t) \#t)
          ((eq? e #f) #f)
          ((eq? e (quote cons)) :cons)
          ((eq? e (quote car)) : car)
          ((eq? e (\mathbf{quote} \ \mathsf{cdr})) : cdr)
          ((eq? e (quote null?)) :null?)
          ((eq? e (quote eq?)) :eq?)
          ((eq? e (quote atom?)) :atom?)
          ((eq? e (quote zero?)) :zero?)
          ((eq? e (quote add1)) : add1)
          ((eq? e (quote sub1)) : sub1)
          ((eq? e (quote number?))
           :number?))))
   (b-prim cons)
   (a-prim car)
   (a-prim \ cdr)
   (a-prim null?)
   (b-prim eq?)
   (a-prim atom?)
   (a-prim zero?)
   (a-prim add1)
   (a-prim sub1)
   (a-prim number?)))
```