TIC CHEEC, THATHORE THE THE SERVE



What is the value of (lots 3)	(egg egg egg).
What is the value of (lots 5)	(egg egg egg egg).
What is the value of (lots 12)	(egg egg egg egg egg egg egg egg egg egg).
What is the value of (lenkth (lots 3))	3.
What is the value of (lenkth (lots 5))	5.
What is the value of (lenkth (lots 15))	15.
Here is <i>lots</i>	And this is lenkth:
(define lots (lambda (m)	$ \begin{array}{c} (\textbf{define } \textit{lenkth} \\ (\textbf{lambda } (l) \\ (\textbf{cond} \\ ((\textit{null? } l) \ 0) \\ (\textbf{else } (\textit{add1 } (\textit{lenkth } (\textit{kdr}^1 \ l))))))) \end{array} $
L, S: This is like cons.	1 L, S: This is like cdr.
How can we create a list of four eggs from (lots 3)	How about (kons (quote egg) (lots 3))?

Can we add an egg at the other end of the list?

Of course we can.

¹ L, S: This is like car.

Why do we ask $(null? (kdr \ l))$	Because we promise not to use add-at-end with non-empty lists.
What is a non-empty list?	A non-empty list is always created with kons. Its tail may be the empty list though.
What is konsC	konsC is to $consC$ what $kons$ is to $cons$.
What is the value of (add-at-end (lots 3))	(egg egg egg).
How many konsCes did we use?	The value of (kounter) is 3.
Can we add an egg at the end without making any new konses except for the last one?	That would be a surprise!

144 Chapter 18

Here is one way.

Are there any others?

Sure there are, but we are not interested in them.

Okay.

What is the value of (set-kounter 0)

What is the value of (kounter)

0.

What is the value of (add-at-end-too (lots 3))

(egg egg egg egg).

How many konsCes did add-at-end-too use?

Can we count them?

What if we told you that the value of (kounter) is 0

That's what it should be because add-at-end-too never uses konsC so the value of (kounter) should not change.

Do you remember cons

It is magnificent.

¹ L: This is like rplacd. S: This is like set-cdr!.

Recall zub1 edd1 and sero? from The Little Schemer. We can approximate cons in a similar way:

```
(define kons
(lambda (kar kdr)
(lambda (selector)
(selector kar kdr))))
```

Write kar and kdr

```
(	ext{define } kar \ (	ext{lambda} \ (c) \ (c \ (	ext{lambda} \ (a \ d) \ a))))
```

```
(	extbf{define } kdr \ (	extbf{lambda} \ (c) \ (c \ (	extbf{lambda} \ (a \ d) \ d))))
```

Suppose we had given you the definition of bons

```
(\textbf{define }bons\\ (\textbf{lambda }(kar)\\ (\textbf{let }((kdr \ (\textbf{quote }())))\\ (\textbf{lambda }(selector)\\ (selector\\ (\textbf{lambda }(x) \ (\textbf{set! }kdr \ x))\\ kar\\ kdr)))))
```

They are not too different from the previous definitions of kar and kdr.

```
egin{aligned} (\mathbf{define} \ kar \ & (\mathbf{lambda} \ (c) \ & (c \ (\mathbf{lambda} \ (s \ a \ d) \ a)))) \end{aligned}
```

```
 \begin{array}{c} (\mathbf{define} \ kdr \\ (\mathbf{lambda} \ (c) \\ (c \ (\mathbf{lambda} \ (s \ a \ d) \ d)))) \end{array}
```

Write kar and kdr

How can bons act like kons

Are we about to find out?

What is the value of $(bons \ e)$ where e is egg

It is a function that is almost like $(kons \ e \ f)$ where f is the empty list.

What is different?

When we determine the value of (bons (quote egg)), we also make a new imaginary name, $\underline{kdr_1}$. And the value that this imaginary name refers to can change over time.

How can we change the value that \underline{kdr}_1 refers to?

We could write a function that is almost like kar or kdr. This function could use the function (lambda (x) (set! kdr_1 x)).

What is a good name for this function?	A good name is $set\text{-}kdr$ and here is its definition. (define $set\text{-}kdr$ (lambda $(c \ x)$ ($(c \ (lambda \ (s \ a \ d) \ s)) \ x)))$
Can we use set-kdr and bons to define kons	It's a little tricky but $bons$ creates $kons$ -like things whose kdr can be changed with set - kdr .
Let's do it!	Okay, this should do it: (define kons (lambda (a d) (let ((c (bons a))) (set-kdr c d) c)))
Is kons a shadow of cons	It is.
Is kons different from cons	It certainly is. But don't forget that chapter 6 said: Beware of shadows.
Did we make any <i>konses</i> when we added an egg to the end of the list?	Only for the new egg.
What is the value of (define dozen (lots 12))	To find out, we must determine the value of (lots 12).
How many konses did we use?	12.
What is the value of (define bakers-dozen (add-at-end dozen))	To find out, we must determine the value of (add-at-end dozen).

How many konses did we use now?	13.
How many konses did we use altogether?	25.
What is the value of (define bakers-dozen-too (add-at-end-too dozen))	To find out, we must determine the value of (add-at-end-too dozen).
How many konses did we use now?	One.
How many konses did we use altogether?	26.
Does that mean that the konses in dozen are the same as the first twelve in bakers-dozen-too	Absolutely!
Does that mean that the konses in dozen are the same as the first twelve in bakers-dozen	Absolutely not!
(define bakers-dozen-again (add-at-end dozen))	Okay.
How many konses did we use now?	14.
Were you surprised that it wasn't 13?	Yes.
How many konses did we use altogether?	40.
Does that mean that the konses in dozen are the same as the first twelve in bakers-dozen-again	Absolutely not, again!

Chapter 18

Does that mean that the konses in bakers-dozen are the same as the first twelve in bakers-dozen-again	Absolutely not!
Does that mean that the konses in dozen are still the same as the first twelve in bakers-dozen-too	It sure does!
What is the value of (eklist? bakers-dozen bakers-dozen-too) where	#t.
(define eklist? (lambda (ls1 ls2) (cond	
What does "the same" mean?	That is a deep philosophical question. Thank you, Gottfried W. Leibniz (1646–1716).
There is a new idea of "sameness" once we introduce (set!)	And that is?
Two konses are the same if changing one changes the other.	What does that mean?
How can we change a kons	We defined set-kdr so that we could add a new egg at the end of the list without additional konses.
Suppose we changed the first kons in dozen. Would it cause a change in the first kons of bakers-dozen	No.

Suppose again we changed the first kons in dozen. Would it cause a change in the first kons of bakers-dozen-too

Yes!

Time to define this notion of same.

Thank you, Gerald J. Sussman and Guy L. Steele Jr.

What is the value of (same? bakers-dozen bakers-dozen-too)

#t.

Why?

The function same? temporarily changes the kdrs of two konses. Then, if changing the second kons also affects the first kons, the two must be the same.

Could you explain this again?

If someone overate and you have a stomach ache, you are the one who ate too much.

How many imaginary names are used to determine the value of (same?

ame;
(kons (quote egg) (quote ()))
(kons (quote egg) (quote ())))

Two. One for the first *kons* and one for the second.

What is its value?

#f.

How did same? determine the answer?

The function first names the values of the kdrs. Then it changes them to different numbers. The answer is finally determined by comparing the values of the two kdrs. Finally, the set-kdrs change the respective kdrs so that they refer to their original values.

Here is the function last-kons

```
(define last-kons
(lambda (ls)
(cond
((null? (kdr ls)) ls)
(else (last-kons (kdr ls))))))
```

The function *last-kons* returns the last *kons* in a non-empty *kons*-list.

Describe what it does.

(define long (lots 12))

Fine.

What does long refer to?

What would be the value of (set-kdr (last-kons long) long)

Did you notice the subjunctive mood?

And then, what would be the value of (lenkth long)

No answer.

What is the value of (set-kdr (last-kons long) (kdr (kdr long)))

set-kar (last-kons long) (kar (kar long))

What is the value of (lenkth long)

Still no answer.

Because long is very long.
12.
Yes, though <i>lenkth</i> now uses <i>kdr</i> because the lists it receives are made with <i>kons</i> .
No, we didn't!
The last kons of long no longer contains (quote ()) in the kdr part. Instead, the kdr part refers to some kons inside of long.
No kdr refers to the empty list, because the only one that did was changed.
It means that $lenkth$ keeps taking $kdrs$ forever.

Draw a picture of "Kons the Magnificent" here.

Chapter 18

Here is the function finite-lenkth which returns its argument's length, if it has one. If the argument doesn't have a length, the function returns false.

```
(define finite-lenkth
  (lambda (p)
    (letcc infinite
      (letrec
        ((C (lambda (p q)
              (cond
                 ((same? p q)
                  (infinite #f))
                 ((null? q) 0)
                 ((null? (kdr q)) 1)
                 (else
                   (+(C(sl\ p)(qk\ q))
                      2)))))
         (qk (lambda (x) (kdr (kdr x))))
         (sl (lambda (x) (kdr x))))
        (cond
          ((null? p) 0)
          (else
```

(add1 (C p (kdr p)))))))))

Bon appétit.

Guy's Favorite Pie

```
(define mongo
  (kons (quote pie)
    (kons (quote à)
      (kons (quote la)
       (kons (quote mode)
         (quote ()))))))
(set-kdr (kdr (kdr mongo))) (kdr mongo))
```