

The background of the slide is a complex, abstract composition. It features a network graph with numerous green nodes and red edges, overlaid on a grid of small grey plus signs. The background is divided into several geometric sections by white lines, including a large white triangle on the right and a smaller one on the left. A horizontal band of light blue and white geometric shapes runs across the middle, behind the title. In the top left corner, there is a small inset image showing a cluster of orange and red dots with a grid of plus signs overlaid.

# **Session 4: Comparison of Null-Invariant Measures**

# Comparison of Null-Invariant Measures

- ❑ Not all null-invariant measures are created equal
- ❑ Which one is better?
  - ❑  $D_4 - D_6$  differentiate the null-invariant measures
  - ❑ Kulc (Kulczyznski 1927) holds firm and is in balance of both directional implications

2-variable contingency table

	<i>milk</i>	$\neg milk$	$\Sigma_{row}$
<i>coffee</i>	<i>mc</i>	$\neg mc$	<i>c</i>
$\neg coffee$	$m\neg c$	$\neg m\neg c$	$\neg c$
$\Sigma_{col}$	<i>m</i>	$\neg m$	$\Sigma$

All 5 are null-invariant

Data set	<i>mc</i>	$\neg mc$	$m\neg c$	$\neg m\neg c$	<i>AllConf</i>	Jaccard	<i>Cosine</i>	<i>Kulc</i>	<i>MaxConf</i>
$D_1$	10,000	1,000	1,000	100,000	0.91	0.83	0.91	0.91	0.91
$D_2$	10,000	1,000	1,000	100	0.91	0.83	0.91	0.91	0.91
$D_3$	100	1,000	1,000	100,000	0.09	0.05	0.09	0.09	0.09
$D_4$	1,000	1,000	1,000	100,000	0.5	0.33	0.5	0.5	0.5
$D_5$	1,000	100	10,000	100,000	0.09	0.09	0.29	0.5	0.91
$D_6$	1,000	10	100,000	100,000	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.5	0.99

Subtle: They disagree on those cases

# Analysis of DBLP Coauthor Relationships

Recent DB conferences, removing balanced associations, low sup, etc.

ID	Author <i>A</i>	Author <i>B</i>	$s(A \cup B)$	$s(A)$	$s(B)$	Jaccard	<i>Cosine</i>	<i>Kulc</i>
1	Hans-Peter Kriegel	Martin Ester	28	146	54	0.163 (2)	0.315 (7)	0.355 (9)
2	Michael Carey	Miron Livny	26	104	58	0.191 (1)	0.335 (4)	0.349 (10)
3	Hans-Peter Kriegel	Joerg Sander	24	146	36	0.152 (3)	0.331 (5)	0.416 (8)
4	Christos Faloutsos	Spiros Papadimitriou	20	162	26	0.119 (7)	0.308 (10)	0.446 (7)
5	Hans-Peter Kriegel	Martin Pfeifle	18	146	18	0.123 (6)	0.351 (2)	0.562 (2)
6	Hector Garcia-Molina	Wilburt Labio	16	144	18	0.110 (9)	0.314 (8)	0.500 (4)
7	Divyakant Agrawal	Wang Hsiung	16	120	16	0.133 (5)	0.365 (1)	0.567 (1)
8	Elke Rundensteiner	Murali Mani	16	104	20	0.148 (4)	0.351 (3)	0.477 (6)
9	Divyakant Agrawal	Oliver Po	12	120	12	0.100 (10)	0.316 (6)	0.550 (3)
10	Gerhard Weikum	Martin Theobald	12	106	14	0.111 (8)	0.312 (9)	0.485 (5)

Advisor-advisee relation: Kulc: high, Jaccard: low, cosine: middle

- ❑ Which pairs of authors are strongly related?
  - ❑ Use Kulc to find Advisor-advisee, close collaborators



# Imbalance Ratio with Kulczynski Measure

- IR (Imbalance Ratio): measure the imbalance of two itemsets A and B in rule implications:

$$IR(A, B) = \frac{|s(A) - s(B)|}{s(A) + s(B) - s(A \cup B)}$$

- Kulczynski and Imbalance Ratio (IR) together present a clear picture for all the three datasets  $D_4$  through  $D_6$ 
  - $D_4$  is neutral & balanced;  $D_5$  is neutral but imbalanced
  - $D_6$  is neutral but very imbalanced

Data set	$mc$	$\neg mc$	$m\neg c$	$\neg m\neg c$	Jaccard	<i>Cosine</i>	<i>Kulc</i>	IR
$D_1$	10,000	1,000	1,000	100,000	0.83	0.91	0.91	0
$D_2$	10,000	1,000	1,000	100	0.83	0.91	0.91	0
$D_3$	100	1,000	1,000	100,000	0.05	0.09	0.09	0
$D_4$	1,000	1,000	1,000	100,000	0.33	0.5	0.5	0
$D_5$	1,000	100	10,000	100,000	0.09	0.29	0.5	0.89
$D_6$	1,000	10	100,000	100,000	0.01	0.10	0.5	0.99

# Recommended Readings

---

- ❑ C. C. Aggarwal and P. S. Yu. A New Framework for Itemset Generation. PODS'98
- ❑ S. Brin, R. Motwani, and C. Silverstein. Beyond market basket: Generalizing association rules to correlations. SIGMOD'97
- ❑ M. Klemettinen, H. Mannila, P. Ronkainen, H. Toivonen, and A. I. Verkamo. Finding interesting rules from large sets of discovered association rules. CIKM'94
- ❑ E. Omiecinski. Alternative Interest Measures for Mining Associations. TKDE'03
- ❑ P.-N. Tan, V. Kumar, and J. Srivastava. Selecting the Right Interestingness Measure for Association Patterns. KDD'02
- ❑ T. Wu, Y. Chen and J. Han, Re-Examination of Interestingness Measures in Pattern Mining: A Unified Framework, Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 21(3):371-397, 2010