Rubrics for Final Year Project Report

Criteria	Score - 8 to 10	Score - 4 to 7	Score - 1 to 3
Chapter # 01 Introduction Weight: 10%	 The project's objectives are relevant, clearly and thoroughly stated. Project's motivation has been clearly established by relating the project to related work. 	☐ The motivation for pursuing the project, and the project objectives are clearly stated but miss some necessary details/information about previous related work.	 The project's objectives are vaguely stated and hard to grasp/relate. The motivation of the project is irrelevant or vague.
Chapter # 02 Literature Survey Weight: 15%	 Prior work clearly refers to sources for theories, assumptions, quotations, and findings. Latest and complete References are mentioned (author, journal, volume number, page number, and year). 	 References to prior work about the problem were provided, but with limited clarity and missing context. All references are properly referred but majority of them are old/outdated. 	 Prior work is mentioned with little or no literature survey. References are poor, old, not up to date, unclear improper and not properly referred (incomplete, incorrect, too few etc.).
Chapter # 03 Design (Systems Requirements /Specifications) Weight: 20%	Design approach is clearly described, with detailed explanation of the problem using state-of-the-art design models. Strong data/evidence provided, with design choices explicitly mentioned and justified.	 Design approach is vaguely described, missing some necessary details (e.g., some key design model) Design choices are vaguely described, with incomplete data/ justification. 	 Design approach is unclear and inconsistent with any standard design model. No data or justification provided for various design choices made.
Chapter # 04 Proposed Solution (Methodology, Implementation) Weight: 20%	 Proposed solution is logical, justifiable and workable within the given timeline. Methodology is concise, to the point and thoroughly explained. Constraints of the project and the appropriate tools/techniques used in implementation are completely and thoroughly identified. 	 Proposed solution is somewhat logical and workable within the given timeline. Methodology explains the main points correctly but misses the details or is verbose. The main points in implementation are discussed with some constraints identified and appropriate tools/techniques are briefly discussed. 	 Proposed solution is illogical, unjustifiable and/or not workable within the given timeline. Methodology is vague, misses main points and/or irrelevant. The implementation misses some main points, and major constraints and/or tools/techniques are incorrectly identified/missing.

Chapter # 05 Results and Discussion Weight: 20%	 Well formulated Experiments are performed to verify or refute claims made in problem statement. A concise, related and detailed discussion of the results is given, leading to a irrefutable conclusion. 	 Experiments are somewhat well designed but do not align well with the problem statement or test overall claims of project. Somewhat related, but inconclusive discussion of the results is provided. 	 Poorly formulated design and conduct of experiments. Discussion is unclear, unrelated and/or illogical. 		
Chapter # 06 Conclusion and Future Work Weight: 10 %	 Conclusion establishes a clear connection between the previous sections and logically concludes the main thesis argument. Future work is interesting, related and clearly described. 	 Vague conclusions drawn, possibly missing some links with previous sections. Future work is somewhat related, and/or missing a clear and concise description. 	 Illogical conclusions, incoherent with previous sections. Future work is unrelated and not clearly described at all. 		
Organization of Report Weight: 5% * Similarity index	Report is well-organized rigorously following the template (e.g., correctly formulated TOC, figures, tables etc.). for the report, checked by the superior to the report, checked by the superior to the report of the report.	Report is adequately organized and somewhat consistent with the template. ervisor before final submission, mus	Report lacks an overall organization and inconsistent with the template. t be less than 19% (per		
* Similarity index for the report, checked by the supervisor before final submission, must be less than 19% (per HEC guidelines)					