# K-Nearest Neighbors

Brooke Anderson January 20, 2016

Load required libraries.

```
library(dplyr) ## Data wrangling
library(class) ## Includes `knn` function
library(ggplot2)
```


Read in the data.

## Model with k = 1 and single continuous predictor

I started by fitting a model with a k of one, so just looking at the single nearest neighbor, and using only Age as a predictor. Here's the relationship between Age and Survived in the training data:

```
ggplot(train, aes(x = Age)) +
geom_histogram(binwidth = 5) +
facet_wrap(~ Survived, ncol = 1) +
theme_minimal()
```

## Warning: Removed 177 rows containing non-finite values (stat\_bin).



Next I fit the model. The model seemed to have some problems when the predictive variable had missing values, so I removed those from both testing and training data sets before fitting.

```
train_knn <- filter(train, !is.na(Age))
train_x <- select(train_knn, Age)
train_y <- train_knn$Survived
test_x <- filter(test, !is.na(Age)) %>%
    select(Age)
```

Next, I fit the model, with k = 1. I fit it first for the training data, to get an estimate of that accuracy. (That means I repeat train\_x in the model statement.)

```
set.seed(1201)
train_pred <- knn(train_x, train_x, train_y, k = 1)
head(train_pred)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0 0 0 1 1 0
## Levels: 0 1
```

The knn function gives the predictions directly, unlike the function for Naive Bayes, which works more like a typical modeling structure for R.

Now, to assess accuracy, I'll need to get these back into the original dataframe and pick which values I want to use for predictions when Age is missing. I'll use "0", since the majority of people in the training dataset did not survive.

This part is a bit tricky, because you have to merge back in with the missing values and align things correctly with the passenger IDs. Normally, I would have kept PassengerId in to do this, but knn was finicky about letting me do that without modeling it.

```
Survived pred train$Age
##
## 1
             0
                   0
                             22
## 2
             1
                   0
                             38
                   0
## 3
             1
                             26
## 4
                   1
                             35
                             35
## 5
             0
                   1
## 6
                             NΑ
```

```
mean(x_accuracy$Survived == x_accuracy$pred)
```

```
## [1] 0.6767677
```

Accuracy for this model in the training set is 0.67677.

Next, I fit the same model to the testing data and check out the accuracy against the Kaggle Leaderboard.

My score on Kaggle was 0.57416. This was my worst model to date.

### Functions to save time

I'm realizing now that fitting different models could involve a lot of repetition, so I'll write some functions to save myself time. The following function can take different values of k and sets of predictors. Then it will fit a knn, generate the accuracy of the model in the training dataset, and write a file with predictions for the testing dataset to submit to Kaggle.

```
my_knn <- function(k, predictors, out_file = NULL){</pre>
  # Prep the training dataset
  train_knn <- train[ , c("Survived", predictors)]</pre>
  train_knn <- train_knn[complete.cases(train_knn), ]</pre>
  train_x <- as.data.frame(train_knn[ , predictors])</pre>
  colnames(train_x) <- predictors</pre>
  model_formula <- as.formula(paste("~",</pre>
                                       paste(predictors, collapse = " + ")))
  train_x <- model.matrix(model_formula, data = train_x)[ , -1]</pre>
  train_x <- apply(as.data.frame(train_x), 2, scale) ## Scale predictors
  train_y <- train_knn$Survived
  # Prep the testing dataset
  test_x <- as.data.frame(test[ , predictors])</pre>
  test_x <- as.data.frame(test_x[complete.cases(test_x), ])</pre>
  colnames(test_x) <- predictors</pre>
  test x <- model.matrix(model formula, data = test x)[ , -1]
  test_x <- apply(as.data.frame(test_x), 2, scale) ## Scale predictors
```

```
# Fit knn for the training data and determine accuracy
  set.seed(1201)
  train pred \leftarrow knn(train x, train x, train y, k = k)
  x_accuracy <- data.frame(Survived = train$Survived,</pre>
                            pred = factor("0", levels = c("0", "1")))
  x_accuracy$pred[complete.cases(train[ , c("Survived", predictors)])] <-</pre>
    train pred
  out <- mean(x accuracy$Survived == x accuracy$pred)</pre>
  # Format file name for output file
  if(is.null(out_file)){ ## If out_file is not specified, generate
    out_file <- paste("knn", paste(predictors, collapse = "_"), k,</pre>
                       sep = " ")
  }
  out_file <- paste0("../predictions/", out_file, ".csv")</pre>
  # Fit knn for the testing data and write out file to submit to Kaggle
  set.seed(1201)
  test_pred <- knn(train_x, test_x, train_y, k = 1)</pre>
  test accuracy <- data.frame(PassengerId = test$PassengerId,
                               Survived = factor("0", levels = c("0", "1")))
  test_accuracy$Survived[complete.cases(test[ , predictors])] <-</pre>
    as.numeric(as.character(test_pred))
  write.csv(test_accuracy, file = out_file, row.names = FALSE)
 return(paste("Accuracy in the training data is:", round(out, 5)))
}
```

Now it's much easier to test some different knn models. For example, to fit a model with k=1 with "Fare" as the predictor:

```
my_knn(k = 1, predictors = "Fare")
```

## [1] "Accuracy in the training data is: 0.80696"

I now know the accuracy in the training dataset, and there's a new file in my predictions folder called "knn\_Fare\_1.csv" that I can submit to Kaggle. When I did, the accuracy was 0.64115. Again, with k=1, there's a big reduction from the accuracy in testing to that in training.

I can also use it to fit multiple predictors. For example, here's a model with Fare, Age, and SibSp (Number of Siblings/Spouses Aboard):

```
my_knn(k = 5, predictors = c("Fare", "Age", "SibSp"))
```

## [1] "Accuracy in the training data is: 0.76431"

The function wrote a file to "predictions" called "knn\_Fare\_Age\_SibSp\_5.csv". When I submitted this to Kaggle, the accuracy was 0.61244.

## Including categorical variables

The function knn seems pretty picky about including categorical variables in the model. Also, you can't scale categorical variables easily while they're still as a single column of factors. That's okay, though.

To make categorical variables work, you can use model.matrix, which can take a formula statement and returns the matrix that you'd want to put into a model, with all categorical variables converted to one or more columns of indicator variables. For example, if you were interested in fitting Sex and Pclass, here's what model matrix would do:

```
ex <- model.matrix(~ Sex + Pclass, data = train)
head(ex, 3)
     (Intercept) Sexmale Pclass2 Pclass3
##
## 1
               1
                                0
## 2
               1
                        0
                                0
                                         0
## 3
# Take of intercept column (always first column)
ex <- ex[, -1]
head(ex, 3)
     Sexmale Pclass2 Pclass3
##
## 1
           1
                    0
                            1
## 2
           0
                    0
                            0
## 3
           0
                    0
                            1
```

You can scale this just like a numerical variable now.

So, for example, to fit a model with Sex and Pclass with k = 5, you could run the following code:

```
train_x <- model.matrix(model_formula, data = train_x)[ , -1]
head(train_x, 3)</pre>
```

```
## 1 1 0 1
## 2 0 0 0 0
## 3 0 0 1
```

```
# Scale predictors
train_x <- apply(train_x, 2, scale)</pre>
head(train x, 3)
          Sexmale
                      Pclass2
                                 Pclass3
## [1,] 0.737281 -0.5098652 0.9020807
## [2,] -1.354813 -0.5098652 -1.1073041
## [3,] -1.354813 -0.5098652 0.9020807
# Create vector of outcomes
train_y <- train_knn$Survived</pre>
# Fit model
set.seed(1201)
train_pred <- knn(train_x, train_x, train_y, k = k)</pre>
# Assess accuracy in training data
# Start with merging back into the full dataset (including with missing
# observations)
x_accuracy <- data.frame(Survived = train$Survived,</pre>
                            pred = factor("0", levels = c("0", "1")))
x_accuracy$pred[complete.cases(train[ , c("Survived", predictors)])] <-</pre>
    train_pred
# Calculate accuracy
out <- mean(x_accuracy$Survived == x_accuracy$pred)</pre>
```

All of this is set up in the function I wrote, so you could just run:

```
my_knn(k = 5, predictors = c("Sex", "Pclass"))
```

```
## [1] "Accuracy in the training data is: 0.77441"
```

When I ran this through Kaggle, the accuracy was 0.76555, which was my best score yet.

#### Role of k choice

Next, I tried fitting a knn of Sex, Pclass, and Age using k values of 1, 5, 9, 13, 17, and 21:

```
for(k in seq(1, 21, by = 4)){
  out <- my_knn(k = k, predictors = c("Sex", "Pclass", "Age"))
  cat("k =", k, out, "\n")
}</pre>
```

```
## k = 1 Accuracy in the training data is: 0.8642

## k = 5 Accuracy in the training data is: 0.81818

## k = 9 Accuracy in the training data is: 0.80808

## k = 13 Accuracy in the training data is: 0.80359

## k = 17 Accuracy in the training data is: 0.79798

## k = 21 Accuracy in the training data is: 0.78788
```

I haven't checked all of these in Kaggle yet, because I reached my submission limit for the day.