

Working with daily climate model output data in R and the **futureheatwaves** package

by G. Brooke Anderson, Colin Eason, Elizabeth A. Barnes

Abstract Research on climate change impacts can require extensive processing, especially when using ensemble techniques to incorporate output from different climate models and different simulations of each model. This processing can be particularly extensive when identifying and characterizing multi-day extreme events like heat waves and frost day spells, as these must be processed from model output with daily time steps. Further, climate model output is in a format and follows standards that may be unfamiliar to most R users. Here, we provide an overview of working with daily climate model output data in R. We then present the **futureheatwaves** package, which we developed to ease the process of identifying, characterizing, and exploring multi-day extreme events in climate model output.

Introduction

Research on climate change impacts can require extensive processing of climate model output data. This is not only because output files for a single climate model can be large, but also because of the rising popularity of ensemble techniques (Cubasch et al., 2013) in which, to better characterize uncertainty in projections, impacts are assessed for multiple climate models, multiple simulations of each climate model, and multiple climate experiments. Such ensemble techniques help characterize uncertainty in projections of regional climate change over the next century due to three distinct sources: (1) internal climate variability, i.e. climate noise, (2) climate model uncertainty, i.e. the same forcing can produce a different response in different models and (3) scenario uncertainty, i.e. uncertainty in future climate forcings (e.g. Hawkins and Sutton (2009)).

A key source of data for ensemble techniques is the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project, phase 5 (CMIP5; Taylor et al. (2012)). This project brought together dozens of major climate modeling groups around the world to simulate the same future radiative forcing scenarios, but with their own models. This created an ensemble of state-of-the-art climate model projections that allow researchers to study projections and their uncertainties. Most of these modeling groups additionally performed more than one simulation for each scenario and model (i.e. multiple ensemble members), perturbing the initial conditions by a very tiny amount to quantify uncertainties due to internal climate variability.

We begin this article with an overview of CMIP5 climate model output data for R users, focusing on output with a daily time step. We outline where data from CMIP5 can be obtained as well as how to work with the file format (netCDF) from R. We overview some R packages that can be useful when working with this data, as well as aspects of the data (e.g., non-standard calendars) of which users should be aware when working with daily climate model output in R.

After this overview, we present the **futureheatwaves** package, which we created to aid in identifying and characterizing any type of multi-day extreme event from daily climate model output (Table 1). The impacts of multi-day extreme events must be assessed using output in daily time step, unlike other climate impacts that can be assessed using climate model output at monthly, seasonal, or yearly time steps. Further, extreme events are identified based on conditions that are rare for a certain location (e.g., 98th percentile of local temperature distribution for identifying heat waves) (Cubasch et al., 2013). In this case, assessment is further complicated, as the event definition must be determined at each study location from climate model output. Finally, it is often of interest to create summaries of multiple characteristics of these extreme events. For example, one may be interested in determining whether the frequency or characteristics (e.g., length, intensity) of heat waves or warm spells will change under certain climate change scenarios (Cubasch et al., 2013).

The **futureheatwaves** package handles these challenges and can be used to identify and characterize a variety of multi-day extreme events across different ensemble members of one or more climate models. It also provides some functionality particularly useful in identifying and characterizing heat waves specifically. Quantification of the impacts of heat waves on human health suffer from additional sources of uncertainty beyond those inherent in projections of regional changes in surface temperature. These include: (1) uncertainty in the definition of a heat wave itself and (2) uncertainty in the ability of communities to adapt to changing temperatures (the adaptation scenario). This package therefore allows the user to create and use a custom extreme event definition to identify events in the climate model output, as well as options to explore different scenarios of adaptation to heat.

Design goals of the futureheatwaves package	
1	Make processing of large ensembles of climate simulations more practical for researchers exploring the potential impacts of heat waves and other multi-day extreme events.
2	Speed up processing time by incorporating C++ in event identification.
3	Keep track of the names of climate models and number of ensemble members processed for each.
4	Not only identify, but also characterize, all extreme events within each climate simulation, to allow the exploration of patterns in these characteristics across different simulations and also to allow the use of more complex impacts models, including models that incorporate event characteristics (e.g., event length, event intensity). For example, this package allows the user to apply a health effects model where risk of mortality is not the same for every heat wave, but rather is modified by heat wave length, intensity, or other measured characteristics.
5	Give users extensive power in customizing the process, including allowing custom extreme event definitions.
6	Allow users to easily explore the extreme events identified within all climate simulations.
7	Create output that is in a “tidy” data format, allowing it to work well with ggplot2 for visualization.

Table 1: Design goals for the **futureheatwaves** package.

An overview of climate model output for R users

CMIP5 climate model output data

For climate impact studies, a main source for climate model output is the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project, which is currently in its fifth phase (CMIP5). Over 20 climate modeling groups created one or more climate models which, for this project, were run using standardized scenarios (Taylor et al., 2012). The resulting output is uniform across modeling groups and has a consistent structure, which allows comparison of simulations from different models (Flato et al., 2013). CMIP5 climate model output is archived at a number of different time steps (e.g., daily, monthly, seasonal, yearly) (Taylor and Doutriaux, 2010), and some variables are reported at multiple levels in the ocean or atmosphere (e.g., ocean temperature). Here, we will focus on data with a daily time step for variables reported at a single level (e.g., near-surface air temperature).

Each modeling group ran simulations under several experiments, with experiments varying in terms of radiative forcing by using different scenarios of time-varying model inputs (greenhouse gas emissions or concentrations, land use changes, etc.) (Taylor et al., 2012; Flato et al., 2013). Experiments include historical experiments (run using radiative forcing consistent with observed and reconstructed data for 1850–2005), pre-Industrial control experiments, and experiments of future scenarios of radiative forcing over the 21st century or longer (e.g., RCP4.5, RCP8.5) (Taylor et al., 2012). Some modeling groups created ensembles of output for a specific model and experiment, in which they ran the experiment multiple times with the model with very small changes to the initial conditions.

The CMIP5 climate model output data are distributed across data nodes at different climate modeling centers (Taylor et al., 2012), but can be accessed centrally at the World Climate Research Programme CMIP5 data portal at <https://pcmdi.llnl.gov/search/cmip5/>. Users must register before downloading data, and some data are restricted to non-commercial use. There is a separate file for each combination of climate model, experiment, modeling realm (e.g., atmosphere, ocean), variable, time step, and ensemble member (Taylor et al., 2012; Taylor and Doutriaux, 2010). For finer time scales, the output is further split across multiple files for specific year ranges (e.g., 5 years of output for each file) (Taylor and Doutriaux, 2010). Each file’s name includes the output variable, climate model, experiment, and ensemble member for the simulation (Taylor and Doutriaux, 2010).

CMIP5 files can be searched and downloaded through a point-and-click web interface. They can also be downloaded in bulk to computers with Unix or Mac operating systems using the wget file downloading utility. Appropriate wget scripts can be created either through the World Climate Research Programme CMIP5 data portal or through Earth System Grid Federation’s Search RESTful




Figure 1: Example of structure of a NetCDF climate model output file for a variable reported at a single level, like near-surface air temperature. Data are stored in a three-dimensional array, with measurements at each time step and climate grid location. These data are typically indexed in the netCDF file by longitude, latitude, and time, in that order. For example, if the near-surface air temperature is read into an R object called `tas`, you can access the value for the first day at the fourth longitude and third latitude with `tas[4, 3, 1]`. In addition to the output variable (temperature in this example), vectors with the ordered values of each dimension (longitude, latitude, and time) can also be read in from the netCDF file, as well as attribute data (e.g., units for variables, the calendar used for time).

API. Tips on efficiently searching and downloading the data, including through use of `wget` scripts and the search API, are available as user tutorials through the website of the University of Colorado Boulder's Earth System CoG (e.g., <https://www.earthsystemcog.org/projects/cog/doc/wget> for a tutorial on downloading files using `wget`).

CMIP5 files are saved in Network Common Data Format (netCDF), a binary file format that allows storage of data representing a regular array. For climate model output at a single level (e.g., near-surface air temperature), the data is a 3-dimensional array, with dimensions representing time and two coordinates of location (e.g., latitude and longitude). Figure 1 provides a sketch of the structure of netCDF files for single-level climate model output. Global climate models generate output at regularly-spaced time steps, typically at regularly-spaced grid points around the world. The latitude and longitude spacing of grid points vary by climate model, but are typically 1–2 degrees for atmospheric variables in CMIP5 models (Flato et al., 2013). Each data point in the netCDF array gives the modeled value of the variable (e.g., surface temperature) for a single time point and location. For CMIP5 climate model output, the location units are in degrees east and degrees north for longitude and latitude, respectively. For daily output files, the time unit is in days since a specified origin date-time (e.g., days since 1850-01-01 00:00:00) (Taylor and Doutriaux, 2010). All CMIP5 output files are required to include certain metadata (Taylor and Doutriaux, 2010), including the experiment, forcing agents input to the model to create the simulation, time step, institution and institutional contact information, climate model, and modeling realm (Taylor and Doutriaux, 2010). The metadata also must include units for all of the coordinate variables (e.g., longitude, latitude, time). The netCDF format allows you to access metadata and variables describing the dimensions of the data without reading the full file into memory.

To find out more about the CMIP climate model output data, Taylor et al. (2012) and Meehl et al. (2007) are excellent resources.

Working with climate model output in R

When working with daily climate model output data, challenges to R users include: (1) the file format; (2) use of non-Gregorian calendars; and (3) large file sizes. This section explains these challenges and offers some strategies for dealing with them.

CMIP5 data are available as netCDF files, for which base R import functions do not exist. There are, however, a few R packages that can be used to work with the netCDF file format used for CMIP5 files. Older packages include `ncdf` and `ncvar`, but these do not work with the newer netCDF version 4 released in 2008 and are no longer available through CRAN. More recent packages, including `ncdf4` (Pierce, 2015) and `RNetCDF` (Michna and Woods, 2013, 2016), work with both version 4 and netCDF's older version 3. While climate model output data for CMIP5 are required to conform with the earlier version (version 3) (Taylor and Doutriaux, 2010), it is safer to write code using functions that can be

Modeled temperature on a day in July 2075

GFDL-ESM2G model, RCP8.5 experiment, r1i1p1 ensemble member




Figure 2: Example of mapping near-surface air temperature data worldwide for a single day of climate model output data. This map uses data from the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory’s Earth System Model 2G, r1i1p1 ensemble member, on a single day in the summer of 2075. Full code for recreating the map is available in the “starting_from_netcdf” vignette of the **futureheatwaves** package.

used with either version, in case future phases of CMIP do not require files to conform with netCDF version 3.

You can do a number of things with netCDF files in R using these functions. For example, **ncdf4**’s `nc_open` function can be used to open a connection to a netCDF file, and the object returned by the function includes the file’s attribute data. Once a file connection is open, variables can be read in using the `ncvar_get` function. For example, the variables defining the dimensions of the sketched netCDF file in Figure 1 could be read into R with `ncvar_get` with the `varid` parameter set to “lat”, “lon”, or “time”. The climate output variable (e.g., near-surface air temperature) can similarly be read in using `ncvar_get`. In this case, the `varid` parameter should be set using the appropriate CMIP5 variable name (e.g., “tas” for near-surface air temperature); these variable names can be found in the CMIP Requested Output tables (Taylor and Doutriaux, 2010). If you only need data from a subset of the full file, you can use the dimensional time and location data to identify the location of the variable data you need in the netCDF array and use indexing to read only that data into memory, without needing to read in the full file (for example, with the `nc.get.var.subset.by.axes` function in **ncdf4.helpers**). Once the user is done reading in data from the file, the connection can be closed (e.g., with the `nc_close` function from **ncdf4**).

A second challenge when working with climate model output data in R is that some climate models output to non-Gregorian calendars. Since the late 1500s, Western dates have been set using the Gregorian calendar, which has 365.2425-day years. Some climate models, however, are run using different calendars, including the Julian calendar (365.25-day years), a calendar where there are no leap years (365-day years), a calendar where every year is a leap year (366-day years), and a calendar of twelve 30-day months (360-day years) (Eaton et al., 2011). With these non-Gregorian calendars, R’s base functions for converting a vector to a Date class based on the number of days since an origin date (`as.Date`, `as.POSIXct`) do not return the desired values.

Two R packages provide help with non-Gregorian calendars: **PCICT** (Bronaugh and Drepper, 2013) and **ncdf4.helpers** (Bronaugh, 2014). The `nc.get.time.series` function in **ncdf4.helpers** pulls and uses metadata on the calendar stored in the CMIP5 netCDF file’s attributes to convert the “time” variable in the file to an object of the **PCICT** class. This class is defined in the **PCICT** package and provides Date-like functionality for 360- and 365-day calendars (Bronaugh and Drepper, 2013). However, while these functions will help with handling most CMIP5 files, the CMIP5 standards allows use of other calendars which may not be successfully handled by these functions, so it is important to assess whether the time variable range in the **PCICT** object correctly matches the expected date ranges for a file when processing CMIP5 data in R.

Finally, the size of CMIP5 files can make them difficult to work with in R. CMIP5 climate model output files can be as large as several gigabytes. The size of the files can therefore be large enough that it may make more sense to work with smaller chunks of the data in R, rather than reading all data into memory and working with the data all at once (Todd-Brown and Bond-Lamberty, 2016). This problem




Figure 3: Example of plotting a time series of temperature simulations between 2071 and 2075 from CMIP5 daily climate model output data for the model grid cell point closest to Beijing, China. This plot uses data from the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory’s Earth System Model 2G, r1i1p1 ensemble member. Full code for recreating the map is available in the “starting_from_netcdf” vignette of the **futureheatwaves** package.

aggregates when working with multiple climate models and more than one ensemble member for each of those climate models.

In addition to these general packages for working with netCDF files, there are several R packages specifically for working with climate model output data, including **RCMIP5** (Todd-Brown and Bond-Lamberty, 2016) and **wux** (Mendlik et al., 2016). However, these packages are more useful for working with data output at time steps of a month or higher and have limited utility with the daily climate model output data required for studies of multi-day extreme events.

The **RCMIP5** package includes functions to read in CMIP5 data from netCDF files, scan a directory of CMIP5 files and determine models with continuous available data, create objects of a special `cmip5data` class to work with CMIP5 data within R, and parse the filenames for all files in a directory to extract information within the filename. For this package, most functions only work with monthly or less frequent data (Todd-Brown and Bond-Lamberty, 2016). While the `loadCMIP5` function does successfully load daily data as a `cmip5data` object, most of the methods for this object type do not do anything meaningful for daily data. The package’s `getFileInfo` function, however, will work with CMIP5 files of any time step; this function identifies all CMIP5 files in a directory and creates a data frame with information parsed from the file name. As a note, the `get.split.filename.cmip5` function in the **ncdf4.helper** package similarly can be used to parse information contained in CMIP5 file names (Bronaugh, 2014).

The **wux** package (Mendlik et al., 2016) includes functions that allow the user to download CMIP5 monthly-aggregated output directly from within R with the `CMIP5fromESGF` function. The package then uses the `models2wux` function to read in climate model output netCDF files and convert them to “WUX” data frames, which can be used by other functions in the package. While this function can input climate model output with daily time steps (the “`what.timesteps`” element of the `modelinput` list input must be set to “daily”), the function aggregates this data to a monthly or less frequent (e.g., seasonal) aggregation when creating the WUX data frame. Therefore, while this package provides very useful functionality for working with averaged output of daily climate model output data, it cannot easily be used to identify and characterize multi-day extreme events like heat waves.

The functions and packages described in this section can be used with CMIP5 netCDF files to do things in R like map near-surface air temperatures from a single climate model on a specific day (Figure 2) or pull a time series of daily near-surface air temperature simulations at a specific climate model grid point (Figure 3). The “starting_from_netcdf” vignette that comes with the “futureheatwaves” package provides all code required to create these figures, as well as more details and code examples on working with CMIP5 netCDF files in R.




Figure 4: Overview of the functionality of the **futureheatwaves** package. The package takes a directory with climate projection files (left), for one or more climate models, with one or more ensemble members for each climate model (this example figure shows four climate models with one or two ensemble members each). The `gen_hw_set` function processes these files to create a data frame for each ensemble member, identifying and characterizing all multi-day extreme events (e.g., heat waves) in the time series projection for that ensemble member. The `apply_all_models` function allows users to explore these extreme events by applying user-created functions across all the extreme event data frames, creating a summary data frame with results.

The futureheatwaves package

How the package works

We created the **futureheatwaves** package to aid in identifying, characterizing, and exploring multi-day extreme events in daily climate model output data. Figure 4 gives an overview of the two primary functions of the **futureheatwaves** package. First, the `gen_hw_set` function processes a directory of climate projection files that are stored locally on the user's computer (Figure 4, "Climate projections"), to generate a list of all extreme events in each projection, as well as over a dozen characteristics of each identified extreme event (Table 2). This package starts from files rather than R objects to avoid loading data from all climate model ensembles at once; instead, the function loads, processes, and saves output for a single climate model ensemble member at a time. The extreme events are identified and characterized at one or more study locations (e.g., cities), which the user specifies in an input file. The extreme events identified for each ensemble member are output as separate files in a directory specified by the user (Figure 4, "Extreme events datasets").

Once the user creates these data frames of location-specific heat waves, the `apply_all_models` function can be used to apply custom functions across all the extreme event data frames generated by `gen_hw_set`. This functionality allows users to create summaries of extreme events across all climate models and ensemble members (Figure 4, right). The function can be used to generate summary statistics (e.g., determine average heat wave length or total frost days) or to apply more complex functions (e.g., apply epidemiologic effect estimates across the heat waves to generate health impact estimates).

When using this package, CMIP5 climate model output data require some pre-processing. Data will need to be saved in a specific format, with files stored in a specific directory structure. Full details of the required file and directory structure are provided in the package's "futureheatwaves" vignette, while tips and an R script for conducting this processing starting from CMIP5 netCDF files are given in the "starting_from_netcdf" vignette.

This package can be used for study locations worldwide. The "starting_from_netcdf" package vignette provides an example of using this package to identify and explore future heat waves in several Chinese cities.

Example data

We have included data files in the package to serve as example files so that users can try this package before applying it to their own directory of climate projection files. These example data come from two climate models that are a part of CMIP5: (1) the model of the Beijing Climate Center, China Meteorological Administration (BCC) (Xin et al., 2013) and (2) the National Center for Atmospheric Research's (NCAR's) Community Climate System Model, version 4 (CCSM4) (Gent et al., 2011). We include one ensemble member from BCC (r1i1p1) and two from CCSM (r1i1p1 and r2i1p1). Once the **futureheatwaves** package is installed and loaded, the user can find the local location of these files using R's `system.file` function.

To ensure that the size of this example data is reasonably small, we have only included projection data for grid points from these climate models that are near five U.S. east coast cities: New York, NY; Philadelphia, PA; Newark, NJ; Baltimore, MD, and Providence, RI. Further, to keep the file

Column name	Description of characteristic
mean.var	Average daily value of the variable across all days in the extreme event, in the units in which the variable is expressed in input files (e.g., average daily mean temperature during the heat wave in degrees Kelvin)
max.var	Highest daily value of the variable across all days in the extreme event, in the units in which the variable is expressed in input files
min.var	Lowest daily value of the variable across all days in the extreme event, in the units in which the variable is expressed in input files
length	Number of days in the event
start.date	Date of the first day of the event
end.date	Date of the last day of the event
start.doy	Day of the year of the first day of the event (1 = Jan. 1, etc.)
start.month	Month in which the event started (1 = January)
days.above.abs.thresh.1	Number of days in the event above a specified absolute threshold (default is the number of days in the event above 80°F / 26.7°C, but this and the following three absolute thresholds can be changed with the absolute_thresholds argument in gen_hw_set)
days.above.abs.thresh.2	Number of days in the event above a specified absolute threshold (default is the number of days in the event above 85°F / 29.4°C)
days.above.abs.thresh.3	Number of days in the event above a specified absolute threshold (default is the number of days in the event above 90°F / 32.3°C)
days.above.abs.thresh.4	Number of days in the event above a specified absolute threshold (default is the number of days in the event above 95°F / 35.0°C)
days.above.99th	Number of days in the event above the 99 th percentile of the variable for the location, using the period specified with the referenceBoundaries argument in gen_hw_set as a reference for determining these percentiles
days.above.99.5th	Number of days in the event above the 99.5 th percentile of the variable for the location, using the period specified with the referenceBoundaries argument in gen_hw_set as a reference for determining these percentiles
first.in.year	Whether the event was the first to occur in its calendar year in the location
mean.var.quantile	The percentile of the average variable value during the event compared to the location's year-round distribution of the variable, based on the variable distribution for the location during the period specified by the referenceBoundaries argument in gen_hw_set
max.var.quantile	The percentile of the maximum variable value during the event compared to the location's year-round distribution of the variable, based on the variable distribution for the location during the period specified by the referenceBoundaries argument in gen_hw_set
min.var.quantile	The percentile of the minimum variable value during the event compared to the location's year-round distribution of the variable, based on the variable distribution for the location during the period specified by the referenceBoundaries argument in gen_hw_set
mean.seasonal.var	The location's average seasonal value of the variable (by default, season is set to May–September, but this can be changed with the seasonal_months argument in gen_hw_set), based on the variable values for the location during the years specified by the referenceBoundaries argument in gen_hw_set
mean.yearround.var	The location's average year-round value of the variable, based on the variable values for the location during the years specified by the referenceBoundaries argument in gen_hw_set

Table 2: Extreme event characteristics measured by the `gen_hw_set` function in the **futureheatwaves** package. The left column gives the name of each variable's column in the extreme event datasets created by the `gen_hw_set` function. When characterizing extreme events below a threshold, like cold spells, appropriate alternatives are given for some columns (e.g., `days.below.abs.thresh.1`, `days.below.1st`).

sizes reasonably small, the historical projections range over the years 1990 to 1999, while the future projections are limited to 2060 to 2079. Users' applications of this package will likely use directories with many more climate model ensemble members and more locations; however, the operation of the package is the same for this smaller example application as it would be for a much larger application.

Basic example of using futureheatwaves

Once climate model output files are set up as specified in the "futureheatwaves" package vignette, the package can process them to identify and characterize heat waves in each ensemble member's projection for each location using the `gen_hw_set` function. For example, to process the example climate model output data included with the package, the user can run:

```
projection_dir_location <- system.file("extdata/cmip5",
                                     package = "futureheatwaves")
city_file_location <- system.file("extdata/cities.csv",
                                 package = "futureheatwaves")

gen_hw_set(out = "example_results",
           dataFolder = projection_dir_location,
           dataDirectories = list("historical" = c(1990, 1999),
                                "rcp85" = c(2060, 2079)),
           citycsv = city_file_location,
           coordinateFileNames = "latitude_longitude_NorthAmerica_12mo.csv",
           tasFileNames = "tas_NorthAmerica_12mo.csv",
           timeFileNames = "time_NorthAmerica_12mo.csv")
```

This code first identifies and saves as objects the path names on the user's computer of the example climate projections directory (`projection_dir_location`) and the file of study locations (`city_file_location`). The `gen_hw_set` function processes the example input and creates a new directory, 'example_results', with files of identified and characterized heat waves, in the user's current working directory. In this example code, the processing is done using default values for the event definition, years for which to generate the heat wave data sets, etc. How and why to customize these choices are explained later in the text. Function arguments (e.g., `dataDirectories`, `tasFileNames`) are used to specify the format of the data and the directory structure.

Once the function has completed running, results will be written locally to the directory specified by the `out` argument of `gen_hw_set`. This directory will include files with some basic information about the climate models and the closest grid points of each climate model to each location, as well as a directory with files of identified and classified extreme events for each ensemble member, including all characteristics in Table 2. See the package's vignettes for more details on the content and structure of this output.

Once the user has created a directory of characterized event files for each ensemble member ("Extreme event data sets", Figure 4), he or she can explore the results using the `apply_all_models` function. This function allows the user to apply custom R functions across all extreme event data frames created by the `gen_hw_sets` call. The user can apply any R function that follows certain standards in accepting input and returning output. Full details on these standards are given in the **futureheatwaves** package vignette.

As an example, if the user wanted to calculate the average temperature of the heat waves identified for each ensemble member, he or she could write a simple function:

```
average_mean_temp <- function(hw_datafr){
  out <- mean(hw_datafr$mean.var)
  return(out)
}
```

This function could then be applied across all extreme event data sets output by `gen_hw_set` using the `apply_all_models` function. For example, to apply this function to all the example output results that come with the package, the user could run:

```
out <- system.file("extdata/example_results", package = "futureheatwaves")
apply_all_models(out = out, FUN = average_mean_temp)

#>  model ensemble    value
#> 1   bcc1         1 302.3745
#> 2   ccsm         1 302.4458
#> 3   ccsm         2 302.3428
```


This output gives the results (value column) of running the custom function for each ensemble member of each climate model. Note that the location of the directory with the heat wave data frames must be specified using the `out` argument when calling `apply_all_models`. Typically, this will be the directory path for the directory specified with the `out` argument in `gen_hw_set`.

Location-specific results can be generated using the `city_specific` argument in `apply_all_models`:

```
apply_all_models(out = out, FUN = average_mean_temp, city_specific = TRUE)
```

```
#>   model ensemble city    value
#> 1  bcc1         1 balt 305.1816
#> 2  bcc1         1 nw  300.3367
#> 3  bcc1         1 ny   300.3367
#> 4  bcc1         1 phil 305.1816
#> 5  bcc1         1 prov 298.0402
#> 6  ccs1         1 balt 303.1277
#> 7  ccs1         1 nw  302.4053
#> 8  ccs1         1 ny   302.4053
#> 9  ccs1         1 phil 302.3425
#> 10 ccs1         1 prov 301.8895
#> 11 ccs1         2 balt 302.9373
#> 12 ccs1         2 nw  302.2748
#> 13 ccs1         2 ny   302.2748
#> 14 ccs1         2 phil 302.2858
#> 15 ccs1         2 prov 301.9520
```

This output is structured as “tidy” data (Wickham, 2014), allowing it to be used easily with the graphing package `ggplot2` (Wickham, 2009). This functionality can be used to create a number of other summaries of the identified extreme events. For example, it could be used to determine average heat wave length or estimate how much earlier in the year events are expected to start across an ensemble of climate model simulations. The functionality can also be used for more complex analysis of extreme event files. For example, it can be used to apply epidemiological models of heat wave to estimate excess heat-related mortality under different future scenarios; an example of this application is provided in the “futureheatwaves” vignette that comes with the package.

Customizing the extreme event definition

By default, the package identifies extreme events in climate model output data using a specific definition for heat waves that has been used in some epidemiological and climate impact research (e.g., Anderson and Bell (2009)):

A *heat wave* is two or more days at or above a city-specific threshold temperature, with the threshold determined as the 98th percentile of year-round temperature in the city during some reference period (by default, 1990–1999).

However, this is not the only accepted heat wave definition in the scientific literature. A variety of different heat wave definitions have been used to identify heat waves in a time series of temperature data (Smith et al., 2013; Kent et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015; Anderson and Bell, 2009), and the choice of heat wave definitions can influence both projected heat wave trends (Smith et al., 2013) and estimates of health risks during events (Chen et al., 2015; Kent et al., 2014; Anderson and Bell, 2009). Further, other types of extreme events will be defined differently than heat waves (for example, frost day spells may be defined as one or more days with temperature at or below 32°F / 0°C).

Therefore, this package allows the user to extensively customize the definition used to identify extreme events. Users can write a custom R function with either a different heat wave definition (see Smith et al. (2013) and Kent et al. (2014) for listings of some of the definitions used in scientific studies) or with a definition appropriate for a different type of extreme event (e.g., one or more days at or below 32°F / 0°C for frost day spells). For heat wave identification, researchers might want to use a specific definition, for example, because it matches the definition used by local health officials to declare heat wave warnings or, in the case of health impact assessments, to match with a definition used in an epidemiological study. For studies of other extreme events, a heat wave definition likely will not be applicable and so a customized definition is necessary.

Three components of the extreme event definition can be easily customized in the `gen_hw_set` function call, without creating a new R function to use to identify heat waves. First, many extreme event definitions are based on conditions that are rare in the study location (Cubasch et al., 2013), but may vary in how rare conditions must be for a period to qualify as an extreme event. For example,

some of the different definitions used to identify heat waves vary only in the percentile temperature used for a threshold (e.g., one definition is ≥ 2 days at or above the 98th percentile temperature at a location while another is ≥ 2 days at or above the 99th percentile temperature; Kent et al. (2014); Smith et al. (2013)). Therefore, the **futureheatwaves** package allows users to change the percentile of the variable of interest required for an extreme event using the `probThreshold` option in `gen_hw_set`. Other heat wave definitions vary only in the number of consecutive days that must be over the threshold for a period to qualify as an extreme event (e.g., one definition is ≥ 2 days at or above the 98th percentile temperature at a location while another is ≥ 4 days at or above the 98th percentile temperature; Anderson and Bell (2009)). Therefore, the package allows the user to change the number of days used in the heat wave definition using the `numDays` argument in the `gen_hw_set` function. Combined, these two customization choices allow the user to identify heat waves using many of the heat wave definitions used in previous climate and health research— for example, 9 of the 16 heat wave definitions used in Kent et al. (2014) could be fit using different combinations of these two options for specifying threshold percentile and number of days. Third, some extreme events like cold waves and frost day spells are defined as a certain number of days below, rather than above, a threshold. While the default is to identify events by searching for days above a threshold, this behavior can be changed with the `above_threshold = FALSE` argument in the `gen_hw_set` function.

Beyond these simpler options, the customization of the event definition is even more extensive as one has the option of writing and using a custom R function to identify extreme events. This functionality allows the user to use definitions that either require a number of days above an absolute threshold (e.g., maximum temperature of $\geq 95^{\circ}\text{F}$ for ≥ 1 day Kent et al. (2014); Tan et al. (2007); minimum temperature $\leq 0^{\circ}\text{C}$ for ≥ 1 day for frost day spells) or that require a combination of thresholds to be met (e.g., maximum daily temperature above a lower threshold every day of the heat wave and above a higher threshold for a certain number of days within the heat wave; Kent et al. (2014); Peng et al. (2011)). To use a customized event definition, the user must write and load an R function that implements the definition. This custom function is passed to the `gen_hw_set` function using the `IDheatwavesFunction` argument. To work correctly, this custom function must allow only specific inputs and generate only specific outputs; details about the required structure are provided in the **futureheatwaves** package vignette. To increase processing speed when identifying extreme events, we coded parts of the default event definition function in C++ and synced it with R using the **Rcpp** package (Eddelbuettel and Francois, 2011). Users should consider a similar strategy for custom heat wave definitions, especially if processing a large number of climate projection files.

Exploring adaptation scenarios

Extreme events are often based on conditions that are rare for a specific location using location-specific relative thresholds. These thresholds are often defined for climate impact studies based on a variable's distribution at that location in present-day or historical data. However, it can be interesting to explore trends in extreme events under climate change if extreme events are identified based on variable distributions during the projection period, or another future period. For some extreme events, impacts are associated with how rare the conditions during the event are compared to norms in the location (Anderson and Bell, 2009). This relationship raises the question of whether extreme events should be defined using a percentile threshold based on present-day variable distributions or based on distributions in the time period being projected. The **futureheatwaves** package allows users to specify the time period to use when determining a relative threshold for an event definition using the `thresholdBoundaries` argument in the function `gen_hw_set`, allowing users to explore how sensitive projections of impacts are to this choice of the time period to use when determining relative variable measures, including thresholds used for percentile-based event definitions.

Similarly, some of the event characteristics (e.g., `mean.temp.quantile`, Table 2) are also calculated by the package based on relative temperature, providing measures of how the value of the variable of interest during an extreme event compares to the typical distribution of that variable at that location (e.g., the “`mean.var.quantile`”, “`min.var.quantile`”, and “`max.var.quantile`” characteristics, Table 2) or how long conditions of a certain rarity persisted during the event (e.g., the “`days.above.99th`” and “`days.above.99.5th`” characteristics, Table 2). These characteristics are measured for each of the extreme events identified by the `gen_hw_set` function by taking the absolute value of the variable during the event (e.g., average temperature during the heat wave is 90°F 32.2°C) and comparing it to the location's typical variable distribution. This process generates relative measures of how intense the event is compared to what is normal in that location (e.g., 90°F 32.2°C is in the 99th percentile of year-round temperatures in the location).

These relative event characteristics will vary depending on whether you calculate them based on a location's present-day variable distribution or on the location's variable distribution in the future, since the distributions of many relevant variables (e.g., temperature, precipitation) are expected to change in many locations with climate change. The package therefore allows the user to specify date




Figure 5: Snapshot of an interactive map created using the `map_grid_leaflet` showing the locations of study cities and their matching climate model grid points for the BCC climate model example data included with **futureheatwaves**. The lines on the map connect each climate model grid point to the study location(s) for which that grid point was used. The interactive maps include pop-ups with city identifiers; one is shown open in this snapshot as an example. From this map, you can see that the climate model grid point closest to New York City for this climate model is over the Atlantic Ocean.

ranges of the temperature distributions to be used in calculating these relative temperature metrics in each location, which can be done using the `referenceBoundaries` option of `gen_hw_set`.

Mapping grid points

It can be useful to explore the location of the climate model grid point used to pull climate model output for each study location with a given climate model. Therefore, the package has a function called `map_grid_leaflet` that plots the locations of grid points used for each location from each climate model. This function is built using the `htmlWidget` **leaflet** package (Cheng and Xie, 2016). The following code illustrates the use of this function with the example data to create Figure 5, which plots the grid points used in the example data from the BCC climate model in the example data:

```
out <- system.file("extdata/example_results", package = "futureheatwaves")
map_grid_leaflet(plot_model = "bcc1", out = out)
```

This interactive map can be panned and zoomed to explore the locations of climate model grid points used to represent each study location. This mapping function works for study locations worldwide.

Extensions

While this package was created to be used for research on extreme events in climate change projections, it can be used more broadly. For example, there are other episodes like wildfires and air pollution where it may be interesting to identify extended periods of high exposures in projection time series, and this package could be applied to gridded air pollution model output to explore these exposures.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (R00ES022631), the National Science Foundation (1331399), and the Colorado State University Vice

President for Research. Thank you to early testers of the package: Julia Bromberek, Wande Benka-Coker, Josh Ferreri, Ryan Gan, Molly Gutilla, Mike Lyons, Casey Quinn, Rachel Severson, and Meilin Yan.

Bibliography

- G. B. Anderson and M. L. Bell. Weather-related mortality: How heat, cold, and heat waves affect mortality in the United States. *Epidemiology*, 20(2):205–213, 2009. doi: 10.1097/EDE.0b013e318190ee08. [p9, 10]
- D. Bronaugh. *ncdf4.helpers: Helper functions for use with the ncdf4 package*, 2014. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ncdf4.helpers>. R package version 0.3.3. [p4, 5]
- D. Bronaugh and U. Drepper. *PCICt: Implementation of POSIXct work-alike for 365 and 360 day calendars.*, 2013. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=PCICt>. R package version 0.5.4. [p4]
- K. Chen, J. Bi, J. Chen, X. Chen, L. Huang, and L. Zhou. Influence of heat wave definitions to the added effect of heat waves on daily mortality in Nanjing, China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 506-507:18–25, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.10.092. [p9]
- J. Cheng and Y. Xie. *leaflet: Create Interactive Web Maps with the JavaScript 'Leaflet' Library*, 2016. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=leaflet>. R package version 1.0.1. [p11]
- U. Cubasch, D. Wuebbles, D. Chen, M. Facchini, D. Frame, N. Mahowald, and J.-G. Winther. Introduction. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Climate Change 2013*, 5: 119–158, 2013. [p1, 9]
- B. Eaton, J. Gregory, B. Drach, K. Taylor, S. Hankin, J. Caron, R. Signell, P. Bentley, G. Rappa, H. Höck, et al. NetCDF Climate and forecast (CF) metadata conventions version 1.6. 2011. URL <http://cfconventions.org/Data/cf-conventions/cf-conventions-1.6/build/cf-conventions.html>. [p4]
- D. Eddelbuettel and R. Francois. Rcpp: Seamless R and C++ integration. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 40(8):1–18, 2011. doi: 10.18637/jss.v040.i08. [p10]
- G. Flato, J. Marotzke, B. Abiodun, P. Braconnot, S. C. Chou, W. J. Collins, P. Cox, F. Driouech, S. Emori, V. Eyring, et al. Evaluation of Climate Models. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Climate Change 2013*, 5:741–866, 2013. [p2, 3]
- P. R. Gent, G. Danabasoglu, L. J. Donner, et al. The Community Climate System Model Version 4. *Journal of Climate*, 24(19):4973–4991, 2011. doi: 10.1175/2011JCLI4083.1. [p6]
- E. Hawkins and R. Sutton. The potential to narrow uncertainty in regional climate predictions. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 90(8):1095–1107, 2009. doi: 10.1175/2009BAMS2607.1. [p1]
- S. T. Kent, L. A. McClure, B. F. Zaitchik, T. T. Smith, and J. M. Gohlke. Heat waves and health outcomes in Alabama (USA): the importance of heat wave definition. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 122(2): 151–158, 2014. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1307262. [p9, 10]
- G. A. Meehl, C. Covey, T. Delworth, M. Latif, B. McAvaney, J. F. B. Mitchell, R. J. Stouffer, and K. E. Taylor. The WCRP CMIP3 Multimodel Dataset: A new era in climate change research. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, pages 1383–1394, 2007. [p3]
- T. Mendlik, G. Heinrich, and A. Leuprecht. *wux: Wegener Center Climate Uncertainty Explorer*, 2016. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=wux>. R package version 2.2.1. [p5]
- P. Michna and M. Woods. RNetCDF—a package for reading and writing netcdf datasets. *The R Journal*, 5:29–36, 2013. [p3]
- P. Michna and M. Woods. *RNetCDF: Interface to NetCDF Datasets*, 2016. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=RNetCDF>. R package version 1.8.2. [p3]
- R. D. Peng, J. F. Bobb, C. Tebaldi, L. McDaniel, M. L. Bell, and F. Dominici. Toward a quantitative estimate of future heat wave mortality under global climate change. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 119(5):701–706, 2011. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1002430. [p10]

- D. Pierce. *ncdf4: Interface to Unidata netCDF (Version 4 or Earlier) Format Data Files*, 2015. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ncdf4>. R package version 1.15.0. [p3]
- T. T. Smith, B. F. Zaitchik, and J. M. Gohlke. Heat waves in the United States: Definitions, patterns and trends. *Climatic Change*, 118(3-4):811–825, 2013. doi: 10.1007/s10584-012-0659-2. [p9, 10]
- J. Tan, Y. Zheng, G. Song, L. S. Kalkstein, A. J. Kalkstein, and X. Tang. Heat wave impacts on mortality in Shanghai, 1998 and 2003. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 51(3):193–200, 2007. doi: 10.1007/s00484-006-0058-3. [p10]
- K. E. Taylor and C. Doutriaux. CMIP5 model output requirements: File contents and format, data structure and metadata. 2010. [p2, 3, 4]
- K. E. Taylor, R. J. Stouffer, and G. A. Meehl. An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 93(4):485–498, 2012. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1. [p1, 2, 3]
- K. Todd-Brown and B. Bond-Lamberty. *RCMIP5: Tools for Manipulating and Summarizing CMIP5 Data*, 2016. URL <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/RCMIP5/>. R package version 1.2.0. [p4, 5]
- H. Wickham. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York, 2009. ISBN 978-0-387-98140-6. URL <http://had.co.nz/ggplot2/book>. [p9]
- H. Wickham. Tidy data. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 59(10):1–23, 2014. doi: 0.18637/jss.v059.i10. [p9]
- X.-G. Xin, T.-W. Wu, and Z. Jie. Introduction of CMIP5 experiments carried out with the climate system models of Beijing Climate Center. *Advances in Climate Change Research*, 4(1):41–49, 2013. doi: 10.3724/SPJ.1248.2013.041. [p6]

G. Brooke Anderson
 Colorado State University
 Department of Environmental & Radiological Health Sciences
 1681 Campus Delivery
 Fort Collins, Colorado 80523
brooke.anderson@colostate.edu

Colin Eason
 Colorado State University
 Department of Computer Science
 1873 Campus Delivery
 Fort Collins, Colorado 80523
aimesce@gmail.com

Elizabeth A. Barnes
 Colorado State University
 Department of Atmospheric Science
 1371 Campus Delivery
 Fort Collins, CO 80523
eabarnes@atmos.colostate.edu