

BROOKE ANDERSON, MICHAEL LYONS, MERCEDES GONZALEZ-JUARRERO, MARCELA HENAO-TAMAYO, AND GREGORY ROBERT-SON

IMPROVING THE REPRODUCIBILITY OF EXPERIMENTAL DATA RECORDING AND PRE-PROCESSING

Contents

1	<i>Overview of these modules</i>	5
2	<i>Experimental Data Recording</i>	7
2.1	<i>Separating data recording and analysis</i>	7
2.2	<i>Principles and power of structured data formats</i>	17
2.3	<i>The “tidy” data format</i>	26
2.4	<i>Designing templates for “tidy” data collection</i>	35
2.5	<i>Example: Creating a template for “tidy” data collection</i>	49
2.6	<i>Organizing project files</i>	63
2.7	<i>Creating project directory templates</i>	70
2.8	<i>Example: Creating a project template</i>	87
2.9	<i>Harnessing version control for transparent data recording</i>	103
2.10	<i>Enhance the reproducibility of collaborative research with version control platforms</i>	113
2.11	<i>Using Git and GitHub to implement version control</i>	121
3	<i>Experimental Data Preprocessing</i>	131
3.1	<i>Principles of pre-processing experimental data</i>	131
3.2	<i>Selecting software options for pre-processing</i>	142
3.3	<i>Introduction to scripted data pre-processing in R</i>	151
3.4	<i>Tips for improving reproducibility when writing R scripts</i>	161
3.5	<i>Simplify scripted pre-processing through R’s ‘tidyverse’ tools</i>	170
3.6	<i>Complex data types in experimental data pre-processing</i>	180

4 brooke anderson, michael lyons, mercedes gonzalez-juarrero, marcela henao-tamayo, and gregory
robertson

3.7 <i>Introduction to reproducible data pre-processing protocols</i>	189
3.8 <i>RMarkdown for creating reproducible data pre-processing protocols</i>	201
3.9 <i>Example: Creating a reproducible data pre-processing protocol</i>	217

4 <i>Bibliography</i>	233
-----------------------	-----

I

Overview of these modules

The NIH-Wide Strategic Plan (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, 2016) describes an integrative view of biology and human health that includes translational medicine, team science, and the importance of capitalizing on an exponentially growing and increasingly complex data ecosystem (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, 2018). Underlying this view is the need to use, share, and re-use biomedical data generated from widely varying experimental systems and researchers. Basic sources of biomedical data range from relatively small sets of measurements, such as animal body weights and bacterial cell counts that may be recorded by hand, to thousands or millions of instrument-generated data points from various imaging, -omic, and flow cytometry experiments. In either case, there is a generally common workflow that proceeds from measurement to data recording, pre-processing, analysis, and interpretation. However, in practice the distinct actions of data recording, data pre-processing, and data analysis are often merged or combined as a single entity by the researcher using commercial or open source spreadsheets, or as part of an often proprietary experimental measurement system / software combination (Figure 1.1), resulting in key failure points for reproducibility at the stages of data recording and pre-processing.

It is widely known and discussed among data scientists, mathematical modelers, and statisticians (Broman and Woo, 2018; Krishnan et al., 2016) that there is frequently a need to discard, transform, and reformat various elements of the data shared with them by laboratory-based researchers, and that data is often shared in an unstructured format, increasing the risks of introducing errors through reformatting before applying more advanced computational methods. Instead, a critical need for reproducibility is for the transparent and clear sharing across research teams of: (1) raw data, directly from hand-recording or directly output from experimental equipment; (2) data that has been pre-processed as necessary (e.g., gating for flow cytometry data, feature identification for metabolomics data), saved in a consistent, structured format, and (3) a clear and repeatable description of how the pre-processed data was generated from the raw data (Broman and Woo, 2018; Ellis and Leek, 2018).



Figure 1.1: Two scenarios where ‘black boxes’ of non-transparent, non-reproducible data handling exist in research data workflows at the stages of data recording and pre-processing. These create potential points of failure for reproducible research. Red arrows indicate where data is passed to other research team members, including statisticians / data analysts, often within complex or unstructured spreadsheet files.

To enhance data reproducibility, it is critical to create a clear separation among data recording, data pre-processing, and data analysis—breaking up commonly existing “black boxes” in data handling across the research process. Such a rigorous demarcation requires some change in the conventional understanding and use of spreadsheets and a recognition by biomedical researchers that recent advances in computer programming languages, especially the R programming language, provide user-friendly and accessible tools and concepts that can be used to extend a transparent and reproducible data workflow to the steps of data recording and pre-processing. Among our team, we have found that there are many common existing practices—including use of spreadsheets with embedded formulas that concurrently record and analyze experimental data, problematic management of project files, and reliance on proprietary, vendor-supplied point-and-click software for data pre-processing—that can interfere with the transparency, reproducibility, and efficiency of laboratory-based biomedical research projects, problems that have also been identified by others as key barriers to research reproducibility (Broman and Woo, 2018; Bryan, 2018; Ellis and Leek, 2018; Marwick et al., 2018). In these training modules, we have chosen topics that tackle barriers to reproducibility that have straightforward, easy-to-teach solutions, but which are still very common in biomedical laboratory-based research programs.

1.0.1 License

This book is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License, while all code in the book is under the MIT license.

2

Experimental Data Recording

This section includes modules on:

- Module 2.1: Separating data recording and analysis
- Module 2.2: Principles and power of structured data formats
- Module 2.3: The “tidy” data format
- Module 2.4: Designing templates for “tidy” data collection
- Module 2.5: Example: Creating a template for “tidy” data collection
- Module 2.6: Organizing project files
- Module 2.7: Creating project directory templates
- Module 2.8: Example: Creating a project template
- Module 2.9: Harnessing version control for transparent data recording
- Module 2.10: Enhance the reproducibility of collaborative research with version control platforms
- Module 2.11: Using Git and GitHub to implement version control

2.1 Separating data recording and analysis

Do you use spreadsheets within your scientific work? If so, you’re not alone. In fact, studies have surveyed scientists about their work practices, and they’ve found that spreadsheets are a common tool. Examples include surveys of over 250 biomedical researchers at the University of Washington (Anderson et al., 2007), and of neuroscience researchers at the University of Newcastle. In both these studies, most respondents reported that they used spreadsheets and other general-purpose software in their research (AlTarawneh and Thorne, 2017). A working group on bioinformatics and data-intensive science similarly found spreadsheets were the most common tool used across attendees (Barga et al., 2011).

These software tools, such as Microsoft Excel or Google Sheets, provide for manual or automated entry of data into rows and columns of cells. Standard or custom formulas and other operations can be applied to the cells, and are commonly used to reformat or clean the data, calculate various statistics, and to generate simple plots; all of which are embedded as additional data

entries and programming elements within the spreadsheet. While these tools greatly improved the paper worksheets on which they were originally based (Campbell-Kelly, 2007), this all-in-one practice impedes the transparency and reproducibility of both recording and analysis of the large and complex data sets that are routinely generated in life science experiments.

To improve the computational reproducibility of a research project, it is critical for biomedical researchers to learn the importance of maintaining recorded experimental data as “read-only” files, separating data recording from any data pre-processing or data analysis steps (Broman and Woo, 2018; Marwick et al., 2018).

In this module, we’ll talk about why spreadsheets are so popular, as well as some of their features that are beneficial for researchers. However, there are also many problems they can introduce, particularly when spreadsheets are used in a way that combines data collection with data pre-processing and analysis. We’ll walk through some of these problems, and in later modules we’ll walk you through alternatives, where spreadsheets are limited to recording data (if they’re used at all), while steps of pre-processing and analysis are done with other tools.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Explain why spreadsheets are a popular tool among scientists
- Explain the difference between data recording and data analysis
- Understand why collecting data on spreadsheets with embedded formulas impedes reproducibility
- Compare ways that spreadsheets can be used as a solid research tool versus other ways their use can be problematic
- Discuss downsides to using spreadsheets for data analysis in scientific research

2.1.1 Popularity of spreadsheets

All of us authors are old enough to remember when home computers were a novelty. When you first got a computer in your home, it opened up all kinds of new powers.

For one of us, one particularly exciting piece of software was something called *The Print Shop*. This software let you be an amateur graphic designer. You could design things like signs and invitations. Because the printer paper at the time was connected from one sheet to the next, with perforations between, you could even make long banners. “Happy Birthday” banners, “Congratulations” banners, “Welcome Home” banners: you could do it all. For someone who’d never had these tools before, it was thrilling.

This was evidently how early spreadsheet software made business executives feel. Before these programs, if an executive wanted to crunch some numbers, they’d have to send a request to their accounting department. The initial spreadsheet program (VisiCalc) disrupted this process. It allowed one person

to quickly apply and test different models or calculations on recorded data (Levy, 1984). These spreadsheet programs allowed non-programmers to engage with data, including data processing and analysis tasks, in a way that previously required programming expertise (Levy, 1984). With spreadsheet programs an executive could just play with the numbers themselves.

Because an early target for spreadsheet programs was these business executives, the programs were designed to be very simple and easy to use—just one step up in complexity from crunching numbers on the back of an envelope (Campbell-Kelly, 2007). Spreadsheet programs in fact became so popular within businesses that many attribute these programs with driving the uptake of personal computers (Campbell-Kelly, 2007).

Spreadsheets have become so popular in part because so many people know how to use them, at least in basic ways, and so many people have the software on their computers that files can be shared with almost a guarantee that everyone will be able to open the file on their own computer (Hermans et al., 2016). Spreadsheets use the visual metaphor of a traditional gridded ledger sheet (Levy, 1984), providing an interface that is easy for users to immediately understand and for which they can easily create a mental map (Birch et al., 2018; Barga et al., 2011). This visually clear interface also means that spreadsheets can be printed or incorporated into other documents “as-is”, as a workable and understandable table of data values. In fact, some of the most popular plug-in software packages for the early spreadsheet program Lotus 1-2-3 were programs for printing and publishing spreadsheets (Campbell-Kelly, 2007). This “What You See Is What You Get” interface was a huge advance from previous methods of data analysis for the first spreadsheet program, VisiCalc, providing a “window to the data” that was accessible to business executives and others without programming expertise (Creeth, 1985). Several surveys of researchers have found that spreadsheets were popular because of their simplicity and ease-of-use (Anderson et al., 2007; AlTarawneh and Thorne, 2017; Barga et al., 2011). By contrast, databases and scripted programming languages can be perceived as requiring a cognitive load and lengthy training that is not worth the investment when an easier tool is available (Hermans et al., 2016; Anderson et al., 2007; Myneni and Patel, 2010; Barga et al., 2011; Topaloglou et al., 2004).

Software tools like The Print Shop and spreadsheet programs were perfectly designed for amateurs to begin to do some of the things that otherwise required outsourcing to a professional. They are fantastic tools for amateur exploration. They make it fun to test out ideas.

However, these types of software tools are so easy and convenient to use that it can be tempting to let them replace more solid, production-level tools. It’s easy, in other words, to make them the *only* tool used to tackle a problem, rather than just the *first* tool to use to explore a solution. These tools are also often the cheapest option, either in monetary cost or in the time investment to learn them. However, they often fail when they’re used as a replacement for more solid options. This can be the case with spreadsheet

programs in biomedical research, where spreadsheets are often used not only as a straightforward way to record data (for which they can be a very solid tool), but also to develop complex pipelines that process and analyze the data once its been collected.

2.1.2 *Hazards of combining recording and analysis*

In some cases, researchers use spreadsheets solely to record data, as a simple type of database (Birch et al., 2018). However, biomedical researchers often use spreadsheets to both record and analyze experimental data (Anderson et al., 2007; Broman and Woo, 2018). In this case, data processing and analysis is implemented through the use of formulas and macros embedded within the spreadsheet.

When a spreadsheet has formulas or macros within it, the spreadsheet program creates an internal record of how cells are connected through these formulas. For example, say the value in a specific cell is converted from Fahrenheit to Celsius to fill a second cell, and then that value is combined with other values in a column to calculate the mean temperature across several observations. In this case, the spreadsheet program has internally saved how the later cells depend on the earlier ones. When you change the value recorded in a cell of a spreadsheet, the spreadsheet program queries this record and only recalculates the cells that depend on that cell. This process allows the program to quickly “react” to any change in cell inputs, immediately providing an update to all downstream calculations and analyses (Levy, 1984). Since early in their development, spreadsheet programs have also included *macros*, “a single computer instruction that stands for a sequence of operations” (Creeth, 1985).

There are some important downsides to using these tools within scientific research to create spreadsheets that combine data recording with data analysis. Those include:

- Raw data are often lost
- Analysis steps are often opaque
- There is a higher potential for errors in the analysis
- There are better software tools available for data analysis
- It will make it more difficult to collaborate with statisticians

Let's take a look at each of these.

Raw data often lost

One of the key tenets of ensuring that research is computationally reproducible is to always keep a copy of all raw data, as well as the steps taken to get from the raw data to a cleaned version of the data through to the results of data analysis. However, maintaining an easily accessible copy of all original raw data for a project is a common problem among biomedical researchers (Goodman et al., 2014), especially as team members move on from a laboratory group (Myneni and Patel, 2010). In fact, one study of operational spreadsheets found:

"The data used in most spreadsheets is undocumented and there is no practical way to check it. Even the original developer would have difficulty checking the data." (Powell et al., 2009)

One thing that can contribute to this problem is the use of spreadsheets to jointly record and analyze data. First, data in a spreadsheet is typically not saved as "read-only", so it is possible for it to be accidentally overwritten: in situations where spreadsheets are shared among multiple users, original cell values can easily be accidentally written over, and it may not be clear who last changed a value, when it was changed, or why (AlTarawneh and Thorne, 2017). Further, raw and processed data are combined in a spreadsheet, which makes it hard to identify which data points within the spreadsheet make up the raw data and which are the result of processing that raw data.

Another issue is that many spreadsheets use a proprietary format. In the development of spreadsheet programs, this use of proprietary binary file formats helped a software program keep users, increasing barriers for a user to switch to a new program (since the new program wouldn't be able to read their old files) (Campbell-Kelly, 2007). However, this file format may be hard to open in the future, as software changes and evolves (Michener, 2015); by comparison, plain text files should be widely accessible through general purpose tools—a text editor is a type of software available on all computers, for example—regardless of changes to proprietary software like Microsoft Excel.

Analysis steps are often opaque

To keep analysis steps clear—whether the calculation is being done in scripted code or in spreadsheets or in pen-and-paper calculations—it is important to document what is being done at each step and why (Goodman et al., 2014). Scripted languages allow for code comments, which are written directly into the script but not evaluated by the computer, and so can be used to document steps within the code without changing the operation of the code. Further, the program file itself often presents a linear, step-by-step view of the pipeline, stored separated from the data (Creeth, 1985). Calculations done with pen-and-paper (e.g., in a laboratory notebook) can be annotated with text to document the steps. Spreadsheets, on the other hand, are often poorly documented, or documented in ways that are hard to keep track of.

Within spreadsheets, the logic and methods behind the pipeline of data processing and analysis is often not documented, or only documented with cell comments (hard to see as a whole) or in emails, not the spreadsheet file. One study that investigated a large collection of spreadsheets found that most do not include documentation explaining the logic or implementation of data processing and analysis implemented within the spreadsheet (Hermans et al., 2016). A survey of neuroscience researchers at a UK institute found that about a third of respondents included no documentation for spreadsheets used in their research laboratories (AlTarawneh and Thorne, 2017).

When spreadsheet pipelines are documented, it is often through methods that are hard to find and interpret later. One study of scientific researchers

found that, when research spreadsheets were documented, it was often through “cell comments” added to specific cells in the spreadsheet, which can be hard to interpret inclusively to understand the flow and logic of a spreadsheet as a whole (AlTarawneh and Thorne, 2017).

In some cases, teams use email chains, rather than the document itself, to discuss and document functionality and changes in spreadsheets. They pass versions of the spreadsheet file as attachments of emails discuss the spreadsheet in the email body.

One research team investigated over 700,000 emails from employees of Enron that were released during legal proceedings (Hermans and Murphy-Hill, 2015). They specifically investigated the spreadsheets attached to these emails (over 15,000 spreadsheets) and how teams discussed the spreadsheets within the emails themselves . They found that the logic and methods of calculations within the spreadsheets were often documented within the bodies of emails. This means that, if someone needs to figure out why a step was taken or identify when an error was introduced into a spreadsheet, they must dig through the chain of old emails documenting that spreadsheet, rather than having the relevant documentation within the spreadsheet’s own file.

Adding to this issue is that data processing and analysis pipelines for spreadsheets are not carefully designed; instead, it’s more typically for spreadsheet user to start by directly entering data and formulas without a clear overall plan (AlTarawneh and Thorne, 2017). As a result, research spreadsheets are often not designed to follow a common structure for the research field or for the laboratory group (Anderson et al., 2007).

Another problem comes up because there may only be one person on the team who fully understands the spreadsheet: the person who created the spreadsheet (Myneni and Patel, 2010). This is particularly common if the spreadsheet includes complex macros or a complicated structure in the analysis pipeline (Creeth, 1985). This practice creates a heavy dependence on the person who created that spreadsheet anytime the data or results in that spreadsheet need to be interpreted. This is particularly problematic in projects where the spreadsheet will be shared for collaboration or adapted to be used in a future project, as is often done in scientific research groups. In this case, it can be hard to “onboard” new people to use the file, and much of the work and knowledge about the spreadsheet can be lost when that person moves on from the business or laboratory group (Creeth, 1985; Myneni and Patel, 2010).

If you share a spreadsheet with numerous and complex macros and formulas included to clean and analyze the data, it can take an extensive amount of time, and in some cases may be impossible, for the researcher you share it with to decipher what is being done to get from the original data input in some cells to the final results shown in others and in graphs. Further, if others can’t figure out the steps being done through macros and formulas in a spreadsheet, they will not be able to check it for problems in the logic of the overall analysis pipeline or for errors in the specific formulas used within that pipeline. They

also will struggle to extend and adapt the spreadsheet to be used for other projects. These problems come up not only when sharing with a collaborator, but also when reviewing spreadsheets that you have previously created and used (as many have noted, your most frequent collaborator will likely be “future you”). In fact, one survey of biomedical researchers at the University of Washington noted that,

“The profusion of individually created spreadsheets containing overlapping and inconsistently updated data created a great deal of confusion within some labs. There was little consideration to future data exchange of submission requirements at the time of publication.” (Anderson et al., 2007)

Potential for errors

Because spreadsheets often do a poor job of making the analysis steps transparent, they can be prone to bugs in analysis. As one early article on the history of spreadsheet programs notes:

“People tend to forget that even the most elegantly crafted spreadsheet is a house of cards, ready to collapse at the first erroneous assumption. The spreadsheet that looks good but turns out to be tragically wrong is becoming a familiar phenomenon.” (Levy, 1984)

Indeed, previous studies have found that errors are very common within spreadsheets (Hermans et al., 2016). For example, one study of 50 operational spreadsheets found that about 90% contained at least one error (Powell et al., 2009).

In part, it is easier to make errors in spreadsheets and harder to catch errors in later work with a spreadsheet because the formulas and connections between cells aren’t visible when you look at the spreadsheet—they’re behind the scenes (Birch et al., 2018). This makes it hard to get a clear and complete view of the pipeline of analytic steps in data processing and analysis within a spreadsheet, or to discern how cells are connected within and across sheets of the spreadsheet.

Some characteristics of spreadsheets may heighten chances for errors. These include high conditional complexity, which can result from lots of branching of data flow through if / else structures, as well as formulas that depend on a large number of cells or that incorporate many functions (Hermans et al., 2016). Following the logical chain of spreadsheet formulas can be particularly difficult when several calculations are chained in a row (Hermans and Murphy-Hill, 2015). In some cases, if you are trying to figure out very long chains of dependent formulas across spreadsheet cells, you may even have to sketch out by hand the flow of information through the spreadsheet to understand what’s going on (Nardi and Miller, 1990). When a spreadsheet uses macros, it can also make it particularly hard to figure out the steps of an analysis and to diagnose and fix any bugs in those steps (Nash, 2006; Creeth, 1985). One study investigated how spreadsheets are used in practice and noted that, “Many

spreadsheets are so chaotically designed that auditing (especially of a few formulas) is extremely difficult or impossible." (Powell et al., 2009)

In some cases, formula dependencies might span across different sheets of a spreadsheet file. These cross-sheet dependencies can make the analysis steps even more opaque (Hermans et al., 2016), as a change in the cell value of one sheet might not be immediately visible as a change in another cell on that sheet (the same is true for spreadsheets so large that all the cells in a sheet are not concurrently visible on the screen). Other common sources of errors included incorrect references to cells inside formulas and incorrect use of formulas (Powell et al., 2009) or errors introduced through the common practice of copying and pasting when developing spreadsheets (Hermans et al., 2016).

There are methods that have been brought from more traditional programming work into spreadsheet programming to try to help limit errors, including a tool called *assertions* that allows users to validate data or test logic within their spreadsheets (Hermans et al., 2016). However, these are often not implemented, in part perhaps because many spreadsheet users see themselves as "end-users", creating spreadsheets for their own personal use rather than as something robust to future use by others, and so don't seek out strategies adopted by programmers when creating stable tools for others to use (Hermans et al., 2016). In practice, though, a spreadsheet is often used much longer, and by more people, than originally intended. From early in the history of spreadsheet programs, users have shared spreadsheet files with interesting functionality with other users (Levy, 1984), and the lifespan of a spreadsheet can extend and extend—a spreadsheet created by one user for their own personal use can end up being used and modified by that person or others for years (Hermans et al., 2016).

Better software tools are available

While spreadsheets serve as a widely-used tool for data recording and analysis, in many cases spreadsheets programs are poorly suited to pre-process and analyze scientific data compared to other programs. As tools and interfaces continue to develop that make other software more user-friendly to those new to programming, scientists may want to reevaluate the costs and benefits, in terms of both time required for training and aptness of tools, of spreadsheet programs compared to scripted programming languages like R and Python.

Several problems have been identified with spreadsheet programs in the context of recording and, especially, analyzing scientific data. First, some statistical methods may be inferior to those available in other statistical programming language. Many statistical operations require computations that cannot be perfectly achieved with a computer, since the computer must ultimately solve many mathematical problems using numerical approximations (e.g., calculus). The choice of the algorithms used for these approximations heavily influence how closely a result approximates the true answer. Since the most popular spreadsheet program (Excel) is closed-source, it is hard to identify and diagnose such problems, and there is likely less of an incentive for problems in statistical

methodology to be fixed (rather than using development time and funds to increase easier-to-see functionality in the program).

A series of papers examined the quality of statistical methods in several statistical software programs, including Excel, starting in the 1990s (McCullough and Wilson, 1999; McCullough, 1999; McCullough and Wilson, 2002, 2005; McCullough and Heiser, 2008; Mélard, 2014). In the earliest studies, they found some concerns across all programs considered (McCullough and Wilson, 1999; McCullough, 1999). One of the biggest concerns, however, was that there was little evidence over the years that the identified problems in Excel were resolved, or at least improved, over time (McCullough, 2001; McCullough and Heiser, 2008). The authors note that there may be little incentive for checking and fixing problems with algorithms for statistical approximation in closed-source software like Excel, where sales might depend more on the more immediately evident functionality in the software, while problems with statistical algorithms might be less evident to potential users (McCullough, 2001).

Open-source software, on the other hand, offers pathways for identifying and fixing any problems in the software, including for statistical algorithms and methods implemented in the software's code. Since the full source code is available, researchers can closely inspect the algorithms being used and compare them to the latest knowledge in statistical computing methodology. Further, if an inferior algorithm is in use, most open-source software licenses allow a user to adapt and extend the software, including to implement better statistical algorithms.

Another problem is that spreadsheet programs can include automated functionality that's meant to make something easier for most users, but that might invisibly create some problems. A critical problem, for example, has been identified when using Excel for genomics data. When Excel encounters a cell value in a format that seems like it could be a date (e.g., "Mar-3-06"), it will try to convert that cell to a "date" class. Many software programs save date as this special "date" format, where it is printed and visually appears in a format like "3-Mar-06" but is saved internally by the program as a number (for Microsoft Excel, the number of days since January 1, 1900 (Willekens, 2013)). By doing this, the software can more easily undertake calculations with dates, like calculating the number of days between two dates or which of two dates is earlier. Bioinformatics researchers at the National Institutes of Health found that Excel was doing this type of automatic and irreversible date conversion for 30 gene names, including "MAR3" and "APR-4", resulting in these gene names being lost for further analysis (Zeeberg et al., 2004).

Avoiding this automatic date conversion required specifying that columns susceptible to these problems, including columns of gene names, should be retained in a "text" class in Excel's file import process. While this problem was originally identified and published in 2004 (Zeeberg et al., 2004), along with tips to identify and avoid the problem, a study in 2016 found that approximately a fifth of genomics papers investigated in a large-scale review had gene name

errors resulting from Excel automatic conversion, with the rate of errors actually increasing over time (Ziemann et al., 2016).

Other automatic conversion problems caused the loss of clone identifiers that were composed of digits and the letter “E” (Zeeberg et al., 2004; Welsh et al., 2017). These were assumed to be expressing a number using scientific notation and so automatically and irreversibly converted to a numeric class. Further automatic conversion problems can be caused by cells that start with an operator (e.g., “+ control”) or with leading zeros in a numeric identifier (e.g., “007”) (Welsh et al., 2017).

Finally, spreadsheet programs can be limited as analysis needs become more complex or large (Topaloglou et al., 2004). For example, spreadsheets can be problematic when integrating or merging large, separate datasets (Birch et al., 2018). Further, while spreadsheet programs continue to expand in their capacity for data, for very large datasets they continue to face limits that may be reached in practical applications (Birch et al., 2018)—until recently, for example, Excel could not handle more than one million rows of data per spreadsheet. Even when spreadsheets can handle larger data, their efficiency in running data processing and analysis pipelines across large datasets can be slow compared to code implemented with other programming languages.

Difficulty collaborating with statisticians

Modern biomedical researchers require large teams, with statisticians and bioinformaticians often included, to enable sophisticated processing and analysis of experimental data. However, the process of combining data recording and analysis, especially through the use of spreadsheet programs, can create barriers in working across disciplines. One group defined these issues as “data friction” and “science friction”—the extra steps and work required at each interface where data passes, for example, from a machine to analysis or from a collaborator in one discipline to one in a separate discipline (Edwards et al., 2011).

When collaborating with statisticians or bioinformaticians, one of the key sources of this “data friction” can result from the use of spreadsheets to jointly record and analyze experimental data. First, spreadsheets are easy to print or copy into another format (e.g., PowerPoint presentation, Word document), and so researchers often design spreadsheets to be immediately visually appealing to viewers. For example, a spreadsheet might be designed to include hierarchically organized headers (e.g., heading and subheading, some within a cell merged across several columns), or to show the result of a calculation at the bottom of a column of observations (e.g., “Total” in the last cell of the column) (Teixeira and Amaral, 2016). Multiple separate small tables might be included in the same sheet, with empty cells used for visual separation, or use a “horizontal single entry” design, where the headers are in the leftmost column rather than the top row (Teixeira and Amaral, 2016).

These spreadsheet design choices make it much more difficult for the contents of the spreadsheet to be read into other statistical programs. These types

of data require several extra steps in coding, in some cases fairly complex coding, with regular expressions or logical rules needed to parse out the data and convert it to the needed shape, before the statistical work can be done for the dataset. This is a poor use of time for a collaborating statistician, especially if it can be avoided through the design of the data recording template. Further, it introduces many more chances for errors in cleaning the data.

Further, information embedded in formulas, macros, and extra formatting like color or text boxes is lost when the spreadsheet file is input into other programs. Spreadsheets allow users to use highlighting to represent information (e.g., measurements for control animals shown in red, those for experiment animals in blue) and to include information or documentation in text boxes. For example, one survey study of biomedical researchers at the University of Washington included this quote from a respondent: “I have one spreadsheet that has all of my chromosomes … and then I’ve gone through and color coded it for homozygosity and linkage.” (Anderson et al., 2007) All the information encoded in this sheet through color will be lost when the data from the spreadsheet is read into another statistical program.

2.1.3 Approaches to separate recording and analysis

In the remaining modules in this section, we will present and describe techniques that can be used to limit or remove these problems. First, in the next few modules, we will walk through techniques to design data recording formats so that data is saved in a consistent format across experiments within a laboratory group, and in a way that removes “data friction” for collaboration with statisticians or later use in scripted code. These techniques can be immediately used to design a better spreadsheet to be used solely for data collection.

In later modules, we will discuss the use of project directories to coordinate data recording and analysis steps within a directory, while using separate files for data recording versus data processing and analysis. These more advanced formats will enable the use of quality assurance / control measures like testing of data entry and analysis functionality, better documentation of data analysis pipelines, and easy use of version control to track projects and collaborate transparently and with a recorded history.

2.2 Principles and power of structured data formats

Guru Madhavan, Senior Director of Programs at the National Academy of Engineering, wrote a book in 2015 called *Applied Minds: How Engineers Think*. In this book, he described a powerful tool for engineers—standards:

“Standards are for products what grammar is for language. People sometimes criticize standards for making life a matter of routine rather than inspiration. Some argue that standards hinder creativity and keep us slaves to the past. But try imagining a world without standards. From tenderloin beef cuts to the geometric design of highways, standards may diminish variety and authenticity,

but they improve efficiency. From street signs to nutrition labels, standards provide a common language of reason. From Internet protocols to MP3 audio formats, standards enable systems to work together. From paper sizes ... to George Laure's Universal Product Code, standards offer the convenience of comparability." (Madhavan, 2015)

Standards can be a powerful tool for biomedical researchers, as well, including when it comes to recording data. The format in which experimental data is recorded can have a large influence on how easy and likely it is to implement reproducibility tools in later stages of the research workflow. Recording data in a "structured" format brings many benefits. In this module, we will explain what makes a dataset "structured" and why this format is a powerful tool for reproducible research.

Every extra step of data cleaning is another chance to introduce errors in experimental biomedical data, and yet laboratory-based researchers often share experimental data with collaborators in a format that requires extensive additional cleaning before it can be input into data analysis (Broman and Woo, 2018). Recording data in a "structured" format brings many benefits for later stages of the research process, especially in terms of improving reproducibility and reducing the probability of errors in analysis (Ellis and Leek, 2018). Data that is in a structured, tabular, two-dimensional format is substantially easier for collaborators to understand and work with, without additional data formatting (Broman and Woo, 2018). Further, by using a consistent structured format across many or all data in a research project, it becomes much easier to create solid, well-tested code scripts for data pre-processing and analysis and to apply those scripts consistently and reproducibly across datasets from multiple experiments (Broman and Woo, 2018). However, many biomedical researchers are unaware of this simple yet powerful strategy in data recording and how it can improve the efficiency and effectiveness of collaborations (Ellis and Leek, 2018). In this module, we'll walk through several types of standards that can be used when recording biomedical data.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Define ontology, minimum information, and file format
- List the elements of a structured data format
- Explain how standards can improve scientific data recording
- Find existing ontologies for biological and biomedical research

2.2.1 *Data recording standards*

Many people and organizations (including funders) are excited about the idea of developing and using data standards. Good standards—ones that are widely adapted by researchers—can help in making sure that data submitted to data repositories are used widely and that software can be developed that is interoperable with data from many research groups.

For a simple example, think about recording dates. The minimum information standard for a date might always be the same—a recorded value must include the day of the month, month, and year. However, this information can be structured in a variety of ways. Often in scientific data, it's common to record this information going from the largest to smallest units, so March 12, 2006, would be recorded “2006-03-12”. Another convention (especially in the US) is to record the month first (e.g., “3/12/06”), while another (more common in Europe) is to record the day of the month first (e.g., “12/3/06”).

If you are trying to combine data from different datasets with dates, and all use a different structure, it's easy to see how mistakes could be introduced unless the data is very carefully reformatted. For example, March 12 (“3-12” with month-first, “12-3” with day-first) could be easily mistaken to be December 3, and vice versa. Even if errors are avoided, combining data in different structures will take more time than combining data in the same structure, because of the extra needs for reformatting to get all data in a common structure.

Standards can operate both at the level of individual research groups and at the level of the scientific community as a whole. The potential advantages of community-level standards are big: they offer the chance to develop common-purpose tools and code scripts for data analysis, as well as make it easier to re-use and combine experimental data from previous research that is posted in open data repositories. If a software tool can be reused, then more time can be spent in developing and testing it, and as more people use it, bugs and shortcomings can be identified and corrected. Community-wide standards can lead to databases with data from different experiments, and from different laboratory groups, structured in a way that makes it easy for other researchers to understand each dataset, find pieces of data of interest within datasets, and integrate different datasets (Lynch, 2008). Similarly, with community-wide standards, it can become much easier for different research groups to collaborate with each other or for a research group to use data generated by equipment from different manufacturers (Schadt et al., 2010). As an article on interoperable bioscience data notes,

“Without community-level harmonization and interoperability, many community projects risk becoming data silos.” (Sansone et al., 2012)

However, there are important limitations to community-wide standards, as well. It can be very difficult to impose such standards top-down and community-wide, particularly for low-throughput data collection (e.g., laboratory bench measurements), where research groups have long been in the habit of recording data in spreadsheets in a format defined by individual researchers or research groups. One paper highlights this point:

“The data exchange formats PSI-MI and MAGE-ML have helped to get many of the high-throughput data sets into the public domain. Nevertheless, from a bench biologist's point of view benefits from adopting standards are not yet overwhelming. Most standardization efforts are still mainly an investment for biologists.” (Brazma et al., 2006)

Further, in some fields, community-wide standards have struggled to remain stable, which can frustrate community members, as scripts and software must be revamped to handle shifting formats (Buffalo, 2015; Barga et al., 2011). In some cases, a useful compromise is to follow a general data recording format, rather than one that is very prescriptive. For example, committing to recording data in a format that is “tidy” (which we discuss extensively in the next module) may be much more flexible—and able to meet the needs of a large range of experimental designs—than the use of a common spreadsheet template or a more prescriptive standardized data format.

2.2.2 *Elements of a data recording standard*

Standards can clarify several elements: the vocabulary used within data, the content that should be included in a dataset, and the format in which that content is stored. One article names these three facets of a data standard as *ontologies*, *minimum information*, and *file formats* (Ghosh et al., 2011).

Ontology standards.

The first facet of a data standard is called an *ontology* (sometimes called a *terminology* (Sansone et al., 2012)). An ontology helps define a vocabulary that is controlled and consistent. It helps researchers, when they want to talk about an idea or thing, to use one word, and just one word, and to ensure that it will be the same word used by other researchers when they refer to that idea or thing. Ontologies also help to define the relationships between ideas or concrete things in a research area (Ghosh et al., 2011), but here we’ll focus on their use in provided a consistent vocabulary to use when recording data.

Let’s start with a very simple example to give you an idea of what an ontology is. What do you call a small mammal that is often kept as a pet and that has four legs and whiskers and purrs? If you are recording data that includes this animal, do you record this as “cat” or “feline” or maybe, depending on the animal, even “tabby” or “tom” or “kitten”? Similarly, do you record tuberculosis as “tuberculosis” or “TB” or maybe even “consumption”? If you do not use the same word consistently in a dataset to record an idea, then while a human might be able to understand that two words should be considered equivalent, a computer will not be able to immediately tell.

At a larger scale, if a research community can adapt an ontology—one they agree to use throughout their studies—it will make it easier to understand and integrate datasets produced by different research laboratories. If every research group uses the term “cat” in the example above, then code can easily be written to extract and combine all data recorded for cats across a large repository of experimental data. On the other hand, if different terms are used, then it might be necessary to first create a list of all terms used in datasets in the repository, then pick through that list to find any terms that are exchangeable with “cat”, then write script to pull data with any of those terms.

Several ontologies already exist or are being created for biological and

other biomedical research (Ghosh et al., 2011). For biomedical science, practice, and research, the BioPortal website (<http://bioportal.bioontology.org/>) provides access to over 1,000 ontologies, including several versions of the International Classification of Diseases, the Medical Subject Headings (MESH), the National Cancer Institute Thesaurus, the Orphanet Rare Disease Ontology and the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Organismal Classification. For each ontology in the BioPortal website, the website provides a link for downloading the ontology in several formats.

Try downloading one of the ontologies using a plaintext file format (the “CSV” choice in the download options at the BioPortal link). Once you do, you can open it in your favorite spreadsheet program and explore how it defines specific terms to use for each idea or thing you might need to discuss within that topic area, as well as synonyms for some of the terms.

To use an ontology when recording your own data, just make sure you use the ontology’s suggested terms in your data. For example, if you’d like to use the Ontology for Biomedical Investigations (<http://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OBI>) and you are recording how many children a woman has had who were born alive, you should name that column of the data “number of live births”, not “# live births” or “live births (N)” or anything else. Other collections of ontologies exist for fields of scientific research, including the Open Biological and Biomedical Ontology (OBO) Foundry (<http://www.obofoundry.org/>).

If there are community-wide ontologies in your field, it is worthwhile to use them in recording experimental data in your research group. Even better is to not only consistently use the defined terms, but also to follow any conventions with capitalization. While most statistical programs provide tools to change capitalization (for example, to change all letters in a character string to lower case), this process does require an extra step of data cleaning and an extra chance for confusion or for errors to be introduced into data.

Minimum information standards

Another part of a data standard is *minimum information*. Within a data recording standard, minimum information (sometimes also called *minimum reporting guidelines* (Sansone et al., 2012) or *reporting requirements* (Brazma et al., 2006)) specify what should be included in a dataset (Ghosh et al., 2011). Using minimum information standards help ensure that data within a laboratory, or data posted to a repository, contain a number of required elements. This makes it easier to re-use the data, either to compare it to data that a lab has newly generated, or to combine several posted datasets to aggregate them for a new, integrated analysis, considerations that are growing in importance with the increasing prevalence of research repositories and research consortia in many fields of biomedical science (Keller et al., 2017).

One article that discusses software for systems biology provides a definition as well as examples of minimum information within this field:

“Minimum information is a checklist of required supporting information for

datasets from different experiments. Examples include: Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment (MIAME), Minimum Information About a Proteomic Experiment (MIAPE), and the Minimum Information for Biological and Biomedical Investigations (MIBBI) project.” (Ghosh et al., 2011)

Standardized file formats

While using a standard ontology and a standard for minimum information is a helpful start, it just means that each dataset has the required elements somewhere, and using a consistent vocabulary—it doesn’t specify where those elements are in the data or that they’ll be in the same place in every dataset that meets those standards. As a result, datasets that all meet a common standard can still be very hard to combine, or to create common data analysis scripts and tools for, since each dataset will require a different process to pull out a given element.

Computer files serve as a way to organize data, whether that’s recorded datapoints or written documents or computer programs (Kernighan and Pike, 1984). A *file format* defines the rules for how the bytes in the chunk of memory that makes up a certain file should be parsed and interpreted anytime you want to meaningfully access and use the data within that file (Murrell, 2009). There are many file formats you may be familiar with—a file that ends in “.pdf” must be opened with a Portable Document Format (PDF) Reader like Adobe Acrobat, or it won’t make much sense (you can try this out by trying to open a “.pdf” file with a text editor, likeTextEdit or Notepad). The PDF Reader software has been programmed to interpret the data in a “.pdf” file based on rules defining what data is stored where in the section of computer memory for that file. Because most “.pdf” files conform to the same *file format* rules, powerful software can be built that works with any file in that format.

For certain types of biomedical data, the challenge of standardizing a format has similarly been addressed through the use of well-defined rules for not only the content of data, but also the way that content is structured. This can be standardized through *standardized file formats* (sometimes also called *data exchange formats* (Brazma et al., 2006)) and often defines not only the upper-level file format (e.g., use of a comma-separated plain text, or “.csv”, file format), but also how data within that file type should be organized. If data from different research groups and experiments is recorded using the same file format, researchers can develop software tools that can be repeatedly used to interpret and visualize that data. On the other hand, if different experiments record data using different formats, bespoke analysis scripts must be written for each separate dataset.

This is a blow not only to the efficiency of data analysis, but also a threat to the accuracy of that analysis. If a set of tools can be developed that will work over and over, more time can be devoted to refining those tools and testing them for potential errors and bugs, while one-shot scripts often can’t be curated with similar care. One paper highlights the problems that come with working with files that don’t follow a defined format:

"Vast swathes of bioscience data remain locked in esoteric formats, are described using nonstandard terminology, lack sufficient contextual information, or simply are never shared due to the perceived cost or futility of the exercise." (Sansone et al., 2012)

Some biomedical data file formats have been created to help smooth over the transfer of data that's captured by complex equipment into software that can analyze that data. For example, many immunological studies need to measure immune cell populations in experiments, and to do so they use piece of equipment called a flow cytometer that probes cells in a sample with lasers and measures resulting intensities to determine characteristics of that cell. The data created by this equipment are large (often measurements from several lasers are taken for a million or more cells in a single run). The data also are complex, as they need to record not only the intensity measurements from each laser, but also some metadata about the equipment and characteristics of the run.

If every model of flow cytometer used a different file format for saving the resulting data, then a different set of analysis software would need to be developed to accompany each piece of equipment. For example, a laboratory at a university with flow cytometers from two different companies would need licenses for two different software programs to work with data recorded by flow cytometers, and they would need to learn how to use each software package separately. There is a chance that software could be developed that used shared code for data analysis, but only if it also included separate sets of code to read in data from all types of equipment and to reformat them to a common format.

This isn't the case, however. Instead, there is a commonly agreed on file format that flow cytometers should use to record the data they collect, called the FCS file format. This format has been defined through a series of papers (e.g., Spidlen et al. (2021)), with several separate versions as the file format has evolved. It provides clear specifications regarding where to save each relevant piece of information in the block of memory devoted to the data recorded by the flow cytometer. As a result, people have been able to create software, both proprietary and open-source, that can be used with any data recorded by a flow cytometer, regardless of which company manufacturer the piece of equipment that was used to generate the data.

Other types of biomedical data also have some standardized file formats, including the FASTQ file format for sequencing data and the mzML file format for metabolomics data. In some cases these were defined by an organization, society, or initiative (e.g., the Metabolomics Standards Initiative) (Ghosh et al., 2011), while in some cases the file format developed by a specific equipment manufacturer has become popular enough that it's established itself as the standard for recording a type of data (Brazma et al., 2006).

2.2.3 Defining data recording standards for data recorded “by hand”

If some of the data you record from your experiments comes from complex equipment, like flow cytometers or mass spectrometers, you may be recording much of that data in a standardized format without any extra effort, because that format is the default output format for the equipment. However, you may have more control over other data recorded from your experiments, including smaller, less complex data that you record directly into a laboratory notebook or spreadsheet. You can derive a number of benefits from defining and using a standard for collecting these data, which one paper describes as the output of “traditional, low-throughput bench science” (Wilkinson et al., 2016).

When recording this type of data, the data may be written down in an *ad hoc* way—however the particular researcher doing the experiment thinks makes sense—and that format might change with each experiment, even if many experiments collect similar data. As a result, it becomes harder to create standardized data processing and analysis scripts that work with this data or to integrate the data with other data collected through the experiment. Further, if everyone in a laboratory sets up their spreadsheets for data recording in their own way, it is much harder for one person in the group to look at data another person recorded and immediately find what they need within the spreadsheet.

As a step in a better direction, the head of a research group may designate some common formats (e.g., a spreadsheet template) that all researchers in the group will use when recording the data from a specific type of experiments. One key advantage to using standardized data formats even for recording simple, “low-throughput” data is that everyone in the research group will be able to understand and work with data recorded by anyone else in the group—data will not become impenetrable once the person who recorded it leaves the group. Also, once a group member is used to the format, the process of setting up to record data from a new experiment will be quicker, as it won’t require the effort of deciding and setting up a *de novo* format for a spreadsheet or other recording file. Instead, a template file can be created that can be copied as a starting point for any new data recording.

It also allows your team to create tools or scripts that read in and analyze the data and that can be re-used across multiple experiments with minor or no changes. This helps improve the efficiency and reproducibility of data analysis, visualization, and reporting steps of the research project.

Developing these kinds of standards does require some extra time commitment (Brazma et al., 2006). First, time is needed to design the format, and it does take a while to develop a format that is inclusive enough that it has a place to put all data you might want to record for a certain type of experiment. Second, it will take some time to teach each laboratory member what the format is and some oversight to make sure they comply with it when they record data.

On the flip side, the longer-term advantages of using a defined, structured format will outweigh the short-term time investments for many laboratory

groups for frequently used data types. By creating and using a consistent structure to record data of a certain type, members of a laboratory group can increase their efficiency (since they do not need to re-design a data recording structure repeatedly). They can also make it easier for downstream collaborators, like biostatisticians and bioinformaticians, to work with their output, as those collaborators can create tools and scripts that can be recycled across experiments and research projects if they know the data will always come to them in the same format. One paper suggests that the balance can be found, in terms of deciding whether the benefits of developing a standard outweigh the costs, by considering how often data of a certain type is generated and used:

“To develop and deploy a standard creates an overhead, which can be expensive. Standards will help only if a particular type of information has to be exchanged often enough to pay off the development, implementation, and usage of the standard during its lifespan.” (Brazma et al., 2006)

These benefits are even more dramatic if data format standards are created and used by a whole research field (e.g., if a standard data recording format is always used for researchers conducting a certain type of drug development experiment). In that case, the tools built at one institution can be used at other institutions. However, this level of field-wide coordination can be hard to achieve, and so a more realistic immediate goal might be formalizing data recording structures within your research group or department, while keeping an eye out for formats that are gaining popularity as standards in your field to adopt within your group.

Once you commit to creating a defined, structured format, you'll need to decide what that structure should be. There are many options here, and it's very tempting to use a format that is easy on human eyes (Buffalo, 2015). For example, it may seem appealing to create a format that could easily be copied and pasted into presentations and Word documents and that will look nice in those presentation formats. To facilitate this use, a laboratory might set up a recording format based on a spreadsheet template that includes multiple tables of different data types on the same sheet, or multi-level column headings.

Unfortunately, many of these characteristics—which make a format attractive to human eyes—will make it harder for a computer to make sense of. For example, if you include two tables in the same spreadsheet, it might make it easier for a person to get a look at two small data tables without having to toggle to different parts of the spreadsheet. However, if you want to read that data into a statistical program (or work with a collaborator who would), it will likely take some complex code to try to tell the computer how to find the second table in the spreadsheet. The same applies if you include some blank lines at the top of the spreadsheet, or use multi-level headers, or use “summary” rows at the bottom of a table. Further, any information you've included with colors or with text boxes in the spreadsheet will be lost when the data's read into a statistical program. These design elements make it much harder to read

the data embedded in a spreadsheet into other computer programs, including programs for more complex data analysis and visualization, like R and Python.

As one article notes:

“Data should be formatted in a way that facilitates computer readability. All too often, we as humans record data in a way that maximizes its readability to us, but takes a considerable amount of cleaning and tidying before it can be processed by a computer. The more data (and metadata) that is computer readable, the more we can leverage our computers to work with this data.” (Buffalo, 2015)

One of the easiest format for a computer to read is a two-dimensional “box” of data, where the first row of the spreadsheet gives the column names, and where each row contains an equal number of entries. This type of two-dimensional tabular structure forms the basis for several popular “delimited” file formats that serve as a *lingua franca* across many simple computer programs, like the comma-separated values (CSV) format, the tab-delimited values (TSV) format, and the more general delimiter-separated values (DSV) format, which are a common format for data exchange across databases, spreadsheet programs, and statistical programs (Janssens, 2014; Raymond, 2003; Buffalo, 2015).

Any deviations from this two-dimensional “box” shape can create problems when a computer program tries to parse the data. For anything in a data format that requires extra coding when reading data into another program, you are introducing a new opportunity for errors at the interface between data recording and data analysis. If there are strong reasons to use a format that requires these extra steps, it will still be possible to create code to read in and parse the data in statistical programs, and if the same format is consistently used, then scripts can be developed and thoroughly tested to allow this. However, keep in mind that this will be an extra burden on any data analysis collaborators who are using a program besides a spreadsheet program. The extra time this will require could be large, since this code should be vetted and tested thoroughly to ensure that the data cleaning process is not introducing errors. By contrast, if the data is recorded in a two-dimensional format with a single row of column names as the first row, data analysts can likely read it quickly and cleanly into other programs, with low risks of errors in the transfer of data from the spreadsheet. In the next module, we’ll go into detail about a more refined format for these two-dimensional data called the tidy data format.

2.3 The “tidy” data format

In the previous module, we explained the benefits of saving data in a structured format, and in particular one that follows standards for your discipline. In this section, we’ll talk about the “tidy” data format. The tidy data format is one implementation of a tabular, two-dimensional structured data format that has quickly gained popularity among statisticians and data scientists since it was defined in a 2014 paper (Wickham, 2014).

These principles cover some basic rules for ordering the data, and even if you haven't heard the term *tidy data*, you may already be implementing many of its standards in your own datasets. Datasets in this format tend to be very easily to work with, including to further clean, model, and visualize the data, as well as to integrate the data with other datasets. In particular, this data format is compatible with a collection of open-source tools on the R platform called the *tidyverse*. These characteristics mean that, if you are planning to use a standardized data format for recording experimental data in your research group, you may want to consider creating one that adheres to the tidy data format.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- List characteristics defining the “tidy” structured data format
- Understand how to reformat a dataset to make it follow the “tidy” format
- Explain the difference between the a structured data format (general concept) and the “tidy” data format (one popular implementation)
- Understand benefits of recording data in a “tidy” format

2.3.1 Keeping things tidy

Adam Savage has built a career out of making things. He became famous as the host of the TV show *Mythbusters*, where a crew builds contraptions to test urban myths. For many years before that, he created models and special effects for movies. He has thought a lot about how to effectively work in teams to make things, and in 2019 he published a book about his life as a maker called *Every Tool is a Hammer* (Savage, 2020).

Among many insights, Savage focuses on the importance of tidying up as part of the creation process, saying “It’s time, when taken, that you might feel is slowing you down in the moment, but in fact is saving you time in the long run.” (Savage, 2020) He introduces a new word for the process of straightening up tools and materials—“knolling”. He borrowed the term from an artist, Tom Sachs, whose rules for his own workshop include, “Always Be Knolling”.

The idea of “knolling” includes a few key principles. First, only have what you need out. Put everything else somewhere else. Removing any extras makes it faster to find what you need when you need it. Second, for things you need, make sure they’re out and available. “Drawers are where things go to die,” Savage says, highlighting inefficiency when you have to look for things that are hidden from site as you work. Finally, organize the things that you have out. Put like things together, and arrange everything neatly, aligning things in parallel or perpendicular patterns, rather than piling it haphazardly.

Just as organizing tools and materials improves efficiency in a workshop, organizing your data can dramatically improve the efficiency of data pre-processing, analysis, and visualization. Indeed, “tidying up” your data can give such dramatic improvements that a number of researchers have developed systems and written papers that describe good organization schemes to use to tidy

up data (e.g., (Wickham, 2014)).

The principles for tidying up data follow some of the principles for knolling. For example, you want to make sure that you’re saving data in a file or spreadsheet that only includes the data, removing any of the extras. Lab groups will sometimes design spreadsheets for data collection that include a space for recording data, but also space for notes, embedded calculations, and plots. These extra elements can make it hard to extract and use the data itself. One way to tidy up a dataset is to remove any of these extra elements. While you can do this after you’ve collected your data, it’s more efficient to design a way to record your data in the first place without extra elements in the file or spreadsheet.

You can further tidy up your data format by reformatting it to follow the rules of a data format called the “tidy data” format. Just as Adam Savage’s “knolling” helps you find things when you need them, using a tidy data format puts elements of your data in the “right” place to be found by a powerful collection of tools called the *tidyverse*.

We’ll start this module by describing rules a dataset format must follow for it to be “tidy” and clarifying how you can set up your data recording to follow these rules. In later parts of this module, we’ll talk more about why it’s helpful to use a tidy data format, as well as a bit about the *tidyverse* tools that you can use with data in this format.

2.3.2 What makes data “tidy”?

The “tidy” data format describes one way to structure tabular data. The name follows from the focus of this data format and its associated set of tools—the “tidyverse”—on preparing and cleaning (“tidying”) data, in contrast to sets of tools more focused on other steps, like data analysis (Wickham, 2014). The word “tidy” is not meant to apply that other formats are “dirty”, or that they include data that is incorrect or subpar. In fact, the same set of datapoints could be saved in a file in a way that is either “tidy” (in the sense of (Wickham, 2014)) or untidy, depending only on how the data are organized across columns and rows.

Wickham notes in his article, where he first describes the tidy data format, that his ideas about this format evolved from seeing many examples of different ways that data could be organized within a two-dimensional structure. He notes:

“The development of tidy data has been driven by my experience from working with real-world datasets. With few, if any, constraints on their organization, such datasets are often constructed in bizarre ways. I have spent countless hours struggling to get such datasets organized in a way that makes data analysis possible, let alone easy.” (Wickham, 2014)

To help you understand the tidy data format that Wickham developed, let’s start with a checklist of rules that make a dataset tidy. Some of these are

drawn directly from the journal article that originally defined the data format (Wickham, 2014). Other rules are based on common untidy patterns that show up in data recording templates for laboratory research. The checklist is:

- Data are recorded in a tabular, two-dimensional format
- The data collection file or spreadsheet avoids extra elements like plots or embedded equations in the file
- Each observation forms a row
- Column headers are variable names, not values
- Each type of observational unit forms its own table
- Each variable forms a column
- A single variable is in a single column, not spread across multiple columns
- A column contains only one variable; multiple variables are not stored in one column
- Data types are consistent within a column

In module 2.1, we discussed the first two principles, highlighting how important it is to separate data collection from further steps of data processing and analysis. To start this module, we'll go through other items in this checklist, to help you understand what makes a dataset follow the tidy data format. We aim to help you be able to set up your data recording template to follow this format, as well as be able to tell when you work with data that others collect if it is in this format, and restructure it if not.

Tidy data, first, must be in a tabular format—that is, two-dimensional, with columns and rows, and with all rows and columns of the same length. If it's in a spreadsheet, it should be stored without any “extras”, like embedded plots and calculations. If you record data in a spreadsheet using a very basic strategy of saving a single table per spreadsheet, with the first row giving the column names, then your data will be in a tabular format. In general, if your recorded data looks “boxy”, it's probably in a two-dimensional tabular format.

There are some additional criteria for the tidy data format, though, and so not every structured, tabular dataset is in a tidy format. As Wickham notes in his paper defining the format,

“Most statistical datasets are rectangular tables made up of rows and columns ... [but] there are many ways to structure the same underlying data. ... Real datasets can, and often do, violate the three precepts of tidy data in almost every way imaginable.” (Wickham, 2014)

First, each row of a tidy dataset records the values for a single observation (Wickham, 2014). To figure out if your data format follows this rule, it's important to determine the *unit of observation* of that data, which is the unit at which you take measurements (Sedgwick, 2014). This idea is different than the *unit of analysis*, which is the unit that you're focusing on in your study hypotheses and conclusions (this is sometimes also called the “sampling unit” or “unit of investigation”) (Altman and Bland, 1997). In some cases, these two might

be equivalent (the same unit is both the unit of observation and the unit of measurement), but often they are not (Sedgwick, 2014). Sedgwick notes:

"The unit of observation and unit of analysis are often confused. The unit of observation, sometimes referred to as the unit of measurement, is defined statistically as the 'who' or 'what' for which data are measured or collected. The unit of analysis is defined statistically as the 'who' or 'what' for which information is analysed and conclusions are made." (Sedgwick, 2014)

As an example, say you are testing how the immune system of mice responds to a certain drug over time. In this case, the unit of analysis might be the drug, or a combination of drug and dose—ultimately, you may want to test something like if one drug is more effective than another. To answer this research question, you likely have several replicates of mice in each treatment group. If a separate mouse (replicate) is used to collect each observation, and a mouse is never measured twice (i.e., at different time points, or for a different infection status), then the unit of measurement—the level at which each data point is collected—is the mouse. This is because each mouse is providing a single observation to help answer the larger research question.

As another example, say you conducted a trial on human subjects, to see how a certain treatment affects the speed of recovery, where each study subject was measured at different time points. In this case, the unit of observation is the combination of study subject and time point (while the unit of analysis is the treatment). That means that Subject 1's measurement at Time 1 would be one observation, and the same person's measurement at Time 2 would be a separate observation. For a dataset to comply with the tidy data format, these two observations would need to be recorded on separate lines in the data. If the data instead had different columns to record each study subject's measurements at different time points, then the data would still be tabular, but it would not be tidy.

[No values in column names]

In the example of human subjects measured at repeated time points, you may initially find the tidy format unappealing, because it seems like it would lead to a lot of repeated data. For example, if you wanted to record each study subject's sex, it seems like the tidy format would require you to repeat that information in each separate line of data that's used to record the measurements for that subject for different time points. This isn't the case—instead, with a tidy data format, different "levels" of data observations should be recorded in separate tables (Wickham, 2014). In other words, you should design a separate table for each unit of observation if you have data at several of these units for your experiment. For example, if you have some data on each study subject that does not change across the time points of the study—like the subject's ID, sex, and age at enrollment—those form a separate dataset, one where the unit of observation is the study subject, so there should be just one row of data per study subject in that data table, while the measurements for each time point should be recorded in a separate data table. A unique identifier, like a subject

ID, should be recorded in each data table so it can be used to link the data in the two tables. If you are using a spreadsheet to record data, this would mean that the data for these separate levels of observation should be recorded in separate sheets, and not on the same sheet of a spreadsheet file. Once you read the data into a scripting language like R or Python, it will be easy to link the larger and smaller tidy datasets as needed for analysis, visualizations, and reports.

Next, for a dataset to be tidy, each column should be used to measure a separate characteristic or measurement (*a variable*) for each measurement (Wickham, 2014). A column could either give characteristics of the data that were pre-defined by the study design—for example, the treatment assigned to a mouse (a type of variable called a *fixed variable*, since its value was fixed before the start of the experiment) or observed measurements, like the level of infection measured in an animal (a type of variable called a *measured variable*, since its value is determined through the experiment) (Wickham, 2014).

[One and only one variable in a column]

[Consistent data type in column (e.g., don't combine numeric with character comments)]

2.3.3 Why make your data tidy?

This may all seem like a lot of extra work to make a dataset tidy, and why bother if you already have it in a structured, tabular format? It turns out that, once you get the hang of what gives data a tidy format, it's pretty simple to design recording formats that comply with these rules. What's more, when data are in a tidy format, they can be directly input into a collection of tools in R that belong to something called the *tidyverse*.

R's *tidyverse* framework enables powerful and user-friendly data management, processing, and analysis by combining simple tools to solve complex, multi-step problems (Ross et al., 2017; Silge and Robinson, 2016; Wickham, 2016; Wickham and Grolemund, 2016). Since the *tidyverse* tools are simple and share a common interface, they are easier to learn, use, and combine than tools created in the traditional base R framework (Ross et al., 2017; Lowndes et al., 2017; McNamara, 2016). This *tidyverse* framework is quickly becoming the standard taught in introductory R courses and books (Hicks and Irizarry, 2017; Baumer, 2015; Kaplan, 2018; Stander and Dalla Valle, 2017; McNamara, 2016), ensuring ample training resources for researchers new to programming, including books (e.g., (Baumer et al., 2017; Irizarry and Love, 2016; Wickham and Grolemund, 2016)), massive open online courses (MOOCs), on-site university courses (Baumer, 2015; Kaplan, 2018; Stander and Dalla Valle, 2017), and Software Carpentry workshops (Wilson, 2014; Pawlik et al., 2017). Further, tools that extend the *tidyverse* have been created to enable high-quality data analysis and visualization in several domains, including text mining (Silge and Robinson, 2017), microbiome studies (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013), natural language

processing (Arnold, 2017), network analysis (Tyner et al., 2017), ecology (Hsieh et al., 2016), and genomics (Yin et al., 2012).

The tidyverse is a collection of tools united by a common philosophy: very complex things can be done simply and efficiently with small, sharp tools that share a common interface. Zev Ross, in an article about tidy tools and how they can declutter a workflow, notes:

“The philosophy of the tidyverse is similar to and inspired by the “unix philosophy”, a set of loose principles that ensure most command line tools play well together. ... Each function should solve one small and well-defined class of problems. To solve more complex problems, you combine simple pieces in a standard way.” (Ross et al., 2017)

The tidyverse isn’t the only popular system that follows this philosophy—one other favorite is Legos. Legos are small, plastic bricks, with small studs on top and tubes for the studs to fit into on the bottom. The studs all have the same, standardized size and are all spaced the same distance apart. Therefore, the bricks can be joined together in any combination, since each brick uses the same *input format* (studs of the standard size and spaced at the standard distance fit into the tubes on the bottom of the brick) and the same *output format* (again, studs of the standard size and spaced at the standard distance at the top of the brick). Because of this design, bricks can be joined regardless of whether the bricks are different colors or different heights or different widths or depths. With Legos, even though each “tool” (brick) is very simple, the tools can be combined in infinite variations to create very complex structures.

The tools in the tidyverse operate on a similar principle. They all input a tidy dataset (or a column from a tidy dataset) and they (almost) all output data in the same format they input it. For most of the tools, their required format for input and output is the tidy data format (Wickham, 2014), called a *tidy dataframe* in R—this is a dataframe that follows the rules detailed earlier in this section.

This common input / output interface, and the use of small tools that follow this interface and can be combined in various ways, is what makes the tidyverse tools so powerful. However, there are other good things about the tidyverse that make it so popular. One is that it’s fairly easy to learn to use the tools, in comparison to learning how to write code for other R tools (Robinson, 2017; Peng, 2018). This is because the developers who have created the tidyverse tools have taken a lot of effort to try to make sure that they have a clear and consistent *user interface* (Wickham, 2017; Bryan and Wickham, 2017).

To help understand a user interface, and how having a consistent user interface across tools is useful, let’s think about a different example—cars. When you drive a car, you get the car to do what you want through the steering wheel, the gas pedal, the break pedal, and different knobs and buttons on the dashboard. When the car needs to give you feedback, it uses different gauges on the dashboard, like the speedometer, as well as warning lights and sounds. Collectively, these ways of interacting with your car make up the car’s user

interface. In the same way, each function in a programming language has a collection of parameters you can set, which let you customize the way the function runs, as well as a way of providing you output once the function has finished running and the way to provide any messages or warnings about the function's run. For functions, the software developer can usually choose design elements for the function's user interface, including which parameters to include for the function, what to name those parameters, and how to provide feedback to the user through messages, warnings, and the final output.

If tools are similar in their user interfaces, it will make it easier for users to learn and use any of the tools once they've learned how to use one. For cars, this explains how the rental car business is able to succeed. Even though different car models are very different in many characteristics—their engines, their colors, their software—they are very consistent in their user interfaces. Once you've learned how to drive one car, when you get in a new car, the gas pedal, brake, and steering wheel are almost guaranteed to be in about the same place and to operate about the same way as in the car you learned to drive in. The exceptions are rare enough to be memorable—think how many movies have a laughline from a character trying to drive a car with the driver side on the opposite side of what they're used to.

The tidyverse tools are similarly designed so that they all have a very similar user interface. For example, many of the tidyverse functions use a parameter named ".data" to refer to the input data. Similarly, parameters named ".vars" and ".funs" are repeatedly used over tidyverse functions, with the same meaning in each case. What's more, the tidyverse functions are typically given names that very clearly describe the action that the function does, like filter, summarize, mutate, and group. As a result, the final code is very clear and can almost be "read" as a natural language, rather than code. As Jenny Bryan notes, in an article on data science:

"The Tidyverse philosophy is to rigorously (and ruthlessly) identify and obey common conventions. This applies to the objects passed from one function to another and to the user interface each function presents. Taken in isolation, each instance of this seems small and unimportant. But collectively, it creates a cohesive system: having learned one component you are more likely to be able to guess how another different component works." (Bryan and Wickham, 2017)

Many people who teach R programming now focus on first teaching the tidyverse, given these characteristics (Robinson, 2017; Peng, 2018), and it's often a first focus for online courses and workshops on R programming. Since its main data structure is the tidy data structure, it's often well worth recording data in this format so that all these tools can easily be used to explore and model the data.

2.3.4 Using tidyverse tools with data in the tidy data format

When you download R, you get what's called *base R*. This includes the main code that drives anything you do in R, as well as functions for doing many core tasks. However, the power of R is that, in addition to base R, you can also add onto R through what are called *packages* (sometimes also referred to as *extensions* or *libraries*). These are kind of like "booster packs" that add on new functions for R. They can be created and contributed by anyone, and many are collected through a few key repositories like CRAN and Bioconductor.

All the tidyverse tools are included in R extension packages, rather than base R, so once you download R, you'll need to download these packages as well to use the tidyverse tools. The core tidyverse functions include functions to read in data (the `readr` package for reading in plain text, delimited files, `readxl` to read in data from Excel spreadsheets), clean or summarize the data (the `dplyr` package, which includes functions to merge different datasets, make new columns as functions of old ones, and summarize columns in the data, either as a whole or by group), and reformat the data if needed to get it in a tidy format (the `tidyverse` package). The tidyverse also includes more precise tools, including tools to parse dates and times (`lubridate`) and tools to work with character strings, including using regular expressions as a powerful way to find and use certain patterns in strings (`stringr`). Finally, the tidyverse includes powerful functions for visualizing data, based around the `ggplot2` package, which implements a "grammar of graphics" within R. We cover some tidyverse tools you may find helpful for pre-processing biomedical data in module 3.5.

You can install and load any of these tidyverse packages one-by-one using the `install.packages` and `library` functions with the package name from within R. If you are planning on using many of the tidyverse packages, you can also install and load many of the tidyverse functions by installing a package called `tidyverse`, which serves as an umbrella for many of the tidyverse packages.

In addition to the original tools in the tidyverse, many people have developed *tidyverse extensions*—R packages that build off the tools and principles in the tidyverse. These often bring the tidyverse conventions into tools for specific areas of science. For example, the `tidytext` package provides tools to analyze large datasets of text, including books or collections of tweets, using the tidy data format and tidyverse-style tools. Similar tidyverse extensions exist for working with network data (`tidygraph`) or geospatial data (`sf`). Extensions also exist for the visualization branch of the tidyverse specifically. These include `ggplot` extensions that allow users to create things like calendar plots (`sugrrants`), gene arrow maps (`gggene`), network plots (`igraph`), phylogenetic trees (`ggtree`) and anatogram images (`gganatogram`). These extensions all allow users to work with data that's in a tidy data format, and they all provide similar user interfaces, making it easier to learn a large set of tools to do a range of data analysis and visualization, compared to if the set of tools lacked this coherence.

2.3.5 Discussion questions

What are your main considerations when you decide how to record your data?

Based on the reading, can you define the tidy data format? Were you familiar with this format before preparing for this discussion? Do you use some of these principles when recording your own data?

Describe advantages, as well as potential limitations, of storing data in a tidy data format

In data that you have collected, can you think of examples when the data collection format included extra elements, beyond simply space for recording the data? Examples might include plots, calculations, notes, and highlighting.

What were some of the advantages of having these extra elements in the template? Based on the reading or your own experience, what are some disadvantages to including these extra elements in a data collection template?

In research collaborations, have you experienced a case where the data format for one researcher created difficulties for the other?

2.4 Designing templates for “tidy” data collection

In this module, we will use a real example of data collected in a biomedical laboratory. We'll use this example to show how data is often collected in a way that is not “tidy” (module 2.3), focusing on the features of data collection that make it “untidy”. We'll then describe some general principles for why and how to instead create and use tidy (or at least tidier) templates to collect data in the laboratory. We'll also show how this can be the first step in a pipeline to creating useful, attractive, and reproducible reports that describe the data you collected. This module will focus on the principles of templates for tidy data collection, while in the next module we'll dig deeper into the details of making this conversion for the example dataset that we use as a demonstration in this module.


Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Detect features in a data collection template that keep a dataset from being “tidy”
- Discuss how “untidy” data collection can affect the rigor and reproducibility of data recording
- Distinguish “untidy” features of a data collection templates into those that can affect rigor and reproducibility versus those that can easily be addressed later in the code pipeline
- Discuss three principles for designing a data collection template for “tidy” data collection
- List “extra” elements that are sometimes included in a data collection spreadsheet but that can impede reproducibility
- Compare “wide” versus “long” data formats
- Explain why certain characters and formatting in a data collection template

may cause problems with later analysis

2.4.1 Example—Data on rate of bacterial growth

Throughout this module, we'll use a real dataset to illustrate principles of data collection in a biomedical laboratory. First, let's start by looking at the original data collection template, and use this to walk through some details of this dataset. Figure 2.1 provides an annotated view of the data set, showing the format used when the data were originally collected.



These data were collected to measure the compare growth yield and doubling time of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (the bacteria that causes tuberculosis in humans) under two conditions—high oxygen and low oxygen. In humans, *M. tuberculosis* can persist for years or decades in granulomas, and the centers of these granulomas are often hypoxic (low in oxygen). Therefore, it's important to understand how these bacteria grow in hypoxic conditions.

To conduct this experiment, the researchers used test tubes that were capped with sealed caps to prevent air exchange between the contents of the tube and the environment. Inside the tubes, the amount of oxygen was controlled by shifting the ratio of the volume of the culture (the liquid with nutrients in which the *M. tuberculosis* will grow) versus the volume of air. In the high oxygen condition, a lower volume of culture was used, which leaves room for a lot of air in the top of the tube. In the low oxygen condition, the tube was filled almost to the top with culture, which left very little air at the top

Figure 2.1: Example of an Excel spreadsheet used to record and analyze data for a laboratory experiment. Annotations highlight where data is entered by hand, where calculations are done by hand, and where embedded Excel formulas are used. The figures are created automatically using values in a specified column.

of the tube.

Once the tubes were filled and capped, they were left to grow for about a week. During this time, the researchers took several measurements to determine the growth of the bacteria in each tube. To do this, they used a spectrophotometer to track optical density over time. This method gives a measurement that is directly proportional to the cell mass in each tube, and so provides a measure of how much the bacteria has grown since the start of the experiment.

To record data from this experiment, researchers used the spreadsheet shown in Figure 2.1. This spreadsheet is an example of a data collection template—it was created not only for this experiment, but also for other experiments that this research group conducts to measure bacterial growth under different conditions. It was designed to allow a researcher working in the laboratory to record measurements over the course of the experiment.

Let's take a closer look at some of the features of this spreadsheet. First, it has a section on the top right that focuses on data collection during the experiment, with one row for each time when the tubes were measured for the cell mass. This section of the spreadsheet starts with several columns related to the time of each measurement, including the clock time at measurement (column A), the difference in time (hours) between each time point in which data were collected (column B), the date on which data were gathered (column C), and the time in hours for each data point from the start of the study for graphing purposes (column D). The columns for clock time (A) and date (C) were recorded by hand, while the columns for time since the start of the experiment (B and D) were calculated or converted by hand from these values and then entered in the column. The remaining columns (E–I) provide data on the optical density (absorbance at 600 nm), which is directly proportional to cell mass in the tube. There is one column per test tub, and each of these column labels includes a test tube ID (A1, A3, L1, L2, L3). If a tube ID starts with “A”, it was grown in high oxygen conditions, and if it starts with “L”, it was grown in low oxygen conditions.

Next, the spreadsheet has areas that provide summaries of the data, calculated using embedded formulas or through the spreadsheet’s plotting functions. For example, rows 17–18 provide calculations of the doubling time of the bacteria in each tube for two periods (early and late in the experiment), while two growth curves are plotted at the bottom of the spreadsheet.

Finally, the spreadsheet includes a couple of other features, including some written notes about one of the hand calculations and a macro in the top right that can be used by the researcher to calculate the amount of the initial inoculum to add to each tube at the start of the experiment.

What the researchers found appealing about the format of this spreadsheet was the ease with which the researcher collecting data in the laboratory could accomplish the study goals. The data being graphed in real time, and the inclusion of a simple macro to calculate doubling time, allowed the research in the

laboratory to see tangible differences between the two assay conditions as data were collected over the one-week experiment. They also cited the and ease with which additional sampling data points could be added.

However, many of these features can have undesired consequences. They can increase the chance of errors in recording the data and in calculating summaries based on the data. They also make it hard to move the data into a reproducible pipeline, and so limit opportunities for more sophisticated analysis and visualization. In the next section of this module, we'll highlight features of data collection templates like this one that can make data collection untidy. In the next module (module 2.5), we'll discuss how you could create a new data collection template for this example data that would be tidier, and use this to open a more general discussion of principles of tidy data collection templates.

2.4.2 *Features that make data collection templates untidy*


There are several features of the data collection template shown in Figure 2.1 that make it untidy. These will make it difficult read the data into a statistical program like R or Python to conduct data analysis and visualization. There are also some features that make it prone to errors in data collection and analysis.

First, these data will be hard to read into a statistical program because the raw data form only part of the spreadsheet (Figure 2.2, area highlighted by the blue box). The “extra” elements on the spreadsheet, which include the output from calculations, plots, macros, and notes, make it harder to isolate the raw data from the file when using a statistical program.

While these extra elements make it hard to extract the raw data, it isn’t impossible. Programming languages like R include functions to read data in from a spreadsheet, and these functions often provide options to specify the sheet of the file to read in, as well as the rows and columns to read from a specific sheet. In the example spreadsheet in Figure 2.2, for example, you could specify to read in only rows 1–15 of columns A–I, to focus on the raw data.

However, one goal of reproducible research is to create tools and pipelines that are robust—that is, ones that still work as desired when the raw data is changed in small ways, or even across different raw data files. Therefore, while we could customize code to read in data from a specific part of a complex spreadsheet, like that shown in Figure 2.2, this customization would make the code less robust. If we asked the statistical program to read in rows 1–15 of columns A–I, for example, the code would perform incorrectly if we later added one more time point to the experiment, or if we tried to use the same template for an experiment that used more test tubes. If we instead use a template that only records the raw data, without additional elements, then we can create more robust tools, since we can write code to read in whatever is in a spreadsheet, rather than restricting to certain rows and columns.

Next, the example template helps demonstrate how specific ways of recording data can make the template less tidy. First, let’s look at how the template



records the time of each measurement. It does this using four separate columns (Figure 2.2). In column C, the researcher records the date a measurement was taken, and in Column A he or she records the clock time of the measurement. The experiment was started, for example, at 12:00 PM ("12:00" in column A) on July 9 ("9-Jul" in column C). These values are entered by hand by the researcher. Next, these values are used to calculate, for each measurement, how long it had been since the start of the experiment. This value is recorded in two separate ways—as hours and minutes in column B and converted into hours and percents of hours (using decimals) in column D. For example, the second measurement was taken at 4:05 PM on July 9 ("16:05" in column A and "9-Jul" in column C), which is 4 hours and 5 minutes after the start of the experiment ("4hr 5min" in column B) or, since 5 minutes is about 8% of an hour, 4.08 hours after the start of the experiment ("4.08" in column D).

There are a few things that could be changed about how the time data are recorded here that could make this data collection template tidier. First, it would be better to focus only on recording the raw data, rather than adding calculations based on that data. Columns B and D in Figure 2.2 are both the output from calculations. Anytime a spreadsheet includes a calculation, it creates the room for mistakes in data collection and analysis. Often, calculations in a spreadsheet will be done using embedded formulas. These can cause problems when new columns or rows are added to the data, as that can shift the cells meant to be used in the calculation. Further, these formulas are embedded

Figure 2.2: Isolating raw data collected in a template from extra elements. The box in this figure highlights the area of the spreadsheet where data are collected. All other elements of the spreadsheet focus on other aims (e.g., summarizing these data, adding notes, macros for experimental design). Those other elements make it difficult to extract the raw data for more advanced analysis and visualization through a statistical program like R, Python, or Perl.

The screenshot shows a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet with the following data:

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Time (clock)	delta hours bw tps	date	Time (h)	VA001 (A1)	VA001 (A3)
2	12:00		9-Jul	0.00	0.020	0.020
3	16:05	4hr 5min	9-Jul	4.08	0.027	0.020
4	9:50	17hr 45min	10-Jul	21.83	0.087	0.087
5	16:50	7hr 00min	10-Jul	28.83	0.129	0.137
6	10:03	17hr 15min	11-Jul	46.08	0.247	0.258
7	16:07	5hr 56min	11-Jul	52.02	0.299	0.302
8	9:48	17hr 41min	12-Jul	69.70	0.410	0.428
9	16:30	6hr 42min	12-Jul	76.40	0.426	0.432
10	10:35	18hr 5min	13-Jul	94.48	0.442	0.444
11	17:52	7hr 17min	13-Jul	101.60	0.448	0.450
12	13:10	19hr 18min	14-Jul	120.90	0.436	0.448
13	10:27	21hr 17min	15-Jul	142.18	0.434	0.45
14	16:42	6hr 15min	15-Jul	148.43	0.428	0.45
15	9:28	16hr 46min	16-Jul	165	0.42	0.448
16	doubling time					
17		early (24h to 65h)	DT (hrs)	16.95	16.81	
18		late (past 45 hrs)	DT (hrs)	38.55	40.77	
19						

Figure 2.3: Measurements of time in the example data collection template. The four highlighted columns (columns A, B, C, and D) are all used in this spreadsheet to record time. The methods of recording time in this template, however, may make it more likely to create errors in data recording and collection and will make it harder to use the data in a reproducible pipeline.

in the spreadsheet, where they can't be seen and checked very easily, which makes it easy to miss a typo or other error in the formula.

In the example in Figure 2.2, columns B and D aren't calculated by embedded formulas, but rather calculated by the researcher by hand and then entered. This creates room for user error with each calculation and data entry. Later, we'll see how we can tidy this data collection template by removing columns that calculate time (columns B and D) and instead doing that calculation once the raw data are read into a statistical program.

The second thing that could be changed is how the template records the date and time of the measurement. Currently, it uses two columns (A and C) to record this information. However, each piece of information is useless without the other—instead, they must be known jointly to do things like calculate the time since the start of the experiment. It would therefore be tidier to record this information in a single column. For example, instead of recording the starting time of the experiment as "12:00" in column A and "9-Jul" in column C, you could record it as "July 9, 2019 12:00" in a single date-time column. In this example, adding the year ("2019") to the date will also make this data point easier to work with in a programming language, as languages like R and Python often have special functions to work with data in date-time classes, but all elements of the date and/or time must be included to convert data points into these useful formats.

Next, let's look at how the template collects data related to cell growth in each tube (columns E–I, Figure 2.4). These data are recorded in a format that will work pretty well. Strictly speaking, they aren't fully tidy (module 2.3), since the column headers include information that we might want to use as variables

in analysis and visualization. Specifically, each test tube's ID is incorporated in the column name where measurements for that tube are recorded, since each test tube is recorded using a separate column.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
1	Time (clock)	delta hours bw tps	date	Time (h)	VVA001 (A1)	VVA001 (A3)	VVA001 (L1)	VVA001 (L2)	VVA001 (L3)	
2	12:00			9-Jul 0.00	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	
3	16:05	4hr 5min		9-Jul 4.00	0.027	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.027	
4	9:50	17hr 45min		10-Jul 21.83	0.087	0.087	0.057	0.082	0.086	
5	16:50	7hr 00min		10-Jul 28.83	0.129	0.137	0.072	0.104	0.111	
6	10:03	17hr 15min		11-Jul 46.00	0.247	0.258	0.102	0.114	0.126	notes:
7	16:07	5hr 56min		11-Jul 52.00	0.299	0.302	0.110	0.110	0.126	
8	9:48	17hr 41min		12-Jul 69.70	0.410	0.428	0.137	0.111	0.131	he delta h
9	16:30	6hr 42min		12-Jul 76.40	0.426	0.432	0.138	0.110	0.130	
10	10:35	18hr 5min		13-Jul 94.40	0.442	0.444	0.138	0.100	0.125	
11	17:52	7hr 17min		13-Jul 101.60	0.448	0.450	0.143	0.103	0.131	
12	13:10	19hr 18min		14-Jul 120.90	0.436	0.448	0.144	0.100	0.131	
13	10:27	21hr 17min		15-Jul 142.10	0.434	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129	
14	16:42	6hr 15min		15-Jul 148.40	0.428	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129	
15	9:28	16hr 46min		16-Jul 169.00	0.42	0.448	0.145	0.095	0.128	
16	doubling time			early (24h to 65h)	DT (hrs)	16.95	16.81	31.82	71.22	54.78
17				late (past 45 hrs)	DT (hrs)	38.55	40.77	69.52	-588.33	672.39
18										

If we want to run analysis where we estimate values for each test tube, or create plots where each test tube's measurements are shown with a separate line, then once we read the data into another program, we'll need to convert the format of the data a bit. However, that's quite easy to do in more statistical programming languages now, and so it's reasonable to compromise on this element of "tidiness" in the data collection format. As we'll show in the next module, changing this layout in the original data collection would require the researcher to re-type the measurement date and time several times and would result in the spreadsheet being longer, and so harder to see at once when recording data.

There is a final element we'd like to highlight on this example template that could make the data hard to integrate into a reproducible pipeline. There are cases in the example template where either column names or cell values are formatted in a way that would be hard to work with when the data is read into a program like R or Python (Figure 2.5). For example, the column names include spaces and parentheses (e.g., "Time (clock)"). If left as-is, when the data are read into another program, the column names will need to be cleaned up to take these characters out, so that the column names are composed only of alphabetical characters, numbers, or underscores. While this can be done in code like R or Python, it will add to the data cleaning process and could be

Figure 2.4: Measurements of bacterial growth in the example data collection template. The five highlighted columns (columns E–I) are all used in this spreadsheet to record optical density in each test tube at each measurement time.

avoided by using simpler column names in the original data collection template.

Column names include special characters, like spaces and parentheses.

Time (clock) delta hours bw tps date Time (h) VA001 (A1) VA001 (A3) VA001 (L1) VA001 (L2) VA001 (L3)

Time (clock)	delta hours bw tps	date	Time (h)	VA001 (A1)	VA001 (A3)	VA001 (L1)	VA001 (L2)	VA001 (L3)
12:00		9-Jul	0.00	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020
16:05	4hr 5min	9-Jul	4.08	0.027	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.027
9:50	17hr 45min	10-Jul	21.83	0.087	0.087	0.057	0.082	0.086
16:50	7hr 00min	10-Jul	28.83	0.129	0.137	0.072	0.104	0.111
10:03	17hr 15min	11-Jul	46.08	0.247	0.258	0.102	0.114	0.126
16:07	5hr 56min	11-Jul	52.02	0.299	0.302	0.110	0.110	0.126
0:48	7hr 44min	12-Jul	60.70	0.410	0.428	0.127	0.111	0.124

Time information is recorded in a format that combines letters and numbers and may be hard to parse later in an analysis pipeline.

Spreadsheet program may try to autoformat these entries as dates, which could cause problems later in the analysis pipeline.

2.4.3 Converting to a “tidier” format for data collection templates

Now that we've looked at characteristics that can make a data collection template untidy, let's go through some principles for creating tidy templates to record the same data. In this module, we'll focus on higher-level strategies, using the example data collection template to highlight out points. In the next module (module 2.5), we'll provide a detailed walk-through of how the example template can be modified to use a tidier format.

There are three basic principles for designing tidy templates that will go a long way to creating ways to collect data in a research group that can be easily used within a reproducible analysis pipeline. The first principle in designing a tidier template for collecting laboratory data is to **limit the template to the collection of data**. The key here is the word “collection”. A tidy template will avoid any calculations done on the original data and instead focus only on the initial data that the researcher records for the experiment. This means that you should exclude from the template any element that provides a calculation, summary, or plot based on the initial recorded element. You should also exclude any special formatting that you are using to encode information. For example, say that you are collecting data, and in some cases you get a warning that the reading may be below the instrument's detection limit. It may be tempting to highlight the cells with measurements where this warning was displayed as you record the data. However, you should avoid doing this, as any color or other formatting information will be lost when you read the data in the file into a statistical program. Instead, you could add a second column to indicate if the measurement included a warning.

Figure 2.5: Examples of special characters and formatting in the example template that could cause problems later in a data analysis pipeline.

The second principle is to **make sensible choices when dividing data collection into rows and columns**.

There are many different ways that you could spread the data collection into rows and columns. One decision is how (and whether) to divide recorded information across columns. Figure 2.6, for example, shows several ways that you could divide data on a date and time into one or more columns. In this example, it typically makes the most sense to use a single column to record all the date and time elements (the top example in Figure 2.6). As mentioned earlier, most statistical programs have powerful functions for parsing dates and times, after which they store these data in special classes that allow time-related operations (for example, calculating the time difference between two date-time measurements). It will be most efficient to record all date and time elements in a single column.

Figure 2.6 displays three examples of data templates for recording a date and time, each with a table and a corresponding explanatory box.

- Example 1:** A single column for both date and time. The table has columns A, B, C, and D. Row 1 contains "date_time" under A. Row 2 contains the value "October 23, 2008 8:05 PM" under A. A blue arrow points from the table to a box stating: "All date and time elements included in one column."
- Example 2:** Separate columns for date and time. The table has columns A, B, C, D, E, and F. Row 1 contains "date" under A and "time" under B. Row 2 contains the value "October 23, 2008" under A and "8:05 PM" under B. A blue arrow points from the table to a box stating: "One column for date elements and one column for time elements."
- Example 3:** Separate columns for each element of date and time. The table has columns A through F. Row 1 contains "year" under A, "month" under B, "day" under C, "hour" under D, "minute" under E, and "am_or_pm" under F. Row 2 contains the value "2008" under A and "October" under B. Row 3 contains the value "23" under C and "8" under D. Row 4 contains the value "5" under E and "PM" under F. A blue arrow points from the table to a box stating: "Separate column for each element of date and time."

Conversely if you have complex data with different elements (for example, height in components of inches and feet), it may make sense to use separate columns for each of the components. For example, rather than using one column to record 5'7", you could divide the information into one column with the component that is in feet (5) and one with the component in inches (7). In the first case (recording 5'7"), when you read the data into a program like R you would need to use complex code to split the value into its parts to be able to use it. In the second case, you could easily work with the values in the two separate columns to calculate a value to use in further work (e.g., use a formula like `height_ft * 12 + height_in` to calculate the full height in inches).

Another decision at this stage is how “long” versus “wide” you make your template. A “wide” design will include more columns, while a “long” design will include more rows. Often, you can create different designs that allow you to collect the same values but with different designs along this wide-versus-long spectrum. Figure 2.7 gives two examples of templates that collect the same data, but one is using a wider design and the other is using a longer design.

In module 2.3, we described the rules for the tidy format for dataframes. If

Figure 2.6: Examples of special characters and formatting in the example template that could cause problems later in a data analysis pipeline.

Column headers identify the test tube

Measurements for all test tubes are recorded in a single column

Separate columns for each test tube

A separate column specifies which test tube the measurement represents

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Date and time	VA001 (A1)	VA001 (A3)	VA001 (L1)	VA001 (L2)	VA001 (L3)
2	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020
3	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	0.027	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.027
4	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	0.087	0.087	0.057	0.082	0.086
5	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	0.129	0.137	0.072	0.104	0.111
6	"July 11, 2019 10:03"	0.247	0.258	0.102	0.114	0.126
7	"July 11, 2019 16:07"	0.299	0.302	0.110	0.110	0.126
8	"July 12, 2019 9:48"	0.410	0.428	0.137	0.111	0.131
9	"July 12, 2019 16:30"	0.426	0.432	0.138	0.110	0.130
10	"July 13, 2019 10:35"	0.442	0.444	0.138	0.100	0.125
11	"July 13, 2019 17:52"	0.448	0.450	0.143	0.103	0.131
12	"July 14, 2019 13:10"	0.436	0.448	0.144	0.100	0.131
13	"July 15, 2019 10:27"	0.434	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
14	"July 15, 2019 16:42"	0.428	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
15	"July 16, 2019 9:28"	0.42	0.448	0.145	0.095	0.128

	A	B	C
1	Date and time	Test tube	Absorbance at 600 nm
2	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	VA001 (A1)	0.020
3	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	VA001 (A3)	0.020
4	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	VA001 (L1)	0.020
5	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	VA001 (L2)	0.020
6	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	VA001 (L3)	0.020
7	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	VA001 (A1)	0.027
8	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	VA001 (A3)	0.020
9	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	VA001 (L1)	0.022
10	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	VA001 (L2)	0.022
11	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	VA001 (L3)	0.027
12	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	VA001 (A1)	0.087
13	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	VA001 (A3)	0.087
14	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	VA001 (L1)	0.057
15	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	VA001 (L2)	0.082
16	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	VA001 (L3)	0.086
17	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	VA001 (A1)	0.129
18	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	VA001 (A3)	0.137
19	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	VA001 (L1)	0.072
20	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	VA001 (L2)	0.104
21	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	VA001 (L3)	0.111
22	"July 11, 2019 10:03"	VA001 (A1)	0.247
23	"July 11, 2019 10:03"	VA001 (A3)	0.258
24	"July 11, 2019 10:03"	VA001 (L1)	0.102

you record data directly into a tidy format, it will be very easy to read into a programming language to analyze and visualize. However, this tidy format can sometimes result in datasets that are very long. It may be more convenient to record data in a wider format, especially if you are recording the data in a laboratory setting where it is inconvenient to scroll up and down within a longer-format spreadsheet as you record.

Fortunately, there are some convenient tools in programs like R and Python that can be used to take data that are collected in a wider format and reformat them to the tidy format as soon as they are read into the software program. While this will require some extra code, it is usually code that is fairly simple and straightforward. Therefore, when you design your data collection template, you can balance any practical advantages of using a wider data collection format against the advantages of a fully tidy format that apply once your input the data into a statistical program for analysis and visualization. Often, the wider format might win out in this balance, and that's fine.

The third principle is to **avoid characters or formatting that will make it hard for a computer program to process the data**. This principle is particularly important for the column names for each column. When you read data into a statistical program like R, these names will automatically be used as the column names in the R data frame object, and the code will regularly use these column names to refer to parts of the data when analyzing and visualizing

Figure 2.7: Examples of two ways arranging the same data in a data recording template. The format on the left records the optical density measurements for each test tube in a separate column, and the column header identifies the test tube. This is an example of a 'wider' format. The format on the right records the optical density for all test tubes in a single column, using a separate column to record which test tube the measurement represents. This is an example of a 'longer' format.

it. You will find it easiest to use the data in a reproducible pipeline if you follow a couple rules for the column names. The reason that these rules will help is that they replicate the rules for naming objects in programming languages, and so will help in seamlessly transitioning between the stages of data collection and data analysis. First, always start a column name with a letter. Second, only use letters, numbers, or the underscore character (“_”) for the rest of the characters in the column name.

Based on these rules, then, you should avoid putting spaces in your column names when you design a data collection template. It is tempting to include spaces to make the names clearer for humans to read, and this is understandable. Often, an underscore serves the same function, allowing for easy human comprehension while still avoiding characters that are difficult for statistical programs. For example, if you have a column named “Optical density”, you can change it to “Optical_density” without making it much more difficult for a person to understand. As with other choices in designing a data collection template, these choices about column names can be a balance between making the template easy for researchers to use in the laboratory and easy for the statistical program to parse later in the pipeline. For example, statistical programs like R have functions for working with character strings that can be used to replace all the spaces in column names with another character. However, if it isn’t unreasonable to follow the recommended rules in writing column names for the data collection template, you can keep code later in the pipeline much simpler, so it’s worth considering.

Beyond spaces, there are a number of other special characters that you might be tempted to include in column names. These could include parentheses, dollar signs, percent signs, hash marks (“#”), and so on. Any of these will require extra code in later steps of an analysis pipeline, and some can cause more severe problems because they have special meanings in the programming language. For example, hash marks are used in the R programming language to add comments within code, while dollar signs are used for subsetting elements of a list or data frame object. It is worth the effort to avoid all these characters in column names in a data collection template.

There are also considerations you can make in terms of how you record data within cells of the data collection template, and these can make a big difference in terms of how hard or easy it is to work with the data within a statistical program. While statistical programs like R are very powerful in terms of being able to handle even very “messy” input data, they require a lot of code to leverage this power. By being thoughtful when you design the template to record the data, you can avoid having to use a lot of code to input and clean the data in later stages of the pipeline.

Figure 2.8 gives an example of a choice that you could make in the format you use to record data. This figure shows two columns from the original data collection template from the example experiment for this module. This template includes two columns that record the time since the start of the

experiment, and they use different formats for doing this. In column B, time is recorded in hours and minutes, with the characters “hr” and “min” used to separate the two time components. In column D, the same information is recorded, but in decimals of hours (e.g., 4.08 hours for 4 hours and 5 minutes). While the format in column B is more similar to how humans think of time, it will take more code to parse in a statistical program. When reading this data into a program like R, you would need to use regular expressions to split apart the different elements and then recombine them into a format that the program understands. By contrast, the values recorded in column D could be easily read in by a statistical program, with minimal code needed before they could be used in analysis and visualizations.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	
1	Time (clock)	delta hours bw tps	date	Time (h)	VA001 (A1)	VA001 (A3)	VA001
2	12:00		9-Jul	0.00	0.020	0.020	
3	16:05	4hr 5min	9-Jul	4.08	0.027	0.020	
4	9:50	17hr 45min	10-Jul	21.83	0.087	0.087	
5	16:50	7hr 00min	10-Jul	28.83	0.129	0.137	
6	10:03	17hr 15min	11-Jul	46.08	0.247	0.258	
7	16:07	5hr 56min	11-Jul	52.02	0.299	0.302	
8	9:48	17hr 41min	12-Jul	69.70	0.410	0.428	
9	16:30	6hr 42min	12-Jul	76.40	0.426	0.432	
10	10:35	18hr 5min	13-Jul	94.48	0.442	0.444	
11	17:52	7hr 17min	13-Jul	101.60	0.448	0.450	
12	13:10	19hr 18min	14-Jul	120.90	0.436	0.448	
13	10:27	21hr 17min	15-Jul	142.18	0.434	0.45	
14	16:42	6hr 15min	15-Jul	148.43	0.428	0.45	
15	9:28	16hr 46min	16-Jul	165	0.42	0.448	
16	doubling time						
17		early (24h to 65h)	DT (hrs)	16.95	16.81		
18		late (past 45 hrs)	DT (hrs)	38.55	40.77		
19							

Figure 2.8: Examples of two ways of recording time in the original template from the example experiment. Column B uses hours and minutes, with characters embedded to separate hours from minutes, while column D uses hours in decimal degrees. The format in column D will be much easier to integrate into a larger data analysis pipeline.

These three principles are an excellent starting point for designing a tidy template for collecting data. By using these, you will be well on your way to collecting data in a way that is easy to integrate in a longer reproducible data analysis pipeline.

When you convert data collection templates to “tidier” formats, they will typically look much simpler than the templates that your research group may have been using. In the example experiment that we described earlier in this module, this process of tidying the template results in a template like that shown in Figure 2.9 (in the next module, we’ll walk through all the steps to create this tidier template, using this principles we’ve covered in this module). By comparison, the starting template for data collection for this experiment is shown in Figure 2.1.

		B	C	D	E	F
1	sampling_date_time	aerated1	aerated3	low_oxygen1	low_oxygen2	low_oxygen3
2	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020
3	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	0.027	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.027
4	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	0.087	0.087	0.057	0.082	0.086
5	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	0.129	0.137	0.072	0.104	0.111
6	"July 11, 2019 10:03"	0.247	0.258	0.102	0.114	0.126
7	"July 11, 2019 16:07"	0.299	0.302	0.110	0.110	0.126
8	"July 12, 2019 9:48"	0.410	0.428	0.137	0.111	0.131
9	"July 12, 2019 16:30"	0.426	0.432	0.138	0.110	0.130
10	"July 13, 2019 10:35"	0.442	0.444	0.138	0.100	0.125
11	"July 13, 2019 17:52"	0.448	0.450	0.143	0.103	0.131
12	"July 14, 2019 13:10"	0.436	0.448	0.144	0.100	0.131
13	"July 15, 2019 10:27"	0.434	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
14	"July 15, 2019 16:42"	0.428	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
15	"July 16, 2019 9:28"	0.42	0.448	0.145	0.095	0.128

By comparing these two templates, you can see that the simpler template does not, by itself, provide immediate, real-time summaries of the collected data. The simpler template has removed elements like plots and values calculated by embedded formulas. At first glance, this might seem like a disadvantage of using a tidier template to collect data. However, by combining other tools in a pipeline, it is easy to connect the tidier raw data file to reporting tools. In this way, you can quickly create real-time summaries of the data that are similar to those shown in Figure 2.1, but that are created and reported outside the file used to originally record the data.

Figure 2.10 shows an example of a simple report that could be created for the example experiment. This report is generated using a statistical program, R, which inputs the data from the simple template shown in Figure 2.9. The report then uses R code to generate a PDF or Word file with the output shown below. The file for this report is created in a way that the output can be quickly regenerated with a single button click, and so it can be applied to other data saved using the same template. In fact, you can create templates for reports that coordinate with each data collection template that you create. In the next module, we'll walk through how you could create the generating file for this report, and in later modules (3.7–3.9), we provide a thorough overview of creating these types of “knitted” documents.

The report shown in Figure 2.10 repeats some of the same summaries that were shown in the more complex original data collection template (Figure 2.1). There are a number of advantages, however, to using separate steps and files

Figure 2.9: Example of a simpler format that can be used to record and analyze data for the same laboratory experiment as the previous figure. Annotations highlight where data is entered by hand. No calculations are conducted or figures created—these are all done later, using a code script.




Figure 2.10: Examples of an automated report that can be created to quickly generate summaries and estimates of the data collected in the simplified data collection template for the example experiment.

for the processes of collecting versus analyzing the data. The separate report (Figure 2.10) provides a starting point that can be easily adapted to make more complex figures and analysis, as well as to integrate the collected data with data measured in other ways for the experiment.

2.4.4 Learning more about tidy data collection in the laboratory

It may take some iteration to develop the data collection templates that are both convenient and appropriate to input to more complex programs for pre-processing, analysis, and visualization. This module and the next module provide guidance and examples, but it can be helpful to see more examples. Two excellent resources on this topic are articles by Ellis and Leek (2018) and Broman and Woo (2018).

2.5 Example: Creating a template for “tidy” data collection

We will walk through an example of creating a template to collect data in a “tidy” format for a laboratory-based research project, based on a research project on drug efficacy in murine tuberculosis models. We will show the initial “untidy” format for data recording and show how we converted it to a “tidy” format. Finally, we will show how the data can then easily be analyzed and visualized using reproducible tools.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Understand how the principles of “tidy” data can be applied for a real, complex research project;
- List advantages of the “tidy” data format for the example project


In the last module, we covered three principles for designing tidy templates for data collection in a biomedical laboratory, motivated by an example dataset from a real experiment. In this module, we’ll show you how to apply those principles to create a tidier template for the example dataset from the last module. As a reminder, those three principles are:

1. Limit the template to the collection of data.
2. Make sensible choices when dividing data collection into rows and columns.
3. Avoid characters or formatting that will make it hard for a computer program to process the data.

It is important to note that there’s no reason that you can’t continue to use a spreadsheet program like Excel or Google Sheets to collect data. The spreadsheet program itself can easily be used to create a simple template to use as you collect data. In fact, we’ll continue using a spreadsheet format in the rest of this module and in the next one as we show how to redesign the data collection for this example experiment. It is important, however, to think through how you will arrange that template spreadsheet to make it most useful in the larger context of reproducible research.

2.5.1 Example data—Data on rate of bacterial growth

Here, we'll walk through an example using real data collected in a laboratory experiment. We described these data in detail in the previous module. As a reminder, they were collected to measure the growth rate of *Mycobacteria tuberculosis* under two conditions—high oxygen and low oxygen. They were collected from five test tubes that were measured regularly over one week for bacteria growth using a measure of optical density. Figure 2.11 shows the original template that the research group used to record these data.



In the previous module, we described features that make this template “untidy” and potentially problematic to include in a larger pipeline of reproducible research. In the next few sections of this module, we'll walk step-by-step through changes that you could make to make this template tidier. We'll finish the module by showing how you could then easily design a further step of the analysis pipeline to visualize and analyze the collected data, so that the advantages of real-time plotting from the more complex spreadsheet are not missed when moving to a tidier template.

2.5.2 Limiting the template to the collection of data

The example template (Figure 2.11) includes a number of “extra” elements beyond simple data collection—all the elements outside rows 1–15 of columns A–I. Outside this area of the original spread, there are a number of extra el-

Figure 2.11: Example of an Excel spreadsheet used to record and analyze data for a laboratory experiment. Annotations highlight where data is entered by hand, where calculations are done by hand, and where embedded Excel formulas are used. The figures are created automatically using values in a specified column.

ements, including plots that visualize the data, summaries generated based on the data (rows 16–18, for example), notes about the data, and even a macro (top right) that wasn't involved in data collection but instead was used by the researcher to calculate the initial volume of inoculum to include in each test tube. None of these “extras” can be easily read into a statistical program like R or Python—at best, they will be ignored by the program. They can even complicate reading in the cells with measurements (rows 1–15 of columns A–I), as most statistical programs will try to read in all the non-empty cells of a spreadsheet unless directed otherwise.

A good starting point, then, would be to start designing a tidy data collection template for this experiment by extracting only the content from the box in Figure 2.2. This would result in a template that looks like Figure 2.12.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
1	Time (clock)	delta hours bw tps	date	Time (h)	VA001 (A1)	VA001 (A3)	VA001 (L1)	VA001 (L2)	VA001 (L3)	
2	12:00		9-Jul	0.00	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	
3	16:05	4hr 5min	9-Jul	4.08	0.027	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.027	
4	9:50	17hr 45min	10-Jul	21.83	0.087	0.087	0.057	0.082	0.086	
5	16:50	7hr 00min	10-Jul	28.83	0.129	0.137	0.072	0.104	0.111	
6	10:03	17hr 15min	11-Jul	46.08	0.247	0.258	0.102	0.114	0.126	
7	16:07	5hr 56min	11-Jul	52.02	0.299	0.302	0.110	0.110	0.126	
8	9:48	17hr 41min	12-Jul	69.70	0.410	0.428	0.137	0.111	0.131	
9	16:30	6hr 42min	12-Jul	76.40	0.426	0.432	0.138	0.110	0.130	
10	10:35	18hr 5min	13-Jul	94.48	0.442	0.444	0.138	0.100	0.125	
11	17:52	7hr 17min	13-Jul	101.60	0.448	0.450	0.143	0.103	0.131	
12	13:10	19hr 18min	14-Jul	120.90	0.436	0.448	0.144	0.100	0.131	
13	10:27	21hr 17min	15-Jul	142.18	0.434	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129	
14	16:42	6hr 15min	15-Jul	148.43	0.428	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129	
15	9:28	16hr 46min	16-Jul	165	0.42	0.448	0.145	0.095	0.128	
16										
17										
18										

Notice that we've also removed any of the color formatting from the spreadsheet. It is fine to keep color in the spreadsheet if it will help the researcher to find the right spot to record data while working in the laboratory, but you should make sure that you're not using it to encode information about the data—all color formatting will be ignored when the data are read by a statistical program like R.

While the template shown in Figure 2.12 has removed a lot of the calculated values from the original template, it has not removed all of them. Two of the columns are still values that were determined by calculation after the original data were collected. Column B and column D both provide measures of the length of time since the start of the experiment, and both are calculated by comparing a measurement time to the time at the start of the experiment.

The time since the start of the experiment can easily be calculated later in

Figure 2.12: First step in designing a tidy data collection template for the example project. A template has been created that focuses only on the raw data, removing all extra elements like plots, notes, macros, and summaries.

the analysis pipeline, once you read the data into a statistical program like R. By delaying this step, you can both simplify the data collection template (requiring fewer columns for the research in the laboratory to fill out) and also avoid the chance for mistakes, which could occur both in the hand calculations of these values and in data entry, when the researcher enters the results of the calculations in the spreadsheet cell. Figure 2.13 shows a new version of the template, where these calculated columns have been removed. This template is now restricted to only data points originally collected in the course of the experiment, and has removed all elements that are based on calculations or other derivatives of those original, raw data points.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Time (clock)	date	VA001 (A1)	VA001 (A3)	VA001 (L1)	VA001 (L2)	VA001 (L3)
2	12:00	9-Jul	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020
3	16:05	9-Jul	0.027	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.027
4	9:50	10-Jul	0.087	0.087	0.057	0.082	0.086
5	16:50	10-Jul	0.129	0.137	0.072	0.104	0.111
6	10:03	11-Jul	0.247	0.258	0.102	0.114	0.126
7	16:07	11-Jul	0.299	0.302	0.110	0.110	0.126
8	9:48	12-Jul	0.410	0.428	0.137	0.111	0.131
9	16:30	12-Jul	0.426	0.432	0.138	0.110	0.130
10	10:35	13-Jul	0.442	0.444	0.138	0.100	0.125
11	17:52	13-Jul	0.448	0.450	0.143	0.103	0.131
12	13:10	14-Jul	0.436	0.448	0.144	0.100	0.131
13	10:27	15-Jul	0.434	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
14	16:42	15-Jul	0.428	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
15	9:28	16-Jul	0.42	0.448	0.145	0.095	0.128
16							

Figure 2.13: Second step in designing a tidy data collection template for the example project. This template started from the previous one, but removed columns that were hand-calculated and then entered by the researcher in the previous template. This version has removed all calculated values on the template, limiting it to only the original recorded values required for the experiment.

2.5.3 Making sensible choices about rows and columns

The second principle is to **make sensible choices when dividing data collection into rows and columns**. There are many different ways that you could spread the data collection into rows and columns, and in this step, you can consider which method would meet a reasonable balance between making the template easy for the researcher in the laboratory to use to record data and also making the resulting data file easy to incorporate in a reproducible data analysis pipeline.

For the example experiment, Figure 2.2 shows three examples that we can consider for how to arrange data collection across rows and columns. All three build on the changes we made in the earlier step of “tidying” the template, which resulted in the template shown in Figure 2.13.

Panel A (an exact repeat of the template shown in Figure 2.13) shows an example where date and time are recorded in different columns. Panel B is similar to Panel A, but in this case, date and time are recorded in a single column. Panel C shows a classically “tidy” data format, where each measurement’s date-time is repeated for each of the five test tubes, and columns give the test tube ID and absorbance measurement at that time for that tube (only part of

A

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Time (clock)	date	VA001 (A1)	VA001 (A3)	VA001 (L1)	VA001 (L2)	VA001 (L3)
2	12:00	9-Jul	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020
3	16:05	9-Jul	0.027	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.027
4	9:50	10-Jul	0.087	0.087	0.057	0.082	0.086
5	16:50	10-Jul	0.129	0.137	0.072	0.104	0.111
6	10:03	11-Jul	0.247	0.258	0.102	0.114	0.126
7	16:07	11-Jul	0.299	0.302	0.110	0.110	0.126
8	9:48	12-Jul	0.410	0.428	0.137	0.111	0.131
9	16:30	12-Jul	0.426	0.432	0.138	0.110	0.130
10	10:35	13-Jul	0.442	0.444	0.138	0.100	0.125
11	17:52	13-Jul	0.448	0.450	0.143	0.103	0.131
12	13:10	14-Jul	0.436	0.448	0.144	0.100	0.131
13	10:27	15-Jul	0.434	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
14	16:42	15-Jul	0.428	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
15	9:28	16-Jul	0.42	0.448	0.145	0.095	0.128
16							

B

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Date and time	VA001 (A1)	VA001 (A3)	VA001 (L1)	VA001 (L2)	VA001 (L3)
2	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020
3	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	0.027	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.027
4	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	0.087	0.087	0.057	0.082	0.086
5	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	0.129	0.137	0.072	0.104	0.111
6	"July 11, 2019 10:03"	0.247	0.258	0.102	0.114	0.126
7	"July 12, 2019 9:48"	0.299	0.302	0.110	0.110	0.126
8	"July 12, 2019 16:30"	0.410	0.428	0.137	0.111	0.131
9	"July 13, 2019 10:35"	0.426	0.432	0.138	0.110	0.130
10	"July 13, 2019 17:52"	0.442	0.444	0.138	0.100	0.125
11	"July 14, 2019 13:10"	0.448	0.450	0.143	0.103	0.131
12	"July 15, 2019 10:27"	0.436	0.448	0.144	0.100	0.131
13	"July 15, 2019 16:42"	0.434	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
14	"July 16, 2019 9:28"	0.428	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
15		0.42	0.448	0.145	0.095	0.128
16						

C

	A	B	C
1	Date and time	Test tube	Absorbance at 600 nm
2	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	VA001 (A1)	0.020
3	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	VA001 (A3)	0.020
4	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	VA001 (L1)	0.020
5	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	VA001 (L2)	0.020
6	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	VA001 (L3)	0.020
7	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	VA001 (A1)	0.027
8	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	VA001 (A3)	0.020
9	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	VA001 (L1)	0.022
10	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	VA001 (L2)	0.022
11	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	VA001 (L3)	0.027
12	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	VA001 (A1)	0.087
13	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	VA001 (A3)	0.087
14	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	VA001 (L1)	0.057
15	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	VA001 (L2)	0.082
16	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	VA001 (L3)	0.086
17	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	VA001 (A1)	0.129
18	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	VA001 (A3)	0.137
19	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	VA001 (L1)	0.072
20	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	VA001 (L2)	0.104
21	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	VA001 (L3)	0.111
22	"July 11, 2019 10:03"	VA001 (A1)	0.247
23	"July 11, 2019 10:03"	VA001 (A3)	0.258
24	"July 11, 2019 10:03"	VA001 (L1)	0.102
25			

Figure 2.14: Examples of ways that data collection could be divided into rows and columns in the example template. Panel A shows an example where date and time are recorded in different columns. Panel B is similar to Panel A, but in this case, date and time are recorded in a single column. Panel C shows a classically 'tidy' data format, where each measurement date-time is repeated for each of the five test tubes, and columns give the test tube ID and absorbance measurement at that time for that tube (only part of the data is shown for this format, while remaining rows are off the page). While Panel C provides the 'tidiest' format, it may have some practical constraints when used in a laboratory setting. For example, it would require more data entry during data collection (since date-time is entered five times at each measurement time), and its long format prevent it all from being seen at once without scrolling on a computer screen.

the data is shown for this format, while remaining rows are off the page).

In this example, the template that may be the most reasonable is the one shown in Panel B. While Panel C provides the “tidiest” format, it has some practical constraints when used in a laboratory setting. For example, it would require more data entry during data collection (since date-time is entered five times at each measurement time), and its long format prevent it all from being seen at once without scrolling on a computer screen. When comparing Panels A and B, the template in Panel B has an advantage. The information on date and time are useful together, but not individually. For example, to calculate the time since the start of the experiment, you cannot just calculate the difference in dates or just the difference in times, but instead must consider both the date and time of the measurement in comparison to the date and time of the start of the experiment. As a result, at some point in the data analysis pipeline, you’ll need to combine information about the date and the time to make use of the two elements. While this combination of two columns can be easily done within a statistical program like R, it can also be directly designed into the original template for collecting the data. Therefore, unless there is a practical reason why it would be easier for the researcher to enter date and time separately, the template shown in Panel B is preferable to that shown in Panel A in terms of allowing for the “tidy” collection of research data into a file that is easy to include in a reproducible pipeline. Figure 2.15 shows the template design at this stage in the process of tidying it, highlighting the column that combines date and time elements in a single column. In this version of the template, we’ve also been careful about how date and time are recorded, a consideration that we’ll discuss more in the next section.

2.5.4 Avoiding problematic characters or formatting

The third principle is to **avoid characters or formatting that will make it hard for a computer program to process the data**. There are a number of special characters and formatting conventions that can be hard for a statistical program to handle. In the example template shown in Figure 2.15, for example, the column names include spaces (for example, in “Date and time”), as well as parentheses (for example, in “VA 001 (A1)”). While most statistical programs have tools that allow you to handle and convert these characters once the data are read in, it’s even simpler to use simpler column names in the original data collection template, and this will save some extra coding further along in the analysis pipeline. Two general rules for creating easy-to-use column names in a data collection template are: (1) start each column name with a letter and (2) for the rest of the column name, use only letters, numbers, or the underscore character (“_”). For example, “aerated!” would work well, but “!–aerated” would not.

Within the cell values below the column names, there is more flexibility. For example, if you have a column that gives the IDs of different samples, it would

Date and time combined in a single column and formatted to include all date-time elements.

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Date and time	VA001 (A1)	VA001 (A3)	VA001 (L1)	VA001 (L2)	VA001 (L3)
2	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020
3	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	0.027	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.027
4	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	0.087	0.087	0.057	0.082	0.086
5	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	0.129	0.137	0.072	0.104	0.111
6	"July 11, 2019 10:03"	0.247	0.258	0.102	0.114	0.126
7	"July 11, 2019 16:07"	0.299	0.302	0.110	0.110	0.126
8	"July 12, 2019 9:48"	0.410	0.428	0.137	0.111	0.131
9	"July 12, 2019 16:30"	0.426	0.432	0.138	0.110	0.130
10	"July 13, 2019 10:35"	0.442	0.444	0.138	0.100	0.125
11	"July 13, 2019 17:52"	0.448	0.450	0.143	0.103	0.131
12	"July 14, 2019 13:10"	0.436	0.448	0.144	0.100	0.131
13	"July 15, 2019 10:27"	0.434	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
14	"July 15, 2019 16:42"	0.428	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
15	"July 16, 2019 9:28"	0.42	0.448	0.145	0.095	0.128
16						

be fine to include spaces and other characters in those IDs.

There are a few exceptions, however. A big one is with values that record dates or date-time combinations. First, it is important to include all elements of the date (or date and time, if both are recorded). For example, the year should be included in the recorded date, even if the experiment only took a few days. This is because statistical programs have excellent functions for working with data that are dates or date-times, but to take advantage of these, the data must be converted into a special class in the program, and conversion to that class requires specific elements (for example, a date must include the year, month, and day of month).

Second, it is useful to avoid recording dates and date-times in a way that results in a spreadsheet program automatically converting them. Surrounding the information about a date in quotation marks when entering it (as shown in Figure 2.15) can avoid this.

Finally, consider using a format to record the date that is unambiguous and so less likely to have recording errors. Dates, for example, are sometimes recorded using only numbers—for example, the first date of “July 9, 2019” in the example data could be recorded as “7/9/2019” or “7/9/19”, to be even more concise. However, this format has some ambiguity. It can be unclear if this refers to July 9 or to September 7, both of which could be written as “7/9”. For the version that uses two digits for the year, it can be unclear if the date is for 2019 or 1919 (or any other century). Using the format “July 9,

Figure 2.15: Third step in designing a tidy data collection template for the example project. This template started from the previous one, but combined collection of the date and time of the measurement into a single column and revised the format to include all date elements and to prevent automatic conversion by the spreadsheet program.

2019”, as done in the latest version of the sample template, avoids this potential ambiguity.

Figure 2.16 shows the template for the example experiment after the column names have been revised to avoid any problematic characters. This template is now in a very useful format for a reproducible research pipeline—the data collected using this template can be very easily read into and processed using further statistical programs like R or Python.

	B	C	D	E	F	
1	sampling_date_time	aerated1	aerated3	low_oxygen1	low_oxygen2	low_oxygen3
2	"July 9, 2019 12:00"	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020
3	"July 9, 2019 16:05"	0.027	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.027
4	"July 10, 2019 9:50"	0.087	0.087	0.057	0.082	0.086
5	"July 10, 2019 16:50"	0.129	0.137	0.072	0.104	0.111
6	"July 11, 2019 10:03"	0.247	0.258	0.102	0.114	0.126
7	"July 11, 2019 16:07"	0.299	0.302	0.110	0.110	0.126
8	"July 12, 2019 9:48"	0.410	0.428	0.137	0.111	0.131
9	"July 12, 2019 16:30"	0.426	0.432	0.138	0.110	0.130
10	"July 13, 2019 10:35"	0.442	0.444	0.138	0.100	0.125
11	"July 13, 2019 17:52"	0.448	0.450	0.143	0.103	0.131
12	"July 14, 2019 13:10"	0.436	0.448	0.144	0.100	0.131
13	"July 15, 2019 10:27"	0.434	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
14	"July 15, 2019 16:42"	0.428	0.45	0.147	0.097	0.129
15	"July 16, 2019 9:28"	0.42	0.448	0.145	0.095	0.128

Figure 2.16: Example of a simpler format that can be used to record and analyze data for the same laboratory experiment as the previous figure. Annotations highlight where data is entered by hand. No calculations are conducted or figures created—these are all done later, using a code script.

2.5.5 Separating data analysis from data collection

Once you have created a “tidy” template for collecting your data in the laboratory, you can create a report template that will input that data and then provide summaries and visualizations. This allows you to separate the steps (and files) for collecting data from those for analyzing data. Figure 2.17 shows an example of a report template that could be created to pair with the data collection template shown in Figure 2.16.

To create a report template like this, you can use tools for reproducible reports from statistical programs like R and Python. In this section, we will give an overview of how you could create the report template shown in Figure 2.17.

This report is written using a framework called RMarkdown, which allows you to include executable code inside a nicely-formatted document, resulting in a document in Word, PDF, or HTML that is easy for humans to read while also generating results based on R code. We will cover this format in details in modules 3.7–3.9. In the rest of this section, we’ll walk through some of the R code that is “powering” the analysis in this document, but if you’d like to learn how to combine it into an RMarkdown document to create the report shown in Figure 2.17, you can learn much more about RMarkdown in those later modules. The code within the report uses the R language. We’ll cover a few examples here, but if you would like to use R, you’ll find it helpful to learn more. Numerous excellent (and free) resources exist to help learn R.




Figure 2.17: Examples of an automated report that can be created to quickly generate summaries and estimates of the data collected in the simplified data collection template for the example experiment.

One of the best is the book “R for Data Science” by Hadley Wickham and Garrett Grolemund. It is available in print, as well as free online at <https://r4ds.had.co.nz/>.

The code used to generate the results in Figure 2.17 is all in the programming language R. A programming language can seem, at first glance, much more difficult to learn and use than using a spreadsheet program like Excel to set up formulae and macros. However, languages like R have evolved substantially in recent years to allow for much more straightforward coding than you may have seen in the past, and the barrier to learning to use them for straightforward data management and analysis is not much higher than the effort required to become proficient in using a spreadsheet program. To demonstrate this, let’s look through a few of the tasks required to generate the results shown in Figure 2.17. We won’t cover all the code, just highlight some of the key steps. If you’d like to look in details over the code and the output document, you can download those files and explore them: you can access the file for the Rmarkdown file, and you can download the output PDF. If you’d like to try out the code in the Rmarkdown file, you’ll also need the example data, which you can download by clicking [here](#).

One key step is to read the collected data into R. When you use a spreadsheet for both data collection and analysis, you don’t need to read the data to start working with them, since everything is saved in the same file. Once you separate the steps of data collection and data analysis, however, you do need to take an extra step to read the data file into another program for analysis. Fortunately, this is very simple in R. The data in this example are recorded using an Excel spreadsheet, and there is a simple function in R that lets you read data in from this type of spreadsheet (Figure 2.18). After this step of code, you will have an object in R called `growth_data`, which contains the data in a two-dimensional form very similar to how it is recorded in the spreadsheet (this type of object in R is called a `dataframe`).

Another key step is to calculate, for each observation, the time since the start of the experiment. In the original data collection template shown in Figure 2.11, this calculation was done by hand by the researcher and entered into the spreadsheet. When we converted the spreadsheet to a tidier version, we took out all steps that involved calculations with the data, and instead limited the data collection to only raw, observed values. This helps us avoid errors and typos—instead of having the researcher calculate the difference in time as they are running the experiment, they can just record the time, and we can write code in the analysis document that handles the further calculations, using well-designed and well-tested tools to do this calculation.

Figure 2.19 shows code that can be used for this calculation. At the start of this code, the data are stored in an object named `growth_data`. The `mutate` function adds a column to the data, named `sampling_delta_time`, that will give the difference between the time of an observation and the start of the experiment. Within the `mutate` call, a special function named `difftime`

The screenshot shows an RStudio interface. At the top, there is a code editor window containing R code. Below it is a data preview window titled "A tibble: 14 × 6" showing a dataset with six columns: sampling_date_time, aerated1, aerated3, low_oxygen1, low_oxygen2, and low_oxygen3. The data consists of 14 rows of experimental measurements. A blue callout box points to the code with the text "Code to read data from the data collection template (Excel file) into R." Another blue callout box points to the data preview with the text "Resulting dataset in R."

```

20 * ````{r}
21 # Read in data from the Excel spreadsheet template used to collect the
22 # data in the laboratory
23 growth_data <- read_excel("growth curve data_GR.xls",
24   sheet = "simplified_template")
25 * ````
```

sampling_date_time	aerated1	aerated3	low_oxygen1	low_oxygen2	low_oxygen3
2019-07-09 12:00:00	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020
2019-07-09 16:05:00	0.027	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.027
2019-07-10 09:50:00	0.087	0.087	0.057	0.082	0.086
2019-07-10 16:50:00	0.129	0.137	0.072	0.104	0.111
2019-07-11 10:03:00	0.247	0.258	0.102	0.114	0.126
2019-07-11 16:07:00	0.299	0.302	0.110	0.110	0.126
2019-07-12 09:48:00	0.410	0.428	0.137	0.111	0.131
2019-07-12 16:30:00	0.426	0.432	0.138	0.110	0.130
2019-07-13 10:35:00	0.442	0.444	0.138	0.100	0.125
2019-07-13 17:52:00	0.448	0.450	0.143	0.103	0.131

1–10 of 14 rows Previous 1 2 Next

calculates the difference in two time points. This function lets us specify the time units we'd like to use, and here we can pick "hours" for the units. The `first` function lets us pull out the first value in the data for a recorded time—in other words, the time when the experiment started. This lets us compare each observation time to the time of the start of the experiment. The result of this code is a new version of the `growth_data` dataframe, with a new column giving time since the start of the experiment:

Figure 2.18: Code to read data from the data collection template into R for cleaning, analysis, and visualization. The data were recorded in the tidy data collection template described earlier in this module. Here, those data are read into R (code shown at top). The resulting data in R are stored in a format that is very similar to the design of a spreadsheet, with rows for observations and columns for the values recorded for each observation (bottom).

The screenshot shows an RStudio interface. At the top, there is a code editor window containing R code. Below it is a data preview window titled "A tibble: 14 × 7" showing a dataset with seven columns: aerated1, aerated3, low_oxygen1, low_oxygen2, low_oxygen3, sampling_delta_time, and sampling_date_time. The data consists of 14 rows of experimental measurements. A blue callout box points to the code with the text "Code to add a column to the data that calculates the time since the start of the experiment, using the 'mutate', 'difftime', and 'first' functions." Another blue callout box points to the data preview with the text "New column, with time since the start of the experiment."

```

27 * ````{r}
28 # For each measurement time, calculate the time since the start of the
29 # experiment
30 growth_data <- growth_data %>%
31   mutate(sampling_delta_time = difftime(sampling_date_time,
32                                         first(sampling_date_time),
33                                         units = "hours"))
34 * ````
```

aerated1	aerated3	low_oxygen1	low_oxygen2	low_oxygen3	sampling_delta_time
0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.000000 hours
0.027	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.027	4.083333 hours
0.087	0.087	0.057	0.082	0.086	21.833333 hours
0.129	0.137	0.072	0.104	0.111	28.833333 hours
0.247	0.258	0.102	0.114	0.126	46.050000 hours
0.299	0.302	0.110	0.110	0.126	52.116667 hours
0.410	0.428	0.137	0.111	0.131	69.800000 hours
0.426	0.432	0.138	0.110	0.130	76.500000 hours
0.442	0.444	0.138	0.100	0.125	94.583333 hours
0.448	0.450	0.143	0.103	0.131	101.866667 hours

1–10 of 14 rows | 2–7 of 7 columns Previous 1 2 Next

Another key step is to plot results from the data. In R, there is a package called `ggplot2` that provides tools for visualization. The tools in this package

Figure 2.19: Code to add a column to the data that gives the time since the start of the experiment. This code (top) uses the time recorded for each experiment and compares it to the first recorded time, at the start of the experiment. This determines the time since the start of the experiment for each observation, given in a new column in the data (bottom).

work by building a plot using “layers”, adding on small elements line by line through simple functions that each do one simple thing. While the resulting code can be long, each step is simple, and so it becomes simple to learn these different “layers” and learn how to combine them to create complex plots.

Figure 2.20 walks through the code for one of the visualizations in the report. At this point in the report code, the data have been reformatted into an object called `growth_data_tidy`, which has columns for each observation on the time since the start of the experiment (`sampling_delta_time`), the measured optical density (`optical_density`), whether the tube was aerated or low oxygen (`growth_conditions`), and a short ID for the test tube (`short_tube_id`). The code starts by creating a plot object, specifying that in this plot the color will show the growth conditions, the position on the x-axis will show the time since the start of the experiment, and the y-axis will show the optical density. Layers are then added to this plot object that add points and lines to the plot based on these mappings, and for the lines, it’s further specified that the type of line should show the test tube ID (for example, one tube will be shown with a dotted line, another with a dashed line). Further layers are added to customize the scale labels with `labs`, including the labels for the x-axis and y-axis and the legends of the color and linetype scales. Another layer is used to customize the appearance of the plot—things like the background color and the font used—and another layer is added to use a log-10 scale for the x-axis.

While this looks like a lot of code, the process isn’t any longer than it would be to customize elements of a plot in a spreadsheet program. The advantages of the coded approach are that you maintain a full record of all the steps you took to customize the plot. This is something that you can use to reproduce your plot later, or even to use as a starting point for creating a similar plot with new data.

The next key step that we’d like to point out is how you can write and use small functions to do customized tasks for the experimental data. As one example, for the data in this example, we want to estimate doubling times based on the observed data. The principal investigator has decided that we should do this based on comparing bacteria levels at two times points—the measured time that is closest to 65 hours after the start of the experiment, and the time that is closest to 24 hours after the start of the experiment.

In the original data collection template—where the data were both recorded and analyzed in a spreadsheet—this step was done by hand by the researcher, looking through the data and selecting the cell closest to each of these times, and then connecting that cell to a spreadsheet formula calculation to calculate the doubling time. We can make this process more rigorous and less prone to error by writing a small function that does the same thing, then using that function to automate the process of identifying the relevant observations to use in calculating the doubling rate.

Figure 2.21 shows how you can write and then use a small function in R.

sampling_date_time <S3: POSIXct>	sampling_delta_time <time>	short_tube_id <chr>	growth_condition <chr>	optical_density <dbl>
2019-07-09 12:00:00	0.000000 hours	A1	Aerated	0.020
2019-07-09 12:00:00	0.000000 hours	A3	Aerated	0.020
2019-07-09 12:00:00	0.000000 hours	L1	Low Oxygen	0.020
2019-07-09 12:00:00	0.000000 hours	L2	Low Oxygen	0.020
2019-07-09 12:00:00	0.000000 hours	L3	Low Oxygen	0.020
2019-07-09 16:05:00	4.083333 hours	A1	Aerated	0.027
2019-07-09 16:05:00	4.083333 hours	A3	Aerated	0.020
2019-07-09 16:05:00	4.083333 hours	L1	Low Oxygen	0.022
2019-07-09 16:05:00	4.083333 hours	L2	Low Oxygen	0.022
2019-07-09 16:05:00	4.083333 hours	L3	Low Oxygen	0.027

Format of the data (saved in the object `growth_data_tidy` when the plotting code is run.

```

87 ggplot(growth_data_tidy,
88   # Plot time since start on the x-axis, optical density on the y-axis,
89   # and use color to show the growth condition (aerated versus low oxygen)
90   aes(x = sampling_delta_time,
91       y = optical_density,
92       color = growth_condition)) +
93   # Add a line with different patterns for each test tube
94   geom_line(aes(linetype = short_tube_id)) +
95   # Add points for each measurement
96   geom_point() +
97   # Customize the labels for the legends and x- and y-axes
98   labs(x = "Time (hours)",
99       y = "Optical density at 600 nm",
100      color = "Growth condition",
101      linetype = "Test tube number") +
102   # Customize the plot appearance
103   theme_classic() +
104   # Add a title and subtitle
105   ggtitle("Growth curve", subtitle = "Log-10 scale on y-axis") +
106   # Use a log scale for the y-axis. Ensure that the y-axis ranges
107   # from 0.01 to 1 (you can't start at 0, since that's undefined
108   # as a log transform)
109   scale_y_log10(limits = c(0.01, 1))

```

Code to plot the growth curves for the experiment.

Create the plot object and specify mapping (y-axis shows optical density, etc.)


Add elements like points and lines to show the data

Customize the axis and legend labels

Customize the overall plot appearance

Add a title and subtitle


Use a log-10 scale on the x-axis



Resulting growth curve plot.

Figure 2.20: Code to plot growth curves from the data. When the plotting code is run, the data have been transformed into a ‘tidy’ format (top), with columns that include the time since the start of the experiment, a test tube ID, the growth condition for the test tube, and the optical density measured in that test tube. The code (middle) add layers to implement each element of the plot based on this input data. The final plot is shown at the bottom.

This function will input your `growth_data` dataset, as well as a time that you are aiming for, and will output the sampling time in the data that is closest to—while not larger than—that time. It does that in a few steps within the body of the function. First, the code in the function filters to only observations earlier than the target time. Then it measures the difference between each of the times for these observations and the target time, and uses this to identify the observation with the closest time to the target. It pulls out the time of this observation and returns it.



Small functions like this can easily be reused in other code for your research group. By writing the logic of the step out as a function—rather than redoing the steps by hand or step-by-step each time you need to do it—you can save time later, and in return, you have extra time that you can spend in writing the original function and carefully checking to make sure that it works correctly.

Finally, many of these steps require extensions to base R. When you download R, you are getting a base set of tools. Many people have developed helpful extensions that build on this base. These are stored and shared in what are called *R packages*. You can install these extra packages for free, and you use the `library` function in R to load a package you've installed, giving you access to the extra functions that it provides. Figure 2.22 shows the spot in the Rmarkdown code where we loaded packages we needed for this report. These include packages with functions to read data in R from Excel (the `readxl`) package, as well as a suite of packages with tools for cleaning and visualizing data (the `tidyverse` package). In later modules, we'll talk some more about R coding tools that you might find useful for working with biomedical data, including the tools in the powerful and popular `tidyverse` suite of packages.

Overall, you can see that the code in this document provides a step-by-step recipe that documents all the calculations and cleaning that we do with the data, as well as how we create the plots. This code runs every time we create

Figure 2.21: Code to create and apply a small function. The code at the top can be used to create a function that can input your dataframe and determine the observation time in that data that is closest to (without being larger than) a target time. The function does this through a series of small steps. This function can then be applied to find the observation time in the data that is closest to specific target times, like 24 hours and 65 hours (bottom).

```

11 ## Load packages with additional functionality beyond base R
12 library(readxl)
13 library(tidyverse)
14 library(knitr)
15 library(purrr)
16 library(hms)
17 library(gridExtra)

```

Load packages that provide extra functionality beyond what is provided by base R. Before you run this code, you will need to install each of the packages.

the report shown in Figure 2.17, and it gives us a good starting point if we run additional experiments that generate similar data.

2.5.6 Applied exercise

The Rmarkdown document includes a number of other steps, and you might find it interesting to download the document and the example data and walk through them to get a feel for the process. All the steps are documented in the Rmarkdown document with extensive code comments, to explain what's happening along the way.

2.6 Organizing project files

To improve the computational reproducibility of a research project, researchers can use a single ‘Project’ directory to collectively store all research data, meta-data, pre-processing code, and research products (e.g., paper drafts, figures). We will explain how this practice improves the reproducibility and list some of the common components and subdirectories to include in the structure of a ‘Project’ directory, including subdirectories for raw and pre-processed experimental data.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Describe a ‘Project’ directory, including common components and subdirectories
- List how a single ‘Project’ directory improves reproducibility

In earlier modules, we discussed how to separate data collection from data analysis. By separating data collection and analysis into separate files, we can make the file for each step simpler. Further, by separating steps into different files, we can save the files in plain text, which makes it easier to track them using version control software (discussed in later modules). This helps create a record of changes made to the data or analysis code during the research process.

While this process helps in reproducibility, it results in more files being collected for an experiment. Instead of data and its analysis collected within a single spreadsheet file, you may end up with multiple files of data collected from

Figure 2.22: Code to load packages with additional functionality. These provide functions that are not offered in base R, but that are useful in working with the example data. They include packages with functions for reading in data from an Excel file, as well as packages with functions for cleaning and visualizing data.

the experiment, as well as separate files with scripts for processing, analyzing, and visualizing the data. With more complex experiments, there may be different data files containing the data collected from different assays. For example, you may run an experiment where you collect data from each research animal on bacterial load, as well as flow cytometry data, as well as a measure of antibody levels through ELISA. As a result, you may have one raw data file from each assay and, for some assays, even one file per study subject (e.g., flow cytometry). The files for a research project will also include files with writing and presentations (posters and slides) associated with the project, as well as code scripts for pre-processing data, for conducting data analysis, and for creating and sharing final figures and tables.

In the next few modules, we'll discuss how you can organize the files for an experiment using a single directory that is designed to follow a similar format across all your projects. The modules will discuss the advantages of well-designed project directories, tips for arranging files within a project directory, and how to create a directory template that allows you to use consistent file organization across many experiments.

2.6.1 Organizing project files

As the files for a project accumulate, do you have a clear plan for keeping them organized? Based on one analysis, many biomedical researchers do not. One study, for example, surveyed over 250 biomedical researchers at the University of Washington. They noted that, “Some researchers admitted to having no organizational methodology at all, while others used whatever method best suited their individual needs” (Anderson et al., 2007). One respondent answered, “They’re not organized in any way—they’re just thrown into files under different projects,” while another said “I grab them when I need them, they’re not organized in any decent way,” and another, “It’s not even organized—a file on a central computer of protocols that we use, common lab protocols but those are just individual Word files within a folder so it’s not searchable *per se*” (Anderson et al., 2007).

This lack of organization can make scientists reluctant to share their research files, impeding reproducibility. In an article on organizing project files for research, Marwick notes:

“Virtually all researchers use computers as a central tool in their workflow. However, our formal education rarely includes any training in how to organise our computer files to make it easy to reproduce results and share our analysis pipeline with others. Without clear instructions, many researchers struggle to avoid chaos in their file structures, and so are understandable reluctant to expose their workflow for others to see. This may be one of the reasons that so many requests for details about method, including requests for data and code, are turned down or go unanswered.” (Marwick et al., 2018)

Sharing data and code is crucial to research reproducibility, especially for projects that include extensive preprocessing and complex analysis of data, as

many biomedical research projects now do. As a further bonus, research articles that include data with the publication may be more impactful, as measured by citations that the paper receives (Marwick et al., 2018).

In an earlier module, we introduced Adam Savage's idea of "knolling" to keep a workspace tidy (Module 2.3). He was talking about a physical workspace. When you are working with data, computer files and directories are your workspace. For any type of work, the design of the workspace plays a critical role in how the workers approach tasks and solve problems. Rod Judkins, who is a lecturer at St Martin's College of Art, highlights this in a book on creative thinking:

"Your working environment, whether it's a supermarket, office, studio, or building site, persuades you to work and think in certain ways. The more aware you are of that, and the more you understand your medium, the more you can use it to your advantage." (Judkins, 2016)

Adam Savage describes how important this is in another type of working, gourmet cooking, describing how this idea of an organized workspace is captured by the technique of *mise en place*—of laying out all the elements needed for the work ahead of time and in an organized way—introduced by the famous French chef August Escoffier:

"Kitchens are pressure cookers in which wasted movement and hasty technique can ruin a dish, slice an artery, burn a hand, land you in the weeds, and ultimately kill a restaurant. *Mise en place* is the only way to reliably create a perfect dish, to exact specifications, over and over again, night after night, for paying customers who demand nothing less." (Savage, 2020)

Good organization of your files can similarly encourage clear thinking, and it can help you in reasoning through how to analyze data. One article notes that "mundane issues such as organizing files and directories and documenting progress ... are important because poor organizational choices can lead to significantly slower research progress." (Noble, 2009) In fact, if files are organized in a consistent way across multiple projects, this can even allow you to start automating some necessary tasks through code that is built to work with that consistent structure (Buffalo, 2015).

Organization also helps you in finding things, and finding them quickly. You even know where to find things when you come back to a project after a while away from it (for example, while the paper was out for review). You can teach someone else how to find things quickly and consistently across your multiple projects, as well as where to put things they're contributing. You have a place for everything.

This will also help you find information you need when it's time to write up your results. As one article notes, with good organization, "methods and data sections in papers practically write themselves, with no time wasted in frenzied hunting for missing information." (Baker, 2016) An article on organizing computational biology projects also highlights how good organization can improve your efficiency:

“Everything you do, you will probably have to do over again. Inevitably, you will discover some flaw in your initial preparation of the data being analyzed, or you will get access to new data, or you will decide that your parameterization of a particular model was not broad enough. This means that the experiment you did last week, or even the set of experiments you’ve been working on over the past month, will probably need to be redone. If you have organized and documented your work clearly, then repeating the experiment with the new data or the new parameterization will be much, much easier.” (Noble, 2009)

2.6.2 How to organize project files

First, and at a minimum, you should get in the habit of storing all of the files for an experiment in the same place. Specifically, project files should all be in a single directory within the file system of a computer (Noble, 2009; Buffalo, 2015). While this can be an individual’s computer, it may also be on a dedicated server or through an online, cloud-based program.

There are a number of advantages to keeping all files related to a single project inside a dedicated file directory. First, this provides a clear and obvious place to search for all project files throughout your work on the project, including after lulls in activity (like waiting for reviews from a paper submission).

One article about the reproducibility of scientific papers talks about how helpful this organization can be, describing the experience for a project that involved a large research group:

“Instead of squirreling away data in individual folders and lab books, researchers now archive all published data in a designated central drive, so that the information is accessible for the long haul. Initially, people thought the process was just extra bureaucratic work, or that it had been invented so I could police their data. Now, it has become the norm, and researchers tell me they save time and worry by having their data organized and archived.” (Winchester, 2018)

Second, by keeping all project files within a single directory, you also make it easier to share those files as a unit. There are several reasons you might want to share these files. An obvious one is that you to share the project files across members in your research team, so they can collaborate on the project. However, there are also other reasons you’d need to share files, and one that is growing in importance is that you may be asked to share files (data, code scripts, etc.) when you publish a paper describing your results.

When files are all stored in one directory, the directory can be compressed and shared as an email attachment (if the file size is small enough) or through a file sharing platform like Google Drive. When all the materials for a project are stored in a single directory, it also makes it easier to share the set of files through version control and online version control platforms (Vuorre and Crump, 2021). In later modules in this book, we will introduce Git version control software and the GitHub platform for sharing files under this type of version control—this is one example of this more dynamic way of sharing files, but requires them to be stored in a single directory.

To gain the advantages of directory-based project file organization, all the files need to be within a single directory, but they don't all have to be within the same "level" in that directory. Instead, you can use subdirectories to structure and organize these files, while still retaining all the advantages of directory-based file organization. Computer file systems are well-structured to use a hierarchical design, with subdirectories nested inside directories. You can leverage this to manage the complexity and breadth of files for your project.

This will help limit the number of files in each "level" of the directory, so none becomes an overwhelming collection of files of different types. It can help you navigate the files in the directory, and also help someone else quickly figure out what's in it and where everything is. However, to leverage these gains, you need to be thoughtful about exactly how you organize the files into subdirectories.

As you decide how to organize files, keep in mind a concept called *discoverability*. In the classic design book *The Design of Everyday Things*, Don Norman presents discoverability as a key principle of good design, explaining as the ability for a user to be able to figure out, from the design of something, how to use that thing quickly, easily, and correctly. He illustrates this with an example of discoverability in the design of doors. For a door, the location of a pull handle and a push bar immediately shows someone how to use the door: pull on the side of the door where you see a pull handle and push where you see a push bar. If the door is lacking these, it makes it harder for a user to "discover" how to use it at first glance, and they might try to push when they need to pull or vice-versa.

The same idea applies to designing the way to organize research project files within a directory. You want to make sure that a new user (or you in the future) will be able to easily navigate through the directory to find what they need. One article on organizing research project files notes that, when it comes to deciding how to organize your files, "The core guiding principle is simple: Someone unfamiliar with your project should be able to look at your computer files and understand in detail what you did and why." (Noble, 2009) Another notes, "The key principle is to organize the [project directory] so that another person can know what to expect from the plain meaning of the file and directory names." (Marwick et al., 2018)

One way to improve discoverability is to name your files and subdirectories in meaningful ways. The computer will give you wide flexibility in setting names for files and subdirectories, but a human will find it much easier to navigate a directory when the names are clear labels that describe the contents. For example, if you have data from different assays, you might organize them all into a directory named "raw_data" that is then divided into subdirectories named with the type of assay.

As you develop names that are discoverable, keep in mind that your users may include some people outside your field, for whom some shorthand common in the field might be unclear. For example, in some studies of infectious

bacterial disease, the bacterial load is measured in an assay that counts colony forming units. Among bench scientists in this field, the assay is often called “CFUs”. If you are collaborating with a statistician, however, they may find the files more discoverable if you named the subdirectory with these files something like “bacterial_load” rather than “cfus”, as they may not be familiar with that shorthand.

One way to improve discoverability is to follow any standards that exist for organizing project files (Marwick et al., 2018). The use of standards or conventions tend to make it easier for users to navigate (“discover”) new instances of a certain type of thing. In module 2.2, we discussed this role of standards when it comes to the format you use to record your data. When it comes to project file organization, standards will come in the form of the subdirectories that are included, how they’re organized hierarchically, and how subdirectories and files are named.

These standards could exist as several levels: at a top level for your discipline, but also just for your lab group, or even for you as an individual. It is very helpful when standards exist at a discipline-wide level, as following this type of high-level standard will immediately make your work discoverable (in the design sense) to a wide group of people. As one article notes, “Using widely held conventions... will help other people to understand how your files relate to each other without having to ask you.” (Marwick et al., 2018)

As an example of this, when people develop R packages, the package consists of a set of files, and there is a very clear and highly enforced standard for how these files are arranged in a directory and how the subdirectories are named. By enforcing this standard, many different people can create packages and have them work in a similar way.

On the opposite end of the spectrum, if there are not clear standards at the level of your discipline, you could create a clear standard that you plan to follow either for your lab group or even for your individual work. If you’re consistent in organizing your files using that standard, it will make it easier to navigate files as you move from one project to another.

As an added bonus, subdirectory organization can also be used in clever ways within code scripts applied to files in the directory. For example, there are functions in all scripting languages that will list all the files in a specified subdirectory. If you keep all your raw data files of a certain type (for example, all output from flow cytometry for the project) within a single subdirectory, you can use this type of function with code scripts to list all the files in that directory and then apply code that you’ve developed to preprocess or visualize the data across all those files. This code would continue to work as you added files to that directory, since it starts by looking in that subdirectory each time it runs and working with all files there as of that moment.

This type of automation can be a huge efficiency boost for your project. One article describes how this type of automation can increase efficiency with a comparison to a simpler task in working with computer files:

“Organizing data files into a single directory with consistent filenames prepares us to iterate over *all* of our data, whether it’s the four example files used in this example, or 40,000 files in a real project. Think of it this way: remember when you discovered you could select many files with your mouse cursor? With this trick, you could move 60 files as easily as six files. You could also select certain file types (e.g., photos) and attach them all to an email with one movement. By using consistent file naming and directory organization, you can do the same programmatically using the Unix shell and other programming languages.” (Buffalo, 2015)

Another way to improve your directory organization is to make sure the directory is not cluttered with unnecessary files. Unnecessary files can include old versions of project files, which have been superseded by newer versions. In later modules (modules 2.9–2.11), we’ll describe how version control can help avoid this clutter from old versions of files while retaining information from older versions as files evolve.

2.6.3 *What is a project template?*

Louis Pasteur famously said that “Luck favors the prepared mind.” In file organization, as with so much else, time spent preparing can pay off exponentially later. In this case, the next step is to not only use a structured directory for each project or experiment, but to start using the same, standardized structure for every one of your projects and experiments—in other words, to create a standard for file organization and to use it consistently.

In other modules, we talk about how templates can be used to improve the rigor and reproducibility of collecting and reporting on data. Just as it’s possible to create templates for data collection and for reports, it’s also possible to create a template for how you organize file directories for your scientific projects, creating and applying standards for things like which subdirectories are included and how files are named. This takes more work—to design a structure that can be used across many projects, rather than to set something up *ad hoc* as you start each new experiment. However, the gains in terms of organization and efficiency can be extraordinary.

This involves first designing a common template for the directory structure for your projects. Once you have decided on a structure for this template, you can create a version of it on your computer—a file directory with all the sub-directories included, but without any files (or only template files you’d want to use as a starting point in each project, like templates for data collection and reports as presented in Modules 2.4 and 2.5). When you start a new project, you can then just copy this template directory, rename it, and start using it for your new research project. If you are using R and begin to use R Projects (described in the next section), you can also create an R Studio Project template to serve as this kind of starting point each time you start a new project.

In other areas of science and engineering, this idea of standardized directory structures has allowed the development of powerful techniques for open-

source software developers to work together. For example, anyone may create their own extensions to the R programming language and share these with others through GitHub or several large repositories. As mentioned briefly earlier in this module, this is coordinated by enforcing a common directory structure on these extension “packages”—to create a new package, you must put certain types of files in certain subdirectories within a project directory. With these standardized rules of directory structure and content, each of these packages can interact with the base version of R, since there are functions that can tap into any of these new packages by assuming where each type of file will be within the package’s directory of files.

In a similar way, if you impose a common directory structure across all the project directories in your research lab, your collaborators will quickly be able to learn where to find each element, even in projects they are new to, and you will all be able to write code that can be easily applied across all project directories, allowing you to improve reproducibility and comparability across all projects by assuring that you are conducting the same pre-processing and analysis across all projects (or, if you are conducting things differently for different projects, that you are deliberate and aware that you are doing so). Creating a project template that you copy and rename as you start a new project is one way to facilitate this.

As you use a template for a project, you can customize it as you need. For example, if you had included a subdirectory for flow cytometry data, but are not running that assay in this experiment, you can remove that subdirectory. Similarly, you can customize the report as you go to help it work well for this specific experiment. However, you will aim to keep to the standard format as much as possible, since it’s the standardization across projects that provides many of the advantages.

Across these modules, we have covered several types of templates, as templates can be a powerful tool to improve reproducibility. The types of templates have included templates for data collection, templates for generating reports, and now templates for how project directories are organized. This last type of template—for project directories—can include nested within it the other types of templates, for data collection and generating reports.

In the next module, we will walk through the steps of designing a project template that you can use across experiments for your laboratory group. In module 2.8, we’ll walk through an example of creating and using this kind of project template for an example set of studies.

2.7 *Creating project directory templates*

Researchers can develop project directory templates to facilitate collecting research files in a single, structured directory, with the added benefit of easy use of version control. Researchers can gain even more benefits by consistently structuring all their project directories. We will demonstrate how to

implement structured project directories through RStudio, as well as how RStudio enables the creation of a ‘Project’ for initializing consistently-structured directories for all of a research group’s projects.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Be able to designed a structured project directory template for research projects
- Understand how RStudio can be used to create ‘Project’ templates

The last module described the advantages of organizing all the files for a research project within a single directory, and the added advantages of using a consistent directory structure for all of the experiments or projects in your research group. In this module, we’ll walk through the steps required to design and create a template for your project directories. Creating and using a common template for your directory structure for projects will help create consistency across projects in the directory structure, which can facilitate the use and re-use of automated tools like code scripts across different experiments.

Designing a project template will include two parts—first, designing a *conceptual* template for your file organization and, second, creating a *physical* implementation of that concept. The conceptual template will develop a structure and rules for how you’ll organize and name files within a project directory. The physical template will use these ideas to develop a file directory that follows that organization, which you can then copy, paste, and adapt each time you start a new project.

The hardest part of this is the conceptual part—deciding on the structure and rules you will consistently use. This is a process of designing, and so you can make this process a bit easier by following principles that facilitate design. For example, as you design, it’s useful to start by defining the problem (Osann et al., 2020). What are you aiming to achieve with your file organization system?

Based on our own experiences and the advice of others (Marwick et al., 2018; Bertin and Baumer, 2021), some key goals to consider for a research project directory template are that the system:

- Keeps all files for a research project within a single directory, using subdirectories to organize files into a hierarchical structure
- Keeps data collection and analysis separate (see module 2.1)
- Avoids or removes unnecessary files
- Uses meaningful names for files and subdirectories, allowing easy navigation and discoverability (module 2.6) by a new user
- Facilitates creation of reports and analysis that incorporate data from different assays for an experiment
- Makes it easy to share all project files across the team, as well as publicly, once a paper is published

- Makes it easy to implement version control for a project (modules 2.9–2.11)
- Incorporates enough flexibility to be used with minimal changes across many research projects

In this module, we'll walk through steps you can take to design project templates to meet these goals.

2.7.1 *Designing a project template*

Before you open your computer to make a “physical” template, you should design it. This involves deciding what types of data will go into a project directory, how those files will be organized within the directory and the naming conventions for files. In other words, you should create a blueprint for your template before you create a physical template.

As you work on this blueprint, you will want to prioritize how it will fit the needs of the user—your research group. One way you can do this is to follow a key early step in the design process: observe (Osann et al., 2020). One of the best ways to get an idea of what your research group needs within a project directory is to take a survey of past research projects from your group. Make a list of what types of data were collected and what types of pre-processing and analysis were done using those data. For each type of data, it's helpful to make a note of the file type it's usually stored in and the typical size of the files. How are data for a specific assay divided across files? Are the data for all animals and all timepoints included in a single spreadsheet file? If so, are they saved in the same sheet, or divided across sheets? Conversely, are different files used for the data from different animals or different time points?

Doing this kind of survey will help you create a standard structure of sub-directories that you can use consistently across the directories for all the projects in your research program. Of course, some projects may not include certain files, and some might have a new or unusual type of file, so you can customize the directory structure to some degree for these types of cases, but it is still a big advantage to include as many common elements as possible across all your projects. The best way to determine what these common elements might be in future projects is to look at your past projects.

It can also be helpful to have an example of each file type, to help capture the typical size, structure, and contents of each type of file. For data that you will record yourself, these can be the templates that you developed to collect the data in a tidy format (modules 2.3 through 2.5), while for data from equipment, these can be one or more example files from the equipment that you have collected for a past project. Having these example files will help you to develop a template project report that can input the type of data that you typically collect for this type of project.

This is also a good stage to diagnose if there are data collection files that are not successful in separating data collection from data pre-processing and analysis (module 2.1). As you progress, you may also want to add templates

that serve as a starting point for data collection files and report files within this project. For example, if you always want to collect observed data in a standard way, you could create a template for data collection, for example as a CSV file. This idea of creating data collection templates is described in detail in modules 2.4 and 2.5.

Another type of template you may want to develop at this point is a report template. You can leverage the standard structure you've created for your directory to create a report. This can be designed to generate some exploratory analysis and visualizations that you find you typically want to generate from your data. You can create this using tools for reproducible reports—in R, a key tool for this is RMarkdown. Here, we'll cover using this tool for creating a report briefly, but there are many more details in modules 3.7 through 3.9. Briefly, RMarkdown allows you to include both code and text meant for humans within a single, plain text document. This document can then be rendered, a process that executes the code and formats the text meant for humans, producing a document in an easy-to-read format like Word or PDF.

Determine which subdirectories you'll include and how you'll name them

Once you have surveyed past project to determine the types of files that you'll normally include in a project, you can decide how to organize them into subdirectories. This subdirectory structure will create the core framework of your project directory template.

In general, as you design the structure of subdirectories, keep in mind that a key aim is to create a structure that is general enough that you can use it consistently for many projects, but also clear enough that you can quickly find things within the directory. As one paper notes, you want a directory setup that is “flexible and configurable” (Blischak et al., 2019).

You also want to design a structure that will be easy to work within. Adam Savage, the host of the *Mythbusters* television show who we introduced in module 2.3, has spent a lot of time thinking about how to organize a work space to make his process more efficient and pleasant. One interesting thing that he found is that the neatest organization isn't always the best; rather, the best organization is one that not only helps you find what you need but also encourages your creativity in solving problems. He notes:

“Not all organizational methodologies are created equal. One could be spotlessly organized, with everything put away and labeled and color coded, and it could feel like a prison with the walls closing in around you. Another could be equally organized but a bit more open and exposed, and it could untap creative genius like no other space you've worked in.” (Savage, 2020)

He continues:

“What truly unifies my shops, especially as I got more experienced, is that they are each built on two, simple philosophical pillars: 1) I want to be able to see everything easily; and 2) I want to be able to reach everything easily.” (Savage, 2020)

A number of researchers have put a lot of thought into how to organize project directories for scientific research (Vuorre and Crump, 2021; Johnston, 2022; Blischak et al., 2019; Marwick et al., 2018; Noble, 2009). A common theme across these papers is to include subdirectories to store files in four main areas:

- data
- code
- reports
- meta-documentation

We'll go through each of these to discuss what might be included in each, as well as how it might make sense to name subdirectories in each of the areas.

Data subdirectories

Data should be saved in an area that is separate from any code for analysis. See module 2.1 for a deeper discussion on the benefits of separating data from analysis to improve reproducibility.

The raw data should also be treated as “read-only”—in other words, the raw data should never be edited or changed. To work with the data, including any necessary quality control, pre-processing, or analysis, these raw data should be read into a separate program for analysis. That way, you can work with the data (and even create and save intermediary, “processed” versions of the data), while maintaining the original raw files without alteration.

There are different recommendations on how to name subdirectories for data. Several papers recommend having separate subdirectories for the raw data versus intermediate processed data. Some researchers have suggested naming the subdirectory for raw data as “data-raw” and the one for intermediate data as “data” (Vuorre and Crump, 2021; Johnston, 2022). Others have suggested naming the raw data subdirectory as “data” and the one for intermediate data “outputs” (Blischak et al., 2019). Either or these choices—or a reasonable alternative—is fine, as long as you use your naming scheme consistently every time you set up a project directory. In some cases, you may also decide to have the raw data directory keep the code scripts that you used to create intermediate processed data from those raw data (Johnston, 2022).

One article suggested a solution if you are working with raw data files that are extremely large, as in this case you may not have room on your personal computer to store the full set of raw data (Marwick et al., 2018). It suggests that, in that case, you store a smaller example dataset in your project directory that can be used to test or demonstrate the analysis code, while storing the full set of raw data files on a computer with adequate storage capacity. The article notes:

“If your data are very large, or streaming, an alternative is to include a small-sample dataset so that people can try out the techniques without having to run very expensive computations.” (Marwick et al., 2018)

Code subdirectories

Next, you'll want to include one or more subdirectories for code. Again, this structure helps in separating data collection from data analysis (module 2.1).

This code may include data for cleaning and pre-processing the data, although some researchers choose to put code for these steps in the “raw-data” subdirectory, as separate files from the raw data files but within the same section of the project directory.

This code will also include code to analyze and visualize the data. In some cases, it might include code for functions that you plan to reuse within different code scripts in the project or even across projects.

One article recommended having a single code subdirectory, named “code” (Blischak et al., 2019). This subdirectory can store any code scripts (outside of any code running as part of a report RMarkdown file; see modules 3.7–3.9). Another recommends that, if you have both compiled code (like C code) and code scripts (for a language like R), you may want to have separate subdirectories for source code (“src”) versus compiled code or scripts (“bin”) (Noble, 2009).

Other researchers have recommended having an “R” subdirectory that is only used for code that you write for reusable R functions, ones that you plan to use several times across other code scripts in your project (Vuorre and Crump, 2021; Marwick et al., 2018). For the code that runs data analysis, they recommend a separate subdirectory named “model” (Vuorre and Crump, 2021) or “analysis” (Marwick et al., 2018).

Report subdirectories

Ideally, you will use a tool like RMarkdown to create reports that can run analysis directly from your processed data. We discuss these kinds of tools in more depth in modules 3.7–3.9.

Whether you use these tools or not, though, you should have a space in your project directory to keep the documents you create to report your findings. These will include paper articles, but they can also include documents like conference abstracts, posters, and presentations.

You could use a single subdirectory for these report files, named something like “doc” (Johnston, 2022; Noble, 2009). Alternatively, if you are using RMarkdown files, you could keep these files (which are the ones you should work on as you edit reports) in one subdirectory and have another subdirectory to store the output of those RMarkdown files (the generated reports in a format like PDF or Word, which you should treat as read-only if they were generated from an RMarkdown file) (Blischak et al., 2019). These two subdirectories could be named “analysis” and “output”, respectively (Blischak et al., 2019). Another article recommends using separate subdirectories for different types of report outputs, for example “posters”, “manuscript”, and “slides” (Vuorre and Crump, 2021).

Metadata subdirectories or files

The final major area to cover in your project directory are files for metadata. This is information that describes your project as a whole. In some cases you might store this information in subdirectories, but in many cases, this information might alternatively go in a single file at the main level of the project directory.

There are a number of pieces of information that you may want to include in this metadata. It could include, for example, information about the experiment, like which model animal you were using or which treatment you were testing.

It can also include information related to the code analysis. One piece of information that's very important, for example, is a list of the dependencies and versions of software. For example, if you used R for analysis, which version of R did you use, and which packages did you use to supplement the base R distribution?

The metadata can also provide some information on who was involved in the project, what role each person had, and the conditions for reusing elements of the project, like code and data. If the project directory will be shared once you complete the information, these details on reuse will be particularly helpful. This might include information, for example, about the license under which you are sharing any code within the project.

Several articles suggest sharing this metadocumentation through a type of file called a “README” file (Marwick et al., 2018; Bertin and Baumer, 2021; Johnston, 2022).

The idea of a README file comes from the tradition of software engineering. The code that builds a software system can be large and complex, with many source files that must be combined and compiled to “build” the software. Since it can be hard to navigate the directory with all these files, one long-standing solution is to include a README file. This README file is put in the top level of the directory’s hierarchy. This way, when someone opens the directory, they’ll see this file right away.

This file serves as a spot where you can help someone navigate the rest of the files in the directory. You can also use it to record metadata for the project: things like who was involved in the research and a citation to a resulting paper. You can write this file in plain text, but if you’re sharing the project directory through a version control platform, you might want to explore writing it in the mark-up language Markdown (see module 2.11 for more on using Markdown for a README file that will be shared through a version control platform).

Decide on file name conventions

The first thing to keep in mind when you create rules for how you name files in your project is this: use names that balance *generalizability* with *discoverability*. In terms of generalizability, you want to use file names that generalize to all of your projects. In other words, don’t make file names so specific that they won’t work the next time you do a project.

You may, for example, want to include the name of the grant or experiment

in your filename for your metadata. This instinct is good—it can be helpful to include information about your experiment somewhere in the filenames. But try to put this type of information as high in the project files as possible: specifically, put that information in the name of the project directory itself. Then, within the filenames in that directory, use names that can be used across many projects.

This is because if a type of file always has the same name in all your project directories, you and your team will find it easy to find that file and use that file as they move from one project to the next. It even will allow you to write code that leverages the fact that certain files always have the same name across projects.

You don't, however, want to make file names so general that they aren't *discoverable* (see module 2.6 for more on the idea of *discoverability*). A filename, in other words, shouldn't be so generic that its name doesn't give you an good idea of what it contains.

Say, for example, that you used the name "file_a" for the metadata file in your project directory. This filename is generic and would work across many projects, unlike a filename that includes something like the name of the experiment. It's so generic, though, that it would be hard for someone to figure out what the file contains just by looking at its name. A better name would be something like "experiment_metadata"—generic enough to work across many projects, but detailed enough to be discoverable.

Another thing to consider, as you select file naming conventions, is to avoid special characters in filenames. We discussed this idea some in module 2.4, in the context of avoiding special characters in the column names and cell entries in a data collection spreadsheet. Similar considerations apply to filenames. While many operating systems allow you to include things like spaces in filenames, these special characters can make it harder to write code that works with the file. Try to write filenames that have only alphanumeric characters and underscores.

One of the biggest culprits here are spaces. It is appealing to include spaces in a filename: it's easier to read the words in the filename if they're separated by spaces. You should, though, get out of this habit.

Once you move to coding with the file, the spaces will be a pain. Often, when a computer parses code, it thinks it's gotten to the end of something when it gets to a space. When it gets to a space in a filename, for example, it can think it's gotten to the end of that filename in some contexts. So, if you put a space in the middle of a filename, it can confuse the computer.

There are ways to help the computer out—ways to "escape" special characters, to the computer will treat them literally rather than attributing special meaning to these special characters. However, this is a pain to have to do over and over again as you use a set of files. It's much simpler to enforce a rule to use underscores instead of spaces in your filenames: "experiment_metadata.Md", for example, rather than "experiment metadata.Md". The

underscores serve the same purpose of legibility that the spaces do, by separating words within the filename. They won't confuse the computer in the same way, though.

It is good practice to write code using relative pathnames that start from the top-level of the project directory (Bertin and Baumer, 2021). In other words, tell the computer where to find the files starting from the top level of the project directory. This is because these relative pathnames will work equally well on someone else's computer, whereas if you use file pathnames that are absolute (i.e., giving directions to the file from the root directory on your computer), then when someone else tries to run the code on their own computer, it won't work and they'll need to change the filepaths in the code, since everyone's computer has its files organized differently. For example, if you, on your personal computer, have the project directory stored in your "Documents" folder, while a colleague has stored the project directory in his or her "Desktop" directory, then the absolute filepaths for each file in the directory will be different for each of you. The relative pathnames, starting from the top level of the project directory, will be the same for both of you, though, regardless of where you each stored the project directory on your computer.

When it comes to code scripts in your project, there's also one other thing you may want to consider in naming conventions. Often, you will have divided key tasks (like data entry, pre-processing, and analysis) into separate script tiles. The scripts will need to follow a specific order when they are run to recreate the results for the project. In this case, you may want to consider starting each script's filename with a number, where the numbers indicate the order that the scripts should be run (Marwick et al., 2018; Bertin and Baumer, 2021). For example, your script files might look like: "01_reading_data.R", "02_preprocessing_data.R", "03_exploratory_analysis.R", and so on.

There are other cases where you'll have more than one of a certain file type. For example, within your raw data files, you may have one file per sample for an assay like flow cytometry, or one file per timepoint if you're recording data for multiple timepoints.

In this case, you'll need to develop rules for how you name these files, choosing a system that allows different filenames for each file. As you do, there are two things you can keep in mind: first, adhering to standards when possible, and second designing filenames in a way that you can leverage something called *regular expressions*.

In an earlier module (2.2), we talked about standards in terms of recording data. We emphasized how powerful standards can be if they are regularly followed in practice. Just as standards are a powerful tool when recording data, they are also a powerful tool when creating filenames. If there are conventions in your discipline for how certain files are named, follow these.

One example is that there may be a standard way that a piece of laboratory equipment names a file. For example, it may always include some elements like

the sample name and the date that the sample was run through the equipment. In this case, you don't want to change these filenames. You want to keep them in the standard format, as people may have already built tools to work with that standard. If you change from the standard, those tools wouldn't be available. Standards also tend to help with discoverability, so if you change the filenames for the standard, it may make it harder for someone else to navigate your files.

If standards don't exist for naming a certain type of file, you can create your own standards. As you do, you can think about how to create filenames that leverage *regular expressions*. These are coding tools that can search for patterns that you specify in character strings, including filenames.

As an example, say you have files that record separate timepoints of your experiment. You could pick a naming convention that always includes the timepoint in the filename, recorded using the same conventions and always in the same place in the filename. If you collect at timepoints that you call "day 7", "day 14", and "day 21", you might incorporate these within the filenames using "D" for "day" and the two digits for the number. This would result in files that include something like "D07", "D14", or "D21" in the name. It would be straightforward to pull this information back out of the filenames if you always put it in the same spot in the filename. For example, if you're collecting bacterial loads by measuring colony-forming units, you might name the files, "cfu_D07.xlsx", "cfu_D14.xlsx", and "cfu_D21.xlsx". Because you have always put the varying information (the timepoint) in the same spot, it will be easy to extract this with code using regular expressions.

2.7.2 Creating and using a project template

Once you have a blueprint for a template for a project directory, you can create this as a "physical template" directory on your computer. This process is, once you have designed the template, very easy. It involves no fancy tools—in fact, it's so straightforward that at first it might seem too simple to be useful. For this basic approach, you will create an example file directory that captures your desired project directory structure. If you have created any templates, either for data collection (module 2.4 and 2.5) or for reports (modules 3.7–3.9), you can include those within this structure.

In other words, you will create a basic file directory with the desired template files and file directory structure. When you are ready to start a new project, you will copy this template, rename the copy to be specific to the new project, and then use this directory to store and work with the data you collect for the project. Figure 2.23 gives an example of what the final resulting template directory might look like, as well as how it can be copied, renamed, and used as you start new projects.

This template is not restrictive—it serves as a starting point, but it can be adapted for each specific project. For example, if you are collecting data from an assay that you have not used in past experiments, you can add a new data




Figure 2.23: A research group can create a file directory that will serve as a template for all the experiments of a certain type in your laboratory. The template can include templates of files for data recording and for generating reports. To start recording data for a new experiment, a researcher can copy and rename this template directory.

subdirectory to your project directory to use for storing that new type of data. Figure 2.24 shows an example of how you could customize the basic template shown in Figure 2.23.




Figure 2.24: Example of a more complex project directory structure that could be created, with directories added to store data collected through flow cytometry and single cell RNA sequencing.

Keep in mind, though, that you do want to keep a balance, where you avoid unneeded changes to the project template within each specific project’s directory. This is because many of the benefits of standardizing (e.g., knowing where things are, building tools that leverage the standardized directory structure) are lost as the directories for specific projects grow to be more and more different from each other.

Within your templates, you may find it useful to include “placeholders”. Instead of leaving the areas where data will be recorded blank, you can put in examples that show the format of how the data should be collected. By typesetting these placeholders in color other than black, you can clarify that they are meant to be erased and replaced with the real data once a person starts using the template.

Figure 2.25 gives an example of how placeholders can work in a data collection template that’s included in a project directory template.

In modules 2.4 and 2.5, we showed how you can create tidy data collection templates to use to collect data, and how these can be paired with reproducible reporting tools to separate the steps of data collection and reporting (modules 3.7 through 3.9 go into much more depth on these reproducible reporting tools). Once you have decided on the types of data that you will usually collect for the type of study that this template is for, you can use that process to create tidy data collection templates for each type of data.

Once you set up this template, a researcher in your group can initialize a project for a new experiment by copying the template directory and renaming it to the name of the experiment. They can then open the directory and replace any placeholder data in the project files with real data from the experiment.

Figure 2.26 gives a basic walk-through of the simple steps you’ll use to start a

Open the “experimental_metadata” file, to the sheet named “group_treatment_key”.

rx_group	group	drug_1_name	drug_2_name	drug_3_name	drug_1_dose	drug_2_dose	drug_3_dose	full_drug_dose_details
0	control							Untreated
1	monotherapy	isoniazid						Isoniazid, 25mg/kg by intrapulmonary aerosol
2	monotherapy	novel drug A			10			Novel drug A, 10mg/kg by intrapulmonary aerosol
3	combination	pyrazinamide	novel drug A		150	10		Pyrazinamide, 150mg/kg in 200 ul by gavage + novel drug A, 10 mg/kg

Replace the placeholder data (in red) with the real treatment information for the study. Change the color to black to show that the placeholder data have been replaced.

rx_group	group	drug_1_name	drug_2_name	drug_3_name	drug_1_dose	drug_2_dose	drug_3_dose	full_drug_dose_details
0	negative control							Untreated
1	negative control	isoflurane						Isoflurane (anesthetic)
2	negative control	saline						0.9% saline
4	monotherapy	novel drug A			10			Novel drug A, 10mg/kg by intrapulmonary aerosol
5	monotherapy	novel drug A			25			Novel drug A, 25mg/kg by intrapulmonary aerosol
6	monotherapy	novel drug A			50			Novel drug A, 50mg/kg by intrapulmonary aerosol

Figure 2.25: The template includes a file with experiment metadata, with a sheet for recording the details of each treatment. A user can open this file and replace the placeholder values (in red) with real values for the treatments in the experiment. By changing the text color to black, the user can have a visual confirmation that the placeholder data have been replaced with real study data.

new project directory once you've created this type of template (we will cover this example in much more detail in the next module, where we walk through a full example of designing and using a project template).

First, you will find the project directory template in your computer's file system, copy it to where you'd like to save the files for the new project, and rename the directory to your new project's name. Next, you'll open the data collection template files and replace the placeholder example data in the template (shown in red font) with the real data from your study. The placeholder data can help you remember the format you should use to record the real data. Finally, once you've recorded the data for the study or experiment, you can open the example report template file. If you've designed this report template well, it should run with the new data you've recorded to create a report for the experiment. At this stage, you can add to the report or customize it for the new project by changing the Rmarkdown file and re-rendering it to update the report.

The report template is included in the project directory template, so it will be copied and available for you to use anytime you start a new project using that template. However, you are not obligated to keep the report identical to the template. Instead, the template report serves as a starting point, and you can add to it or adapt it as you work on a study.

2.7.3 Project directories as RStudio Projects


If you are using the R programming language for data pre-processing, analysis, and visualization—as well as RMarkdown for writing reports and presentations—then you can use RStudio's “Project” functionality to make it even more convenient to work with files within a research project's directory. You can make any file directory a “Project” in RStudio by choosing “File” -> “New Project” in RStudio's menu. This gives you the option to create a project from scratch or to make an existing directory an RStudio Project.

When you make a file directory an RStudio Project, it doesn't change much in the directory itself except adding a “.RProj” file. This file keeps track of some things about the file directory for RStudio, including preferred settings for RStudio to use when working in that project.

When you are working in an RStudio Project, RStudio will automatically move your working directory to be the top-level directory of the Project directory. This makes it easy to write code that uses this directory as the presumed working directory, using relative file paths to identify and files within the directory. We discussed the value of using relative pathnames earlier in this module, when we discussed how to design file naming conventions for your project directory. In particular, if you share the project directory with someone else, they can similarly open the RStudio Project in their own version of RStudio, and all the relative pathnames to files should work on their system without any problems. This feature helps make code in an RStudio Project

1

Find the project directory template in the file finder program on your computer. Copy the entire directory, paste the copy where you want to store the project directory for your new study, and rename the directory to the name of your new study.



2

Open data recording templates and replace the placeholder data (saved in red font to indicate that it's placeholder data) with data from the real project. Change the font color to black to show that these are data from the project, rather than placeholder data.



3

Open the project report template. Render it to PDF to create the report. If you'd like, you can make changes to the template Rmarkdown report file to customize it for this project.




Figure 2.26: Steps in using a basic project directory template that you have created for a type of study or experiment.

directory reproducible across different people's computers.

There are some other advantages, as well, to turning each of your research project directories into RStudio Projects. One is that it is very easy to connect each of these Projects with GitHub, which facilitates collaborative work on the project across multiple team members while tracking all changes under version control. If you are tracking the project directory under the Git version control system, then when you open the RStudio Project, there will be a special tab in one of the panes to help in using Git with the project. This tab provides a visual interface for you to commit changes you've made, so they are tracked and can be reversed if needed, and also so you can easily push and pull these committed changes to and from a remote repository, like a GitHub repository, if you are collaborating with others. This functionality is described in modules 2.9 through 2.11.


Having your project directories set up as R Projects also makes it easy to navigate among different projects. When you close RStudio and reopen it, it will automatically open in the last Project you had open. There is a small tab in the top right hand corner of the RStudio window that lists the project you are currently in. To move to a different Project, you can click on the down arrow beside this project name. There will be a list of your most recent projects, as well as options to open any Project on your computer. If you want to work in RStudio, but not in any of the Projects, you can choose to "Close Project".

2.7.4 *Creating 'Project' templates in RStudio*

As you continue to use R and RStudio's Project functionality, you may want to take the template directory for your project and create an RStudio Project template based on its structure. Once you do, when you start a new research project, you can create the full directory for your project's files from within RStudio by going to "File" -> "New Project" and then choosing to create a new project based on that template. The new project will already be set up with the ".RProj" file that allows you to easily navigate into and out of that project, to connect it to GitHub, and all the other advantages of setting a file directory as an RStudio Project. This takes a bit of time to set-up, but can be a powerful tool in ensuring that researchers in your laboratory use a standardized format for project directories across many experiments.

When you create a new project in R, you will have the option to use any of the available project templates currently downloaded to your copy of R (rst, 2021). To create a new project, go to the "File" menu in the top menu bar in RStudio, and then choose "New Project". This will open a pop-up box like the one shown in Figure 2.27.

This pop-up contains the New Project Wizard in RStudio. Here, you can either create a new Project without using a template (click on "New Project") or you can create a Project starting from a template. The templates available in your copy of R will be listed below the "New Project" listing. Depending



on which packages you've installed for your copy of R, you will have different choices of project templates available, as project templates are created and shared within R packages (rst, 2021). In the example shown in Figure 2.27, for example, one of the template options is for a “Book Project using bookdown”, available because the `bookdown` R package has been installed locally.

Your research group can create your own Project templates. This will allow you to use a standard template for your projects, just like we showed in the last section. However, instead of needing to copy, paste, and rename the template each time, if you create an official RStudio Project template, then the researcher can chose to use this template under the “New Project” option in RStudio (Figure 2.28).

To create your own Project template that can be used in this way, you will need to create them within an R package, but this package does not need to be posted to a public site like CRAN. Instead, it can be shared exclusively among the research group as a zipped file that can be installed directly from source onto each person's computer. Alternatively, you can post the package code as a GitHub repository, and there are straightforward tools for installing R package code from GitHub onto each team member's computer. RStudio has provided a detailed guide to creating your own project template at https://rstudio.github.io/rstudio-extensions/rstudio_project_templates.html. This topic has also been discussed through a short talk at the yearly RStudio::conf: <https://rstudio.com/resources/rstudioconf-2020/rproject-templates-to-automate-and-standardize-your-workflow/>.

Figure 2.27: Creating a new project in RStudio. When you chose 'File' then 'New Project' from the RStudio menu, it opens the New Project Wizard shown here. You have the option to create a new project that is not based on a project template by selecting 'New Project'. You also have the chance to create a project using a template by selecting one of the templates. The listed templates will depend on which packages you have downloaded for your copy of R. For example, here the 'bookdown' package has been installed for the local copy of R, and so a template is available for 'Book Project using bookdown'.




Figure 2.28: To make it easier for members of a group to use a project template, the group can create an official R template for the type of project. Once this type of template is created, a user can access it as a choice when creating a new R Project from RStudio. When doing so, a box will pop up with options for setting up the project. In this example, the user can specify the members of the research team and indicate if the experiment will include data from flow cytometry or single cell RNA-sequencing, in which case the Project will include subdirectories to store these types of data, as well as data recorded in the laboratory.

2.7.5 Discussion questions

2.8 Example: Creating a project template

We will walk through a real example, based on the experiences of one of our Co-Is, of establishing the format for a research group’s ‘Project’ template, creating that template using RStudio, and initializing a new research project directory using the created template. This example will be from a laboratory-based research group that studies the efficacy of tuberculosis drugs in a murine model.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Create a ‘Project’ template in RStudio to initialize consistently-formatted ‘Project’ directories
- Initialize a new ‘Project’ directory using this template

For this module, we’ll show an example of creating a project directory template for a lab group. We will walk through the process of creating a project directory template that could be used to manage and analyze data from any of the specific studies for this group. We’ll discuss the steps of the conceptual design—figuring out how a blueprint for the standard subdirectories and the file naming conventions. We’ll also show examples of the physical implementation of this blueprint as a file directory that can be copied and renamed to initiate a new project. The full directory of files for this example can be found at

https://github.com/geanders/example_for_improve_repro [need to make public], where you can download them or explore them online.

2.8.1 Description of the example set of studies

In this module, we'll use an example based on a set of real immunology experiments. This example highlights how a research laboratory will often conduct a similar type of experiment many times, so it lets us demonstrate how the design of the project's files within a project directory can be reused across similar experiments. It will allow us to show you how you can move from designing a file directory for a single experiment to designing one that can be used repeatedly, and then how you can take advantage of consistency in the directory structure across projects to make tools and templates that can be reused. [Get reference for these studies]

This example covers a group of studies that explored novel treatments for tuberculosis. While treatments exist for tuberculosis, the current treatment regime is lengthy and involves a combination of multiple drugs. If the treatment is not completed, it can result in the development and spread of drug-resistant tuberculosis strains, and so the treatment is sometimes required to be done under observation (Barry and Cheung, 2009). If the patient has a strain of tuberculosis that is resistant to some of the first-line drugs, they need to be treated with second-line drugs, which can have serious side effects (Barry and Cheung, 2009). There is a critical need to develop more candidate drugs against this disease, given all the limitations and struggles of the current treatment regime.

Each study investigates how mice that are challenged with tuberculosis respond to different treatments, both in terms of how well they handle the treatment (assessed by checking if their weight decreases notably while on treatment) and also how well the treatment manages to limit the growth of tuberculosis in the mouse's lungs.

These example studies were conducted with similar designs and similar goals—all aimed to test candidate treatments for tuberculosis. Most studies in this set tested one or more treatments as well as one or more controls. The controls could include negative controls, like saline solution, or positive controls, like a drug already in use to treat the disease, isoniazid. A few of the studies tested only controls, to help in developing baseline expectations for things like the bacterial load in different mouse strains used in studies in the set. The set of studies tested some treatments that were monotherapies (only one drug given to the animal) as well as some that were combinations of two or three different drugs. For many of the drugs that were tested, they were tested at different doses and, in some cases, different methods of delivery or different mouse models.

Each of the treatments were given to several mice that had been infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. During the treatment, the mice were weighed

regularly. This weight measurement helps to determine if a particular treatment is well-tolerated by the animals—if not, it may show through the treated mice losing weight during treatment. For convenience, the mice were not weighed individually. Instead, mice with the same treatment were kept in a single cage, and the entire cage was weighed, the weight of the cage itself factored out, and the average weight of mice for that treatment determined by dividing the weight of all mice in the cage by the number of mice in the cage. After a period of time, the mice were sacrificed and one lobe from their lungs was used to determine each mouse's bacterial load, through plating the material from the lobe and counting the colony forming units (CFUs). One aim of the data analysis is to compare the bacterial load of mice under various treatments to the bacterial load of mice in the control group.

The full set of studies included 19 different studies. These were conducted at different times, but the data for all of the studies can be collected using a common format, and we'll talk about how both data collection templates and a project directory template could be designed to accommodate these experiments.

2.8.2 Step 1: Survey of data collected for the projects

The first step in developing a project template is to survey the typical types of files that are included in your research projects. To give an example of this part of the design process, let's walk through the types of data that were collected for the example studies.

First, there was some metadata recorded for each study. Figure 2.29 gives an example. This includes information about the strain of mouse that was used in the study, treatment details (including the method of giving the drug or drugs, how often they were given each week, and for how many weeks), how much bacteria the animals were exposed to (measured both in terms of the inoculum they were given and their bacterial load one day after they were given that inoculum, which was based on sacrificing one animal the day after challenging all the animals with the bacteria), and, if the study included a novel drug as part of the tested treatment, the batch number of that drug.

Next, the researchers recorded some information about each treatment group within the experiment. This typically included at least one negative control. In some cases, there was also a positive control, in which the animals were treated with a drug that's in standard use against tuberculosis already (e.g., isoniazid). Most studies would also test one or more treatments, which could include monotherapies or combined therapies. Figure 2.30 shows an example of the data that were recorded on each treatment in the study. These data include the names and doses of up to three drugs in each treatment, as well as a column where the researcher can provide detailed specifications of the treatment.

Once the animals were challenged with the bacteria, treatment began, and

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Identifier for the study	study	mouse_strain	route	rx_per_week	weeks_of_rx	inoculum	day_1_count	novel_drug_batch_number
1	PI022-1	Balb/c	intrapulmonary aerosol	3	4	3.55	2.15	COMP-001-TR21

Which mouse strain was used?

Information about the animals' initial exposure to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, including the inoculum given during the challenge and a measure of bacterial load one day later.

Information about the drug treatment: how was it administered, how often per week, and for how many weeks?

If a new drug was tested, what was the batch number from the manufacturer?

	Identifier for the treatment group	Type of treatment	Names of up to three drugs in the treatment	Doses of up to three drugs in the treatment	Full specifications of the treatment				
rx_group	group	c	drug_1_name	drug_2_name	drug_3_name	drug_1_dose	drug_2_dose	drug_3_dose	full_drug_dose_details
1	0	negative control							Untreated
2	1	positive control	isoniazid						Isoniazid, 25mg/kg by intrapulmonary aerosol
3	2	monotherapy	novel drug A			10			Novel drug A, 10mg/kg by intrapulmonary aerosol
4	3	combination	pyrazinamide	novel drug A		150	10		Pyrazinamide, 150mg/kg in 200 ul by gavage + novel drug A, 10 mg/kg t

two main types of data were measured and recorded. First, the mice were weighed once a week. For convenience, the mice were not weighed individually. Instead, mice with the same treatment were kept in a single cage, and the entire cage was weighed, the weight of the cage itself factored out, and the average weight of mice for that treatment determined by dividing the weight of all mice in the cage by the number of mice in the cage. These weights were converted to a measure of the percent change in weight since the start of treatment. If the animals' weights decrease during the treatment, it is a marker that the treatment is not well-tolerated by the animals. Figure 2.31 shows an example of how these data could be recorded. All animals within a treatment group were kept in the same cage, and this cage was measured once a week. By dividing the weight of all animals in the cage by the number of animals, the researchers could estimate the average weight of animals in that treatment group, which is recorded as shown in Figure 2.31.

Finally, after the treatment period, the mice were sacrificed and a portion of each mouse's lung was used to estimate the bacterial load in that mouse. Figure 2.32 shows an example of how the data on the bacterial load in each mouse can be recorded.

These examples are all data that the researchers record by entering them on spreadsheets. It is helpful at this stage to ensure this type of data is recorded in a way that separates data recording and analysis (module 2.1). The example

Figure 2.29: Example of recording metadata for a study in the set of example studies for this module.

Figure 2.30: Example of recording treatment details for a study in the set of example studies for this module.

Treatment group	Week of treatment	Average weight of mice in group	
	A	B	C
1	rx_group	week	weight_g
2	0	0	23.2
3	0	1	24.1
4	0	2	25.2
5	1	0	23.1
6	1	1	22.9
7	1	2	23.1
8	2	0	24.1
9	2	1	24.5
10	2	2	25.3
11	3	0	23.9
12	3	1	23.7
13	3	2	23.8

Figure 2.31: Example of recording weekly weights of mice in each treatment group for the example set of studies.

Treatment group	Animal in group	Bacterial load in the mouse's lung at end of treatment	
	A	B	C
1	rx_group	animal_in_rx_group	bacteria_count
2	0	1	731250
3	0	2	981250
4	0	3	606250
5	0	4	756250
6	1	1	450000
7	1	2	318750
8	1	3	328125
9	1	4	468750
10	2	1	1543750
11	2	2	1162500
12	2	3	1018750
13	2	4	1543750
14	3	1	606250
15	3	2	506250
16	3	3	743750
17	3	4	537500
18			

Figure 2.32: Example of recording the bacterial load in the lungs of each mouse at the end of treatment for the example set of studies.

files we've shown here do—there are no extra elements in these spreadsheets that do calculations or create graphs. Later in this module, we'll talk a bit more about how these templates can be designed as part of the process of designing the full project directory template. Earlier modules (modules 2.4 and 2.5) provide more focused details on designing data collection templates like these.

Another type of files that the group's studies typically generate are ones that are generated directly by laboratory equipment. For example, their experiments often include flow cytometry assays, with files output in a specialized format directly from the flow cytometer. Some experiments might also collect data through single-cell RNA sequencing. We'll want to keep these files in mind as we design the structure of the project directory template.

2.8.3 Step 2: Organizing a project directory

Once you've determined the types of files that you'll normally include in your project, you then need to decide how to organize them into subdirectories in the project file directory.

In this case, we've organized the project directory template to include just a few things at the top level:

- A Excel file that stores meta-data about the experiment
- A subdirectory named “raw_data”, where we'll store original raw files of data, before it is pre-processed
- A subdirectory named “data”, which will store experimental data once it has been pre-processed
- A subdirectory named “R”, which will store code
- A subdirectory named “reports”, which will store the files to generate reports, as well as any reports that are ultimately generated

In this structure, we've selected subdirectory names that are generic enough (e.g., “data”, “reports”) that they can be reused across many of our projects without modification. These names should also be clear to any researcher that explores this directory in the future, since the names are clear and unambiguous. However, you might make different choices—for example, if some of your team aren't familiar with R as a programming language, you may want to use the subdirectory name “code” rather than “R”.

Within some of the subdirectories, we can include more subdirectories to further organize files. For example, within the “data” subdirectory, we can have subdirectories for different types of data:

- A subdirectory named “flow_data” for data from flow cytometry
- A subdirectory named “recorded_data”, for data that are recorded “by hand” in the laboratory (for example, the weights of animals)
- A subdirectory named “sc_rna_seq_data” for data from single-cell RNA sequencing

Again, these subdirectories are named in a way that will generalize to many different experiments and yet also clearly labels the contents. Similar subdirectory diversions could also be used within the “raw_data” subdirectory, which would include files for data that need to be pre-processed before they’re used in statistical analysis (modules 3.1–3.3). For example, the raw flow cytometry data will need to be gated—a process that will quantify immune cell phenotypes in each sample—before it’s used in statistical analysis.

The exact combination of subdirectories within the “data” subdirectory might change from experiment to experiment. For example, some experiments might include single-cell RNA sequencing assays, while some may not. When we use the template, it will be easy to delete any “data” subdirectories for assays we are not conducting, but by including them in the template, we can insure that we use a consistent name for each subdirectory when we do include it. If you’re trying to be consistent, it’s easier to start with everything you might need and delete some elements to customize for a particular project rather than starting with a minimal framework and adding.

You may have noticed that this structure captures each of the main elements that we discussed including in a project template in the last module: data, code, reports, and metadata.

2.8.4 Step 3: Establishing file name conventions

[Add here on the file name conventions we picked]

2.8.5 Step 4: Designing data collection templates

The next step is to create any necessary data collection templates. We’ll create a separate spreadsheet for each type of data, but we can group them into files if we’d like (e.g., one spreadsheet file with several separate sheets). In our example, we created two files to store this type of data, one for the metadata that are recorded at the start of the experiment (overall experiment details and the details of each tested treatment) and one for the data that are collected over the course of the experiment (mouse weights and bacterial loads). Within each file, we’ve used separate sheets to record the different types of data. This allows us to keep similar types of data together in the same file, while having a tidy collection format for each specific type of data (Figure 2.33).

All of these data collection files are designed using the principles of tidy data collection. In modules 2.4 and 2.5, we showed how you can create tidy data collection templates to use, and how these can be paired with reproducible reporting tools to separate the steps of data collection and reporting (modules 3.7 through 3.9 go into much more depth on these reproducible reporting tools). Once you have decided on the types of data that you will usually collect for the type of study that this template is for, you can use that process to create tidy data collection templates for each type of data.

When we created the template for each type of data, we added placeholder




Figure 2.33: Data collection templates for the example project directory template. These templates were created in two files, one for metadata, which is saved in the main directory of the project, and one for data collected in the laboratory during the experiment, which is saved in the 'data' subdirectory. Each file is saved as a spreadsheet file, with two sheets in each file to store different types of data.

data (formatted in red to indicate that it is placeholder, rather than final data). This is so the researcher can see an example of how to enter data in the template when they start a new project.

Figure 2.34 gives an example of this process. One of the files that is included in the example template directory shown earlier is a spreadsheet to record metadata on the experiment. This spreadsheet file has two sheets, one that records overall metadata on the study (for example, the weeks of treatment given and the strain of mouse used) and one that records details on each of the treatments that was tested. In the file in the template directory, these spreadsheet pages include placeholder data. These are formatted in red, so that they visually can be identified as placeholders. By including these placeholder data, the researcher can see an example of the format that you expect to be used in recording data in this file. Once the project template is copied, the researcher will replace these data with the real data, and then change the font color to black to indicate that the placeholder data have been replaced (Figure 2.34).

Another sheet of this spreadsheet allows the researcher to record the details of each of the treatments that were tested in the experiment. Again, placeholder data are included in the template in a red font to help show the researcher how to record the data, and these are meant to be replaced with real data from the specific experiment (Figure 2.35). A similar format is used in the template file to record data from the experiment, including the weights of each animal over each week of treatment and the final bacterial load in each animal at the end of treatment. Again, there are placeholder values in the template file, which the researcher will replace with real data after copying the project template for a new experiment.

2.8.6 Step 5: Designing a report template

A final and optional step is to create one or more template reports. You can create this using tools for reproducible reports—in R, a key tool for this is RMarkdown. Here, we'll cover using this tool for creating a report briefly, but there are many more details in modules 3.7 through 3.9. Having example files will help you to develop a template project report that can input the type of data that you typically collect for this type of project.

We created an Rmarkdown file that does this analysis and visualization and included it in the project template directory. This means that the report file will be copied and available each time someone copies the project template directory at the start of a new project. However, you are not obligated to keep the report identical to the template. Instead, the template report serves as a starting point, and you can add to it or adapt it as you work on a study.

In many cases, you may have a more complex design for your project directory. For example, if you were collecting flow cytometry data for the project as well, then you would want a subdirectory in the project that is specifically

The figure consists of three vertically stacked screenshots of Microsoft Excel. The top screenshot shows the 'Files' tab in RStudio with a list of files in a folder named 'PI020'. A blue callout box points to the 'experimental_metadata.xlsx' file, with the text: 'Open the "experimental_metadata" file, to the sheet named "study_key".' The middle screenshot shows the 'Home' tab in Excel with a table containing placeholder data in red. The bottom screenshot shows the same table with real data in black, and a blue callout box points to the text: 'Replace the placeholder data (in red) with the real data for the study. Change the color to black to show that the placeholder data have been replaced.'

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1	study	mouse_strain	route	rx_per_week	weeks_of_rx	inoculum	day_1_count	novel_drug_batch_number
2	PI022-1	Balb/c	intrapulmonary aerosol	3	4	3.55	2.15	COMP-001-TR21

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1	study	mouse_strain	route	rx_per_week	weeks_of_rx	inoculum	day_1_count	novel_drug_batch_number
2	PI020-1	Balb/c	intrapulmonary aerosol	3	4	3.55	2.15	COMP-001-TR21

Figure 2.34: The template includes a file with experiment metadata, with a sheet for recording the overall details of the experiment. A user can open this file and replace the placeholder values (in red) with real values for the experiment. By changing the text color to black, the user can have a visual confirmation that the placeholder data have been replaced with real study data.

The figure consists of three vertically stacked screenshots of Microsoft Excel. The top screenshot shows a file browser window with a folder structure. A callout box points to the 'experimental_metadata.xlsx' file in the 'data' folder, with the text: 'Open the “experimental_metadata” file, to the sheet named “group_treatment_key”.' The middle screenshot shows the 'experimental_metadata.xlsx' file open in Excel. The 'group_treatment_key' sheet contains a table with columns: rx_group, group, drug_1_name, drug_2_name, drug_3_name, drug_1_dose, drug_2_dose, drug_3_dose, and full_drug_dose_details. Red placeholder text is visible in several cells. A callout box points to the red text in the 'drug_2_name' cell for row 3, with the text: 'Replace the placeholder data (in red) with the real treatment information for the study. Change the color to black to show that the placeholder data have been replaced.' The bottom screenshot shows the same Excel file after data replacement. The red placeholder text has been replaced by black text: 'control' for rx_group 0, 'isoniazid' for drug_2_name in row 3, 'novel drug A' for drug_2_name in rows 4 and 5, and 'pyrazinamide' for drug_2_name in row 6. A callout box points to the black text in the 'drug_2_name' cell for row 3, with the text: 'Replace the placeholder data (in red) with the real treatment information for the study. Change the color to black to show that the placeholder data have been replaced.'

	rx_group	group	drug_1_name	drug_2_name	drug_3_name	drug_1_dose	drug_2_dose	drug_3_dose	full_drug_dose_details
1	0	control							Untreated
2	1	monotherapy	isoniazid						Isoniazid, 25mg/kg by intrapulmonary aerosol
3	2	monotherapy	novel drug A			10			Novel drug A, 10mg/kg by intrapulmonary aerosol
4	3	combination	pyrazinamide	novel drug A		150	10		Pyrazinamide, 150mg/kg in 200 ul by gavage + novel drug A, 10 mg/kg

	rx_group	group	drug_1_name	drug_2_name	drug_3_name	drug_1_dose	drug_2_dose	drug_3_dose	full_drug_dose_details
1	0	negative control							Untreated
2	1	negative control	isoflurane						Isoflurane (anesthetic)
3	2	negative control	saline						0.9% saline
4	4	monotherapy	novel drug A			10			Novel drug A, 10mg/kg by intrapulmonary aerosol
5	5	monotherapy	novel drug A			25			Novel drug A, 25mg/kg by intrapulmonary aerosol
6	6	monotherapy	novel drug A			50			Novel drug A, 50mg/kg by intrapulmonary aerosol

Figure 2.35: The template includes a file with experiment metadata, with a sheet for recording the details of each treatment. A user can open this file and replace the placeholder values (in red) with real values for the treatments in the experiment. By changing the text color to black, the user can have a visual confirmation that the placeholder data have been replaced with real study data.

designed to store files from the flow cytometry component of the experiment. This subdirectory would likely include several files, rather than just one. Further, you would not know ahead of time what the name of these files would be (as you do with the data collection template files that are included in the template directory). However, you can still easily write code for a template report file that will work with multiple files of a similar type, even if you don't know what the names will be, as long as you know what the name of their subdirectory will be. There are functions in R like `list.files` that can be used to list all the file names for the files in a given directory. You can use this function to create a vector of all the file names and then "map" a function or group of functions across these files to read them in, process them, and join them into a single dataframe in R. By using this process, you can write template code in the report for the project that should work in most cases for the data that you collect for a given type of study.

This file is created using the RMarkdown format, which combines text with executable code. You can create this template so that it inputs the experimental data from the file formats created for the data recording files in the project template. By doing this, the researcher should be able to "knit" this report for a new experiment, and it should recreate the report based on the data recorded for that experiment (Figure 2.36). By knitting this template report, you can create a nicely formatted version of the report for the experimental data (Figure 2.37).

Specifically, for this set of studies a preliminary report was designed, with an example shown in Figure 2.38. This report uses the first page to provide a nicely formatted version of the metadata for the study, including a table with overall details and a table with details for each specific treatment that was tested. The second page provides a graph that shows the percent weight change for mice in each treatment group compared to the weight of that group at the start of treatment. The third page provides a graph that shows the bacterial loads in each mouse, grouped by treatment, as well as the results of running a statistical test, for each treatment group, of the hypothesis that the mean of a transformed version of the measure of bacterial load (log-10) for the group was the same as for the untreated control group.

Let's take a closer look at a few of these elements. For example, Figure 2.39 shows the tables from the first page of the report shown in Figure 2.38. If you look back to the data collection for this study (e.g., Figures 2.29 and 2.30), you can see that all of the information in these tables was pulled from data recorded at the start of the study.

Figure 2.40 shows the second page of the report. This figure has taken the mouse weights—which were recorded in one of the data collection templates for the project (Figure 2.31)—and used them to generate a plot of how average mouse weight in each treatment group changed over the course of the treatment.

Figure 2.41 shows the last page of the report. This page starts with a figure




Figure 2.36: Example of how a user can create a report from the template. The template includes an example report, which

The report contains the following sections:

- Section 1:** Study details, including the title "Experimental Results from Laboratory Data" and date "February 9, 2022".
- Section 2:** Study information, stating "Here is some information about this study, including the treatments that were investigated." and listing treatment details.
- Section 3:** A line graph titled "Mouse weight change" showing the percentage change in weight over 5 weeks for six treatment groups. The Y-axis ranges from -10.0% to 10.0%, and the X-axis shows weeks 0 to 5. The legend includes:
 - Unreated (grey)
 - Novel drug A (intraperitoneal) (red)
 - 0% saline (green)
 - Novel drug A, 0.1mg/kg by intraperitoneal (blue)
 - Novel drug A, 0.5mg/kg by intraperitoneal (cyan)
 - Novel drug A, 10mg/kg by intraperitoneal (magenta)




Figure 2.37: Example of the output from 'knitting' a report from the project template





Figure 2.38: Example of the preliminary report generated for each study in the set of example studies for this module. The first page includes metadata on the study, as well as details on each treatment that was

The second page shows how mouse in each treatment group changed course of treatment, to help identify treatment was well-tolerated. The third plots the bacterial load in each mouse, by treatment, and gives the result of statistical analysis to test which treatment had outcomes that were significantly

Table with details from the study's metadata, including the mouse strain used in the study and the route of administration of the drug or drugs in the treatment.

Table with details on each treatment considered in the study. A treatment could include one or more drugs, or it could be a positive or negative control.

Figure 2.39: Example of one element of the preliminary report generated for each study in the set of example studies for this module. The first page provides tables with metadata about the study and details about each treatment that was tested.

Mouse weight change

For each treatment group, we measured the average weight of the treated mice each week. We did this by measuring the cage with all mice for that treatment group and dividing this total weight by the number of animals in the group.

We are looking at the change in weight over the course of treatment. If the average weight of the animals in a certain treatment group decreases a lot once they start treatment, it can be a sign that they don't tolerate that treatment very well.

For this study, here's a plot of how the average weight of the mice in each treatment group changed over the course of the study:





Figure 2.40: Example of one element of the preliminary report generated for each study in the set of example studies for this module. The second page provides a plot of how the weights of mice in each treatment changed over the course of treatment.

that shows the bacterial load in the lungs of each mouse in the study at the end of the treatment period. In this figure, the measurement for each mouse is shown with a point, and these points are grouped by the treatment group of the mouse. Boxplots are added to show the distribution across the mice in each group. The color is used to show whether the treatment was a negative control, a positive control, a monotherapy, or a combined therapy. The second part of the page gives a table with the results from running a statistical analysis to compare the bacterial load for mice in each treatment group to the bacterial load in the mice in the untreated control group. Color is added to the table to highlight treatments that had a large difference in bacterial load from the untreated control, as well as treatments for which the difference from the untreated control was estimated to be statistically significant. All the data for these results, including the labels for the plot, are from the data collected in the data collection templates shown earlier.



In the report, we’ll design the script for the report (the RMarkdown file) so that it can leverage the order in how we’ve arranged files in the file system, since this is enforced by the project directory template and so is the same

Graph of the bacterial load in the lungs of each mouse at the end of the treatment period, with mice grouped by their treatment.

Results of a statistical analysis that compares the bacterial load in each treatment group to the bacterial load in the untreated control group.

Figure 2.41: Example of one element of the preliminary report generated for each study in the set of example studies for this module. The third page provides results on how bacterial load in the lungs compares among treatments at the end of the treatment period.

across different projects. This will let us repeat and reuse code scripts across all the projects that use this template. This strategy is used often in handling complex bioinformatics data (Buffalo, 2015), but it can also be leveraged to improve the reproducibility and reliability when only using less complex data recorded in the laboratory, as with the data shown in the example for this module.

When it comes to project directories, it turns out that you can use the directory structure in your favor when you create script-based reports, like RMarkdown reports. There are functions in R, for example, that will allow you to print all the files in a specified subdirectory. Say that you have several flow cytometry files in a subdirectory of the “data” subdirectory called “flow_data”. You could use this function in R to create a list of all the files in that subdirectory, and then you can run other functions to do the same operations on all of those files.

We wrote the code in the report in a way that it will still run if there are more or fewer observations in any of the data collection files, so the report template has some flexibility in terms of how each study in the set of studies might vary. For example, in the example set of studies, some of the experiments were run using only a control group of mice, while others were run to test several different treatment groups. The report template can accommodate these differences across studies in the set of studies.

2.8.7 Applied exercise

2.9 Harnessing version control for transparent data recording

As a research project progresses, researchers will often end up with many files (e.g., ‘draft1.doc’, ‘draft2.doc’). This can result in an explosion of files, and it becomes hard to track which files represent the ‘current’ state of a project. Version control allows researchers to edit and change research project files more cleanly, while including messages to explain changes and maintaining the power to ‘backtrack’ to previous versions. We will explain what version control is and how it can be used in research projects to improve the transparency and reproducibility of research, particularly for data recording. In this module, we’ll introduce you to the basic idea of version control, using the git software program as an example. In later modules, we’ll explain version control platforms like GitHub, as well as give some tips on how to use both within your research projects.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Define “version” and “version control”
- Identify examples of versioning in a digital context (data, code, files)
- Describe strategies and tools to save and refer to specific versions of data and other files or directories
- Discuss how version control principles can improve collaboration in sci-

tific projects

2.9.1 Challenges of collaborating on evolving research materials

When research groups—or any other professional teams—collaborate on publications and research, the process can be a bit haphazard. Teams often use emails and email attachments to share updates on the project, and email attachments to pass around the latest version of a document for others to review and edit. For example, one group of researchers investigated a large collection of emails from people at Enron who were doing work involving spreadsheets (Hermans and Murphy-Hill, 2015). They found that passing Excel files through email attachments was a common practice, and that messages within emails suggested that spreadsheets were stored locally, rather than in a location that was accessible to all team members (Hermans and Murphy-Hill, 2015), which meant that team members might often be working on different versions of the same spreadsheet file. They note that “the practice of emailing spreadsheets is known to result in serious problems in terms of accountability and errors, as people do not have access to the latest version of a spreadsheet, but need to be updated of changes via email.” (Hermans and Murphy-Hill, 2015) The same process for collaboration is often used in scientific research: one study found, “Team members regularly pass data files back and forth by hand, by email, and by using shared lab or project servers, websites, and databases.” (Edwards et al., 2011)

Eric Raymond, in his book *The Art of Unix Programming*, calls this type of project tracking “hand-hacking”. He notes:

“The most primitive (but still very common) method [of version control] is all hand-hacking. You snapshot the project periodically by manually copying everything in it to a backup. You include history comments in source files. You make verbal or email arrangements with other developers to keep their hands off certain files while you hack them. ... The hidden costs of this hand-hacking method are high, especially when (as frequently happens) it breaks down. The procedures take time and concentration; they’re prone to error, and tend to get slipped under pressure or when the project is in trouble—that is exactly when they are needed.” (Raymond, 2003)

These practices make it very difficult to keep track of all project files, and in particular, to track which version of each file is the most current. Further, this process constrains patterns of collaboration—it requires each team member to take turns in editing each file, or for one team member to attempt to merge in changes that were made by separate team members at the same time when all versions are collected.

This process also makes it difficult to keep track of why changes were made, and often requires one team member to approve the changes of other team members. While the “Track changes” and comment features can help the team communicate with each other, these features often lead to a very messy document at stages in the editing, where it is hard to pick out the current versus

suggested wording, and once a change is accepted or a comment deleted, these conversations can be lost forever. Finally, word processing tools are poorly suited to track changes or add suggestions directly to data or code, as both data and code are usually saved in formats that aren't native to word processing programs, and copying them into a format like Word can introduce problematic hidden formatting that can cause the data or code to malfunction.

2.9.2 Recording data in the laboratory—from paper to computers

How does version control—traditionally a tool of software engineers—relate to collaborating to collect and analyze scientific research data? Traditionally, experimental data collected in a laboratory was recorded in a paper laboratory notebook. These laboratory notebooks played a role not only as the initial recording of data, but also keep a legal record of the data recorded in the lab (Mascarelli, 2014). They were also a resource for collaborating across a team and for passing on a research project from one lab member to another (Butler, 2005).

However, paper laboratory notebooks have a number of limitations. First, they can be very inefficient. In a time when almost all data analyses—even simple calculations—are done on a computer, recording research data on paper rather than directly entering it into a computer is inefficient. Also, any stage of copying data from one format to another, especially when done by a human rather than a machine, introduces the chance to copying errors. Handwritten laboratory notebooks can be hard to read (Butler, 2005; Perkel, 2011), and they may lack adequate flexibility to handle the complex experiments often conducted. Further, electronic alternatives can also be easier to search, allowing for deeper and more comprehensive investigations of the data collected across multiple experiments (Giles, 2012; Butler, 2005; Perkel, 2011). Further, physical lab notebooks can be inefficient to search; as one article notes, they are “usually chaotic and always unsearchable” (Perkel, 2011).

Given a widespread recognition of the limitations of paper laboratory notebooks, in the past couple of decades, there have been a number of efforts, both formal and informal, to move from paper laboratory notebooks to electronic alternatives. In some fields that rely heavily on computational analysis, there are very few research labs (if any) that use paper laboratory notebooks (Butler, 2005). In other fields, where researchers have traditionally used paper lab notebooks, companies have been working for a while to develop electronic laboratory notebooks specifically tailored to scientific research needs (Giles, 2012). Some early adapters were pharmaceutical industrial labs, where companies had the budgets to get customized versions and the authority to require their use. In academic laboratories, electronic lab notebooks have taken longer to be adapted (Giles, 2012; Butler, 2005). Indeed, a widely adopted platform for electronic laboratory notebooks has yet to be taken up by the scientific community (Kwok, 2018), despite clear advantages of recording data directly

into a computer rather than first using a paper notebook. As Kwok notes in a 2018 commentary,

"Since at least the 1990s, articles on technology have predicted the imminent, widespread adoption of electronic laboratory notebooks (ELNs) by researchers. It has yet to happen" (Kwok, 2018)

Instead of using customized electronic laboratory notebook software, some academics are moving their data recording online, but are using more generalized electronic alternatives, like Dropbox, Google applications, OneNote, and Evernote (Perkel, 2011; Kwok, 2018; Giles, 2012; Powell, 2012). Some scientists have started using version control software, especially the combination of git and GitHub, as a way to improve laboratory data recording, and in particular to improve transparency and reproducibility standards. These pieces of software share the same pattern as Google applications or Dropbox—they are generalized tools that have been honed and optimized for ease of use through their role outside of scientific research, but can be harnessed as a powerful tool in a scientific laboratory, as well. They are also free—at least, for GitHub, at the entry and academic levels—and, even better, one (git) is open-source.

2.9.3 *Defining “version” and “version control”*

Most scientific research today involves collaboration across a team of researchers, rather than an individual scientist working alone. Collaboration drives interdisciplinary science, but it also creates additional challenges. One challenge comes with coordinating versions of research materials. These materials can include data collection files, but can also include other documents like study protocols, as well as physical materials like cell lines, antibodies, and model organisms.

A *version* is one iteration of a research material that is evolving. For example, a draft of a research paper is one version of that paper. Research data that you collect may also go through several versions. For example, if you identify a typo in data after you record it, you may need to correct the typo and add a note or signature to explain that update. Further, if you are collecting data at multiple timepoints, you may have new versions of a data file as you complete each timepoint.

As materials evolve across versions, it introduces challenges in maintaining a research process that is smooth, efficient, and error-free. One challenge is to make sure it is always clear which version is the most current, as well as which version should be used for specific purposes. For example, if several coauthors are editing a paper draft, it is important to ensure they are all working on the most recent version.

Another challenge is to coordinate the changes that different people make if they work on the material at the same time. Scientific collaboration often does not operate as an assembly line, where one person finishes their work on a document or material and then hands it off to the next person. Instead, there

will often be several copies of a version in different peoples' hands, with all of them working on it at once. One example is a paper draft—often coauthors all edit the latest draft at the same time, rather than one-by-one. This creates the challenge of taking the contributions of each person and coordinating their changes and additions into one primary copy.

A third challenge is to keep track of the changes that are made at each step, as the document moves from version to version. This record can help in auditing for errors or bugs that might be introduced as the document evolves. The record will also ideally will include some information about why changes were made at each step.

These challenges can be addressed through a process called *version control*. While the term is most commonly used in reference to software development, the idea of version control is widely relevant. Any process that creates evolving versions of a document or material can benefit from the idea of version control, which aims to record and document changes to the material over time, coordinate the contributions of different members of a team, and revert back to older versions if needed. In this module, we'll focus on version control as it applies to research materials that are electronic (files and directories), but you may also find it useful to think about how the principles and elements of version control can be applied to other research materials, like cell lines and antibodies.

2.9.4 What are the key elements of version control?

The term *version* in *version control* refers to one iteration or state of a document or set of documents, for example the current version of a data file. The word *control* captures the idea of allowing for safe changes and updates to the version, especially when more than one person is working on it. Part of this “control” will also include recording the changes made from one version to the next and annotating reasons for those changes.

The general term *version control* can refer to any method of syncing contributions from several people to a file or set of files. Version control of computer files can be done “by hand”, with a person manually logging each change, and originally was (Irving, 2011). However, it's much more efficient to use a computer program to handle this tracking and to coordinate contributions from multiple people. As Eric Raymond notes in *The Art of Unix Programming*, “tracking all that detail is just the sort of thing computers are good at and humans are not” (Raymond, 2003). He goes on to describe version control as “a suite of programs that automates away most of the drudgery involved in keeping an annotated history of your project and avoiding modification conflicts” (Raymond, 2003).

Software for this purpose—*version control software*—first developed for software programming projects. Some popular version control software today comes from these roots. In this section, we'll introduce the key features of version control, and to do so we'll use examples and terminology from a common

version control software program called *Git*. While these terms are derived from this particular software program, they represent ideas that are important in any implementation of version control. Later, we'll touch on how some of these ideas are incorporated in other software, like Google Docs.

The software available for version control tracks electronic files. While the very earliest version control software systems tracked single files, these systems quickly moved to tracking sets of files, called *repositories*. A repository is almost identical to a file directory (which you may also know as a file folder), and indeed a repository starts from a file directory. The only difference is the repository is enhanced with some additional overhead (Klemens, 2014). This overhead is added to record how the files in the directory have changed over time. You can compare this to how you might track document changes if the documents were paper rather than electronic—you could store the documents in a paper folder and add a piece of paper where you record a log of each change you make to the documents in the folder. The extra overhead that changes a regular file directory to a repository is very similar to the log in this example. A repository, in other words, is a directory that is under version control.

In a repository of files that is under version control, the version control software takes snapshots of how the files look during your work on them. Each snapshot is called a *commit*, and it provides a record of which lines in each file changed from one snapshot to another, as well as exactly how they changed. The idea behind these commits—recording the differences, line-by-line, between an older and newer version of each file derives from a longstanding Unix command line tool called *diff*. This tool, developed early in the history of Unix at AT&T's Bell Labs (Raymond, 2003), is a solid and well-tested tool that does the simple but important job of generating a list of all the differences between two plain text files. Each commit in a repository includes the same type of information about the differences introduced in the files at the time of that commit.

When you are working with a directory under version control, you explain your changes as you make them—in other words, version control allows for annotation of the developing and editing process (Raymond, 2009). Each commit requires you to enter a *commit message* describing why the changes in that commit were made. The commit messages can serve as a powerful tool for explaining changes to other team members or for reminding yourself in the future about why certain changes were made. A repository under version control, then, can include not only a complete history of how files in a project directory have changed over the course of the project, but also why. If this feature is used thoughtfully, then the commit history of the project provides a well-documented description of the project's full evolution. If you're working on a manuscript, for example, when it's time to edit, you can cut whole paragraphs, and if you ever need to get them back, they'll be right there in the commit history for your project, with their own commit message about why they were cut

(hopefully a nice clear one that will make it easy to find that commit if you ever need those paragraphs again).

Further, each of the commits is given its own ID tag (in the *Git* software, this is done through something called a unique SHA-1 hash (Klemens, 2014)), and version control systems have a number of commands that let you “roll back” to earlier versions. This provides *reversability* within the project files, allowing you to go back to the version as it was when a certain commit was made (Raymond, 2009).

A key strength, then, of using version control is its ability to track every change made to files in the project, why the change was made, and who made it. Version control creates a full history of the evolution of each file in the project. When a change is committed, the history records the exact change made, including the previous version of the file. No change is ever fully lost, therefore, unless a great deal of extra work is taken to erase something from the project’s commit history.

It turns out that this functionality—of being able to roll back to earlier versions—has a wonderful side benefit when it comes to working on a large project. It means that you don’t need to save earlier versions of each file. You can maintain one and only one version of each project file in the project’s directory, with the confidence that you never “lose” old versions of the file (Perkel, 2018a; Blischak et al., 2016). This allows you to maintain a clean and simple version of the project files, with only one copy of each, ensuring it’s always clear which version of a file is the “current” one (since there’s only one version) (Klemens, 2014). This also provides the reassurance that you can try new directions in a project, and always roll back to the old version if that direction doesn’t work well.

In a 2011 commentary in *Nature Methods*, Perkel tells a story about how this functionality helped one researcher keep his project directories simpler:

“Early in his graduate career, John Blischak found himself creating figures for his advisor’s grant application. Blischak was using the programming language R to generate the figures, and as he iterated and optimized his code, he ran into a familiar problem: Determined not to lose his work, he gave each new version a different filename—analysis_1, analysis_2, and so on, for instance—but failed to document how they had evolved. ‘I had no idea what had changed between them,’ says Blischak... Using *Git*, Blischak says, he no longer needed to maintain multiple copies of his files. ‘I just keep overwriting it and changing it and saving the snapshots. And if the professor comes back and says, ‘oh, you sent me an email back in March with this figure’, I can say, ‘okay, well, I’ll just go back to the March version of my code and I can recreate it.’” (Perkel, 2018a)

Modern version control systems like *Git* take a distributed approach to collaboration on project files. In earlier types of version control programs, there was one central repository for the file or set of files the team was working on (Raymond, 2009; Target, 2018). Very early on, under what is called a *centralized* framework, this was kept on one computer (Irving, 2011). A team member who wanted to make a change would “check out” the file he or she wanted to

work on, make changes, and then check it back in as the newest main version (Raymond, 2003). While one team member had this file checked out, other members would be locked out of making any changes to that file—they could look at it, but couldn't make any edits (Raymond, 2009; Target, 2018). This meant that there was no chance of two people trying to change the same part of a file at the same time. In spirit, this early system is pretty similar to the idea of sending a file around the team by email, with the understanding that only one person works on it at a time. A slightly more modern analogy is the idea of having a single version of a file in Dropbox or Google Docs, and avoiding working on the file when you see that another team member is working on it.

This assembly-line approach is pretty clunky, though. In particular, it usually increases the amount of time that it takes the team to finish the project, because only one person can work on a file at a time. Later types of version control programs moved toward a different style, allowing for distributed rather than centralized collaborative work on a file or a set of files (Raymond, 2009; Irving, 2011). Under the distributed model, all team members can have their own version of all the files, work on them and make records of changes they make to the files, and then occasionally sync with everyone else to share your changes with them and bring their changes into your copy of the files. This functionality is called *concurrency*, since it allows team members to concurrently work on the same set of files (Raymond, 2009). This idea allowed for the development of other useful features and styles of working, including *branching* to try out new ideas that you're not sure you'll ultimately want to go with and *forking*, a key tool used in open-source software development, which among other things facilitates someone who isn't part of the original team getting a copy of the files they can work with and suggesting some changes that might be helpful. So, this is the basic idea of modern version control—for a project that involves a set of computer files, everyone on the team (even if that's just one person) has their own copy of a directory with those files on their own computer, makes changes at the time and in the spots in the files that they want, and then regularly re-syncs their local directory with everyone else's to share changes and updates.

This distributed model also means there is a copy of the full repository on every team member's computer, which has the side benefit of providing additional backup of the project files. Remote repositories—which may be on a server in a different location—can be added with another copy of the project, which can similarly be synced regularly to update with any changes made to project files.

While there are a number of software systems for version control, one of the most common currently used for scientific projects is *Git*. This program was created by Linus Torvalds, who also created the Linux operating system, in 2005 as a way to facilitate the team working on Linux development. This program for version control thrives in large collaborative projects, for example open-source software development projects that include numerous contrib-

utors, both regular and occasional (Brown, 2018). As Target notes in a 2018 article about version control:

“While people sometimes grouse about its steep learning curve or unintuitive interface, git has become everyone’s go-to for version control.” (Target, 2018)

In recent years, some complementary tools have been developed that make the process of collaborating together using version control software easier. Other tools, such as bug trackers or issue trackers, facilitate corroborative file-based projects to allow the team to keep a running “to-do” list of what needs to be done to complete the project. These tools—which are discussed in modules 2.10 and 2.11—can be used to improve collaboration on scientific projects done by teams. GitHub is one a very popular version control platform with these additional tools. It was created in 2008 as a web-based platform to facilitate collaborating on projects running under Git version control. It can provide an easier entry to using Git for version control than trying to learn to use Git from the command line (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016). It also interfaces well with RStudio, making it easy to integrate a collaborative workflow through GitHub from the same RStudio window on your computer where you are otherwise doing your analysis (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016).

Finally, while Git version control software is one of the best established ways of implementing version control, there are growing efforts to enable some level of version control through other platforms. For example, Google Docs enables a level of version control through its Version History feature. This feature allows you name different versions of a document as they are saved in Google Docs. It also allows you to restore a document to earlier versions, as well as see which changes have been made to a document and who made each change.

2.9.5 Comparing Git to other tools

While some generalized tools like Google tools and Dropbox might be simpler to initially learn, more powerful version control tools like Git offer some key advantages for recording scientific data and are worth the effort to adopt. A key advantage is their ability to track the full history of files as they evolve, including not only the history of changes to each file, but also a record of why each change was made. Git excels in tracking changes made to plain text files. For these files, whether they record code, data, or text, Git can show line-by-line differences between two versions of the file. This makes it very easy to go through the history of “commits” to a plain text file in a Git-tracked repository and see what change was made at each time point, and then read through the commit messages associated with those commits to see why a change was made. For example, if a value was entered in the wrong row of a plain text file or spreadsheet, and the researcher then made a commit to correct that data entry mistake, the researcher could explain the problem and its resolution in the commit message for that change. As Tippmannmy notes:

"The purpose of a lab notebook is to provide a lasting record of events in a laboratory. In the same way that a chemistry experiment would be nearly impossible without a lab notebook, scientific computing would be a nightmare of inefficiency and uncertainty without version-control systems." (Tippmann, 2014)

There are, of course, some limitations to using version control tools when recording experimental data. First, while ideally laboratory data is recorded in a plain text format (see the module in section 2.2 for a deeper discussion of why), some data may be recorded in a binary file format. Some version control tools, including Git, can be used to track changes in binary files. However, Git does not take to these types of files naturally. In particular, Git typically will not be able to show users a useful comparison of the differences between two versions of a binary file.

More problems can arise if the binary file is very large (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016; Blischak et al., 2016), as some experimental research data files are (e.g., if they are high-throughput output of laboratory equipment like a mass spectrometer). However, there are emerging tools and strategies for improving the ability to include and track large binary files when using Git and GitHub (Blischak et al., 2016).

Finally, as with other tools and techniques described in this book, there is an investment required to learn how to use Git (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016), as well as some extra overhead when using version control tools in a project (Raymond, 2003). However, Git can bring dramatic gains to efficiency, transparency, and organization of research projects, even if you only use a small subset of its basic functionality (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016). In module 2.11, we provide guidance on getting started with using Git and GitHub to track a scientific research project.

Third, the combination of git and GitHub can help as a way to backup study data (Blischak et al., 2016; Perez-Riverol et al., 2016; Perkel, 2018a). Together, git and GitHub provide a structure where the project directory (repository) is copied on multiple computers, both the users' laptop or desktop computers and on a remote server hosted by GitHub or a similar organization. This set-up makes it easy to bring all the project files onto a new computer—all you have to do is clone the project repository. It also ensures that there are copies of the full project directory, including all its files, in multiple places (Blischak et al., 2016). Further, not only is the data backed up across multiple computers, but so is the full history of all changes made to that data and the recorded messages explaining those changes, through the repositories commit messages (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016).

2.9.6 Discussion questions

- In your own research, do you collect data in paper laboratory notebooks, electronically, or a mixture of the two? What have you found to be advantages and disadvantages of the method you typically use? Are there ever

cases where you have no choice and must either record on paper or electronically (examples might include when working behind a secure barrier or when data are recorded directly by equipment into a digital format)?

- Have you used any of the following tools for recording, sharing, and versioning data or other research files (e.g., drafts of research papers, code):
 - Electronic laboratory notebooks
 - Dropbox
 - Google Docs / Google Drive
 - Microsoft Teams
 - Local server or drive run by your institution
 - GitHub / GitLab
- Describe how any of these tools have helped in version control, including tracking changes to the file and helping to coordinate several people working on a file at once. Are there aspects where the tools you've used have been limited in this capacity?
- Can you think of any examples of times when you've experienced a failure of version control? Examples might include a case where some team members worked on the wrong version of a file, or when you lost track of the changes that had been made to a file. What did you learn from the experience? Have you developed methods to avoid similar problems in the future? How might a version control problem like this result in problems with the rigor and reproducibility of scientific research?
- How does the idea of version control relate to physical research materials, like model organisms, antibodies, or cell lines? Do you have any examples you can share of issues that have come up in research related to the version of these types of physical research materials?
- What steps do you think you could take in your research to improve version control? Do you see this as a higher or lower priority change to take compared to other steps that might improve rigor and reproducibility in your research? Discuss your reasoning.

2.10 Enhance the reproducibility of collaborative research with version control platforms

Once a researcher has learned to use *Git* on their own computer for local version control, they can begin using version control platforms (e.g., *GitLab*, *GitHub*) to collaborate with others under version control. We will describe how a research team can benefit from using a version control platform to work collaboratively.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- List benefits of using a version control platform to collaborate on research projects, particularly for reproducibility

- Describe the difference between version control (e.g., *Git*) and a version control platform (e.g., *GitHub*)

2.10.1 What are version control platforms?

The last module introduced the idea of version control, including a popular software tool often used for version control, *Git*. In this module, we'll go a step further, telling you about how you can expand the idea of version control to leverage it when collaborating across your research team, using *version control platforms*. Version control platforms build on the functionality of version control software, and they can provide you and your team tools for sharing, tools for visualization, and tools for project management.

A version control platform allows you to share project files across a group of collaborators while keeping track of what changes are made, who made each change, and why each change was made. Version control platforms are always used in conjunction with version control software, like the *Git* software described in the last module. The version control platform leverages the history of commits that were made to the project, as well as the version control software's capabilities for merging changes made by different people at different times. On top of these facilities, a version control platform also adds attractive visual interfaces for working with the project, free or low-cost online hosting of project files, and team management tools for each project. In this sense, you can think of *Git* as the engine, in other words, and the version control platform as the driver's seat, with dashboard, steering wheel, and gears to leverage the power of the underlying *Git* software. One scientist, in an article about *Git* and *GitHub* for scientists, highlighted that resources like *GitHub* are “essential for collaborative software projects because they enable the organization and sharing of programming tasks between different remote contributors.”

(Perez-Riverol et al., 2016)

A number of version control platforms are available. Two that are currently very popular for scientific research are *GitHub* (<https://github.com/>) and *GitLab* (<https://about.gitlab.com/>). Both provide free options for scientific researchers, including the capabilities for using both public and private repositories in collaboration with other researchers.

A version control platform therefore combines the strengths of a “Track changes” feature with those of a file sharing platform like *Dropbox*. To some extent, *Google Docs* or *Google Drive* also combine these features, and some spreadsheet programs are moving toward rudimentary functionality for version control (Birch et al., 2018). However, there are added advantages of version control platforms. For example, there are version control platforms that are open-source. *GitLab* is one example. Since these can be set up on a server that you own, they can be used to collaborate on projects with sensitive data, and also can operate directly on the server you're using to store large project datasets or to run computationally-intensive pre-processing or analysis. Also,

most version control platforms include tools that help you manage and track the project. These include “Issue Trackers”, tools for exploring the history of each file and each change, and features to assign project tasks to specific team members. The next section will describe the features of version control platforms that make them helpful as a tool for collaborating on scientific research. These systems are being leveraged by some scientists both to manage research projects and to collaborate on writing scientific manuscripts and grant proposals (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016).

2.10.2 Why use version control platforms?

Version control platforms offer a number of advantages when collaborating on a research project that can help to improve your efficiency, rigor, and reproducibility. Further, there are several high-quality free versions of version control platforms that are available for researchers, and as their use becomes more popular, there are more and more resources to help you learn how to use these platforms effectively. Open-source versions, like GitLab, even allow you to set up a version control platform on a server you own, rather than needing to post data or code on an outside platform, and so you can use these tools even in cases involving sensitive data.

Some of the key advantages of using a version control platform like GitHub to collaborate on research projects include that the platform:

- Can track and merge changes that different collaborators made to the document
- Allows you to create alternative versions of project files (*branches*), and merge them into the main project as desired
- Includes tools for project management, including Issue Trackers
- Provides additional backup of project files
- Allows you to share project information online, including through hosting websites related to the project or supplemental files related to a manuscript

Many of these strengths draw directly on the functions provided by the underlying version control software (e.g., *Git*). However, the version control platform will typically allow team members to explore and work with these functions in an easier way than if they try to use the barebones version control software. Years ago, the use of version control required users to be familiar with the command line, and to send arcane commands to track the project files through that interface. With the rising popularity of version control platforms, version control for project management can be taught relatively quickly to students with a few months—or even weeks—of coding experience. In fact, version control is beginning to be used as a method of turning in and grading homework in beginning programming classes, with students learning these techniques in the first few weeks of class. This would be practically unimaginable without the user-friendly interface of a version control platform as a wrapper for the power of the version control software itself.

The capacities of version control to track changes and histories of project files becomes even more important when working in collaboration on a project, and a version control platform helps in tracking and managing contributions from team members. As the proverb about too many cooks in the kitchen captures, any time you have multiple people working on a project, it introduces the chance for conflicts. While higher-level conflicts, like about what you want the final product to look like or who should do which jobs, can't be easily managed by a computer program, now the complications of integrating everyone's contributions—and letting people work in their own space and then bring together their individual work into one final project—can be. While these programs for version control were originally created to help with programmers developing code, they can be used now to coordinate group work on numerous types of file-based projects, including scientific manuscripts, books, and websites (Raymond, 2009). And although they can work with projects that include files saved in binary (Word documents, for example), they thrive in projects with a heavier concentration of text-based files, and so they fit in nicely in a scientific research / data analysis workflow that is based on data stored in plain text formats and data analysis scripts written in plain text files, tools we discuss in other modules.

There is one key feature of modern version control that's critical to making this work—resolving changes in files that started the same but were edited in different ways by different people and now need to be put back together, bringing along any changes made from the original version. This step is called *merging* the files. While this is a feature driven by the Git software itself, you typically won't use it until you're collaborating on a project through a version control platform like GitHub.

While this is typically described using the plural, “files”, at a higher-level, you can think of this as just merging the *changes* that two people have made as they edited a single file, a file where they both started out with identical copies. Without version control, this process can be time-consuming and frustrating. As one scientist notes:

“You will likely share your code with multiple lab mates or collaborators, and they may have suggestions on how to improve it. If you email the code to multiple people, you will have to manually incorporate all the changes each of them sends.” (Blischak et al., 2016)

Think of the file broken up into each of its separate lines. There will be some lines that neither person changed. Those are easy to handle in the “merge”—they stay the same as in the original copy of the file. Next, there will be some lines that one person changed, but that the other person didn't. It turns out that these are pretty easy to handle, too. If only one person changed the line, then you use their version—it's the most up-to-date, since if both people started out with the same version, it means that the other person didn't make any changes to that part of the file. Finally, there may be a few lines that both people changed. These are called *merge conflicts*. They're places in the file

where there's not a clear, easy-to-automate way that the computer can know which version to put into the integrated, latest version of the file. Different version control programs handle these merge conflicts in different ways.

For the most common version control program used today, *Git*, these spots in the file are flagged with a special set of symbols when you try to integrate the two updated versions of the file. Along with the special symbols to denote a conflict, there will also be *both* versions of the conflicting lines of the file. Whoever is integrating the files must go in and pick the version of those lines to use in the integrated version of the file, or write in some compromise version of those lines that brings in elements from both people's changes, and then delete all the symbols denoting that was a conflict and save this latest version of the file.

When you collaborate using a version control platform, you will also find that the commit messages provide a way to communicate across the team members. For example, if one person is the key person working on a certain file, but has run into a problem with one spot and asks another team member to take a go, then the second team member isn't limited to just looking at the file and then emailing some suggestions. Instead, the second person can make sure he or she has the latest version of that file, make the changes they think will help, *commit* those changes with a message (a *commit message*) about why they think this change will fix the problem, and then push that latest version of the file back to the first person. If there are several places where it would help to change the file, then these can be fixed through several separate commits, each with their own message. The first person, who originally asked for help, can read through the updates in the file (most platforms for using version control will now highlight where all these changes are in the file) and read the second person's message or messages about why each change might help. Even better, days or months later, when team members are trying to figure out why a certain change was made in that part of the file, can go back and read these messages to get an explanation.

These commit messages help remind you of the logic behind evolutions to the code. As Raymond notes:

“You know your code has changed; do you know why? It's easy to forget the reasons for changes, and step on them later. If you have collaborators on a project, how do you know what they have changed while you weren't looking, and who was responsible for each change?”

Platforms for using Git often include nice tools for visualizing differences between two files, providing a more visual way to look at the differences between files across time points in the project. For example, GitHub automatically shows these using colors to highlight additions and subtractions of plain text for one file compared to another version of it when you look through a repository's commit history. Similarly, RStudio provides a new “Commit” window that can be used to compare differences between the original and revised version of plain text files at a particular stage in the commit history.

In recent years, some complementary tools have been developed that make the process of collaborating together using version control software easier. These include *bug trackers* or *issue trackers*, which allow the team to keep a running “to-do” list of what needs to be done to complete the project (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016).

Sometimes the best tools also happen to be those that are cheap and easy. In this case, the tool might be so obvious that you don’t even think of formalizing it as a tool. One example is the “to-do” list.

A to-do list allows you to take a big task and break it into specific steps that need to be done to complete that task. It helps with something very key to solving big problems: being able to zoom between the big picture—big but vague descriptions of major steps to solve the problem—and the fine details of how you will tackle each of those steps. As Adam Savage of the TV show *Mythbusters* notes:

“The value of a list is that it frees you up to think more creatively, by defining a project’s scope and scale for you on the page, so your brain doesn’t have to hold on to so much information. The beauty of the checkbox is that it does the same thing with regard to progress, allowing you to monitor the status of your project, without having to mentally keep track of everything.” (Savage, 2020)

The Issues section of a GitHub repository works as this type of to-do list. By looking at the home Issues page, you see an overview of the tasks you need to complete to finish the project. For each of these tasks, you can zoom in on the details by clicking on its Issue. This will take you to a page where your team can discuss the details of the task, honing in on how you will solve it.

It’s tempting to use emails to discuss progress on a task and talk about how to solve it. Don’t use an Issue instead. This will keep the discussion in one place, and so you won’t have to go back through emails to find your old discussion on how you solved it. Also, the Issues section of GitHub doesn’t delete an Issue once you’ve complete that task. Instead, it allows you to “close” the Issue. This moves the Issue into a section with all your other closed issues—it takes it out of your “to-do” list, but saves the full discussion somewhere that you’ll be able to find easily in the future if you ever want to revisit how you solved that problem.

If a project uses a version control platform, it is very easy to share data recorded for the project publicly. In a project that uses Git and GitHub version control tools, it is easy to share the project data online once an associated manuscript is published, an increasingly common request or requirement from journals and funding agencies (Blischak et al., 2016). Sharing data allows a more complete assessment of the research by reviewers and readers and makes it easier for other researchers to build off the published results in their own work, extending and adapting the code to explore their own datasets or ask their own research questions (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016). On GitHub, you can set the access to a project to be either public or private, a setting that can be converted easily from one form to the other over the course of the project

(Metz, 2015). A private project can be viewed only by fellow team members, while a public project can be viewed by anyone.

The most popular version control platforms, GitHub and GitLab, both allow users to toggle projects between “public” and “private” modes, which can be used to work privately on a project prior to peer review and publication, and then switch to a public mode after publication. This functionality will allow those who access the code to see not only the final product, but also the history of the development of the code and data for the project, providing more transparency in the development process, but without jeopardizing the novelty of the research results prior to publication.

Further, because Git tracks the full history of changes to these documents, it includes functionality that lets you tag the code and data at a specific point (for example, the date when a paper was submitted) so that viewers can look at that specific version of the repository files, even while the project team continues to move forward in improving files in the directory. At the more advanced end of functionality, there are even ways to assign a persistent digital identifier (e.g., a DOI, like those assigned to published articles) to a specific version of a GitHub repository (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016).

Version control platforms also help in providing a way to backup study data (Blischak et al., 2016; Perez-Riverol et al., 2016; Perkel, 2018a). Together, Git and GitHub provide a structure where the project directory (repository) is copied on multiple computers, both the users’ laptop or desktop computers and on a remote server hosted by GitHub or a similar organization. As you collaborate with others using version control under a distributed model, each collaborator will have their own copy of all project files on their local computer. All project files are also stored on the remote repository to which you all push and pull commits. If you are using the GitHub platform, this will be GitHub’s servers; if you use GitLab, you can set up the system on your own server. Each time you push or pull from the remote copy of the project repository, you are syncing your copy of the project files with those on other computers.

This set-up makes it easy to bring all the project files onto a new computer—all you have to do is clone the project repository. It also ensures that there are copies of the full project directory, including all its files, in multiple places (Blischak et al., 2016). Further, not only is the data backed up across multiple computers, but so is the full history of all changes made to that data and the recorded messages explaining those changes, through the repositories commit messages (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016).

Leips highlights the importance of backup for research data and code:

“Backup, backup, backup—this is the main action you can take to care for your computers and your data. Many PIs assume that backup systems are inherently permanent and foolproof, and it often takes a loss to remind one that materials break, systems fail, and humans make mistakes. Even if your data are backed up at work, have at least one other backup system. Keep at least one backup off site, in case of a disaster in the lab (yes, fires and floods do happen). It doesn’t make

much sense to have two separate backup systems stored next to each other in a drawer." (LEIPS, 2010)

Finally, version control platforms like GitHub can be used for a number of supplementary tasks for your research project. These include publishing web-pages or other web resources linked to the project and otherwise improving public engagement with the project, including by allowing other researchers to copy and adapt your project through a process called *forking*. Version control platforms also provide a supplemental backup to project files.

First, GitHub can be used to collaborate on, host, and publish websites and other online content (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016). Version control systems have been used by some for a long time to help in writing longform materials like books (e.g., (Raymond, 2003)); new tools are making the process even easier. The GitHub Pages functionality, for example, is now being used to host a number of books created in R using the bookdown package, including the online version of this book. The blogdown package similarly can be used to create websites, either for individual researchers, for research labs, or for specific projects or collaborations.

Further, if a project includes the creation of scientific software, a version control platform can be used to share that software—as well as associated documentation—in a format that is easy for others to work with. The platform can also be used to share supplemental material for a manuscript, including the code used for pre-processing and analyzing data. Perez highlights this functionality:

"The traditional way to promote scientific software is by publishing an associated paper in the peer-reviewed scientific literature, though, as pointed out by Buckheir and Donoho, this is just advertising. Additional steps can boost the visibility of an organization. For example, GitHub Pages are simple websites freely hosted by GitHub. Users can create and host blog websites, help pages, manuals, tutorials, and websites related to specific projects." (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016)

With GitHub, while only collaborators on a public project can directly change the code, anyone else can suggest changes through a process of copying a version of the project (*forking* it). This allows someone to make the changes they would like to suggest directly to a copy of the code, and then ask the project's owners to consider integrating the changes back into the main version of the project through a *pull request*. GitHub therefore creates a platform where people can explore, adapt, and add to other people's coding projects, enabling a community of coders (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016), and because of this functionality it has been described as "a social network for software development" (Perkel, 2018a) and as "a kind of bazaar that offers just about any piece of code you might want—and so much of it free." (Metz, 2015). This same process can be leveraged for others to copy and adapt code—this is particularly helpful in ensuring that a software or research project won't be "orphaned" if its main developer is unavailable (e.g., retires, dies), but instead can be picked

up and continued by other interested researchers. Copyright statements and licenses within code projects help to clarify attribution and rights in these cases.

In the next module, we describe practical ways to leverage these resources within your research group. We include instructions both for team leaders—who may not code but may want to use GitHub within projects to help manage the projects—as well as researchers who work directly with data and code for the research team. There are also a number of excellent resources that are now available that walk users through how to set up and use a version control platform. The process is particularly straightforward when the research project files are collected in an RStudio Project format, as described in earlier modules.

2.11 Using Git and GitHub to implement version control

For many years, use of version control required use of the command line, limiting its accessibility to researchers with limited programming experience. However, graphical interfaces have removed this barrier, and RStudio has particularly user-friendly tools for implementing version control. In this module, we will show how to use *Git* through RStudio's user-friendly interface and how to connect from a local computer to *Github* through RStudio.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Understand how to set up and use *Git* through RStudio's interface
- Understand how to connect with *Github* through RStudio to collaborate on research projects while maintaining version control

2.11.1 How to use version control

In this chapter, we will give you an overview of how to use *Git* and *Github* for your laboratory research projects. If you prefer an open-source version control platform, *GitLab* has similar functionality and can be installed on a server you own.

We'll address two separate groups, in separate sections. As the main focus of this module, we'll provide an overview of how you can leverage and use these tools as the director or manager of a project, without knowing how to code in a language like R. We are focusing on this audience in this module, as we see this as an area where there aren't a lot of available resources to provide guidance. *Github* provides a number of useful tools that can be used by anyone, providing a common space for managing the data recording, analysis and reporting for a scientific research project. In this case, there would need to be at least one member of your team who is comfortable with a programming language, to set up and maintain the *Github* repository, but all team members can participate in many features of the *Github* repository regardless of programming skill.

The other audience for information on using *Git* and *Github* are researchers who are comfortable coding. Fortunately, there are many good resources

available for this audience. We'll end the module by providing advice to this audience to point them to resources where they can go to learn more and fully develop these skills.

As an example, we'll show different elements from a real GitHub repository, used for scientific projects and papers. The repository is available at https://github.com/aef1004/cyto-feature_engineering. It provides example data and code to accompany a published article on a pipeline for flow cytometry analysis (Fox et al., 2020).

2.11.2 Leveraging Git and GitHub as a project director

Because Git has a history in software development, and because most introductions to it quickly present arcane-looking code commands, you may have hesitations about whether it would be useful in your scientific research group. This is particularly likely to be the case if you, and many in your research group, do not have experience programming.

This is not at all the case, though. In fact, the combination of Git and GitHub can become a secret weapon for your research group if you are willing to encourage those in your group who do know some programming (or are willing to learn a bit) to take the time to learn to set up a project in this environment for project management. Once a project has been set up in GitHub, there are a number of features that can be used by all team members, whether they code or not. These features facilitate collaboration between coders and non-coders as the data and analysis code evolve. The major features and advantages of Git and GitHub are described in modules 2.9 and 2.10.

As mentioned in the previous two modules, repositories that are tracked with Git and shared through GitHub provide a number of tools that are useful in managing a project, both in terms of keeping track of what's been done in the project and also for planning what needs to be done next, breaking those goals into discrete tasks, assigning those tasks to team members, and maintaining a discussion as you tackle those tasks.

While Git itself traditionally has been used with a command-line interface (think of the black and green computer screens shown when movies portray hackers), GitHub has wrapped Git's functionality with an attractive graphical user interface that is easy to understand. This is how you will interact with a project repository if you are online and logged into GitHub, rather than exploring it on your own computer (although there are also graphical user interfaces you can use to more easily explore Git repositories locally, on your computer).

Git and GitHub provide a large set of tools for version control. However, successfully using GitHub to help track and manage a research project does not require using all of these available tools, and in fact you can go a long way by just starting with a subset. Key project management tools for GitHub that you can leverage, all demonstrated in subsections below, are:

- Exploring commits and commit history
- Tracking and making progress on issues
- Managing repository access and ownership
- Providing project documentation that will help others navigate the project files

At the end of this module, there is a video demonstration that walks you through the elements we've highlighted.

GitHub is free to join; while there are paid plans, the free plan is adequate for getting started. To create an account, visit <https://github.com/>. If you find you need more than the free plan provides, academic researchers can request free use of some of the more extensive versions if needed, or you can explore an open-source alternative, GitLab.

Even if you are not coding, you will need to be logged in to your GitHub account to contribute to a repository. For some actions, you need to be a collaborator on a project to take the action; in the later sections of this module, we describe how people can be added as collaborators in a GitLab repository.

Exploring commits and the commit history

A version control platform like GitHub can help with managing your projects by providing tools to visually explore how the project has evolved. Each time a team member makes a change to files in a GitHub repository, the change is recorded as a *commit*, and the team member must include a short *commit message* describing the change. Each file in the project will have its own page on GitHub (Figure 2.42 shows an example). You can see the history of changes to that files by clicking the “History” link on that page.

The screenshot shows a GitHub file page for a file named 'BCG_v_PBS.Rmd' in a repository. The top navigation bar includes links for Code, Issues, Pull requests, Actions, Projects, Wiki, Security, and Insights. A red arrow points to the 'Code' tab. Below the navigation is a breadcrumb trail: master > cyto-feature_engineering / writing / BCG_v_PBS.Rmd. A red arrow points to the file name in the breadcrumb. To the right, a box states: "You can access all commits made to this file through its 'History'" with a red arrow pointing to the 'History' link in the top right corner of the page. The main content area shows a commit history table with one entry:

aef1004	change folder to data	Latest commit 7c2746e on Mar 30, 2020	History
---------	-----------------------	---------------------------------------	-------------------------

Below the table, it says '1384 lines (1119 sloc) | 52.5 KB'. A red arrow points to the 'Edit' icon in the top right corner of the code preview area. The code preview itself shows the following R code:

```

1 ---
2 title: "BCG vs. PBS Mtb Infection"
3 author: "Amy Fox"
4 output: html_document
5 ---
6
7 This dataset includes mice that were vaccinated with either PBS (control) or BCG. 12 weeks later these mice were infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. The
8
9 Data Manipulations
10 - removed CD69 and FoxP3 markers because spillover
11 - removed D30 PBS D mouse because outlier
12
13

```

Figure 2.42: Example of a file page within a GitHub repository. Each file in a repository has its own page. On this page, you can see the history of changes made to the file by looking at 'History'. You can also make a commit or edit directly in GitHub by clicking on the 'Edit' icon.

Figure 2.43 gives an example of how you can see the full history of changes that have been made to each file in the project. Each change is tracked through a commit, which includes markers of who made the change and a message describing the change. This allows you to quickly pinpoint changes in a file in your research project. Near the commit message are listings of which team member made the commit and when it was made. This also helps you see how team members have contributed as the file evolves.

The screenshot shows the 'History' page for the file `BCG_v_PBS.Rmd`. It displays a list of commits grouped by date:

- Commits on Mar 30, 2020:**
 - change folder to data
aef1004 committed on Mar 30, 2020
- Commits on Mar 26, 2020:**
 - clean up code by adding functions to beginning
aef1004 committed on Mar 26, 2020
 - Merge conflicts ...
aef1004 committed on Mar 26, 2020
 - Remove stray '
aef1004 committed on Mar 26, 2020
- Commits on Mar 24, 2020:**
 - Figures for manuscript
aef1004 committed on Mar 24, 2020
 - Adjust FMO plots due to change in functions
aef1004 committed on Mar 24, 2020

Annotations highlight specific features:

- A callout box points to the top commit on Mar 30 with the text: "The ‘History’ page for each file shows the history of changes committed for the file".
- A callout box points to the commit identifier `7c2746e` with the text: "Each commit is given a unique identifier that can be used to reference or revert it later".
- A callout box points to the commit message "clean up code by adding functions to beginning" with the text: "Each commit includes a short commit message describing the change. You can click here to see the change made with this commit."

If you click on one of the commits listed on a file's History page (Figure 2.43 points to one example of where you would click), it will take you to a page providing information on the changes made with that commit (Figure 2.44). This page provides a line-by-line view of each change that was made to project files with that commit, as well as the commit message for that commit. If the person committing the change included a longer description or commentary, this information will also be included.

Within the body of the page, you can see the changes made with the commit. Added lines will be highlighted in green while deleted lines are highlighted in red. If only part of a line was changed, it will be shown twice, once in red as its version before the commit, and once in green showing its version following the commit. You can visually compare the two versions of the line to see how it was changed with the commit.

For team members who are working a lot on coding, they will usually make changes to a file locally, on the repository copy on their own computers and then push their latest changes to the GitHub version. This workflow will allow

Figure 2.43: Commit history in GitHub. Each file in a repository has a 'History' page, where you can explore each change committed for the file. Each commit has a unique identifier and commit message describing the change. You can click on the entry for any of these commits to see the changes made to the file with the commit (see next figure).



them to test the code locally before they update the GitHub version.

However, it is also possible to make a commit directly on GitHub, and this may be a useful option for team members who are not coding and would like to make small changes to the writing files. On the file's page on GitHub, there is an “Edit” icon (Figure 2.42). By clicking on this, you will get to a page where you can directly edit the file (Figure 2.45 shows an example of what this page looks like). Once you have made your edits, you will need to commit them, along with a short description of the commit, the “commit message”. If you would like to include a longer explanation of your changes, there is space for that, as well, when you make the commit (Figure 2.45). These commits will show up in the repository’s history, attributed to you and with your commit message attached to the change.

Tracking and making progress on issues

Another way that a version control platform like GitHub can help you manage a project is through the “Issues” tracker. As we described in module 2.10, this Issues page can serve as a “to-do” list for the project as a whole. It lets you keep track of the tasks that need to be done, as well as have detailed conversations with your team about each task.

Each repository includes this type of tracker, and it can be easily used by all team members, whether they are comfortable coding or not. Figure 2.46 gives an example of the Issues tracker page for the repository we are using as an example. There will be a main Issues page, like one shown in this figure, as well as separate pages for each issue.

The main Issues tracker page provides clickable links to all open issues for

Figure 2.44: Commit history in GitHub. Each commit has its own page, where you can explore what changes were made with the commit, who made them, and when they were committed.

The screenshot shows two stacked GitHub interface sections. The top section is titled 'Edit file' for 'BCG_v_PBS.Rmd' in the 'master' branch. It displays a code editor with R code related to BCG vs. PBS Mtb Infection. A red arrow points from an annotation box to the code area. The annotation box contains the text: 'On the "Edit" page for the file, you can make changes directly to the file'. The bottom section is titled 'Commit changes' for the same file. It includes fields for a commit message ('Create BCG_v_PBS.Rmd') and an optional extended description. Another red arrow points from an annotation box to the commit message field. The annotation box contains the text: 'You can commit the change with a message describing the change'. At the bottom of this section are 'Commit changes' and 'Cancel' buttons.

Figure 2.45: Committing changes directly in GitHub. When you click on the 'Edit' button in a file's GitHub page (see previous figure), it will take you to a page where you can edit the file directly. You save the changes

The screenshot shows the 'Issues' tab selected on the GitHub repository 'aef1004/cyto-feature_engineering'. A large red arrow points up from an annotation box labeled 'Click on "Issues" to get to this page' to the 'Issues' tab. Below the tab, there is a modal dialog titled 'Label issues and pull requests for new contributors' with a 'Dismiss' button. A red arrow points from another annotation box labeled 'Click here to see issues that have been resolved' to a link in the modal. A third red arrow points up from an annotation box labeled 'Open issues are listed here.' to the list of issues. The first issue is titled 'Standardize FMO figure with hexbins' and was opened by 'aef1004' on July 26, 2019.

Figure 2.46: Issues tracker page for an example GitHub repository. Arrows highlight the tab to click to get to the Issues tracker page in a repository, as well as where to go to find open and closed issues for the repository.

the repository. You can open a new issue using the “New Issue” on this main page or on the specific page of any of the repository’s issues. See Figure 2.47 for an example of this button.

The screenshot shows a GitHub issue page for a repository. At the top, there is a green 'Open' button, a link to 'See the conversation on Issue #1 here', and a green 'New issue' button. The main area contains two comments from users 'aef1004' and 'geanders'. Below the comments is a comment input field with a 'Write' tab, a preview section, and a toolbar. A red arrow points to the 'Add to the conversation' button. To the right of the input field, there is a 'Close the Issue when it's resolved' link, which is also highlighted with a red arrow. On the right side of the page, there are sections for 'Assignees', 'Labels', 'Projects', 'Milestone', 'Linked pull requests', 'Notifications', and 'Participants'. The 'Notifications' section includes an 'Unsubscribe' button and a note about receiving notifications because the user is watching the repository.

On the page for a specific issue (e.g., Figure 2.47), you can have a conversation with your team to determine how to resolve the issue. This conversation can include web links, figures, and even lists with check boxes, to help you discuss and plan how to resolve the issue. Each issue is numbered, which allows you to track each individually as you work on the project.

Once you have resolved an issue, you will close it, using a “Close” button on the Issue’s page (see Figure 2.47 for an example). This moves the issue from the active list into a “Closed” list. Each closed issue still has its own page, where you can read through the conversation describing how it was resolved. If you need to, you can re-open a closed issue later, if you determine that it was not fully resolved. Figure 2.46 shows where to go to see a list of closed Issues for a project.

The Issues tracker page includes some more advanced functionality, as well (Figure 2.48). For example, you can assign an issue to one of more team members, indicating that they are responsible for resolving that issue. You can also tag each issue with one of more labels, allowing you to group issues into common categories. For example, you could tag all issues that cover questions

Figure 2.47: Conversation about an Issue on Issues tracker page of an example GitHub repository. In this example, you can see how GitHub Issues trackers allow you to discuss how to resolve an issue across your team. From this page, you can read the current conversation about Issue #1 of the repository and add your own comments. Once the Issue is resolved, you can ‘Close’ the Issue, which moves it off the list of active issues, but allows you to still re-read the conversation and, if necessary, re-open the issue later. You can also open a new issue from this page, using the button highlighted at the top right.

The screenshot shows a GitHub issue page for a project. At the top, there are navigation links: Code, Issues (1), Pull requests, Actions, Projects, Wiki, Security, and Insights. The main title of the issue is "Add in background strip color for faceted plots #3". A red arrow points from the "Issues can be assigned to specific team members" callout to the "Assignees" section, which lists "geanders". Another red arrow points from the "Issues can be tagged with one or more label" callout to the "Labels" section, which includes "help wanted". A third red arrow points from the "Issues can be assigned to specific team members" callout to the "Assigned to" section of the sidebar. The sidebar also shows "No milestone", "Linked pull requests", "None yet", and "2 participants". The issue itself has three comments:

- aef1004 commented on Jul 30, 2019**
It would be nice to add in background strip color for the faceted plots (Population Percentages and CFU vs Population) to denote which cell lineage each population is.
- aef1004 commented on Jul 30, 2019**
The code :

```
theme(strip.background = element_rect(color = "blue"))
```

Will give a blue strip outline, but still need to find a way to use multiple colors
- aef1004 commented on Jul 30, 2019 • edited**
I can get close with the code from <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3455092/r-ggplot2-change-colour-of-font-and-background-in-facet-strip> but the colors aren't in the correct order.
The code is located in the BCG_vs_PBS.Rmd

At the bottom of the comments, it shows: "aef1004 added the help wanted label on Jul 30, 2019" and "aef1004 assigned geanders on Jul 30, 2019".

Figure 2.48: Labeling and assigning Issues. The GitHub Issues tracker allows you to assign each issue to one or more team members, clarifying that they will take the lead in resolving the issue. It also allows you to tag each issue with one or more labels, so you can easily navigate to issues of a specific type or identify the category of a specific issue.

about pre-processing the data using a “pre-processing” label, and all that are related to creating figures for the final manuscript with a “figures” label.

Managing repository access and ownership

Repositories include functionality for inviting team members, assigning roles, and otherwise managing access to the repository. First, a repository can be either public or private. For a public repository, anyone will be able to see the full contents of the repository through GitHub. You can also set a repository to be private. In this case, the repository can only be seen by those who have been invited to collaborate on the repository, and only when they are logged in to their GitHub accounts. The private / public status of a repository can be changed at any time, so if you want you can maintain a repository for a project as private until you publish the results, and then switch it to be public, to allow others to explore the code and data that are linked to your published results.

You can invite team members to collaborate on a repository, as long as they have GitHub accounts. While public repositories can be seen by anyone, the only people who can add to or change the contents of the repository are people who have been invited to collaborate on the repository. The person who creates the repository (the repository “owner”) can invite other collaborators through the “Settings” tab of the repository, which will have a “Manage access” function for the repositories maintainer. Only the owner of the repository will have access to this tab for the repo. On this page, you can invite other collaborators by searching using their GitHub “handle” (the short name they chose to be identified by in GitHub). You can also change access rights, for example, allowing some team members to be able to make major changes to the repository—like deleting it—while others can make only smaller modifications.

[Add: Roles on a repository]

Providing project documentation

[Add: README with Markdown]

If you are planning to use GitHub as a way to share the project directory, you will find it useful to create the README file using a file format called “Markdown”. [Automatically renders in a nice format when you put it on GitHub]

- Module 2.7: metadata, README
- Markdown renders nicely when posted on GitHub
- Show example from Amy’s project

2.11.3 Leveraging git and GitHub as a scientist who programs

To be able to leverage GitHub to manage projects and share data, you will need to have at least one person in the research group who can set up the initial repository. GitHub repositories can be created very easily starting from an RStudio Project, a format for organizing project files that was described in module 3.7.

There are many excellent resources that provide instructions on this topic

meant for researchers who are comfortable with using R and RStudio. An excellent place to start is with an online book written by Jenny Bryan named *Happy Git and GitHub for the useR*. This book is available for free at <https://happygitwithr.com/>. It provides a gentle yet thorough introduction to using git, connecting it to RStudio Projects, and connecting everything with an online version control platform like GitHub. It also includes a helpful section that covers what a daily workflow will look like when you are using git and GitHub in conjunction with projects that include R code.

Once you've explored that resource, here are some others you might also find useful:

- Software Carpentry's introduction to version control with git, available at <https://swcarpentry.github.io/git-novice/>
- Article on *A Quick Introduction to Version Control with Git and GitHub* (Blischak et al., 2016)
- Article on *Ten Simple Rules for Taking Advantage of Git and GitHub* (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016)

3

Experimental Data Preprocessing

This section includes modules on:

- Module 3.1: Principles of pre-processing experimental data
- Module 3.2: Selecting software options for pre-processing
- Module 3.3: Introduction to scripted data pre-processing in R
- Module 3.4: Tips for improving reproducibility when writing R scripts
- Module 3.5: Simplify scripted pre-processing through R’s ‘tidyverse’ tools
- Module 3.6: Complex data types in experimental data pre-processing
- Module 3.7: Introduction to reproducible data pre-processing protocols
- Module 3.8: RMarkdown for creating reproducible data pre-processing protocols
- Module 3.9: Example: Creating a reproducible data pre-processing protocol

3.1 Principles of pre-processing experimental data

The experimental data collected for biomedical research often requires pre-processing before it can be analyzed. This stage of working with experimental data has critical implications for the reproducibility and rigor of later data analysis. Use of point-and-click software and/or proprietary software can limit the transparency and reproducibility of this analysis stage and is time-consuming for repeated tasks. In this module, we will explain how preprocessing can be broken into common themes and processes. In the next module, we will explain how scripted pre-processing, especially using open-source software, can improve transparency and reproducibility for this stage of working with biomedical data.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Define “pre-processing” of experimental data
- Understand key themes and processes in pre-processing and identify these processes in their own pipelines

3.1.1 *What is data preprocessing?*

When you are conducting an experiment that involves work in the wet lab, you will do a lot of work before you have any data ready for the computer. You may, for example, have conducted an extensive period of work that involved laboratory animals or cell cultures. In many cases, you will have run some samples through very advanced equipment, like cytometers or sequencers. Once you have completed this long and hard process, you may ask yourself, “I ran the experiment, I ran the equipment... Aren’t I done with the hard work?”.

For certain types of data, you may be, and you may be able to proceed directly to statistical analysis. For example, if you collected the weights of lab animals, you are probably directly using those data to answer questions like whether weight differed between treatment groups. However, with a lot of biomedical data, you will not be able to move directly to analyzing the data. Instead, you will need to start with a stage of *pre-processing* the data: that is, taking computational steps to prepare the data before it’s in an appropriate format to be used in statistical analysis.

There are several reasons that pre-processing is often necessary. The first is that many biomedical data are collected using extremely complex equipment and scientific principles. The pre-processing in this case is used to extract scientific meaning from data that might have been collected using measurements that are more closely linked to the complex process than to the final scientific question. Next, there will be some cases where practical concerns made it easier to collect data in one way and pre-process it later to get it to a format that aligns with the scientific question. For example, if you want the average weight of mice in different treatment groups, it may be more practical to weigh the cage that contains all the mice in each treatment group rather than weigh each mouse individually. This makes life in the lab easier, but means you’ll need to do some more computational pre-processing of the data to make sense of it appropriately. Third, there are now frequent cases where an assay generates a very large set of measures—for example, expression levels of thousands of genes for each sample—and some pre-processing might help in digesting the complexity inherent in this type of high-dimensional data. Finally, preprocessing is often necessary to check for and resolve quality control issues within the data.

In any scientific field, when you work with data, it will often take much more time to prepare the data for analysis than it takes to set up and run the statistical analysis itself (Robinson, 2014). This is certainly true with complex biomedical data, including data for flow cytometry, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics. It is a worthwhile investment of time to learn strategies to make preprocessing of this data more efficient and reproducible, and it is critical—for the rigor of the entire experiment—to ensure that the preprocessing is done correctly and can be repeated by others.

These preprocessing steps, in fact, should be as clear and practical to follow

as the types of protocols you would follow for a wet lab procedure. Key to reproducibility is that a procedure is described in enough detail that others can follow it exactly.

3.1.2 Common themes and processes in data preprocessing

Exactly what preprocessing you will need to do will vary depending on the way the data were collected and the scientific questions you hope to answer, and often it will take a lot of work to develop a solid pipeline for preprocessing data from a specific assay. However, there are some common themes that drive the need for such preprocessing of data across types of data collection and research questions. These common themes provide a framework that can help as you design data preprocessing pipelines, or as you interpret and apply pipelines that were developed by other researchers. The rest of this module will describe several of the most common themes in data preprocessing.

Extracting scientifically-relevant measurement

One common purpose of preprocessing is to translate the measurements that you directly collect into measurements that are meaningful for your scientific research question. Scientific research uses a variety of complex techniques and equipment to initially collect data. As a result of these inventions and processes, the data that are directly collected in the laboratory by a person or piece of equipment might require quite a bit of preprocessing to be translated into a measure that meaningfully describes a scientific process. A key element of preprocessing data is to translate the acquired data into a format that can more directly answer scientific questions.

This type of preprocessing will vary substantially from assay to assay, with algorithms that are tied to the methodology of the assay itself. We'll describe some examples of this idea, moving from very simple translation to processes that are much more complex (and more typical of the data collected at present in many types of biomedical research assays).

As a basic example, some assays will use equipment that can measure the intensity of color of a sample or the sample's opacity. Some of these measures might be directly (or at least proportionally) interpretable. For example, opacity might provide information about how high the concentration of bacteria are in a sample. Others might need more interpretation, based on the scientific underpinnings of the assay. For example, in an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), antibody levels are detected as a measure of the intensity of color of a sample at various dilutions, but to interpret this correctly, you need to know the exact process that was used for that assay, as well as the dilutions that were measured.

The complexity of this “translation” scales up as you move to data that are collected using more complex processes. Biomedical research today leverages extraordinarily complex equipment and measurement processes to learn more about health and disease. These invented processes of measuring can provide

extraordinarily detailed and informative data, allowing us to “see” elements of biological processes that could not be seen at that level before. However, they all require steps to translate the data that are directly recorded by equipment into data that are more scientifically meaningful.

One example is flow cytometry, which can characterize immune cell populations. In flow cytometry, immune cells are characterized based on proteins that are present both within and on the surface of each cell, as well as properties like cell size and granularity (Maecker et al., 2012, Barnett et al. (2008)). Flow cytometry identifies these proteins through a complicated process that involves lasers and fluorescent tags and that leverages a key biological process—that an antibody can have a very specific affinity for one specific protein (Barnett et al., 2008).

The process starts by identifying proteins that can help to identify specific immune cell populations (e.g., CD3 and CD4 proteins in combination can help identify helper T cells). This collection of proteins is the basis of a panel that’s developed for that flow cytometry experiment. For each of the proteins on the panel, you will incorporate an antibody with a specific affinity for that protein. If that antibody sticks to the cell in a substantial number, it indicates the presence of its associated protein on the cell.

To be able to measure which of the antibodies stick to which cells, each type of antibody is attached to a specific fluorescent tag (each of these is often referred to as a “color” in descriptions of flow cytometry) (Benoist and Hacohen, 2011). Each fluorescent tag included in the panel will emit wavelength in a certain well-defined range after it is exposed to light at wavelengths of a certain range. As each cell passes through the flow cytometer, lasers activate these fluorescent tags, and you can measure the intensity of light emitted at specific wavelengths to identify which proteins in the panel are present on or in each cell (Barnett et al., 2008).

This is an extraordinarily clever way to identify cells, but the complexity of the process means that a lot of preprocessing work must be done on the resulting measurements. To interpret the data that are recorded by a flow cytometer (intensity of light at different wavelengths)—and to generate a characterization of immune cell populations from these data—you need to incorporate a number of steps of translation. These include steps that incorporate information about which fluorescent tags were attached to which antibodies, which proteins in the cell each of those antibodies attach to, which immune cells those proteins help characterize, what wavelength each fluorescent tag emits at, and so on. In some cases, the measuring equipment will provide software that performs some of this preprocessing before you get the first version of the data, but some may need to be performed by hand, especially if you need to customize based on your research question. Further, it’s critical to understand the process, to decide if it’s appropriate for your specific scientific question.

Similarly complex processes are used to collect data for many single-cell and

high throughput assays, including transcriptomics, metabolomics, proteomics, and single cell RNA-sequencing. It can require complex and sometimes lengthy algorithms and pipelines to extract direct scientifically-relevant measures from the measures that the laboratory equipment captures in these cases. Depending on the assay, this preprocessing can include sequence alignment and assembly (if sequencing data were collected) or peak identification and alignment (if data was collected using mass spectrometry, for example).

As Anton Nekrutenko and Taylor James note in an article on the reproducibility of next-generation sequencing:

“Meaningful interpretation of sequencing data has become particularly important. Yet such interpretation relies heavily on complex computation—a new and unfamiliar domain to many of our biomedical colleagues—which, unlike data generation, is not universally accessible to everyone.” (Nekrutenko and Taylor, 2012)

In another article, Paul Flicek and Ewan Birney also capture this idea:

“One thing that has not changed in the last 10 years is that the individual outputs of the sequence machines are essentially worthless by themselves. ... Fundamental to creating biological understanding from the increasing piles of sequence data is the development of analysis algorithms able to assess the success of the experiments and synthesize the data into manageable and understandable pieces.” (Flicek and Birney, 2009)

The discipline of bioinformatics works to develop these types of algorithms (Barry and Cheung, 2009). Many of them are available through open-source, scripted software like R and Python. These types of pre-processing algorithms are often also available as proprietary software, sometimes sold by equipment manufacturers and sometimes separately.

Addressing practical concerns and limitations in data collection

Another common reason for pre-processing is related to addressing things you did while collecting the data—specifically, things you did for practical purposes or under practical limitations. These will they need to be handled, when possible, in computational pre-processing.

More generally, this type of pre-processing addresses something called *noise* in the data. When we collect biomedical research data, we are often collecting data in the hope that it will measure some meaningful biological variation between two or more conditions. For example, we may measure it in the hope that there is a meaningful difference in gene expression between a sample taken from an animal that is diseased versus one that is healthy, with the aim of finding a biomarker of the disease.

There are, however, several sources of variation in data we collect. The first of these is variation that comes from meaningful biological variation between samples—the type of variation that we are trying to measure and use to answer scientific questions. We often call this the “signal” in the data (Chatfield, 1995).

There are other sources of variation, however. These sources are irrelevant to our scientific question, and so we often call them “noise”—in other

words, they cause our data to change from one sample to the next in a way that might blur the signal that we care about. We therefore often take steps in pre-processing to try to limit or remove this variation to help us see the meaningful biological variation more clearly.

There are two main sources of this other variation or noise: biological and technical. Biological noise in data comes from biological processes, but from ones that are irrelevant to the process that we care about in our particular experiment. For example, cells express different genes depending on where they are in the cell cycle. However, if you are trying to use single cell RNA-sequencing to explore variation in gene expression by cell type, you might consider this growth-related variation as noise, even though it represents a biological process.

The second source of noise is technical. Technical noise comes from variation that is introduced in the process of collecting data, rather than from biological processes. In the introduction to the module, we brought up the example of weighing mice by cage rather than individually; one example of technical noise in this case would be the differences across the samples that's based on the number of mice in each cage.

As another example, part of the process of single cell RNA-seq involves amplifying complementary DNA that are developed from the messenger RNA in each cell in the sample. How much the complementary DNA are amplified in this process, however, varies across cells (Perkel, 2017). This occurs because, while the different fragments are all amplified before their sequences are read, some fragments are amplified more times than others. If two fragments had the exact same abundance in the original cell, but one was amplified more than the other, that one would be measured as having a higher level in the sample if this amplification bias were not accounted for. If this isn't addressed in pre-processing, then this "amplification bias" prevents any meaningful comparison across cells.

Another source of technical noise is something called *batch effects*. These occur when data have consistent differences based on who was doing the measuring or which batch the sample was run with, or which equipment was used for the measure. For example, if two researchers are working to weigh the mice for an experiment, the weights recorded by one of the researchers might tend to be, on average, lower than those recorded by the other researcher, perhaps because the two scales they are using are calibrated a bit differently. Similarly, settings or conditions can change in subtle ways between different runs on a piece of equipment, and so the samples run in different batches might have some differences in output based on the batch.

In some cases, there are ways to reduce some of the variation that comes from processes that aren't of interest for your scientific question, either from biological or technical sources. This is important to consider doing, because while some of this variation might just lower the statistical power of the analysis, some can go further and bias the results.

For example, batch effects can often be addressed through statistical modeling, as long as they are identified and are not aligned with a difference you are trying to measure (in other words, if all the samples for the control animals are run in one batch and all those for the treated animals in another batch, you would not be able to separate the batch effect from the effect of treatment).

There are some methods that adjust for batch effects by fitting a regression model that includes the batch as a factor, and then using the residuals from that model for the next steps of analysis (“regressing out” those batch effects) (McCarthy et al., 2017). You can also incorporate this directly into a statistical model that is being used for the main statistical hypothesis testing of interest (McCarthy et al., 2017). In this case, the technical noise isn’t addressed during the pre-processing phase, but rather as part of the statistical analysis.

Another example of a process that can help adjust for unwanted variation is normalization. Let’s start with a very simple example to explain what normalization does. Say that you wanted to measure the height of three people, so you can compare to determine who is tallest and who is shortest. However, rather than standing on an even surface, they are all standing on ladders that are different heights. If you measure the height of the top of each person’s head from the ground, you will not be able to compare their heights correctly, because each has the height of their ladder incorporated into the measure. If you knew the height of each person’s ladder, though, you could normalize your measure by subtracting each ladder’s height from the total measurement, and then you could meaningfully compare the heights to determine which person is tallest.

Normalization plays a similar role in pre-processing many forms of biomedical data. One article defines normalization as the, “process of accounting for, and possibly removing, sources of variation that are not of biological interest” (Mak, 2011). One simple example is when comparing the weights of two groups of mice. Often, a group of mice might be measured collectively in their cage, rather than taken out and weighed individually. Say that you have three treated mice in one cage and four control mice in another cage. You can weigh both cages of mice, but to compare these weights, you will need to normalize the measurement by dividing by the total number of mice that are in each cage (in other words, taking the average weight per mouse). This type of averaging is a very simple example of normalizing data.

Other normalization pre-processing might be used to adjust for sequencing depth for gene expression data, so that you can meaningfully compare the measures of a gene’s expression in different samples or treatment groups. This can be done in bulk RNA sequencing by calculating and adjusting for a global scale factor (Bacher et al., 2017). One article highlights the critical role of normalization in RNA sequencing in the context of reproducibility:

“The biggest, the easiest way [for a biologist doing RNA-Seq to tell that better normalization of the data is needed]—the way that I discovered the importance of normalization in the microarray context—is the lack of reproducibility across different studies. You can have three studies that are all designed to study the

same thing, and you just see basically no reproducibility, in terms of differentially expressed genes. And every time I encountered that, it could always be traced back to normalization. So, I'd say that the biggest sign and the biggest reason why you want to use normalization is to have a clear signal that's reproducible." (Mak, 2011)

In single-cell RNA sequencing, there's also a need for normalization, but in this case the procedures to do it are a bit different. Difference processes are needed because these data tend to be noisier and have a number of zero-expression values (Perkel, 2017; Bacher et al., 2017). For these assays, therefore, new technologies for normalization have been developed. For example, in scRNA-seq, processes like the use of unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) can allow you to later account for amplification bias (Haque et al., 2017).

Digesting complexity in datasets

Biomedical research has dramatically changed in the past couple of decades to include data with higher dimensions: that is, data that either includes many samples or many measures per sample, or both.

Examples of high-dimensional data in biomedical data include data with many measurements (also called *features*), often in the hundreds or thousands in terms of the measurements generated per sample. These data often include measurements for each sample on hundreds of thousands of different parameters. For example, transcriptomics data can include measurements for each sample on the expression level of tens of thousands of different genes (Perkel, 2017). Data from metabolics, proteomics, and other "omics" similarly create data at high-dimensional scales.

There are also some cases where data are large because of the number of observations, rather than (or in addition to) the number of measurements taken for each observation. One example of this is flow cytometry data, where the observations are individual cells. Current experiments often capture in the range of a million cells for each sample in flow cytometry, measuring for each cell some characteristics that can be used to determine its size, granularity, and surface proteins, all with the aim of characterizing its cell type. Another example or an assay that generates lots of observations is single cell RNA-sequencing. Again, with this technique, observations are taken at the level of the cell, with on the order of at least 10,000 cells processed per sample.

[Check with Marcela / Taru on back-of-envelope estimates in this paragraph]

Whether data is large because it measures many features (e.g., transcriptomics) or includes many observations (e.g., single-cell data), the sheer size of the data can require you to digest it somehow before you can use it to answer scientific questions. There are several pre-processing techniques that can be used to do this. The way that you digest this size and complexity depends on whether the data are large because they have many features or because they have many observations.

For data with many measurements for each observation, the different measurements often have strong correlation structures across samples. For exam-

ple, a large collection of genes may work in concert, and so gene expression across those genes may be highly correlated. As another example, a metabolite might break down into multiple measured metabolite features, making the measurements for those features highly correlated. In some cases, your data may even have more measurements than samples. For example, if you run an assay that measures the level of thousands of metabolite features, with twenty samples, then you will end up with many more measurements (columns in your dataset, if it has a tidy structure) than observations (rows in a tidy data structure).

This case of data with many measurements presents, first, a technical issue. In the case of data with more measurements than samples, you may have no choice but to resolve this before later steps of analysis. This is because a number of statistical techniques fail or provide meaningless results for datasets with more columns than rows, as the algorithms run into problems related to singularity and non-uniqueness (Chatfield, 1995). As Chatfield notes:

“It is potentially dangerous to allow the number of variables to exceed the number of observations because of non-uniqueness and singularity problems. Put simply, the unwary analyst may try to estimate more parameters than there are observations.” (Chatfield, 1995)

Another concern with data that have many measurements is that the amount of information across the measurements is lower than the number of measurement—in other words, some of the measures are partially or fully redundant. To get a basic idea of dimension reduction, consider this example. Say you have conducted an experiment that includes two species of research mice, C57 black 6 and BALB/C. You record information about each mouse, including columns that record both which species the mouse is and what color its coat is. Since C57 black 6 mice are always black, and BALB/C mice are always white, these two columns of data will be perfectly correlated. Therefore, one of the two columns adds no information—once you have one of the measurements for a mouse, you can perfectly deduce what the other measurement will be. You could therefore, without any loss of information, reduce the number of columns of the data you’ve collected by choosing only one of these two columns to keep.

This same idea scales up to much more complex data—in many high dimensional datasets, many of the measurements (e.g., levels of metabolite features in metabolomics data or levels of gene expression in gene expression data) will be highly correlated with each other, essentially providing the same information across different measurements. In this case, the complexity of the dataset can often be substantially reduced by using something called *dimension reduction*.

Dimension reduction helps to collect the information that is captured by the dataset into fewer columns, or “dimensions”—to go, for instance, from columns that measure the expression of thousands of different genes down to a few “principal component” columns that capture the key sources of variation across these genes. One long-standing approach to dimension reduction is

principal components analysis (PCA) (Haque et al., 2017). Other newer techniques have been developed, as well, such as t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) (Perkel, 2017). Newer techniques often aim to improve on limitations of classic techniques like PCA under the conditions of current biomedical data—for example, some may help address problems that arise when applying dimension reduction techniques to very large datasets.

Another approach to digest the complexity of high dimensional data is to remove some of the features that were measured entirely, an approach that is more generally called “feature selection” in data science. One example is in pre-processing single-cell RNA-seq data. In this case, it is common to filter down to only some of the genes whose expression was measured. One filtering criterion is to filter out “low quality” genes. These might be genes with low abundance on average across samples or high dropout rates (which happens if a transcript is present in the cell but either isn’t captured or isn’t amplified and so is not present in the sequencing reads) (Haque et al., 2017, McCarthy et al. (2017)). Another criterion for filtering genes for single cell RNA-sequencing is to focus on the genes that vary substantially across different cell types, removing the “housekeeping” genes with similar expression regardless of the cell type.

For data with lots of observations, like single-cell data, again the sheer size of the data can make it difficult to explore and generate knowledge from it. In this case, you can often reduce complexity by finding a way to group the observations and then summarizing the size and other characteristics of each group.

For example, flow cytometry leverages the different measures taken on each cell to make sense of them with a process referred to as “gating”. In gating, each measure taken on the cells is considered one or two at a time to filter the data (Maecker et al., 2012). The gating process steps through many of these “gates”, filtering out cells and each step and only retaining the cells with markers or characteristics that align with a certain cell type, until the researcher is satisfied that they have identified all the cells of a certain type in the sample (e.g., all helper T cells in the sample). This compresses the data to counts of different cell types, from original data with one observation per cell.

Another way of doing this is with clustering techniques, which can be helpful to explore large-scale patterns across the many observations. As one example, single cell RNA-seq measures messenger RNA expression for each cell in a sample of what can be 10,000 or more cells [double-check with Taru]. One goal of scRNA-seq is to use gene expression patterns in each cell to identify distinct cell types in the sample, potentially including cell types that were not known prior to the experiment (Perkel, 2017). To do this, it needs to used measures of the expression of [hundreds or thousands] of genes in each cell to group the [hundreds or thousands] of cells by similar patterns of gene expression. One use of clustering techniques is to group cells into cell types, based on their gene expression profiles, through scRNA-seq (Haque et al., 2017).

Quality assessment and control

Another common step in pre-processing is to identify and resolve quality control issues. These are cases where some error or problem occurred in the data recording and measurement, or some of the samples are poor quality and need to be discarded.

There are a variety of reasons why biomedical data might have quality control issues. First, when data are recorded “by hand” (including into a spreadsheet), the person who is recording the data can miss a number or mis-type a number. For example, if you are recording the weights of mice for an experiment, you may forget to include a decimal in one recorded value, or invert two numbers. These types of errors include recording errors (reading the value from the instrument incorrectly), typing errors (making a mistake when entering the value into a spreadsheet or other electronic record), and copying errors (introduced when copying from one record to another) (Chatfield, 1995).

While some of these can be hard to identify later, in many cases you can identify and fix recording errors through exploratory analysis of the data. For example, if most recorded mouse weights are around 25 grams, but one is recorded as 252 grams, you may be able to identify that the recorder missed a decimal point when recorded one weight. In this case, you could identify the error as an extreme outlier—in fact, beyond a value that would make physical sense.

Other quality control issues may come in the form of missing data (e.g., you forgot to measure one mouse at one time point), or larger issues, like a quality problem with a whole sample. In these cases, it is important to identify missingness in the data, so that as a next step you can try to determine why certain data points are missing (e.g., are they missing at random, or is there some process that makes certain data points more likely to be missing, in which case this missingness may bias later analysis), to help you decide how to handle those missing values (Chatfield, 1995).

Some quality control issues will be very specific to a type of data or assay. For example, one common theme in quality control repeats across methods that measure data at the level of the single cell. Some examples of this type of single-cell resolution measurement include flow cytometry and single-cell RNA-seq. In these cases, some of the measurements might be made on cells that are in some way problematic. This can include cells that are dead or damaged (Ilicic et al., 2016), and it can also include cases where a measurement that was meant to be taken on a single cell was instead taken on two or more cells that were stuck together, or on a piece of debris or, in the case of droplet-based single cell RNA-seq, an empty droplet.

Quality control steps can help to identify and remove these problematic observations. For example, flow cytometry panels will often include a marker for dead cells, which can then be used when the data are gated to identify and exclude these cells, while the size measure made of the cells (forward scatter) can identify cases where two or more cells were stuck together and

passed through the equipment at the same time. In scRNA-seq, low quality cells may be identified based on a relatively high mitochondrial DNA expression compared to expression of other genes, potentially because if a cell ruptured before it was lysed for the assay, much of the cytoplasm and its messenger RNA would have escaped, but not RNA from the mitochondria (Ilicic et al., 2016). Cells can be removed in the pre-processing of scRNA-seq data based on this and related criteria (low number of detected genes, small relative library size) (Ilicic et al., 2016).

3.2 Selecting software options for pre-processing

[Intro]

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Describe software approaches for pre-processing data
- Compare the advantages and disadvantages of Graphical User Interface–based versus scripted approaches and of open-source versus proprietary approaches to pre-processing

The previous module described some common themes and processes in pre-processing biomedical data. While we've covered some key processes of pre-processing, we haven't talked yet about the tools you can use to implement it. These are often combined together into a pipeline (also called a workflow). These pipelines can become fairly long and complex when you need to pre-process data that are complex.

Most pre-processing pipelines will be run on the computer, with software tools. An exception might be for very simple pre-processing tasks—one example is generating the average cage weight for a group of mice based on the total cage weight and the number of mice. However, even simple processes like this, which can be done by hand, can also be done with a computer, and doing so can help avoid errors and to provide a record of the calculation that was used for the pre-processing.

You will have a choice about which type of software you use for pre-processing. There are two key dimensions that separate these choices—first, whether the software is point-and-click versus script-based, and, second, whether the software is proprietary versus open-source. It is important to note that, in some cases, it may make sense to develop a pipeline that chains together a few different software programs to complete the required pre-processing.

In this module, we'll talk about the advantages and disadvantages of these different types of software. For reproducibility and rigor, there are many advantages to using software that is script-based and open-source for data pre-processing, and so in later modules, we'll provide more information on how you can use this type of software for pre-processing biomedical data. We also recognize, however, that there are some cases where such software may not

be a viable option for some or all of the data pre-processing for a project.

3.2.1 GUI versus code script

When you pick software for pre-processing, the first key dimension to consider is whether the software is “point-and-click” or script-based. Let’s start with a definition of each.

Point-and-click software is more formally known as GUI software, where GUI stand for “graphical user interface”. These are programs where your hand is on the mouse most of the time, and you use the mouse to select actions and options from buttons and other widgets that are shown by the software on the screen. This type of software is also sometimes called “widget-based”, as it is built around widgets like drop-down menus and slider bars (Perkel, 2018b).

A basic example of GUI-based software is your computer’s calendar application (“application” is a common synonym for “software”). To navigate across dates on your calendar, you use your mouse to click on arrows or dates. The software includes some text entry—for example, if you add something to your calendar, you can click on a textbox and enter a description of the activity using your keyboard. However, the basic way that you navigate and use the software is via your computer mouse.

Script-based software uses a script, rather than clickable buttons and graphics, as its main interface. A script, in this case, is a line-by-line set of instructions describing what actions you want the software to perform. With script-based software, you typically keep your keys on the keyboard more often than on the mouse. Many script-based software programs will also allow you to also send the lines of instructions one at a time in an area referred to as a *console*, which will then return the result from each line after you run it. Script-based software is also sometimes called software that is “used programmatically” (Perkel, 2018b). Several script-based software programs are commonly used with biomedical data including R, Python, and Unix bash scripts, as well as some less common but emerging software programs like Julia.

When comparing point-and-click software to script-based software for pre-processing, there are a few advantages to point-and-click software, but many more to script-based software. In terms of code rigor and reproducibility, script-based software comes out well ahead, especially when used to its full advantage.

Let’s start, though, by acknowledging some appealing features of point-and-click software. These features likely contribute to its wide popularity and to the fact that the vast majority of software that you use in your day-to-day life outside of research is probably point-and-click.

First, point-and-click software is often easier to learn to use, at least in terms of basic use. The visual icons help you navigate choices and actions in the software. Most GUIs are designed to take the underlying processes and make them easier for a new user to access and use. They do this through an

interface that is visual, rather than language- and script-based. Further, many people are most familiar with point-and-click software, since so many everyday applications are of this type, and so its interface can feel more familiar to users. They also are easier for a new user to pick up because they typically provide a much smaller set of options than a full programming language does.

By contrast, coding languages take more investment of time and energy to initially learn how to use. This is because a coding language is just that—a language. It is built on a (often large) set of vocabulary that you must learn to be proficient, as you must learn the names and options for a large set of functions within the language. Further, it has rules and logic you must learn in terms of options for how to structure and access data and how the inputs and outputs of different functions can be chained together to build pipelines for pre-processing and analysis.

Coding also requires you to be precise in this language. As Brian Kernighan writes in his book *D is for Digital*:

“A computer is the ultimate sorcerer’s apprentice, able to follow instructions tirelessly and without error, but requiring painstaking accuracy in the specification of what to do.” (Kernighan, 2011)

However, while there is a higher investment required to learn script-based software versus point-and-click software, there is also a higher payoff from that effort. Script-based software creates a full framework for you to combine tools in interesting ways and to build new tools when you need them. With point-and-click software, there’s always a layer between the user and the computer logic, and you are constrained to only use tools that were designed by the person who programmed the point-and-click software. By contrast, with script-based software, you have more direct access to the underlying computer logic, and with many popular script-based languages (R, Python), you have extraordinary power and flexibility in what you can ask the program to do.

As an analogy, think about traveling to a country where you don’t yet speak the language. You have a few choices in how you could communicate. You could memorize a few key phrases that you think you’ll need, or get a phrase book that lists these key phrases. Another choice is to try to learn the language, including learning the grammar of the language, and how thoughts are put together into phrases. Learning the language, even at a basic level, will take much more time. However, it will allow you much greater ability to express yourself. If you only know set phrases, then you may know how to ask someone at a bakery for loaf of bread, if the person who wrote the phrase book decided to include that, but not how to ask at a hotel for an extra blanket, if that wasn’t included. By contrast, if you’ve learned the language, you have learned how to form a question, and so you can extrapolate to express a great variety of things.

Point-and-click software is often like using a phrase book for a foreign language—if the person who developed the tool didn’t imagine something that

you need, you're stuck. Scripted software is more like learning a language—you have to learn the rules (grammar) and vocabulary (names of functions and their parameters), but once you do, you can combine them to address a wide variety of tasks, including things no one else has yet thought of.

In the late 1990s, a famous computer scientist named Richard Hamming wrote a book called, “The Art and Science of Engineering”, in which he talks a lot about the process of building things and the role that programming can play in this process. He predicted at the time that by 2020, it will be the experts in a particular field that do programming for that field, rather than experts in computer programming trying to build tools for other fields (Hamming, 1997). He notes:

“What is wanted in the long run, of course, is that the man with the problem does the actual writing of the code with no human interface, as we all too often have these days, between the person who knows the problem and the person who knows the programming language. This date is unfortunately too far off to do much good immediately, but I would think by the year 2020 it would be fairly universal practice for the expert in the field of application to do the actual program preparation rather than have experts in computers (and ignorant in the field of application) do the program preparation.” (Hamming, 1997)

The rise of open-source, scripted programs like Python and R is rapidly helping to achieve this vision—scientists in a variety of fields now write their own small software programs and tools, building on the framework of larger open-source languages. Training programs in many scientific fields recommend or require at least one course in programming in these languages, often taught in conjunction with data analysis and data management.

Another element that has helped make script-based software more accessible is the development of programming languages that are easier to learn and use. Very early programming languages required the programmer to understand a lot about how the computer was built and organized, including thinking about where and how data were stored in the computer's memory. As programming languages have developed, such “low-level” languages have remained in use, as they often allow for unmatched speed in processing. However, “higher-level” programming languages have become more common, and while these might be somewhat slower in computational processing power, they are much faster for humans to learn and to create tools with, as they abstract away many of the details that make low-level programming more difficult.

Because of the development of easier-to-learn high-level programming languages like R and Python, it is possible for a scientist to become proficient in one of these script-based programs in about a year. In our own experience, we have found that often one semester of a dedicated course or serious self-study, followed with several months of regularly applying the software to research data, is enough for a scientist to become productive in using a script-based software like R or Python for research. With another year or so of regular use, scientists can often start making their own small software extensions to

the language. However, in a 2017 article on analyzing scRNA-seq data, the author noted that “relatively few biologists are comfortable working in those environments”, referring to Unix and R (Perkel, 2017), and noted that this was a barrier to using many of the available tools for working with scRNA-seq data at the time.

It is true that this is a substantially larger investment in training than a short course or workshop, which might be adequate for learning the basics of many point-and-click software programs. This can be a critical barrier, especially for scientists who are advanced in their career and may have minimal time for further training. Further, it’s more of a barrier in analyzing some types of biomedical data, due to the extreme size and complexity of the data (Nekrutenko and Taylor, 2012).

However, it is much less of a time investment than it takes to become an expert in a scientific field. It takes years of training to become an expert in cellular biology or immunology, for example. Richard Hamming’s vision was that the experts can ask the best and most creative questions of the data, and that it is best to remove the barrier of a different computer programmer, so that the expert can directly create the program and leverage the full capabilities of the computer. Higher-level programming languages now are accessible enough that this vision is playing out across scientific fields.

Another advantage of script-based software—and one that is related to the idea of experts in a scientific field directly programming—is that often the most cutting edge algorithms and pipelines will be available first in scripted languages, and only later be added into point-and-click software programs. This means that you may have earlier access to new algorithms and approaches if you are comfortable coding in a script-based language.

In some cases, biologists aim to analyze data that represents the cutting edge of equipment and measurement technology, or that is very specialized for a particular field. In these cases, scripted, open-source packages will often be the first place where algorithms working with the data are available. For example, an article about scRNA-seq from 2017 noted that, at the time, there were “very few, if any, ‘plug-and-play’ packages” for working with scRNA-seq data, and of those available, they were “user-friendly but have the drawback that they are to some extent a ‘black box’, with little transparency as to the precise algorithmic details and parameters employed.” (Haque et al., 2017) Similarly, another article in the same year noted that at the time, “most scRNA-seq tools exist as Unix programs or packages in the programming language R”, although “some ready-to-use pipelines have been developed” (Perkel, 2017).

Script-based approaches also encourage the user to learn how the underlying process works. The approach encourages the user to think more like a car owner who gets under the hood from time to time than like one who only drives the car. This approach does take more time to learn and develop, but with the upside that the user will often have a much deeper understanding of what is happening in each step, as well as how to fix or adjust different steps

to fix a pipeline or adapt one pipeline to meet another analysis need.

Another key advantage of script-based software is that, in writing the script, you are thoroughly documenting the steps you took to pre-process the data. When you create a code script, the script itself includes all the steps and details of the process. In combination with information about the version of all software used and the raw data input to the pipeline, it creates a fully reproducible record of the data pre-processing and analysis.

This means both that you will be able to re-do all the steps yourself in the future, if you need to, but that also that other researchers can explore and replicate what you do. You may want to share your process with others in your laboratory group, for example, so they can understand the choices you made and steps you took in pre-processing the data. You may also want to share the process with readers of the articles you publish, and this may in fact be required by the journal. Further, the use of a code script encourages you to document this code and this process, even more so when you move beyond a script and include the code in a reproducible pre-processing protocol. Well-documented code makes it much easier to write up the method section later in manuscripts that leveraged the data collected in the experiment.

By contrast, while you could write down the steps that you took and the buttons you pressed when using point-and-click software, it's very easy to forget to record a step. When you use a code script, it will not run if you forget a step or a detail of that step. Some GUI-based programs are taking steps to try to ameliorate this, allowing a user to save or download a full record that records the steps taken in a given pipeline or allow the user to develop a full, recorded workflow (one example is FlowJo Envoy's workflow model for analyzing data from flow cytometry). As a note, there are some movements towards "integrative frameworks", which can help improve reproducibility for pipelines that span different types of software (Galaxy, Gene Prof) (Nekrutenko and Taylor, 2012).

When you write a script to do a task with data, it is like writing a recipe that can be applied again and again. By writing a script, you encode the process a single time, so you can take the time to check and recheck to make sure that you've encoded the process correctly. This helps in avoiding small errors when you do the pre-processing—if you are punching numbers into a calculator over and over, it's easy to mistype a number or forget a step every now and then, while the code will ensure that the same process is run every time and that it faithfully uses the numbers saved in the data for each step, rather than relying on a person correctly entering each number in the calculation.

Scripts can be used across projects, as well, and so they can ensure consistency in the calculation across projects. If different people do the calculation in the lab for different projects or experiments, and they are doing the calculations by hand, they might each do the calculation slightly differently, even if it's only in small details like how they report rounded numbers. A script will do the exact same thing every time it is applied. You can even share your script with

colleagues at other labs, if you want to ensure that your data pre-processing is comparable for experiments conducted in different research groups, and many scientific journals will allow supplemental material with code used for data pre-processing and analysis, or links within the manuscript to a repository of this code posted online.

There are also gains in efficiency when you use a script. For small pre-processing steps, these might seem small for each experiment, and certainly when you first write the script, it will likely take longer to write and test the script than it would to just do the calculation by hand (even more if you're just starting to learn how to write code scripts). However, since the script can be applied again and again, with very little extra work to apply it to new data, you'll save yourself time in the future, and over a lot of experiments and projects, this can add up. This makes it particularly useful to write scripts for pre-processing tasks that you find yourself doing again and again in the lab.

This is often a gain that fully pays back the investment in learning the software—it can make data pre-processing and analysis much more efficient over the long term. Code scripts often are easier to automate than a workflow through a point-and-click system. For example, if you need to process a number of files that all follow the same format, you can often develop a script using one of those files, check that script very carefully, and then apply it with minimal modifications to each of the files in the full set. This allows you to spend more time on the template script, making sure that it works as you expect, and then apply it quickly, whereas working through multiple files with point-and-click software may essentially boil down to a lot of time spent in repetition. This kind of automation can also help in limiting errors from human mistakes in by-hand processing (Gibb, 2014).

3.2.2 *Open-source versus proprietary software*

The other dimension to consider for software for pre-processing is whether it is open-source or proprietary. Open-source software is software where you can access, explore, and build on all the underlying code for the software. It is also most often free. By contrast, the code that powers proprietary software is typically kept private, so you can use the product but cannot explore the way that it is built or extend it in the same way that you can open-source software. In biomedical research, many script-based languages are open-source, while many point-and-click programs are proprietary. However, this is not a hard and fast rule, and there are examples of open-source point-and-click software (for example, the Inkscape program for vector graphic design) as well as proprietary script-based software (for example, Matlab and SAS). There are advantages and disadvantages to both types of software, but in terms of rigor and reproducibility, open-source software often has the advantage.

One key advantage of open-source software is that all code is open, so you can dig down to figure out exactly how each step of the program works.

Further, in many cases for open-source scientific software, the algorithms and their principles have gone through peer review as part of the academic publication process. With proprietary software, on the other hand, details of algorithms may be considered protected intellectual property, and so it may be hard to find out the details of how the underlying algorithms work (Nekrutenko and Taylor, 2012). Also, the algorithms may not have gone through peer-review, especially if they are considered private intellectual property.

Transparency is a key element of reproducibility (Neff, 2021). As Gordon Lithgow and coauthors note in a commentary on reproducibility, “Improved reproducibility comes from pinning down methods.” (Lithgow et al., 2017) If the algorithms of software can be investigated, then scientists who are using two different programs (for example, one program in Python and one in R) can determine if their choice of program is causing differences in their results. By contrast, if two research groups use two different types of proprietary software, the algorithms that underlie the processing are often kept secret and so cannot be compared. In that case, if the two groups conduct the same experiment and get different results, it’s impossible to rule out whether the difference was caused by the choice of software.

Gordon Lithgow, Monica Driscoll, and Patrick Phillips wrote a commentary for *Nature* describing their experiences in replicating research. They describe the advice they give students who are trying to do an experiment that should work:

“If there is nothing wrong with the reagents and reproducibility is still an issue, then as I like to tell students, there are two options: (1) the physical constants of the universe and hence the laws of physics are in a state of flux in their round-bottomed flask, or (2) the researcher is doing something wrong and either doesn’t know it or doesn’t want to know it. Then I ask them which explanation they think I’m leaning towards.” (Gibb, 2014)

If you get different results from another group, it is critical to have a detailed description of the methods that each group used to figure out why the groups are getting different results. Open-source software provides this at the level of computational analysis, because the openness of the software means anyone can explore the exact details of how each algorithm runs.

Another advantage of open-source software is that older versions of the software are often well-archived and easily available to reinstall and use if needed to reproduce an analysis that was done using an earlier version of the software than the current main version at the time of the replication.

Another advantage is that open-source software is often free. This makes it economical to test out, and it means that trainees from a lab will have no problem continuing to use the software as they move to new positions. The cost with open-source software, then, comes not with the price to buy the software, but with the investment that is required to learn it.

When data are the output of complex laboratory equipment, there will often

be proprietary software that is available for this pre-processing. This software may be created by the same company that made the equipment, or it may be created and sold by other companies. This software might conduct some steps using defaults, and others based on the user's specifications. These are often provided through "GUIs" (graphical user interfaces), where the user does a series of point-and-click steps to process the data. In some software, this series of point-and-click steps is recorded as the user does them, so that these steps can be "re-run" later or on a different dataset.

However, for many types of biological data, including output from equipment like flow cytometers and mass spectrometers, open-source software has been developed that can be used for this pre-processing. Often, the most cutting edge methods for data pre-processing are first available through open-source software packages, if the methods are developed by researchers rather than by the companies, and often many of the algorithms that are made available through the equipment manufacturer's proprietary software are encoded versions of an algorithm first shared by researchers as open-source software.

One facet where proprietary software has an advantage is that it will often have more comprehensive company-based user support than open-source software. The companies that make and sell proprietary software will usually have a user support team to answer questions and help develop pipelines and may also offer training programs or materials.

Some open-source software also has robust user support, although sometimes a bit less organized under a common source. In some cases, this has developed as a result of a large community of users who help each other. Message boards like StackOverflow provides a forum for users to ask and respond to questions. Some companies also exist that provide, as their business model, user support for open-source software. While open-source software is usually free, these companies make money by providing support for that software.

User support is sparser for some of smaller software packages that are developed as extensions of open-source software. For example, many of the packages for pre-processing types of biomedical data are built by small bioinformatics teams or individuals at academic or research institutions. Often this software is developed by a single person or very small team as one part of their job profile, with limited resources for user support and for providing training. These extensions build on larger, more supported open-source software (e.g., R or Python), but the extension itself is built and maintained by a very small team that may not have the capacity to respond quickly to user questions. Many open-source software developers try to create helpful documentation in the form of help files and package vignettes (tutorials on how to use the software they created), but from a practical point of view it is difficult for small open-source developers to provide the same level of user support that a large proprietary software company can.

This is often the case with cutting-edge open-source software for biomedical pre-processing. These just-developed software packages are less likely to be

comprehensively documented than longer-established software. Further, it can take a while for the community of software users to develop once software is available, and while this is a limitation of new software for both open-source and proprietary languages, it can represent more of a problem for open-source software, where there is typically not a company-based helpline and so the community of users often represents one of the main sources for help and troubleshooting.

3.2.3 Discussion questions

3.3 Introduction to scripted data pre-processing in R

This module is meant for researchers who do not yet used code scripts but who are interested in starting or are supervising other researchers who are working with code for biomedical analysis. Our aim in this module is to provide enough information that someone without coding experience can gain some comfort in navigating R code scripts, either to help understand a paper that includes such scripts as part of its supplemental materials or to help understand the work of a trainee who is incorporating code in their research. For researchers who are already using code scripts, we recommend the next module, which provides some advice on steps that can improve reproducibility when writing scripts for biomedical data pre-processing.

In this module, we will provide an introduction to scripted pre-processing of experimental data through R scripts. We will introduce the basic elements of an R code script as well as the basics of creating and running a script. At the end of the module, through a video exercise, we will demonstrate how to create, save, and run an R code script for a simple data pre-processing task.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Describe what an R code script is and how it differs from interactive coding in R
- Explain how code scripts can increase reproducibility of data pre-processing
- Create and save an R script to perform a simple data pre-processing task
- Run an R script
- Work through an example R script using a video exercise

Learning to code can seem daunting, but it's not any more difficult than learning any new language. Many people from a variety of disciplines have learned to code to help with their research. Doing so can pay big dividends in terms of reproducibility and efficiency.

In this section, we'll provide some tips to make it easier as you get started. If you are new to coding, these can give you a framework for how to tackle what can seem the daunting task of learning to code, as well as help you see that there are approachable techniques. If you already know how to code, these tips and guidelines can help in improving that code and give you some new directions in how to code efficiently and reproducibly.

3.3.1 *What is a code script?*

The simplest method of working with R is through something called *interactive coding*. With this style of coding, you enter a single command or function call at the cursor in the console, tell the program to execute that one element of code (for example, by pressing the Return key), and then wait until it executes it before you enter the next command or function call.

A script, on the other hand, is a longer document that gives all the steps in a process. You can think of a code script as being like a script for a play—it's a record of everything that happens over the course of the event. For a play, the script records the dialogue and stage directions for a play, while for a data pre-processing task, it can record all the steps from inputting the data through pre-processing steps and finally saving the data in a processed form for further analysis, visualization, and statistical testing.

You can run the same code whether you're using a script or typing in the commands one at a time in the console as interactive coding. However, when you code interactively at the console, you're not making a record of each of your steps (as a note, there are ways to save the history of commands typed at a console, but it can be very messy to try to use later to reproduce and remember what you did originally, so you should consider commands that are typed at the console to not be recorded for the purposes of reproducibility). When you write your code in a script, on the other hand, you have a record that you can later reopen to see what you did or to repeat the steps. In a very broad way, you can visualize this process as walking in wet sand—you are making a record (footsteps) of the path you took while you are making that path.

A code script is typically written in a plain text document, and you can create, edit, and save code scripts in any interactive development environment (like RStudio if you are programming in R). The program (R for example) can then read and run this script as a “batch” at any time. In other words, it can walk through and execute each piece of code that you recorded in the script, rather than you needing to enter each line of code one at a time in the console. For many programming languages, you can also run the code in a script in smaller sections, executing just one or a few lines at a time to explore what's happening in each line of the code. With this combination of functionality, as well as recording of code for future reference or reproduction, code scripts provide an excellent method for building and using pipelines of code to pre-process biomedical data.

In later sections of this module, we'll walk through the practical steps of writing one of these code scripts. In a video exercise at the end, we'll look at an example script for a simple task in biomedical data pre-processing, calculating the rate of growth of bacteria under different growing conditions. In this exercise, we'll walk you through how to open, run, and explore this script in RStudio.

3.3.2 How code scripts improve reproducibility of pre-processing

In the introduction to this book, we provide the definition for computational reproducibility. Specifically, computational reproducibility typically means that another researcher could get the exact results of the original study from the original data collected from a study (Stark, 2018). Computational reproducibility, then, requires two main things: the original data and very thorough instructions that describe how those data were processed and analyzed (Nekrutenko and Taylor, 2012).

Neither of these elements is trivial to provide in a thorough way for a complex biomedical experiment. Raw datasets are often extremely large and complex. To provide thorough instructions on the processing and analysis requires “access to ... source code or binaries of exact versions of software used to carry out the initial analysis (this includes all helper scripts that are used to convert formats, groom data, and so on) and knowing all parameter settings exactly as they were used” (Nekrutenko and Taylor, 2012).

By using a code script for data pre-processing (and data analysis and visualization), you can often substantially improve the computational reproducibility of your experiment, because the code script itself documents the exact and precise instructions for how the data are processed and analyzed. For example, an R script will include all instructions for how the data were loaded from a file, and will even include the file name where the data are saved, as it must reference this to input the data. Further, it provides a list of all the function calls that were run and the order in which they were run. For each function call, it provides the details on the parameter settings used for that function. Since R is an open-source language, and its packages are largely open-source as well, if you know the version of R and each package used in the script, you can find and read through all the underlying code that defines all the functions used in the script. In other words, the open-source nature of the code means that you can, if you want, dig into the algorithms underlying any step of the process, and so you do not have to consider any step of the script as a “black box”.

In other words, in the course of writing an executable script to pre-process data, you are thoroughly documenting each step that you take in that process, creating one of the key components (clear instructions on how the data were processed and analyzed) that is necessary to make an experiment computationally reproducible. Once you have this script, there are only two other elements that are required to make the experiment fully computationally reproducible: first, the original, raw data, and second, information on the versions of any software you used in the code (this would include the version of R that was used, as well as versions of any R packages that were used to supplement the base R functions).

3.3.3 How to write an R code script

In this section, we'll go through some basics to help you get started writing a code script in R. The process of writing a code script is similar in many other interpreted languages, like Python and Julia. If you are familiar with writing code scripts in R, you may find the next module—where we provide some tips on improving reproducibility when writing scripts—more helpful.

We'll start with a few basics of the conventions of the R programming language. If you have never used R before, it is critical to understand these basic pieces—just enough so you can understand how an R code script is put together and run. In later modules, we'll go into some more detail about some helpful tools in R, including the suite of “tidyverse” tools that are now taught in most beginner R programming courses. We will, of course, not have room to provide a full course on how to program in R, but we are aiming to give you enough of a view that you can understand how R programming can fit into a data pre-processing and analysis pipeline for laboratory-based biomedical research projects, as well as how you can navigate an R script that someone else has written. In module 3.4, we'll provide directions to more resources if you would like to continue developing your expertise in R programming beyond the basics covered in these modules.

What is an R object?

First, you'll need to understand where R keeps data while you're working with it. When you work in R, any piece of data that you work with will be available in something called an “object”. The simplest way to think of this R object is simply as a container for data. Different objects can be structured in different ways, in terms of how they arrange the data—which has implications for how you can access the data from that object—but regardless of this structure, all R objects share the same purpose of storing data in a way that's available to you as you work in R.

One of the first steps in most R scripts, therefore, will be to create some of these objects. Until you have some data available, there's not much interesting stuff that you can do in R. If you want to work with data that are stored in a file—for example, data that you recorded in the laboratory and saved in an Excel file—then you can create an R object with that data by reading in the data using a specific R function (we'll cover these in a minute). This will read the data in R and store it in an object where you can access it later.

To keep track of the objects you have in your R session, you typically assign each object a name. Any time you want to use the data in that object, or work with the object in any way, you can then refer to it by that name, rather than needing to repeat all the code you used to initially create it. You can assign an object its name using a special function in R called the *arrow or assignment operator*. It's an arrow made of the less than and hyphen keys, with no spaces between the two (<-). You'll put the name you want to give the object to the left of this arrow and the code to create the object (for example, to read in

data from a file) to the right. Therefore, the beginning of your R script will often have one or more lines of code that look like this:

```
my_data <- read_excel("my_recorded_data.xlsx")
```

In this example, the line of code is reading in data from an Excel file named “my_recorded_data.xlsx” and storing in an R object that is assigned the name `my_data`. When you want to work with these data later in the code pipeline, you can do so with the name `my_data`, which now stores the data from that file.

In addition to creating objects from the data that you initially read in, you will likely create more intermediate objects along the way. For example, if you take your initial data and filter it to a subset, then you might assign that version of the data to a separate object name, so you can work with that version later in your code. Alternatively, in some cases you’ll just overwrite the original object with the new version, using the same object name (for example, creating a subset of the `my_data` object and assigning it the same name of `my_data`). This reassigned the object name—when you refer to `my_data` from that point on, it will contain the subsetted version. However, in some cases this can be useful because it helps keep the collection of R objects you have in your session a bit smaller and simpler. What’s more, you can make these changes to simplify the version of the data you’re working with in R without worrying about it changing your raw data. Once you read the data in from an outside file, like an Excel file, R will work on a copy of that data, not the original data. You can make as many changes as you want to the data object in R without it changing anything in your raw data.

What are R functions and an R function calls?

The next key component of the R programming language is the idea of R functions and R function calls. These are the parts of R that do things (whereas the objects in R are the “things” that these functions operate on). An R function is a tool that can take one or more R objects as inputs, do something based on those inputs, and return a new R object as the output (occasionally they’ll also have “side effects” beyond returning this R object—for example, some functions will make a plot and show it in the plotting window of RStudio).

The R objects that you input can be ones that you’ve assigned to a name (for example, `my_data`). They can also be simple objects that you make on the fly, just to have to input to that function. For example, if you’re reading in data from a file, one of the R object inputs you’ll need to give the function is the path to that file, which you could either save as an object (e.g., `my_data_filepath <- "my_recorded_data.xlsx"` and then reference `my_data_filepath` when you call the function) or create as an object on the fly when you call the function (e.g., just put `"my_recorded_data.xlsx"` directly in the function call, as shown in the example above).

The function itself is the tool, which encapsulates the code to do something with input objects. When you use that tool, it’s called *calling* the function.

Therefore, all of the lines of code in your script will give *function calls*, where you are asking R to run a specific function (or, in some cases, a linked set of functions) based on specified inputs.

For example, the following function call would read in data from the Excel file “my_recorded_data.xlsx”:

```
read_excel("my_recorded_data.xlsx")
```

This line of code is calling the function `read_excel`, which is a tool for inputting data from an Excel file into an R object with a specific data structure. By running this line of code, either at the console or in an R script, you are asking R to input data from the file named “`my_recorded_data.xlsx`”, which is the R object that you’re giving as an input to the function. This particular call would only read the data in—it won’t assign the resulting object to a name, but instead will just print out the data at the R console.

If you’d like to read the data in and save it in an object to use later, you’ll want to add another function to this call, so that you assign the output object a name. For this, you’ll use the gets arrow that we described earlier. This is a special type of function in R. Most R functions consist of the function’s name, followed by parentheses inside of which you put the objects to input to the function (e.g., `read_excel("my_recorded_dat.xlsx")`). The gets arrow is a different type of function called an *operator*. These functions go between two objects, both of which are input to the operator function. They’re used often for arithmetic (for example, the `+` operator adds the values in the objects before and after it, so that you can call `1 + 2` to add one and two). For the gets arrow, it will go between the name that you want to assign to the object (e.g., `my_data`) and the function call that creates that object (e.g., `read_excel("my_recorded_data.xlsx")`):

```
my_data <- read_excel("my_recorded_data.xlsx")
```

In this case, the line that R will execute will include two functions, where the output of one gets linked straight into the second, and the result will be the output from the second function (that the data in the Excel file is stored in an object assigned the name `my_data`).

As you write an R script, you will use function calls to work through the steps in your pipeline. You can use different function calls to do things like apply a transformation, average values across groups, or reduce dimensions of a high-dimensional dataset. Once you’ve pre-processed the data, you can also use function calls to run statistical tests with the data and to visualize results through figures and tables.

The process of writing a script is normally very iterative—you’ll write the code to do the first few steps (e.g., read in the data), look at what you’ve got, plan out some next steps, try to write some code for those steps, run it and check your output, and so on. The process is very similar to drafting a paper. You can try things out in early steps—and some steps won’t work out at first,

or it will turn out that you don't need them. As you continue, you'll refine the script, editing it down to the essential steps and making sure each function call within those steps is operating as you intend. While it can be intimidating to start with a blank file and develop some code—just like it is with a blank piece of paper when writing a manuscript—just like with writing, you can start with something rough and then iterate until you arrive at the version you want.

This process might seem a bit overwhelming when you first learn it, but it suffices at this point if you understand that, in R code, you'll be working with objects (your materials) and functions (your tools). As we look through R scripts in the video exercise of this module, we'll see these two pieces—objects and functions—used again and again in the scripts. They are the building blocks for your R scripts.

What is an R library?

There's one last component of R that will be helpful to understand as we move through the rest of this module and the next few modules. That's the idea of an R package, and fortunately, it's a pretty straightforward one.

We just talked about how functions in R are tools, which you can use to do interesting things with your data (including all the pre-processing steps we talked about in modules 3.1). However, the version of R that you initially install to your computer (available for free for all major operating systems at <https://cran.r-hub.io/>) doesn't include all the tools that you will likely want to use. The initial download gives you the base of the programming language, which is called *base R*, as well as a few extensions of this for very common tasks, like fitting some common statistical models.

Because R is an open-source software, people who use R can build on top of this simple base. R users can create new functions that combine more rudimentary tools in base R to create customized tools suited to their own tasks. R users can create these tools for their own personal use, and often do, but there is also a mechanism for them to share these new tools with others if they'd like. They can bundle a set of R functions they've created into an *R package* and then post this package on a public repository where others can download it and use the functions in it. In some of the examples in these modules, we'll be using tools from these packages, and it's rare that someone uses R without using at least some of these supplementary packages, so it's good to get an idea of how to get and use them.

The people who make packages can share them in a number of repositories, but the most standard repository for sharing R packages widely is the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN). If a package is shared through CRAN, you can get it using the function `install.packages` along with the package's name. For example, in the code we showed earlier, the `read_excel` function does not come with base R, but instead is part of a package called `readxl`, which is shared on CRAN. To download that package so that you can use its functions, you can run:

```
install.packages("readxl")
```

This will download the code for the package and unpack it in a special part of your computer where R can easily find it. You only need to install a package once, at least until you get a new computer or update your version of base R. However, to use the functions in that package, you'll need to *load* the package in your current R session. This makes the functions in that package available to you as you work in that R session. To do this, you use the `library` function, along with the name of the package. For example, to load the `readxl` package in an R session, you'd need to run:

```
library("readxl")
```

While you only need to install a package once, you need to load it every time you open a new R session to do work, if you want to use its functions in that R session. Therefore, you'll often see a lot of calls to the `library` function in R scripts. You can use this call anywhere in the script as long as you put it before code where you use the library's functions, but it's great to get in the habit of putting all the `library` function calls at the start of your R script. That way, if you share the script with someone else, they can quickly check to see if they'll need to install any new packages before they can run the code in the script.

Creating a script

Based on the points that we've just discussed, hopefully you can envision now that an R script will ultimately include a number of lines of code, covering a number of R function calls that work with data stored in objects. You can expect there to be lots of calls that assign objects their own names (with `<-`), and the function calls will typically include both a function called by name and some objects as input to that function, contained inside parentheses after the function name.

This type of script should be written in plain text, and so the best way to create an R script is by using a text editor. Your computer likely came with a text editor as one of the pieces of utility software that was installed by default. However, with R scripts, it can be easier to use the text editor that comes as part of RStudio. This allows you to open and edit your scripts in a nice environment, one that includes a console area where you can test out pieces of code, a pane for viewing figures, and so on.

In RStudio, you can create a new R script by going to the “File” menu at the top of the screen, choosing “New File” and then choosing “R Script”. This will open a new plain text file that, by default, will have the file extension “.R” (e.g., “my_file.R”), which is the standard file extension for R scripts. Once you've created an R script file, you can begin writing your script. In the next section, we'll walk through how you can run code that you've put into your script. However, we think it's worth mentioning that, as you get started on this process, you might find it easiest to start not by writing your own R script from

scratch, but instead by starting with someone else's and walking through that. You can explore how it works (reverse engineer it). Then you can try changing small parts, to see if it acts as you expect when you do. This process will help you get a feel for how these scripts are organized and how they operate. In the video exercise for this module, we'll provide an R script for a basic laboratory data pre-processing task and walk you through it, so you can use that as a starting point to understand how it would work to create, edit, and run your own R script.

3.3.4 How to run code in an R script

Once you've written code in an R script, you can run (execute) that code in a number of ways. First, you can run all the code in the script at once, which is known as *batch execution*. When you do this, all the code in the script will be executed by R, and while it's executed by R one line at a time, you won't have the chance to make changes along the way. If you compare it to the idea of a code script to a play script, you can think of this as being like when the play is performed for an audience—once you start the play, you don't have the chance to stop and work on it as it's going. Instead, it will go straight through to the end. If there is an error somewhere along the way, then the code will stop running at that point and you'll get an error message, but otherwise when you run the code as a batch, R won't stop executing the lines until it gets to the end. This mode of running the code is great for once you've developed a pipeline that you're happy with—it quickly runs everything and provides the output.

The other way that you can execute the code is by running a single line, or a small set of lines, of the code at a time. In the play analogy, this is similar to what might happen during rehearsals, when you go through part of the play script and then stop to get comments from the director, then either re-try that part with a few changes or move on to the next small part. This mode of running the code is great for when you're developing the pipeline. Just like with a play's rehearsals, you'll want a lot of chances to explore and change things as you develop the final product, and this mode of running code is excellent for exploration and editing. Often, most of your time when you code will be spent doing this style of code execution. Running in batch mode will get a lot of work done, but is very quick for the programmer—developing the code is what takes time, and just like with writing a manuscript, this time comes from drafting a rough draft and then editing it until you arrive at a clean and clear final version.

Both of these methods of code execution are easy to do in RStudio. Since you'll usually start by using line-by-line execution, we'll start with showing how you can do that. In RStudio, you can open your code script (a file ending in ".R"), and you will still be able to see the console, which is a space for submitting function calls to R. To execute the code in the script one line at a time, there's a few quick ways that you can tell RStudio to send that line in the script

to the console and run it. Start by putting your cursor on that line of code. One way to now execute this line (i.e., send it to the console to run) is to click on the “Run” button in the top right-hand corner of the script file. If you try this, you should see that this line of code gets sent to the console pane of RStudio, and the results from running that line are shown in the console.

Even quicker is a keyboard shortcut that does the same thing. (Keyboard shortcuts are short control sequences that you type in your keyboard to run a command. They’re faster than clicking buttons because you can do them without taking your hands off the keyboard. Ctrl-C is one very common one that you might have used before, which in most programs will copy the current selection.) For running a line of R code, with your cursor on the line of the function call that you want to execute, use the keyboard shortcut Ctrl-Return (depending on your operating system, you may need to use Command rather than Ctrl).

You can use a similar method to run a few lines of code at once. All you have to do is highlight the code that you want to run, and then you can use either of the two methods (click the “Run” button or use the Ctrl-Return keyboard shortcut). We will show you examples of how to do this in the video exercise at the end of this module.

To execute an R script in batch mode, there are again a could of ways you can do it. First, there is a “Source” button in the top right of the R script file when you open it in RStudio. You can click on this button and it will run the entire script as a batch. There is also an R command that you can use to source a file based on its file name, `source`. If you have a file in your working directory named “`my_pipeline.R`”, for example, you can execute the code in it in a batch by running `‘source(“my_pipeline.R”)’`.

To get started, it’s probably easiest to just use the buttons “Run” and “Source” that RStudio provides in the window for the R script file. As you do more work, you may find some of these other methods help you work faster, or allow you to do more interesting things, so it’s good to know they’re there, but you don’t need to try to navigate them all as you learn how to run code in an R script.

3.3.5 Exercise

As we mentioned earlier, it can be helpful as you learn how to navigate R scripts to start by dissecting and exploring an existing script. In the video that is embedded here, we give an example of that using a script that captures an example given in a previous module. We will walk through how this script captures some of the elements discussed in this module.

[Resources for exercise]

[Video of exercise]

3.4 *Tips for improving reproducibility when writing R scripts*

This module is meant for researchers who are using R already as part of their research. It is meant as a complement and alternative to the previous script, which focused on readers who are new to creating code scripts.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Improve the reproducibility of their scripts by leveraging tips meant for researchers who are already incorporating scripts in their research

Some biomedical researchers have already worked quite a bit with a programming language like R, either in a role that is primarily computational, or as a way to understand data they've collected themselves from the wet lab. While the last module focused on scientists who are new to coding, to help give them an entry point into how to write and run a code script in R, this module focuses on a different audience—scientists who are familiar with coding but would like to take steps to improve their practice.

We have worked with a number of scientists in this situation. This module provides a series of tips for how they can improve their coding practice to make it more rigorous and reproducible. These are tips based on our own experiences of the things that—in real and regular practice—get in the way of code being rigorous and reproducible.

We'll provide advice in three main areas:

- Write code for computers, but edit it for humans
- Modify rather than start from scratch
- Do not repeat yourself

3.4.1 *Write code for computers, but edit it for humans*

A key requirement for a project to be computationally reproducible is that any of the code used for pre-processing or analysis in that project is available. However, even when code for a project is available, it can be hard to understand and reproduce the analysis. One common culprit is that the code isn't written for humans to read. One way to improve the reproducibility of your code, therefore, is to make sure you edit it so that it's clear for humans, not just computers.

During World War I and World War II, the British and US used a special type of camouflage on some of their ships called “dazzle camouflage”. This type of camouflage uses large geometric shapes, often in black and white, and it makes the ships look a bit like zebras. Unlike other types of camouflage, this type doesn't conceal the ship—it's still very clear that it's there. However, to be able to hit a ship at sea, people needed to know not only where it was, but also where it was going. This is because the ship will move between the time that a ballistic is fired and when it lands, and so people needed to calibrate to

aim where the ship would be by the time the ballistic got to it. Dazzle camouflage makes it much harder to make out where the ship is headed.

Often, people will write code for research projects that looks like it's using dazzle camouflage. It's easy to see that there's something there when you look at the code script, but it's very hard to figure out what it's doing or where it's trying to go. In other words, it's hard for a human to quickly digest. This type of code will be hard for others to figure out, and also it will be hard for you to figure out when you come back to the code in the future.

The best way to avoid this type of code is to get into the practice of editing your code. When you first write code, you don't want to write it slowly and carefully—rather, you'll usually be best at figuring out how to get something to work if you get in the flow and get down some code without worrying about how legible it is to humans.

This is fine, but get in the habit of thinking of this as just the first step: in your initial coding, you're getting the code to work for the computer, but later you will need to go back and clean up the code so it's clear for humans, too. Editing the code will make it easier to understand (both by others and by you) and will also make the code easier to maintain and extend in the future.

This idea is similar to writing. Many writing experts recommend that you consider your writing process as having several stages. First, you want to write in a drafting process, where you get your ideas on paper but without editing yourself much. This is a stage of getting the ideas out. In a separate stage, you can edit, and at this stage you want to have your audience clearly in mind, editing to make the writing clear for them. By separating this, you can use your mind in a more creative, less constrained way as you create ideas, and then in a more critical way as you refine those ideas for your audience.

While this practice is familiar to many writers, it's less well known to scientists who are also coders. If you don't already, try incorporating editing stages as you develop your code. It is most helpful to take time to edit code if you're still within a day or two of writing it, so it's helpful to work in this editing stage fairly frequently. Since it often requires less energy and brain power than the initial stage (getting the code to work with the computer), it can be helpful to incorporate editing time at times in your day when your energy is otherwise low. For example, taking ten or fifteen minutes to edit existing code can be a good way to start coding for the day, before you get to the heavier lifting of writing new code.

As you edit your code, there are a few specific things that you can do to make it clearer for humans to read. Some editing steps that we will cover in this module are:

- Improve the names you're using within the code
- Break up monolithic code
- Add useful comments
- Remove dead-end code

Let's take a closer look at how you can do each of these steps.

Improve names within the code

One important step when editing your code is to use better names for things like data objects and columns within dataframes. When you're coding quickly, you might often use "placeholder" types of names for objects. For example, a coder might tend to name objects "df" (for "dataframe") or "ex" (for "example") as they're first getting the code to work.

There's no problem in using these types of generic names as you initially develop your code. In fact, there's a rich history of these placeholder object names. They even have a fancy name, *metasyntactic variables*. Different coding languages have developed different ones that are popular, as have coders in different countries. For example, many C programmers will name things "foo" and "bar" as they initially work on their code, while Italians often use the Italian words for different Disney characters ("pippo", "pluto", "paperino").

The problem isn't in using these placeholder names; the problem is when you don't later edit your code to use better names. These generic types of names will tell you nothing about what's stored in each object when you go back and read the code later. With better names for each object, you can read through the code and in some ways it will document itself, without even needing to read the code comments to figure out what's going on.

There is a style guide that is focused on the tidyverse approach available at <https://style.tidyverse.org/syntax.html>. It includes guidance on how to select good names for objects in R within its section on "Syntax". Generally, some good principles include that the name of the object should give you an idea of what's contained in the object. For example, if you have a dataframe that has the weights of mice from your experiment, it's much better to name it "mouse_weights" rather than something generic like "foo". Some of the other guidance will help make your life easier as a coder, including things like using only lowercase letters.

You can also edit the names of columns within dataframes, which can help improve the clarity of your code, especially since code will often reference specific columns by name. Similar principles apply to column names: ideally, you want their names to describe what they contain. There are also some rules that will make it easier to work with the column names. For example, column names can include spaces, but if they do, it makes using them within R harder. Each time you refer to that column name, you have to surround the name in backticks so R will process its full name as a single name, rather than thinking its name ends at the first space. This becomes a pain as you write a lot of code that refers to that column. It's also helpful to keep column names fairly short, so you can see the full name as you work with the dataset and resulting output.

When it comes to column names, some of your editing might be to improve names that you quickly wrote yourself as you coded. However, a common reason for ungainly column names is that you've read in data from a file format like Excel, where it was easy for the person who entered the data to include

spaces and special characters in the column names. In this case, there are some tools in R that can help you quickly improve the column names. In particular, the `janitor` package has a function called `clean_names` that will do a lot of the work for you, including converting the name to lowercase, removing special characters (like “*” and “&”), and replacing spaces with underscores. If you need to make more targeted changes to column names, you can do so using the `rename` function from the `dplyr` package.

Break up monolithic code

The next thing you can do to edit your code is to break up “monolithic” code—that is, code that isn’t clearly divided to show sections or steps in the process. When you’re first creating your code, you won’t want to take the time to nicely organize it into logical sections. However, once you are ready to edit your code, you will find that breaking into clear sections and labeling them will help you and others navigate the code first at a higher level (understanding the big picture of how it works by looking at the major steps it takes) and only diving into the details of each section once the big picture is clear.

Again, this process mimics a process used by many writers. It’s common to create drafts and notes that lack clear organization, but instead are just collecting the raw material that will be shaped into a final article or book. However, this raw material then needs to be organized and edited to make it into something that others can navigate and make sense of. The writer Robert Caro famously wrote massive books about political figures like Robert Moses and Lyndon Johnson. To wrangle all his research into books, he noted that, “I can’t start writing until I’ve thought it through and can see it whole in my mind.”

In a similar way, once you’ve gotten code to work, you should make sure you have a clear picture of the whole process and how it tackles the problem at a “big picture” level. One of these steps might be something like reading in and cleaning the data, while another step might be identifying and addressing outliers in the data. Once you’ve identified the big steps, try as much as possible to group the code into these big steps, then you can use code comments and blank lines in your code to separate these sections and label them to describe what they’re doing.

As you do this, you might find that you move some of your code around in the script, which is fine as long as it doesn’t affect the computer being able to process the script. For example, one of your big steps might be loading in packages you’ll need. Rather than having a lot of library calls sprinkled throughout your code, you can group these all together at the start of your script in a section called something like “Loading packages”. This will clean up these calls from other parts of your script; also, by having all your library calls at the start of the code script, another person could immediately see which packages they’ll need to have installed to run your code.

Another way that you can break up monolithic code is to split it into more lines. R will process the code whether it’s all on one line or split into separate

lines: R just keeps reading until it gets to the end of the function call either way. This means that you can use the “Return” key to break up your code lines so you’re always able to see the full line of code without scrolling.

One common standard is to keep all of your lines of code to 80 characters or fewer. RStudio has the functionality to reformat your code to meet this standard. In the RStudio menus, if you go to the “Code” menu, you can select to “Reformat Code”. This can help clean up long lines of code in your editing process.

Add useful comments

As you are breaking up monolithic code, it can also be helpful to use code comments to add notes about why you are doing certain things. In R, you can add a code comment anytime after a # on a line; R won’t read anything that comes after that symbol on a line. You can use this to add small messages for humans that describes your code.

As you add these comments, keep in mind that it’s often more useful to describe why you’re doing something rather than what you’re doing. With a lot of R code—especially in the tidyverse approach—the functions often have names that clearly describe what they do. For example, the function that you use to rename a column is called `rename`, while the function that you use to select certain columns is called `select`. Therefore, your code should do a fairly good job of self-documenting in terms of describing what it’s doing.

Instead, you can use code comments to remind yourself or others of why you’re implementing certain steps. For example, rather than having a code comment that says “Rename columns”, you could say, “The columns that come from the Excel file generated by the cytometer include a lot of special characters, which we need to remove to make it easier to work with the data in R.” By explaining why you’re doing something, you’ll also help yourself when it’s time to maintain or extend your code. You’ll be able to tell, for example, whether changing or deleting a certain line of code will cause a big problem in other areas of code.

Remove dead-end code

Another useful step when you edit your code is to edit out pieces we’ll call “dead-end code”. These are pieces of code that aren’t contributing to the process of your script.

There are two main types of this dead-end code that we often see. First, there’s code that you use during your interactive coding process to check on things. For example, you might use the `View` function to take a look at a data frame at a certain step in your process, or use functions like `summary` and `str` to explore what’s in different objects.

It’s great to do this kind of exploration as you code; in fact, one of the advantages of interactive software like R is that you can explore as you develop your scripts. However, these are tools that help you develop a script, but not ones that are necessary for the final script to run. Instead, they just gunk up the code that’s doing the real work.

There are two things you can do regarding this type of dead-end code. The first is that you can get in the habit of running it in your console, rather than having it in your script, even when you're developing the code. However, this does require switching between the console and the script as you write code, which can interrupt your flow. An alternative is to run these in a script as you write the code, but then delete any of these exploratory calls as you edit your script.

There's also a second type of dead-end code. This is code that you wrote to try out to solve a particular problem, but that ultimately didn't work (or that you replaced with a better approach). Often, you may have worked a long time on that piece of code, or it might contain some really clever approach that you're proud of. However, leaving it in your script, if it isn't contributing to the ultimate process you ended up with, will only get in the way of understanding your primary code. It will lead a reader down a rabbit hole, rather than allowing them to move step by step through your logic.

In writing, there are similarly areas that aren't contributing to the forward movement of a piece but that authors are reluctant to remove because they love them for one reason or another. This has resulted in the famous advice to authors (from Stephen King, among others) to "murder your darlings". In other words, be brave enough to edit out anything that isn't contributing to the necessary progress of your piece. Coders should take this advice in a similar way when it comes to pieces of code in their scripts that don't ultimately contribute to the pipeline they've developed.

3.4.2 *Modify rather than start from scratch*

How do you get started on solving a problem? Science and engineering have long traditions of starting by modifying something that exists, rather than starting from scratch. For example, once it was clear how important penicillin would be for human health, the hard work of producing it at scale. Guru Madhavan of the National Academy of Engineering tells this story in his book *Applied Minds: How Engineers Think*, focusing on the critical role of adapting existing technology to get a foothold on the problem:

"Extracting penicillin from the mold was no child's play... Instead of designing and building a reactor for the chemical reactions from scratch—which meant more time, money, and uncertainty—[Margaret] Hutchinson opted for something that was already functional. Some researchers had found that mold from cantaloupe could be an effective source for penicillin, so she started there. Her team then revised a fermentation process that Pfizer was using to produce food additives like citric acid and gluconic acid from sugars, with the help of microbes. Hutchinson swiftly helped convert a run-down Brooklyn ice factory into a production facility. The deep-tank fermentation process produced great quantities of mold by mixing sugar, salt, milk, minerals, and fodder through a chemical separation process that Hutchinson knew very well from the refinery business." (Madhavan, 2015)

Similarly, as you code, keep in mind that you shouldn't reinvent the wheel.

To find some starting scripts to learn from, there are a few tactics you can try. First, check around with colleagues to see if they have R code for data pre-processing tasks that they do in their lab. If they work with similar types of data, and use R, they're likely to have come up with some scripts that achieve tasks you also need to do.

Another excellent source of example R code are the vignettes and examples that come with many R packages. If you are using functions from an R package, then there is likely a vignette that comes with that package, and there may also be examples within the helpfiles for each of the package's functions. A package vignette is a tutorial that walks you through the major functionality of the package, showing how to use the key functions in the package in an extended example. Some packages will have multiple vignettes, showing a range of things that you can do with the package.

To find out if there is a vignette for a package that you're using, you can google the package name and "vignette". You can also find out from the console in R using the function `vignette`. For example, to find out if the package `readxl`, which helps read in data from Excel files, has any vignettes, you can run `vignette(package = "readxl")`. This will tell you that the package has two, one called "cell-and-column-types" and one called "sheet-geometry". To open one of these, you can again use the `vignette` function. For example, `vignette("cell-and-column-types", package = "readxl")` would open the first of the two vignettes within your R session.

To open the helpfile for any function in R, at the console type a question mark and then the function name. For example, `?read_excel` will open the helpfile for the `read_excel` function (you will need to make sure you've run `library("readxl")` to load the package with this function). The helpfile provides useful information for running the function, and one of the most useful parts is the "Examples" section. Scroll down to the bottom of the helpfile to find this section. It includes several examples that you can copy into your R script or console and try yourself, to figure out the types of inputs that the function needs and how different options for the function modify how it works.

Online resources like StackOverflow also provide advice and example code for many challenges you might come up against as you're coding. Google can also be used to help you solve coding problems, especially if you become familiar with some of its special operators, which can help you refine your search. You can find more on Google special operators at <https://support.google.com/websearch/answer/2466433?hl=en>.

There's no problem with using any of these as starting points as you develop your own code. However, it's often tempting for a coder to leave example code "as-is" if they've found an example solution that works. Instead, it's critical that you make sure you fully understand why each line of code in your script works the way it does. Further, if you're adapting example code to your own problem, you should edit it if possible to use the set of tools you're most familiar with. In this section, we'll go through some steps you should take to

start from example code and adapt it to your own pipeline in a way that will be more rigorous and reproducible.

When you find a piece of example code that you think will help with something you need to do in your own code, you'll first want to make sure that you can get it to work with any example data it came with, before you try it out with your own data. If it won't run with its own data, there are a few troubleshooting steps you can take. First, make sure you have all the required packages installed and loaded. Second, make sure that you've saved the example data to the right place on your computer if the example code reads in data from a file. Finally, make sure that you have the same versions of packages and of R. If the code still doesn't work after you've resolved these issues, you may want to move on to finding other example code.

Once you've gotten the code to run on the example data, walk through it line by line to understand what it does. For each step, make sure you understand what the input looks like and what the output looks like. If code is nested (function calls are placed within function calls), be sure that you understand the code at each level of nesting. If the code uses piping to move the output of one call to the input of the next, make sure you've worked through each of the lines in the pipe individually.

There are two tools that can help as you dissect the code in this way. First, when you work in R studio, you can highlight code in a script and then use the "Run" button to run only that code. This functionality allows you to run a nested function call without running the whole line of code, or to run only part of a series of piped calls (by highlighting everything up to the piping symbol on a line and then running it). The other tool that's useful is a function in the `dplyr` package called `pull`. This function allows you to extract a column from a dataframe as a vector. This is helpful when you're dissecting nested calls in piped code, as often a function will operate on a single column of the dataframe. This function allows you to pull out that column and then test the function call to see what it's doing with that column.

Once you figure out what the example code does on the data it comes with, you can adapt it to work with your own data. As you do, pay close attention to how your data are similar or different to the example data. At this stage, your goal will be to get the example code to work with your data.

Many researchers stop at this step—they've gotten example code to work with their own data (and hopefully worked through it to understand why). However, example code often follows a very different style than code you write yourself. For example, you may use the tidyverse approach, while the example might use code written in a base R style. Further, different coders think about and tackle problems in different ways, which can lead to the case where any example code that you've adapted in your script feels different from your usual code.

This can result in spots of your code that you will later be very worried to change, because while it works, you don't understand why well enough to feel

comfortable making any change. This can make your code fragile and hard to maintain. Instead, take a moment to adapt the logic that you've learned from the example to use your own set of tools. For example, if the example code is written in base R but you prefer tidyverse tools, rewrite the code's logic to use tidyverse tools.

You gain several advantages when you adapt code to use the tools you're familiar with. For example, bugs will be less likely, and if there are bugs, you'll catch them more quickly, since you're familiar with the tools that the code is using. The code will also be much easier for you to understand and maintain in the future if it's written using tools that you know well.

3.4.3 *Do not repeat yourself*

As you become more familiar with programming with R, you can start to evolve your style of writing scripts in more advanced ways. A key one is to learn how to limit how often you repeat the same code. As you write data pre-processing pipelines, you'll find that you often need to do the same thing, or variations on the same thing, over and over. For example, you may need to read in and clean several files of the same type and structure. You will likely, at first at least, find yourself copying and pasting the same code to several parts of your script, with only minor changes to that code (e.g., changing the R object that you input each time).

Don't worry too much about this as you start to learn how to write R scripts. This is a normal part of the drafting process. However, as you get better at using R, you'll want to learn techniques that can help you avoid this repetition.

There are a few reasons that you'll want to avoid repetition in your code when possible. First, these repeated copies of the same or similar code will make your code script much longer and harder to figure out later. Second, it is hard to keep these copies of code in sync with each other. For example, if you have several copies of the code you use to check for outliers in your data, and you decide you want to change how you are doing that, you'll need to find every copy of the code in your script and make sure you make the same change in each place. Instead, if you have less repetition in your code, then you can make the change in a single place and ensure that the change will be in place everywhere you are doing that process.

There are a few tools that are useful to develop to help avoid repetition. The first is to learn how to write your own R functions. Any R user can write a new function. You can write them in packages that you plan to share with others, but you can also just write them for your personal use. When you wrap a function, it encapsulates the code for something that you need to do, and it allows you to do that thing anywhere else in your code just by calling that new function, rather than copying all the lines of the original code. This is an excellent way to write the code you need to use often in one place, rather than

copying and pasting the same code throughout your R script.

Since you need to run the code that defines the function before you use it, it often makes sense to write the code that creates these functions near the top of your code script. If you find that you've written a lot of functions, or that you've written functions that you'd like to use in more than one of your data pre-processing scripts, you can even save the code that creates the functions in a separate R script and just source that separate script at the top of each script that uses the function, using the `source` call. "Sourcing" a file in this way simply runs all the code in the file. Eventually, you could even think of creating your own package with those functions.

There is one other excellent set of tool for avoiding repetition that we want to mention. Again, it is likely more complex than what you'll want to start off with as you learn to write R scripts, but once you are comfortable with the basics, it's a powerful tool for creating code scripts that are as short and simple as possible while doing very powerful things. This set of tools all focus on iteration. They include `for` loops, which allow you to step through elements in a data structure and apply the same code to each. They also include a set of tools in the `purrr` library that allow you to apply the same code, through a function, to each element in a larger data structure. These are excellent tools when you are doing something like reading in a lot of similar files and combining them into a single R object for pre-processing.

We will not go into details about how to write R functions or these iteration tools in these modules, as our aim here is to get you started and give you an overview of where you might want to go next. If you do want to learn to write your own R functions, there's a chapter describing the process in the free online book "R for Data Science" with guidance on this topic (<https://r4ds.had.co.nz/functions.html>). If you'd like to learn more about tools for iteration, the same book also has a chapter on that (<https://r4ds.had.co.nz/iteration.html>).

3.5 *Simplify scripted pre-processing through R's 'tidyverse' tools*

The R programming language now includes a collection of 'tidyverse' extension packages that enable user-friendly yet powerful work with experimental data, including pre-processing and exploratory visualizations. The principle behind the 'tidyverse' is that a collection of simple, general tools can be joined together to solve complex problems, as long as a consistent format is used for the input and output of each tool (the 'tidy' data format taught in other modules).

Once data are in the "tidy" data format, you can create a pipeline of code that uses small tools, each of which does one simple thing, to work with the data. This work can include cleaning the data, adding values that are functions of the original values for each observation (e.g., adding a column with BMI based on values for each observation on height and weight), applying statistical models to test hypotheses, summarizing data to create tables, and visualizing the data.

In this module, we will explain why this ‘tidyverse’ system is so powerful and how it can be leveraged within biomedical research, especially for reproducibly pre-processing experimental data.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Define R’s ‘tidyverse’ system
- Explain how the ‘tidyverse’ collection of packages can be both user-friendly and powerful in solving many complex tasks with data
- Describe the difference between base R and R’s ‘tidyverse’.

[Anecdote: favorite tools: math chalk, Blackwing pencils, Happy Hacker keyboard]

“Similar to early many, beginner makers start with a rudimentary set of tools for basic creative tasks: a hammer (of course), a set of screwdrivers, scissors, some pliers, maybe a crescent wrench, and some kind of cutting device. Almost everyone who has strived to make things has some combination of this list. Then, as we get more experienced, we seek out better versions of the tools we already have as well as new tools that can facilitate the learning of new techniques—new ways of cutting things apart, and new ways of putting them back together.”
(Savage, 2020)

“Once we start to expand past the basic complement of tools, what to add to our collections becomes a multifactor calculus based on reliability, cost, space, time, repairability, skill, and need. These choices are nontrivial, because the tools we use are extensions of our hands and our minds. The best tools ‘wear in’ to fit you based on how you use them, they get smooth where you grab them. They tell the story of their utility with their patina of use. A toolbox of tools you know well and use lovingly is a magnificent thing.” (Savage, 2020)

“The reality is that tool choice is both less important and more important than you think it is. It is less important to the extent that tool usage is entirely subjective, which means there is no one right way to do things. But it is more important, because the best tool for any job is the one you’re most comfortable with, the one that you can make do what you want it to do, whose movements you fully understand.” (Savage, 2020)

The best thing that you can do to smooth the path as you learn a coding language is to start by finding a few general purpose tools and learning to use them really well. If you ask most good programmers, you will find that a large amount of their code relies on a fairly small set of general-use tools, with more specialized tools only used here and there, where a specific algorithm is necessary.

As you learn to code, then, a good strategy is to start collecting “tools” for your toolbox in R—functions that you have learned to use very well and that you understand thoroughly. This will make you proficient in R more quickly, and it will also limit the chance of bugs and errors in your code, making your data work more robust and rigorous. When you first start out, though, it is hard to know which tools are the most important to add early and learn

well. In this section, we'll cover some tools that we have found helpful for pre-processing biological data. These are not exhaustive, but may help you to identify some sets of tools to focus on learning well for data pre-processing and analysis of biological data.

Some key tools for pre-processing laboratory data are:

- Tools for data input
- Tools for changing columns or creating new columns
- Tools for working with character strings
- Tools for working with dates and times
- Tools for statistical modeling

We will concentrate on tools that are drawn from a collection of tools called the “tidyverse”. The “tidyverse” approach is an approach to using R that has grown enormously in popularity in recent years. Most R courses and workshops for beginning programmers are now structured around this approach. It provides a powerful yet flexible approach for working with data in R, and it one of the easier ways to start learning R. In a previous module (module 2.3) we described the tidyverse approach in conjunction with talking about the power of the tidy data format. In this module, we'll go deeper into specific tools under this approach that can be used for common data pre-processing tasks when working with biomedical data, as well as provide information on more resources that can be used to continue learning this approach.

The tidyverse functions do not come with base R, but rather are available through extensions to base R, commonly referred to as “packages”. Like base R, these are all open-source and free. Many are available through a repository called CRAN, and you can download them directly from R using the `install.packages` function.

The heart of the tidyverse functions are available through an umbrella package called “tidyverse”. This package includes a number of key tidyverse packages (e.g., “dplyr”, “tidyr”, “stringr”, “forcats”, “ggplot2”) and allows you to quickly install this set of packages on your computer. When you are coding in R, you will then need to load the package in your R session, which you can do using the `library` call (e.g., `library("tidyverse")`).

In addition to the packages that come with the umbrella “tidyverse” package, there are numerous other packages that build on the tidyverse approach. Some are created by the creator of the tidyverse approach (Hadley Wickham) or others on his team, while others are created by other R programmers but follow the standards of the tidyverse approach. An example of one of these extensions that is specifically created for working with biomedical data is the `tidybulk` package (Mangiola et al., 2021), for working with transcriptomics data.

3.5.1 Tools for data input

To be able to work with data in R, you first must load the data into your R session. Data will typically be saved in some type of file or files, and so you must instruct R about how to find that data and then read it from the file into the R session.

There are several key tidyverse tools for inputting data from a file. The most important is a package in the tidyverse called, `readr`. This package allows you to read data from plain text files. Data are often stored in these plain text files, including in formats like CSV (“comma-separated values”), tab-separated values, and fixed width files. These are all files that you can open on your computer with a text editor (for example, Notepad, Wordpad, orTextEdit).

The `readr` package includes various functions to read in data from these types of files, with different functions for different formats of those files. For example, CSV files separate different pieces of data in the file with commas, and these can be read into R with the `readr` function `read_csv`.

Some equipment in the laboratory may allow you to save results in a plain text format. When you export your data from laboratory equipment, you can check to see if there is an option to outfile it to a format like “CSV” or “txt”, which would allow you to use these `readr` functions to then read the data into R.

There are other packages in the tidyverse that allow you to read in data from other types of file formats. For example, you may have data that you recorded into an Excel spreadsheet. Excel files are a bit more complex in their structure than plain text files, and the functions that read plain text files into R will not work for Excel files. Instead, there are a series of functions in a package called `readxl` that you can use to read in data from Excel files into R. These functions even allow you to specify which sheet of an Excel file to read data from, as well as which cells on that sheet, so they allow for very fine control of data input from an Excel spreadsheet.

In some cases, you may be collecting data with laboratory equipment that does not export its data to a standard format, like a plain text file or a basic spreadsheet file. Instead, some equipment will save data into a file format that has been standardized for a certain type of data (e.g., an `mzML` file for metabolomics data) or to a file type that is proprietary to the company that manufactures the equipment. There is a chance that someone has created an R package that can input data from these more specialized types of files. In fact, for common file types from biomedical research, that chance is high (for example, there are several packages available with functions that input data from an `mzML` file). One of the best ways to find an appropriate tool to input data from more specialized formats is by searching Google for “R data input” and then the name of the file format. If you use that file format often in your laboratory, it is worth some research to determine which R package is a good fit for inputting data from that file format and then working through vignettes

and other helpfiles for that package to learn how to use it well.

You can learn more about the `readr` and `readxl` packages through their vignettes, which provide tutorials walking through the functionality of each package. You can find those at:

- `readr`: <https://readr.tidyverse.org/>
- `readxl`: <https://readxl.tidyverse.org/>

3.5.2 Tools for changing or creating columns

There are many pre-processing tasks that require creating columns that are mathematical functions of existing columns. Therefore, you'll want to have some tools for changing existing columns or making new column.

One example is when you are scaling or normalizing data. Scaling is often required before using some of the techniques for dimension reduction (e.g., principal components analysis) or clustering, to ensure that the unit of measurement of each column does not influence its weight in later analysis. For example, if you were clustering observations using measurements for each subject that included their weight, you don't want to get different results depending on whether their weight is measured in grams versus pounds, and this type of scaling can help avoid any of those differences based on the units used for measurements.

There are a range of ways to standardize and normalize different types of biomedical data, ranging from very simple to much more complex. At the simpler end is a method called z-score normalization, where the observations for each feature or column are changed to have an overall mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1. This can be done by taking each value in a column and subtracting from it the column-wide mean, then dividing by the standard deviation. There are also more complex methods for scaling and normalization. All similarly require mathematical algorithms or functions to be applied to the original data to create a new column of data that is the scaled or normalized version of the original.

In R, there are functions that come with the base installation of R (in other words, don't require installing extra packages) that can be used for more basic processes of standardization and normalization. For example, the `scale` function can be used for the basic scaling described in the previous paragraph. You can also directly use math functions (like `-` for subtraction and `/` for division) and very basic functions (like `mean` to calculate the mean of a vector of numbers and `sd` to calculate the standard deviation) to make these types of calculations from scratch. To apply these, though, you'll need to know functions that work with columns in a `dataframe`.

The `dplyr` package is a key package to learn from the `tidyverse`, as it forms the heart of the tools for cleaning and exploring data that are stored in tidy `dataframes`. The package includes not only functions for making changes to a single column (e.g., the `mutate` function), but also functions that can be used to

perform the same calculation across many columns (e.g., the `across` function). This is an efficient way to do something like scale the data in multiple columns at once.

These functions can also be used for basic cleaning operations in a dataframe. For example, data that are recorded for colony-forming units may include “TNTC” in cells of the spreadsheet where so many bacteria had grown that the individual colonies were “too numerous to count”. When you read in the data, you may want to change these values to missing values so that you can run numerical calculations on the cells that include colony counts. This type of conversion can easily be done using functions from the `dplyr` package. They are also critical for performing processes like scaling / normalization—the `mutate` function, for example, can be used to create a new column of scaled data by applying a scaling function to an existing column.

You can learn more about the `dplyr` package through its vignette, which is available at: <https://dplyr.tidyverse.org/>.

3.5.3 Tools for working with character strings

Once you have learned the basic tools for inputting data, as well as basic manipulations on columns with the `dplyr` tools, you should take some time to learn a few other tools that can often be used to make your coding pipelines much more efficient. One of these is to learn how to work well with character strings.

Character strings are strings of alphanumerical symbols that are stored inside quotation marks, like “Mouse-01” or “Control group”. Several tidyverse packages help you work with this type of data more efficiently, either through finding and using regular patterns in the data (e.g., the number “01” stored in “Mouse-01”) or in treating these data as a marker of a set number of groups (e.g., “Control group” versus “Treated group”). These tools can help you in processing and exploring the data, and they are also extremely important in creating figures and tables from the data with clear labels. Once you start learning to work with character string data, you will realize that it’s not just within the data, but also that you can treat the file names and directory names of your project as character strings, and use these tools to embed and use useful information in them.

The `stringr` package, which is part of the tidyverse, includes simple but powerful tools for working with vectors composed of character strings. For example, the package includes a function that let you extract a subset of each character string based on the position of the characters in the string, a function that lets you replace every instance of a pattern with something else, and a function that will tell you which character strings in the vector have a match to a certain pattern. It also includes a function that can change the case of all the letters in each string, either to uppercase, to lowercase, or to “title case” (the first letter in each word is capitalized).

You likely will not realize how powerful many of these tools are until you have a time when you need to do one of these tasks, but then you'll find they make your life much easier. For example, say that you have a column in your data that provides the ID of each study subject (e.g., "Mouse 1A"). If some of the IDs were entered using upper case (e.g., "MOUSE 1A"), some with lower case ("mouse 1a"), and some with a mixture (e.g., "Mouse 1A"), then you may find that it is hard to write code that recognizes that "Mouse 1A" is the same as "mouse 1a" and "MOUSE 1A". The functions in the `stringr` package would let you quickly convert everything to the same case and so work around this issue. As another example, you may want to extract certain elements from each subject ID—for example, you might want to create a column where you have changed "Mouse 1A" to just "1A" and "Mouse 2B" to just "2B". The `stringr` package has functions that will let you do this in several ways. For example, it has a function that would let you remove "Mouse" from each character string, and another function that would let you extract only the part of the string that starts from the first number. These types of tools can be invaluable when you need to pre-process or clean data from the format that it first enters R.

Sometimes, you will want to treat character strings as discrete categories or values. For example, if part of your data records subject IDs (e.g., "Mouse 1A", "Mouse 2B"), you may want to be able to link up all of the observations that are recorded for each subject. Similarly, you may want to treat a variable that records treatment (e.g., "treated" / "control") as a set of specific categories that each observation belongs to.

In R, you can do this by treating that column as something called a "factor". This data type looks like a character string (e.g., "treated"), but R has recorded that there are only a few set values that values in the column can have (e.g., "treated" or "control"), and when you summarize or plot the data, you can group by this variable to get summaries within each category, or align it with the color or shape of plotted points.

The `forcats` package includes helpful tools for working with this factor type of data. When a column is changed into a factor, the possible levels of the factor (in other words, the possible values it can take) will be given an order, often alphabetical. You won't notice this order with many of the processing you might do, but it will control the order that categories are mentioned when you summarize or plot the data. The `forcats` package includes a function that lets you rearrange this order, and so rearrange the order that each category is presented in summaries and plots. The package also includes numerous other tools for working with this type of data. For example, if you have a factor that takes many different possible values, it will let you to convert to specify only those that are most common (you can specify how many categories), and then pool the rest into an "Other" category.

The vignettes for the `stringr` and `forcats` packages are available at:

- `stringr`: <https://stringr.tidyverse.org/>
- `forcats`: <https://forcats.tidyverse.org/>

3.5.4 Tools for working with dates and times

Another handy set of tools are those for working with dates and times. Often, you will record the date that an observation is collected, or the date and time if data are being collected at a fine time scale. Although you record these as a character string (e.g., “August 1, 2019”), you’ll want to be able to use the quantitative information within the date. For example, you may want to be able to tell if the date of each observation is before a certain date, or determine how many days there are between two date.

The tidyverse includes a package for working with dates and times called `lubridate`. This package includes functions that allow you to change a column in your data to have a date or date-time data type. This will allow you to do operations on those values as dates—in other words, do things like determine the number of days between two dates. The `lubridate` package also includes functions for these operations on dates, including determining if one date is larger or smaller than another and whether it’s within an interval of two dates, as well as determining the difference between two dates or finding out which date is a certain number of days after a given date. There are also functions to extract certain elements from each date, like the day of the week or the month of the year.

The functions in the `lubridate` package can be very useful for pre-processing data. For example, you may record the date of each measurement that you take, but also need to determine how much time has passed between the start of the experiment and that measurement. The `lubridate` package has a function that will allow you to calculate the time since a recorded start time, and so this allows you to record only the date and time of each measurement, and then determine the time since the start of the experiment within reproducible code once you read the recorded data into R.

To find out more about the `lubridate` package, you can read its vignette at <https://lubridate.tidyverse.org/>.

3.5.5 Tools for statistical modeling

Often, analysis of biomedical data will include some statistical hypothesis testing or model building. For example, if you have collected bacterial loads in two groups of animals with different treatment assignments (treated and control), you may want to test the hypothesis that the average bacterial load in the two groups is the same. If the treatment was successful and the experiment had adequate power, then the data will hopefully show that this null hypothesis should be rejected.

R has a number of functions that can run the most common statistical hypothesis tests (e.g., Student’s t-test) as well as fit commonly-used statistical

models (e.g., linear regression models). Many of the tools for common tests and model building are included with your initial installation of R. This means that you can use them without installing or loading additional packages.

Further, there are many additional packages that are available that run less common statistical tests or fit less common statistical model frameworks.

Part of R's strength is in its deep availability of these packages for statistical analysis. You can often use a Google search to determine if there is a function or package for a statistical analysis that you would like to perform in R, and it is rare to not find at least one package with the appropriate algorithm. To help you select among different packages, check out the article “Ten Simple Rules for Finding and Selecting R Packages” (Wendt and Anderson, 2022).

In addition to learning the tools for the types of statistical analysis that you do often in your research, it is also helpful to learn some tools that help you incorporate that statistical analysis into your workflow. Many of the tools in R for statistical analysis were originally focused on being an endpoint of a code pipeline. For example, many of them will result in a print-out summary of the results of the statistical test or model fit. This is fine if you only want to record that result, but often you will want to use the results in further R code, for example to add to plots or tables or to combine with other results.

There are a couple of packages that can help with this. First, there is a package called `broom` that can convert the output of many statistical tests and models into a tidy dataframe. If you have focused on learning tidyverse tools, then this functionality makes it much easier for you to continue working with the output. The `tidymodels` package extends on this idea by creating a common interface for fitting a variety of statistical models and extracting results in a tidy format.

You can read the vignettes for the `broom` and `tidymodels` packages at:

- `broom`: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/broom/vignettes/broom.html>
- `tidymodels`: <https://www.tidymodels.org/>

3.5.6 Resources to learn more on tidyverse tools

Here we have introduced the tidyverse approach, as well as covered some key tools within it for biomedical data pre-processing. However, we strongly recommend that you continue to learn more in this approach. In this section, we'll point you to resources that you can use to continue to learn this approach to working with data in R.

The tidyverse approach is now widely taught, both in in-person courses at universities and through a variety of online resources. Since there are so many excellent resources available—many for free—to learn how to code in R using the tidyverse approach, we consider it beyond the scope of these modules to go more deeply into these instructions. Rather, we'll point you to some excellent references that go deeply into the tidyverse approach to coding, its

set of tools, and how they can be applied when working with biomedical data.

Classes and workshops

Most R programming classes at universities, as well as workshops at conferences and other venues, now focus on the tidyverse approach, especially if they are geared to new R users. An R programming class can be a worthwhile investment of time if this resource is available to you, and if you head a research group and do not have time to take one yourself, you could instead encourage trainees in your research group to take this type of class. Programming in other scripted languages, like Python and Julia, provides similar skills, although the collection of extension packages that are available for biomedical data tends to be most extensive for R (at least at this time). Classes in programming languages like Java or C++, on the other hand, would have less immediate relevance for most biologists and other bench scientists, and so if you would like to become better at working with biomedical data, it would be worthwhile to focus on programming languages that are scripted.

Online books

There are a number of excellent free online books that are available to help you learn more about R (many of which can also be purchased as a hard copy, if you prefer that format). These typically include lots of examples of code that help you try out concepts as you learn them.

One key resource for learning the tidyverse approach for R is the book *R for Data Science* by Hadley Wickham (the primary developer of the tidyverse) and Garrett Grolemund. This book is available as a print edition through O'Reilly Media. It is also freely available online at <https://r4ds.had.co.nz/>. This book is geared to beginners in R, moving through to get readers to an intermediate stage of coding expertise, which is a level that will allow most scientific researchers to powerfully work with their experimental data. The book includes exercises for practicing the concepts, and a separate online book is available with solutions for the exercises (<https://jrnold.github.io/r4ds-exercise-solutions/>).

Another online book that is an excellent tool—particularly for those using R for biomedical research—is *Modern Statistics for Modern Biology*, by Susan Holmes and Wolfgang Huber. These book shows how the tidyverse approach can be combined with tools from Bioconductor that are custom built to work with bioinformatics data. It also provides an excellent overview of statistical methods for working with biomedical data and how those can be applied using R. The book is available online at <https://www.huber.embl.de/msmb/>.

Cheatsheets

For many of the key tidyverse packages, there are two-page “cheatsheets” that have been developed by the package creators to help users learn and remember the functions that are available in the package. These are available here: <https://posit.co/resources/cheatsheets/>.

Each cheatsheet includes numerous working examples. One excellent way to familiarize yourself with the tools in a package, then, is to work through the

examples on the cheatsheet one at a time, making sure that you understand the inputs and outputs to the function and how the function has created the output. Once you have worked through a cheatsheet in this way, you can keep it close to your desk to serve as a quick reminder of the names and uses of different functions in the package, until you have used them enough that you don't need this memory jog.

For deeper tutorials of each tidyverse package, you can explore the package's vignette. We've provided links to several of these throughout this module.

3.5.7 Practice quiz

3.6 Complex data types in experimental data pre-processing

Raw data from many biomedical experiments, especially those that use high-throughput techniques, can be very large and complex. Because of the scale and complexity of these data, software for pre-processing the data in R often uses complex, 'untidy' data formats. While these formats are necessary for computational efficiency, they add a critical barrier for researchers wishing to implement reproducibility tools. In this module, we will explain why use of complex data formats is often necessary within open-source pre-processing software and outline the hurdles created in reproducibility tool use among laboratory-based scientists.

"Right now, in labs across the world, machines are sequencing the genomes of the life on earth. Even with rapidly decreasing costs and huge technological advancements in genome sequencing, we're only seeing a glimpse of the biological information contained in every cell, tissue, organism, and ecosystem. However, the smidgen of total biological information we're gathering amounts to mountains of data biologists need to work with. At no other point in human history has our ability to understand life's complexities been so dependent on our skills to work with and analyze data." (Buffalo, 2015)

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Explain why R software for pre-processing biomedical data often stores data in complex, 'untidy' formats
- Describe how these complex data formats can create barriers to laboratory-based researchers seeking to use reproducibility tools for data pre-processing

In previous modules, we have gone into a lot of detail about advantages of the tidyverse approach. However as you work with biomedical data, you may find that it is unreasonable to start with a tidyverse approach from the first steps of pre-processing the data. This is particularly the case if you are working with data from complex research equipment, like mass spectrometers and flow cytometers.

It can be frustrating to realize that you can't use your standard tools in some steps of working with the data you collect in your experiments. For

example, you may have taken an R course or workshop, and be at the point where you are starting to feel pretty comfortable with how to use R to work with standard datasets. You can feel like you're starting at square one when you realize that approach won't work for some steps of working with the data you're collecting for your own research.

This module aims to help you navigate this process. In particular, it is helpful to understand how the Bioconductor approach differs from the tidyverse approach, to start developing a framework and tools for navigating both approaches.

The primary difference between the two approaches is how the data objects are structured. When you work with data in R, it is kept in an “object”, which you can think of as a structured container for the data. In the tidyverse approach, the primary data container is the dataframe. A dataframe is made up of a set of object types called vectors: each column in the dataframe is a vector. Therefore, to navigate the tidyverse approach, the only data structures you need to understand well are the dataframe structure and the vector structure. Tools in the tidyverse use these simple structures over and over.

By contrast, the Bioconductor approach uses a collection of more complex data containers. There are a number of reasons for this, which we'll discuss in this module.

As a note, it is possible that in the near future, all steps of even complex pipelines will be manageable with a tidyverse approach. More R developers are embracing the tidyverse approach and making tools and packages within its framework. In some areas with complex data, there have been major inroads to allow a tidyverse approach throughout the pipeline even when working with complex data. One example is with spatial data, where the `sf` package and related tools now make it possible to stay in a tidyverse framework when working with large and complex geospatial data. We will end this module by discussing the outlook for similar developments in the area of biomedical data.

3.6.1 How the Bioconductor and tidyverse approaches differ

The heart of the difference between the tidyverse and Bioconductor approaches comes down to how data are structured within pipelines. While there are more differences than this one, most of the other differences result from this main one.

As we've described in detail in earlier modules (modules 2.3 and 3.5), in the tidyverse approach, data are stored throughout the pipeline in a dataframe structure. These dataframes are composed of a data structure called a vector. Vectors make up the columns of a dataframe. Almost every function in the tidyverse, therefore, is designed to input either a dataframe or a vector. And almost every function is designed to output the same type of data container (dataframe or vector) that it inputs. As a result, the tidyverse approach allows you to combine functions in different orders to tackle complex processes

through a chain of many small steps.

By contrast, most packages in Bioconductor use more complex data structures to store data. Often, a Bioconductor pipeline will use different data structures at different points in its pipeline. For example, your data might be stored in one type of a data container when it's read into R, and another type once you've done some pre-processing.

As a result, with the Bioconductor approach, there will be more types of data structures that you will have to understand and learn how to use. Another result is that the functions that you use in your pipeline will often only work with a specific data structure. You therefore will need to keep track of which type of data structure is required as the input to each function.

This also means that you are more constrained in how you chain together different functions to make a pipeline. To be clear, a pipeline in R that includes these complex Bioconductor data structures will typically still be modular, in the sense that you can adapt and separate specific parts of the pipeline. However, they tend to be much less flexible than pipelines developed with a tidyverse approach. The data structure changes often, with certain functions outputting a data structure that is needed for the next step, then the function of the next step outputting the data in a different structure, and so on. This changing data structure means that the functions for each step often are constrained to always be put in the same order. By comparison, the small tools that make up tidyverse functions can often be combined in many different orders, letting you build a much larger variety of pipelines with them. Also, many of the functions that work with complex data types will do many things within one function, so they can be harder to learn and understand, and they are often much more customized to a specific action, which means that you have to learn more functions (since each does one specific thing).

This difference will also make a difference in how you work when you modify a pipeline of code. In the tidyverse approach, you will change the functions you include and the order in which you call them, rearranging the small tools to create different pipelines. For a Bioconductor pipeline, it's more common that to customize it, you will adjust parameter settings within functions, but will still call a standard series of functions in a standardized order.

Because of those differences, it can be hard to pick up the Bioconductor approach if you're used to the tidyverse approach. However, Bioconductor is critical to learn if you are working with many types of biomedical data, as many of the key tools and algorithms for genomic data are shared through that project. This means that, for many biomedical researchers who are now generating complex, high-throughput data, it is worth learning how to use complex data structures in R.

3.6.2 Why is the Bioconductor approach designed as it is?

To begin learning the Bioconductor approach, it can be helpful to understand it's designed the way it is. First, there are some characteristics of complex data that can make the data unsuitable for a tidyverse approach, including data size and complexity. In the next section of this module, we'll discuss some of these characteristics, as well as provide examples of how biomedical data can have them. However, there are also some historical and cultural reasons for the Bioconductor design. It is helpful to have an introduction to this, as it can help you navigate as you work within the Bioconductor framework.

Bioconductor predates the tidyverse approach. In fact, it has been around almost as long as R itself—the first version of R was first released in 2000, and Bioconductor started in 2003. The Bioconductor project was inspired by an ambitious aim—to allow people around the world to coordinate to make tools for pre-processing and analyzing genomic and other high-throughput data. Anyone is allowed to make their own extension to R as a package, including a Bioconductor package.

Imagine how complex it is to try to harness all these contributions. Within the Bioconductor project, this challenge is managed by using some general design principles, centered on standard data structures. The different Bioconductor data structures, then, were implemented to help many people coordinate to make software extensions to R to handle complex biomedical data. As Susan Holmes and Wolfgang Huber note in their book *Modern Statistics for Modern Biology*, “specialized data containers … help to keep your data consistent, safe and easy to use.” (Holmes and Huber, 2018) Indeed, in an article on software for computational biology, Robert Gentleman—one of the developers of R and founders of the Bioconductor project—is quoted as saying:

“We defined a handful of data structures that we expected people to use. For instance, if everybody puts their gene expression data into the same kind of box, it doesn’t matter how the data came about, but that box is the same and can be used by analytic tools. Really, I think it’s data structures that drive interoperability.” — Robert Gentleman in (Altschul et al., 2013)

Each person who writes code for Bioconductor can use these data structures, writing functions that input and output data within these defined structures. If they are working on something where there isn't yet a defined structure, they can define new ones within their package, which others can then use in their own packages.

As a result of this design, there are a number of complex data structures in use within Bioconductor packages. Some that you might come across as you start to work using this approach include (Huber et al., 2015):

- ExpressionSet
- SummarizedExperiment
- GRanges

- VCF
- VRanges
- BSgenome

3.6.3 Why is it sometimes necessary to use a Bioconductor approach with biomedical data

Two main features—of data collected from complex laboratory equipment like flow cytometers and mass spectrometers, in particular—make it useful to use more complex data structures in R in the earlier stages of pre-processing the data rather than directly using a tidy data structure. First, the data are often very large, in some cases so large that it is difficult to read them into R. Second, the data might combine various elements that you'd like to keep together as you move through the steps of pre-processing the data, but each of these elements have their own natural structures, making it hard to set the data up as a two-dimensional dataframe. Let's take a more detailed look at each of these.

First, very large datasets are common in biomedical data, including genomics data. In a book on *Modern Statistics for Modern Biology*, Holmes and Huber describe how the size of biological data have exploded:

“Biology, formerly a science with sparse, often only qualitative data, has turned into a field whose production of quantitative data is on par with high energy physics or astronomy and whose data are wildly more heterogeneous and complex.” (Holmes and Huber, 2018)

When datasets are large, it can cause complications for computers. A computer has several ways that it can store data. The primary storage is closely connected with the computer's processing unit, where calculations are made, and so data stored in this primary storage can be processed by code very quickly. This storage is called the computer's random access memory, or RAM. R uses this approach, and so when you load data in R to be stored in one of its traditional data structures, that data is moved into part of the computer's RAM (Burns, 2011; Gillespie and Lovelace, 2016).

Data can also be stored in other devices on a computer, including hard drives and solid state drives that are built into the computer or even onto storage devices that can be removed from the computer, like USB drives or external hard drives. The size of available storage in these devices tends to be much, much larger than the storage size of the computer's RAM. However, it takes longer to access data in these secondary storage devices because they aren't directly connected to the processor, and instead require the data to move into RAM before it can be accessed by the processor, which is the only part of the computer that can do things to analyze, modify, or otherwise process the data.

The traditional dataframe structure in R is built after reading data into RAM. However, many biological experiments now create data that is much too large to read into memory for R in a reasonable way (Lawrence and Morgan, 2014;

Hicks et al., 2021). If you try to read in a dataset that's too large for the RAM, R can't handle it. As Roger Peng notes in *R Programming for Data Science*:

"Reading in a large dataset for which you do not have enough RAM is one easy way to freeze up your computer (or at least your R session). This is usually an unpleasant experience that usually requires you to kill the R process, in the best case scenario, or reboot your computer, in the worst case." (Peng, 2016)

More complex data structures can allow more sophisticated ways to handle massive data, and so they are often necessary when working with massive biological datasets, particularly early in pre-processing, before the data can be summarized in an efficient way. For example, a more complex data structure could allow much of the data to be left on disk, and only read into memory on demand, as specific portions of the data are needed (Gatto, 2013; Hicks et al., 2021). This approach can be used to iterate across subsets of the data, only reading parts of the data into memory at a time (Lawrence and Morgan, 2014). Such structures can be designed to work in a way that, if you are the user, you won't notice the difference in where the data is kept (on disk versus in memory)—this means you won't have to worry about these memory management issues, but instead can just gain from everything going smoothly, even as datasets get very large (Gatto, 2013).

The second reason that tidy dataframes aren't always the best container for biomedical data has to do with the complexity of the data. Dataframes are very clearly and simply organized. However, they can be too restrictive in some cases. Sometimes, you might have data that do not fit well within the two-dimensional, non-ragged structure that is characteristic of the dataframe structure.

For example, some biomedical data may have data that records characteristics at several levels of the data. It may have records on the levels of gene expression within each sample, separate information about each gene that was measured, and another separate set of information that characterizes each of the samples. While it is critical to keep "like" measurements aligned with data like this—in other words, to ensure that you can connect the data that characterizes a gene with the data that provides measures of the level of expression of that gene in each sample—these data do not naturally have a two-dimensional structure and so do not fit naturally into a dataframe structure.

Finally, one of the advantages of these complex data structures for biomedical data pre-processing is that they can be leveraged to develop very powerful algorithms for working with complex biomedical data. These include reading data in from the specialized file formats that are often output by laboratory equipment (Holmes and Huber, 2018).

3.6.4 Navigating Bioconductor packages and data structures

[How to install BioC packages; biocLite()]

While CRAN is the common spot for sharing general-purpose packages, there is a specialized repository that is used for many genomics and other biology-related R packages called Bioconductor. These packages can also be easily installed through a call in R, but in this case it requires an installation function from the BiocManager package. Many of the functions that are useful for preprocessing biological data from laboratory experiments are available through Bioconductor.

[How to find out more about BioC data structures]

There are resources for learning to use specific Bioconductor packages, as well as some general resources on Bioconductor, like *R Programming for Bioinformatics* [ref].

3.6.5 Combining Bioconductor and tidyverse approaches in a workflow

Work with research data will typically require a series of steps for pre-processing, analysis, exploration, and visualization. Collectively, these form a *workflow* or *pipeline* for the data analysis. With large, complex biological data, early steps in this workflow might require a Bioconductor approach, given the size and complexity of the data, or because you'd like to use a method or algorithm available through Bioconductor. However, this doesn't mean that you must completely give up the power and efficiency of the tidyverse approach described in earlier modules.

Instead, you can combine the two approaches in a workflow like that shown in Figure 3.1. In this combined approach, you start the workflow in the Bioconductor approach and transition when possible to a tidyverse approach, transitioning by “tidying” from a more complex data structure to a simpler dataframe data structure along the way. This is a useful approach, because once your workflow has advanced to a stage where it is straightforward to store the data in a dataframe, there are a large advantages to shifting into the tidyverse approach as compared to using more complex object-oriented classes for storing the data, in particular when it comes to data analysis and visualization at later stages in your workflow. In this section, we will describe how you can make this transition to create a combined workflow.

Key to a combined pipeline are tools that can convert between specialized data structures for Bioconductor and tidy dataframes. A set of tools for doing this are available through the `biobroom` package (Bass et al., 2020). The `biobroom` package includes three generic functions (also called “methods”), which can be used on a number of Bioconductor object classes. When applied to object stored in one of these Bioconductor classes, these functions will extract part of the data into a tidy dataframe format. In this format, it is easy to use the tools from the tidyverse to further explore, analyze, and visualize the data.

The three generic functions of `biobroom` are called `tidy`, `augment`, and `glance`. These function names mimic the names of the three main functions




Figure 3.1: An overview of a workflow that moves from a Bioconductor approach—for pre-processing of the data—through to a tidyverse approach one pre-processing has created smaller, simpler data that can be reasonably stored in a dataframe structure.

in the `broom` package, which is a more general purpose package for extracting tidy datasets from more complex R object containers (Robinson, 2014). The `broom` package focuses on the output from functions in R for statistical testing and modeling, while the newer `biobroom` package replicates this idea, but for many of the common object classes used to store data through Bioconductor packages and workflows (Bass et al., 2020).

As an example, we can talk about how the `biobroom` package can be used to convert output generated by functions in the `edgeR` package. The `edgeR` package is a popular Bioconductor package that can be used on gene expression data, to explore which genes are expressed differently across experimental groups (an approach called differential expression analysis) (Robinson et al., 2010). Before using the functions in the package, the data must be pre-processed to align sequence reads from the raw data and then to create a table with the counts of each read at each gene across each sample. The `edgeR` package includes functions for pre-processing these data, including filtering out genes with low read counts across all samples and applying model-based normalization across samples to help handle technical bias, including differences in sequencing depth (Chen et al., 2014).

The `edgeR` package operates on data stored in a special object class defined by the package called the `DGEList` object class (Chen et al., 2014). This object class includes areas for storing the table of read counts, in the form of a matrix appropriate for analysis by other functions in the package, as well as other spots for storing information about each sample and, if needed, a space to store annotations of the genes (Chen et al., 2014). Then functions from the `edgeR` package can perform differential expression analysis on the data in the `DGEList` class. The result is an object in the `DGEExact` class, which is also defined by the `edgeR` package. To extract data from this class in a tidy format, you can use the `tidy` and `glance` functions from `biobroom`.

3.6.6 *Outlook for a tidyverse approach to biomedical data*

Finally, it is quite likely better tools will continue to evolve, and that in the future there might be tidy dataframe formats that are adaptable enough to handle earlier stages in the data pre-processing for genomics data. The tidyverse dataframe approach has already been adapted to enable tidy dataframes to include more complex types of data within certain columns of the data frame as a special list-type column.

This functionality is being leveraged through the `sf` package, for example, to enable a tidy approach to working with geographical data. This allows those who are working with geographical data, for example data from shapefiles for creating maps, to use the standard tidyverse approaches while still containing complex data needed for this geographical information within a tidy dataframe. Another example is the `tidymodels` package, which ...

It seems very possible that similar approaches may be adapted in the near

future to allow for biomedical or genomic data to be stored in a way that both accounts for complexity early and pre-processing of these data but also allows for a more natural integration with the wealth of powerful tools available through the tidyverse approach.

3.7 Introduction to reproducible data pre-processing protocols

Reproducibility tools can be used to create reproducible data pre-processing protocols—documents that combine code and text in a “knitted” document, which can be re-used to ensure data pre-processing is consistent and reproducible across research projects. In this module, we will describe how reproducible data pre-processing protocols can improve reproducibility of pre-processing experimental data, as well as to ensure transparency, consistency, and reproducibility across the research projects conducted by a research team.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Define a “reproducible data pre-processing protocol”
- Explain how such protocols improve reproducibility at the data pre-processing phase
- List other benefits, including improving efficiency and consistency of data pre-processing
- Understand how a “knitted” document can be used to combine text and executable code to create a reproducible data pre-processing protocol

3.7.1 Introducing reproducible data pre-processing protocols

If you have ever worked in a laboratory, you are likely familiar with protocols. For a wet lab, protocols are used as “recipes” for conducting certain experiments or processes. They are written to be clear enough that everyone in the lab could follow the same steps in the process by following the protocol. In this way, they help to standardize processes done in the laboratory, and they can also play a role in improving safety and the quality of data collection. Protocols are similarly used for medical procedures and tests, as well as for clinical trials. In all cases, they help to define in detail the steps of the procedure, so they can be done in a way that is comparable from one case to the next and with high precision.

You can apply a similar idea to pre-processing and analyzing the data that you collect in a laboratory. Just as a wet lab protocol can help standardize your data collection to the point that the data are recorded, a separate protocol can help define how you manage and work with that data. The basic content of a data-focused protocol will include a description of the type of data you expect to input, the type of data you expect at the end of the process, and the steps you take to get from the input to the output. A data-focused protocol can include steps for quality control of the collected data, as well as pre-processing steps like transformations and scaling of the data.

On clinical imaging protocols: “When one is composing a protocol, it is helpful to imagine that all the technologists at the facility won the lottery and quit. What would a newly hired technologist need to know to image a patient in the exact same manner as in the past to produce the same results?”

[@thomas2015write]

In module 3.9, we'll walk through an example of creating a data pre-processing protocol that focuses on data collected by plating samples to estimate bacterial load. In this case, a key step in pre-processing the data is to identify a “good” dilution to be used for estimating bacterial load in each sample—each sample is plated at several dilutions, and to work with the data, you must identify a dilution for each sample for which enough bacteria grew to be countable, but not so many that there are too many colonies to count. In high throughput experiments, like RNA-seq experiments, there may be important steps in the data pre-processing that help check for batch effects across samples, for signs of a poor-quality sample, or for normalizing and scaling the data in preparation for applying other algorithms, like algorithms to estimate differential expression across samples or to identify clusters within the data.

A data-focused protocol brings many of the same advantages as wet lab protocols. It can help standardize the process of data pre-processing across members of the laboratory, as well as from experiment to experiment. It can also help ensure the quality of the data collection, by defining clear rules, steps, and guidelines for completing the data pre-processing. Finally, it can help ensure that someone else could recreate the process at a later time, and so can improve the reproducibility of the experiment. Not only do data-focused protocols help with improving quality and reproducibility, but they also help improve efficiency. These protocols should include clearly defined steps, as well as explanations for each step, and they should illustrate these with example data. By having this “recipe”, a new lab member can quickly learn how to do the data pre-processing, and a long-term lab member remember the exact steps more quickly.

You can create a data pre-processing protocol using any document processing program that you'd like. For example, you could write one in Google Docs or in Word. However, there is a better format. With programming languages like R and Python, you can create a type of document called a **knitted document**. A knitted document interweaves two elements: first, text written for humans and second, executable code meant for the computer. These documents can be “rendered” in R or another programming language, which executes all the code and adds all the output from that code at the appropriate place in the text. The end result is a document in a format that is easy to share and read (PDF, Word, or HTML), which includes text, example code, and output. You can use these documents to record the data pre-processing process for a type of data in your laboratory, and by using a knitted document, you ensure that the code is “checked” every time you render the document. In this module, we will give an overview of how these knitted documents work, as well as how they can improve the reproducibility and efficiency of experimental work. In the next module, we'll show how you can make them in the free RStudio software. Finally, in module 3.9, we'll walk through a full example of writing a data pre-processing protocol in this way—you can take a look now to get an idea by downloading the example protocol here. There are also some ex-

cellent data-focused protocols that have been published in journals like *Nature Protocols*. Some recent examples of such protocols include Schrode et al. (2021), Quintelier et al. (2021), and Majumder et al. (2021). You may find it useful to take a look at one or more to get an idea of how data-focused protocols can be useful.

3.7.2 Using knitted documents for protocols

When it comes to protocols that are focused on data pre-processing and analysis, there are big advantages to creating them as something called **knitted documents**. In this section, we'll walk through what a knitted document is, and in the next section we'll cover some of the advantages of using this format to create data-focused protocols.

A knitted document is one that is written in plain text in a way that “knits” together text with executable code. Once you have written the document, you can render it, which executes the code, adds to the document results from this execution (figures, tables, and code output, for example), and formats all text using the formatting choices you've specified. The end result is a nicely format document, which can be in one of several output formats, including PDF, Word, or HTML. Since the code was executed to create the document, you can ensure that all the code has worked as intended.

If you have coded using a scripting language like R or Python, you likely have already seen many examples of knitted documents. For both these languages, there are many tutorials available that are created as knitted documents. Figure 3.2 shows an example from the start of a vignette for the `xcms` package in R. This is a package that helps with pre-processing and analyzing data from liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS) experiments. You can see that this document includes text to explain the package and also example code and the output from that code. As a larger example, all the modules in this online book were written as knitted documents.

3 Initial data inspection

The `OnDiskMSnExp` organizes the MS data by spectrum and provides the methods `raw_data`, `mz` and `rtime` to access the raw data from the files (the measured intensity Formatted documentation for humans and the corresponding m/z and retention time values). In addition, the `spectra` method is used to return all data encapsulated in `Spectrum` objects. Below we extract the retention time values from the object.

```
head(rtime(raw_data))

## F1.S0001 F1.S0002 F1.S0003 F1.S0004 F1.S0005 F1.S0006
## 2501.378 2502.943 2504.508 2506.073 2507.638 2509.203
```

Figure 3.2: An example of a knitted document. This shows a section of the online vignette for the ‘`xcms`’ package from Bioconductor. The two types of content are highlighted: formatted text for humans to read, and executable computer code.

You can visualize the full process of creating and rendering a knitted document in the following way. Imagine that you write a document by hand on sheets of paper. There are parts where you need a team member to add their

data or to run a calculation, so you include notes in square brackets telling your team member where to do these things. Then, you use some editing marks to show where text should be italicized and which text should be section a header:

```
# Results
```

We measured the bacterial load of
Mycobacterium tuberculosis for each sample.

[Kristina: Calculate bacterial loads for each sample based on dilutions and add table with results here.]

You send the document to your team member Kristina first, and she does her calculations and adds the results at the indicated spot in the paper, so that the note to her gets replaced with results. She focuses on the notes to her in square brackets and ignores the rest of the document. Next, Kristina sends the document, with her additions, to an assistant, Tom, to type up the document. Tom types the full document, paying attention to any indications that are included for formatting. For example, he sees that “Results” is meant to be a section heading, since it is on a line that starts with “#”, your team’s convention for section headings. He therefore types this on a line by itself in larger font. He also sees that “*Mycobacterium tuberculosis*” is surrounded by asterisks, so he types this in italics.

Knitted documents work in the same way, but the computer does the steps that Kristina and Tom did in this toy example. The way the document was written in this example is analogous to writing up a knitted document in plain text with appropriate “executable” sections, designated with special markings, and with other markings used to show how the text should be formatted in its final version. When Kristina looked for the section that was marked for her, generated results in that section, and replaced the note with the results, it was analogous to the first stage of rendering a knitted document, where the document is passed through software that looks for executable code and ignores everything else, executing that code and adding in results in the right place. When Tom took that output and used formatting marks in the text to create a nicely formatted final report, the step was analogous to the second stage of rendering a formatted document, when a software program takes the output of the first stage and formats the full document into an attractive, easy-to-read final document, using any markings you include to format the document.

Knitted documents therefore build on two key techniques. The first is the ability to include executable code in a document, in a way that a computer can go through the document, find that code, execute it, and fill in the results at

the appropriate spot in the document. The second is a set of conventions for formatting marks that can be put in the plain text of the document to indicate formatting that should be added, like headers and italic text. Let's take a closer look at each of these necessary techniques.

The first technique that's needed to create knitted documents is the ability to include executable code within the plain text version of the document. The idea here is that you can use special markers to indicate in the document where code starts and where it ends. With these markings, a computer program can figure out the lines of the document that it should run as code, and the ones it should ignore when it's looking for executable code. In the toy example above, notes to Kristina were put in square brackets, with content that started with her name and a colon. To "process" this document, then, she could just scan through it for square brackets with her name inside and ignore everything else in the document.

The same idea happens with knitted documents, but a computer program takes the place of Kristina in the example. With markings in place to indicate executable code, the document will be run through two separate programs as it is rendered. The first program will look for code to execute and ignore any other lines of the file. It will execute this code and then place any results, like figures, tables, or code output, into the document right after that piece of code. We will talk about the second program in just a minute, when we talk about markup languages.

This technique comes from an idea that you could include code to be executed in a document that is otherwise easy for humans to read. This is an incredibly powerful idea. It originated with a famous computer scientist named Donald Knuth, who realized that one key to making computer code sound is to make sure that it is clear to humans what the code is doing. Computers will faithfully do exactly what you tell them to do, so they will do what you're hoping they will as long as you provide the correct instructions. The greatest room for error, then, comes from humans not giving the right instructions to computers. To write sound code, and code that is easy for yourself and others to maintain and extend, you must make sure that you and other humans understand what it is asking the computer to do. Donald Knuth came up with a system called "literate programming" that allows programmers to write code in a way that focuses on documenting the code for humans, while also allowing the computer to easily pull out just the parts that it needs to execute, while ignoring all the text meant for humans. This process flips the idea of documenting code by including plain text comments in the code—instead of the code being the heart of the document, the documentation of the code is the heart, with the code provided to illustrate the implementation. When used well, this technique results in beautiful documents that clearly and comprehensively document the intent and the implementation of computer code. The knitted documents that we can build with R or Python through systems like RMarkdown and Jupyter Notebooks build on these literate programming ideas, applying them

in ways that complement programming languages that can be run interactively, rather than needing to be compiled before they're run.

The second technique required for knitted documents is one that allows you to write text in plain text, include formatting specifications in that plain text, and render this to an attractive output document in PDF, Word, or HTML. This part of the process uses a tool from a set of tools called **Markup languages**. Here, we will use a markup language called **Markdown**. It is one of the easiest markup languages to learn, as it has a fairly small set of formatting indicators that can be used to “markup” the formatting in a document. This small set, however, covers much of the formatting you might want to do, and so this language provides an easy introduction to markup languages while still providing adequate functionality for most purposes.

The Markdown markup language evolved starting in spaces where people could communicate in plain text only, without point-and-click methods for adding formatting like bold or italic type (Buffalo, 2015). For example, early versions of email only allowed users to write using plain text. These users eventually evolved some conventions for how to “mark-up” this plain text, to serve the purposes normally served by things like italics and bold in formatted text (e.g., emphasis, highlighting). For example, to emphasize a word, a user could surround it with asterisks, like:

I just read a *really* interesting article!

In this early prototype for a markup language, the reader’s mind was doing the “rendering”, interpreting these markers as a sign that part of the text was emphasized. In Markdown, the text can be rendered into more attractive output documents, like PDF, where the rendering process has actually changed the words between asterisks to print in italics.

The Markdown language has developed a set of these types of marks—like asterisks—that are used to “mark up” the plain text with the formatting that should be applied when the text is rendered. There are marks that you can use for a number of formatting specifications, including: italics, bold, underline, strike-through, bulleted lists, numbered lists, web links, headers of different levels (e.g., to mark off sections and subsections), horizontal rules, and block quotes. Details and examples of the Markdown syntax can be found on the Markdown Guide page at <https://www.markdownguide.org/basic-syntax/>, and we’ll cover more examples of using Markdown in the next two modules. Once a document is run through a program to execute any code, it will then be run through a program that interprets this formatting markup (a markup renderer), which will format the document based on any of the mark up indications and will output an attractive document in a format like PDF, Word, or HTML.

“Markdown originates from the simple formatting conventions used in plain-text emails. Long before HTML crept into email, emails were embellished with simple markup for emphasis, lists, and blocks of text. Over time, this became a defacto plain-text email formatting scheme. This scheme is very intuitive: underscores or asterisks that flank text indicate emphasis, and lists are simply lines of text beginning with dashes.”

[@buffalo2015bioinformatics]

3.7.3 Advantages of using knitted documents for data-focused protocols

There are several advantages to using knitted documents when writing code to pre-process or analyze research data. These include improvements in terms of reliability, efficiency, transparency, and reproducibility.

First, when you have written your code within a knitted document, this code is checked every time you render the document. In other words, you are checking your code to ensure it operates as you intend throughout the process of writing and editing your document, checking the code each time you render the document to its formatted version. This helps to increase the **reliability** of the code that you have written. Open-source software evolves over time, and by continuing to check code as you work on protocols and reports with your data, you can ensure that you will quickly identify and adapt to any such changes. Further, you can quickly identify if updates to your research data introduce any issues with the code. Again, by checking the code frequently, you can identify any issues quickly, and this often will allow you to easily pinpoint and fix these issues. By contrast, if you only identify a problem after writing a lot of code, it is often difficult to identify the source of the issue. By including code that is checked each time of document is rendered, you can quickly identify when a change in open-source software affects the analysis that you were conducting or the pre-processing and work to adapt to any changes quickly.

Second, when you write a document that includes executable code, it allows you to easily rerun the code as you update your research data set, or adopt the code to work with a new data set. If you are not using a knitted document to write pre-processing protocols and research reports, then your workflow is probably to run all your code—either from a script or the command line—and copy the results into a document in a word processing program like Word or Google Docs. If you do that, you must recopy all your results every time you adapt any part of the code or add new data. By contrast, when you use a knitted document, the rendering process executes the code and incorporates the results directly and automatically into a nicely formatted final document. The use of knitted documents therefore can substantially improve the **efficiency** of pre-processing and analyzing your data and generating the reports that summarize this process.

Third, documents that are created in knitted format are created using plain text. Plain text files can easily be tracked well and clearly using version control tools like Git, and associated collaboration tools like GitHub, as discussed in earlier modules (modules 2.9–2.11). This substantially increases the **transparency** of the data pre-processing and analysis. It allows you to clearly document changes you or others make in the document, step-by-step. You can document who made the change, and that person can include a message about why they made the change. This full history of changes is recorded and can be searched to explore how the document has evolved and why.

The final advantage of using knitted documents, especially for pre-processing research data, is that it allows the code to be clearly and thoroughly documented. This can help increase the **reproducibility** of the process. In other words, it can help ensure that another researcher could repeat the same process, making adaptations as appropriate for their own data set, or ensuring they arrive at the same results if using the original data. It also ensures that you can remember exactly what you did, which is especially useful if you plan to reuse or adopt the code to work with other data sets, as will often be the case for a pre-processing protocol. If you are not using a knitted document, but are using code for pre-processing, then as an alternative you may be documenting your code through comments in a code script. A code script does allow you to include documentation about the code through these code comments, which are demarcated from code in the script through a special symbol (# in R). However these code comments are much less expressive and harder to read than nicely formatted text, and it is hard to include elements like mathematical equations and literature citations in code comments. A knitted document allows you to write the documentation in a format that is clear and attractive for humans to read, while including code that is clear and easy for a computer to execute.

3.7.4 How knitted documents work

Now that we've gotten a top-level view of the idea of knitted documents, let's take a closer look at how they work. We'll wrap up this module by covering some of the mechanics of how all knitted documents work, and then in the next module (3.8) we'll look more closely at how you can leverage these techniques in the RMarkdown system specifically.

There are seven components of how these documents work. It is helpful to understand these to understand these to begin creating and adapting knitted documents. Knitted documents can be created through a number of programs, and while we will later focus on Rmarkdown, these seven components are in play regardless of the exact system used to create a knitted document, and therefore help in gaining a general understanding of this type of document. We have listed the seven components here and in the following paragraphs will describe each more fully:

1. Knitted documents start as plain text;
2. A special section at the start of the document (**preamble**) gives some overall directions about the document;
3. Special combinations of characters indicate where the executable code starts;
4. Other special combinations show where the regular text starts (and the executable code section ends);
5. Formatting for the rest of the document is specified with a **markup language**;
6. You create the final document by **rendering** the plain text document. This

- process runs through two software programs; and
7. The final document is attractive and **read-only**—you should never make edits to this output, only to your initial plain text document.

First, a knitted document should be written in plain text. In an earlier module, we described some of the advantages of using plain text file formats, rather than proprietary and/or binary file formats, especially in the context of saving research data (e.g., using csv file formats rather than Excel file formats). Plain text can also be used to write documentation, including through knitted documents. Figure 3.3 shows an example of what the plain text might look like for the start of the xcms tutorial shown in Figure 3.2.

Initial data inspection

The `OnDiskMSnExp` organizes the MS data by spectrum and provides the methods `intensity`, `mz` and `rtime` to access the raw data from the files (the measured intensity values, the corresponding m/z and retention time values). In addition, the `spectra` method could be used to return all data encapsulated in `Spectrum` objects. Below we extract the retention time values from the object.

```
```{r data-inspection-rtime, message = FALSE }
head(rtime(raw_data))
```

```

There are a few things to keep in mind when writing plain text. First, you should always use a text editor rather than a word processor when you are writing a document in plain text. Text editors can include software programs like Notepad on Microsoft operating systems and TextEdit on Mac operating systems. You can also use a more advanced text editor, like vi/vim or emacs. Rstudio can also serve as a text editor, and if you are doing other work in Rstudio, this is often the most obvious option as a text editor to use to write knitted documents.

You must use a text editor to write plain text for knitted documents for the same reasons that you must use one to write code scripts. Word processors often introduce formatting that is saved through underlying code rather than clearly evident on the document that you see as you type. This hidden formatting can complicate the written text. Conversely, text written in a text editor will not introduce such hard-to-see formatting. Word processing programs also tend to automatically convert some symbols into slightly fancier versions of the symbol. For example, they may change a basic quotation symbol into one with shaping, depending on whether the mark comes at the beginning or end of a quotation. This subtle change in formatting can cause issues in both the code and the formatting specifications that you include in a knitted document.

Further, when are writing plain text, typically you should only use characters from the American Standard Code for Information Interchange, or ASCII. This is a character set from early in computing that includes 128 characters. Such

Figure 3.3: An example of a the plain text used to write a knitted document. This shows a section of the plain text used to write the online vignette for the 'xcm' package from Bioconductor. The full plain text file used for the vignette can be viewed on GitHub [here](<https://github.com/sneumann/xcm/blob/master/vignettes/xcm.Rmd>)

a small character set enforces simplicity: this character set mostly includes what you can see on your keyboard, like the digits 0 to 9, the lowercase and uppercase alphabet, some symbols, including punctuation symbols like the exclamation point and quotation marks, some mathematical symbols like plus, minus, and division, and some control codes, including ones for a new line, a tab, and even ringing a bell. The full set of characters included in ASCII can be found in a number of sources including a very thorough Wikipedia page on this character set (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ASCII>).

Because the character set available for plain text files is so small, you will find that it becomes important to leverage the limited characters that are available. One example is **white space**. White space can be created in ASCII with both the space character and with the new line command. It is an important component that can be used to make plain text files clear for humans to read. As we begin discussing the convention for markdown languages, we will find that white space is often used to help specify formatting as well.

The second component of how knitted documents work is that each knitted document will have a special section at its start called the **preamble**. This preamble will give some overall directions regarding the document, like its title and authors and the format to which it should be rendered. Knitted documents are created using a **markup language** to specify formatting for the document, and there are a number of different markup languages including HTML, LaTeX, and Markdown. The specifications for the document's preamble will depend on the markup language being used.

In RMarkdown, we will be focusing on Markdown, for which the preamble is specified using something called YAML (short for YAML Ain't Markup Language). Here is an example of the YAML for a sample pre-processing protocol created using RMarkdown:

```
---
```

```
title: "Pre-processing Protocol for LC-MS Data"
author: "Jane Doe"
date: "1/25/2021"
output: pdf_document
---
```

This YAML preamble specifies information about the document with **keys** and **values**. For example, the title is specified using the YAML key `title`, followed by a colon and a space, and then the desired value for that component of the document, "Pre-processing Protocol for LC-MS Data". Similarly, the author is specified with the `author` key and the desired value for that component, and the date with the `date` key and associated component.

Different keys can take different types of values in the YAML (this is similar to how different parameters in a function can take different values). For example, the keys of `author`, `title`, and `date` all take a character string with any desired character combination, and the quotation marks surrounding the values

for each of these keys denote those character strings. By contrast, the `output` key—which specifies the format that the knitted document should be rendered to—can only take one of a few set values, each of which is specified without surrounding quotation marks (`pdf_document` in this case, to render the document as a PDF report).

The rules for which keys can be included in the preamble will depend on the markup language being used. Here, we are showing an example in Markdown, but you can also use other markup languages like LaTeX and HTML, and these will have their own convention for specifying the preamble. In the next module, when we talk more specifically about Rmarkdown, we will give some resources where you can find more about how to customize the preamble in Rmarkdown specifically. If you are using a different markup language, there are numerous websites, cheatsheets, and other resources you can use to find which keywords are available for the preamble in that markup language, as well as the possible values those keywords can take.

The next characteristic of knitted documents is that they need to clearly demarcate where executable code starts and where regular formatted text starts (in other words, where the executable code section ends). To do this, knitted documents have two special combination of characters, one that can be used in the plain text to indicate where executable code starts and one to indicate where it ends. For example, Figure 3.4 shows the plain text that could be used in an RMarkdown document to write some regular text, then some executable code, and then indicate the start of more regular text:

Some text is here. And then some code:

```
```{r} Executable code starts
sample_weights <- c(95, 98, 88)
mean(sample_weights)
```
Code ends, regular text starts
```

Some more text is here.

Figure 3.4: An example of how special combinations of characters are used to demarcate code in an RMarkdown file. The color formatting here is applied automatically by RStudio; all the text in this example is written in plain text.

The combination that indicates the start of executable code will vary depending on the markup language being. You may have noticed that these markers, which indicate the beginning and end of executable code, seem like very odd character combination. There is a good reason for this. By making this character combination unusual, there will be less of a chance that it shows up in regular text. This way there are fewer cases where the writer unintentionally indicate the start of a new section of executable code when trying to write regular text in the knitted document.

The next characteristic of knitted documents is that formatting for the regular text in the document—that is, everything that is not executable code—is

specified using what is called a **markup language**. When you were writing in plain text, you do not have buttons to click on for formatting, for example, to specify words or phrases that should be in bold or italics, font size, headings, and so on. Instead you use special characters or character combinations to specify formatting in the final document. These character combinations are defined based on the markup language you use. As mentioned earlier, Rmarkdown uses the Markdown language; other knitted documents can be created using LaTeX or HTML. As an example of how these special character combinations work, in Markdown, you place two asterisks around a word or phrase to make it bold. To write “**this**” in the final document, in other words, you’ll write ****"this"**** in the plain text in the initial document.

You can start to see how this works by looking at the example of the `xcms` vignette shown earlier in Figures 3.2 and 3.3. In Figure 3.5, we’ve recreated these two parts side-by-side, so they’re easier to compare.

| Original plain text used to create vignette | Final formatted version of vignette |
|---|---|
| <pre># Initial data inspection The 'OnDiskMSnExp' organizes the MS data by spectrum and provides the methods 'intensity', 'mz' and 'rtime' to access the raw data from the files (the measured intensity values, the corresponding m/z and retention time values). In addition, the 'spectra' method could be used to return all data encapsulated in 'Spectrum' objects. Below we extract the retention time values from the object. ```{r data-inspection-rtime, message = FALSE } head(rtime(raw_data)) ``` </pre> | <p>3 Initial data inspection</p> <pre>The OnDiskMSnExp organizes the MS data by spectrum and provides the methods intensity, mz and rtime to access the raw data from the files (the measured intensity values, the corresponding m/z and retention time values). In addition, the spectra method could be used to return all data encapsulated in Spectrum objects. Below we extract the retention time values from the object.</pre> <pre>head(rtime(raw_data)) ## F1.50001 F1.50002 F1.50003 F1.50004 F1.50005 F1.50006 ## 2501.378 2502.943 2504.508 2506.073 2507.638 2509.203</pre> |

You can look for several formatting elements here. First, the section is headed “Initial data inspection”. You can see that in the original plain text document, this is marked using a # to start the line with the text for the header. You can also see that words or phrases that are formatted in a computer-style font in the final document—to indicate that they are values from computer code, rather than regular English words—are surrounded by backticks in the plain text file.

The final characteristics of knitted documents is that, to create the final document, you will render the plain text document. That is the process that will create an attractive final document. To visualize this, **rendering** is the process that takes the document from the plain text format, as shown in the left of Figure 3.5, to the final format, shown in the right of that figure.

When you render the document, it will be run through two software programs, as described earlier. The first will look only for sections with executable code, based on the character combination that is used to mark these executable code sections. This first software will execute that code and take any output—including data results, figures, and tables—and insert those at the relevant spot in the document’s file. Next, the output file from this software will be

Figure 3.5: The original plain text for a knitted document and the final output, side by side. These examples are from the ‘`xcms`’ package vignette, a package available on Bioconductor. The left part of the figure shows the plain text that was written to create the output, which is shown in the left part of the figure. You can see how elements like sections headers and different font styles are indicated in the original plain text through special characters or combinations of characters, using the Markdown language syntax.

run through another software program. This second program will look for all the formatting instructions and render the final document in an attractive format. This final output can be in a number of file formats, depending what you specify in the preamble, including a PDF document, an HTML file, or a Word document.

You should consider the final document, regardless of the output format, as read-only. This means that you should never make edits or changes to the final version of the document. Instead you should make any changes to your initial plain text file. This is because the rendering process will overwrite any previous versions of the final document. Therefore any changes that you have made to your final document will be overwritten anytime you re-render from the original plain text document.

3.8 RMarkdown for creating reproducible data pre-processing protocols

The R extension package RMarkdown can be used to create documents that combine code and text in a ‘knitted’ document, and it has become a popular tool for improving the computational reproducibility and efficiency of the data analysis stage of research. This tool can also be used earlier in the research process, however, to improve reproducibility of pre-processing steps. In this module, we will provide detailed instructions on how to use RMarkdown in RStudio to create documents that combine code and text. We will show how an RMarkdown document describing a data pre-processing protocol can be used to efficiently apply the same data pre-processing steps to different sets of raw data.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Define RMarkdown and the documents it can create
- Explain how RMarkdown can be used to improve the reproducibility of research projects at the data pre-processing phase
- Create a document in RStudio using RMarkdown
- Describe more advanced features of Rmarkdown and where you can find out more about them

3.8.1 Creating knitted documents in R

In the last module (3.7), we described what knitted documents are, as well as the advantages of using knitted documents to create data pre-processing protocols for common pre-processing tasks in your research group. We also described the key elements of creating a knitted document, regardless of the software system you are using. In this module, we will go into more detail about how you can create these documents using R and RStudio, and in the next module (3.9) we will walk through an example data pre-processing protocol created using this method. We strongly recommend that you read the previous module (3.7) before working through this one.

R has a special format for creating knitted documents called **Rmarkdown**. In the previous module, we talked about the elements of a knitted document, and later in this module we'll walk through how they apply to Rmarkdown. However, the easiest way to learn how to use Rmarkdown is to try an example, so we'll start with a very basic one. If you'd like to try it yourself, you'll need to download R and RStudio. The RStudio IDE can be downloaded and installed as a free software, as long as you use the personal version (RStudio creates higher-powered versions for corporate use).

Like other plain text documents, an Rmarkdown file should be edited using a text editor, rather than a word processor like Word or Google Docs. It is easiest to use the Rstudio IDE as the text editor when creating and editing an R markdown document, as this IDE has incorporated some helpful functionality for working with plain text documents for Rmarkdown. In RStudio, you can create a number of types of new files through the “File” menu. To create a new R markdown file, open RStudio and then choose “New File”, then choose “Rmarkdown” from the choices in that menu. Figure 3.6 shows an example of what this menu option looks like.




Figure 3.6: RStudio pull-down menus to help you navigate to open a new Rmarkdown file.

This will open a window with some options you can specify some of the overall information about the document (Figure 3.7), including the title and the author. You can specify the output format that you would like. Possible output formats include HTML, Word, and PDF. You should be able to use the HTML and Word output formats without any additional software, so we'll start there with this example. If you would like to use the PDF output, you will need to install one other piece of software: Miktex for Windows, MacTex for Mac, or TeX Live for Linux. These are all pieces of software with an underlying TeX engine and all are open-source and free. The example in the next module was created as a PDF using one of these tools.

Once you have selected the options in this menu you can choose the “Okay” button (Figure 3.7). This will open a new document. This document, however, won't be blank. Instead it will include an example document written in Rmarkdown (Figure 3.8). This example document helps you navigate how the Rmarkdown process works, by letting you test out a sample document. It also gives you a starting point—once you understand how the example document




Figure 3.7: Options available when you create a new Rmarkdown file in RStudio. You can specify information that will go into the document's preamble, including the title and authors and the format that the document will be output to (HTML, Word, or PDF).

works, you can edit it and change it to convert it into the document you would like to create.

If you have not used Rmarkdown before, it is very helpful to try knitting this example document before making changes, to explore how pieces in the document align with elements in the rendered output document. Once you are familiar with the line-up between elements in this file in the output document, you can delete parts of the example file and insert your own text and code.

Let's walk through and explore this example document, aligning it with the formatted output document (Figure 3.9). First, to render this or any Rmarkdown document, if you are in RStudio you can use the "Knit" button at the top of the file, as shown in Figure 3.10. When you click on this button, it will render the entire document to the output format you've selected (HTML, PDF, or Word). This rendering process will both run the executable code and apply all formatting. The final output (Figure 3.9, right) will pop up in a new window. As you start with Rmarkdown, it is useful to look at this output to see how it compares with the plain text Rmarkdown file (Figure 3.9, left).

You will also notice, after you first render the document, that your working directory has a new file with this output document. For example, if you are working to create an HTML document using an Rmarkdown file called "my_report.Rmd", once you knit your Rmarkdown file, you will notice a new file in your working directory called "my_report.html". This new file is your

```
---
title: "Untitled"
author: "Brooke Anderson"
date: "2/13/2021"
output: html_document
---

```{r setup, include=FALSE}
knitr::opts_chunk$set(echo = TRUE)
```

## R Markdown

This is an R Markdown document. Markdown is a simple formatting syntax for authoring HTML, PDF, and MS Word documents. For more details on using R Markdown see <http://rmarkdown.rstudio.com>.

When you click the **Knit** button a document will be generated that includes both content as well as the output of any embedded R code chunks within the document. You can embed an R code chunk like this:

```{r cars}
summary(cars)
```
```

Figure 3.8: Example of the template RMarkdown document that you will see when you create a new RMarkdown file in RStudio. You can explore this template and try rendering (knitting) it. Once you are familiar with how this example works, you can edit the text and code to adapt it for your own document.




Figure 3.9: Example of the template RMarkdown document that you will see when you create a new RMarkdown file in RStudio. You can explore this template and try rendering (knitting) it. Once you are familiar with how this example works, you can edit the text and code to adapt it for your own document.

```

1 ---  

2 title: "Untitled"  

3 author: "Brooke Anderson"  

4 date: "1/24/2021"  

5 output: html_document  

6 ---  

7  

8 ```{r setup, include=FALSE}  

9 knitr::opts_chunk$set(echo = TRUE)  

10 ...  

11  

12 ## R Markdown  

13  

14 This is an R Markdown document. Markdown is a simple formatting syntax for authoring  

HTML, PDF, and MS Word documents. For more details on using R Markdown see  

<http://rmarkdown.rstudio.com>.  

15  

16 When you click the **Knit** button a document will be generated that includes both  

content as well as the output of any embedded R code chunks within the document. You can  

embed an R code chunk like this:  

17  

18 ```{r cars}  

19 summary(cars)  

20 ...

```

Figure 3.10: Example of the template Rmarkdown document, highlighting buttons in RStudio that you can use to facilitate working with the document. The 'knit' button, highlighted at the top of the figure, will render the entire document. The green arrow, highlighted lower in the figure within a code chunk, can be used to run the code in that specific code chunk.

output file, the one that you would share with colleagues as a report. You should consider this output document to be read only—in other words, you can read and share this document, but you should not make any changes directly to this document, since they will be overwritten anytime you re-render the original Rmarkdown document.

Next, let's compare the example Rmarkdown document (the one that is given when you first open an Rmarkdown file in RStudio) with the output file that is created when you render this example document (Figure 3.9). If you look at the output document (Figure 3.9, right), you can notice how different elements align with pieces in the original Rmarkdown file (Figure 3.9). For example, the output document includes a header with the text “R Markdown”. This second-level header is created by the Markdown notation in the original file of:

```
## R Markdown
```

This header is formatted in a larger font than other text, and on a separate line—the exact formatting is specified within the style file for the Rmarkdown document, and will be applied to all second-level headers in the document. You can also see formatting specified through things like bold font for the word “Knit”, through the Markdown syntax ****Knit****, and a clickable link specified through the syntax <<http://rmarkdown.rstudio.com>>. At the beginning of the original document, you can see how elements like the title, author, date, and output format are specified in the YAML. Finally, you can see that special character combinations demarcate sections of executable code.

Let's look a little more closely in the next part of the module at how these elements of the Rmarkdown document work.

3.8.2 *Formatting text with Markdown in Rmarkdown*

If you remember from the last module, one element of knitted documents is that they are written in plain text, with all the formatting specified using a markup language. For the main text in an Rmarkdown document, all formatting is done using Markdown as the markup language. Markdown is a popular markup language, in part because it is a good bit simpler than other markup languages like HTML or LaTeX. This simplicity means that it is not quite as expressive as other markup languages. However, Markdown probably provides adequate formatting for at least 90% of the formatting you will typically want to do for a research report or pre-processing protocol, and by staying simpler, it is much easier to learn the Markdown syntax quickly compared to other markup languages.

As with other markup languages, Markdown uses special characters or combinations of characters to indicate formatting within the plain text of the original document. When the document is rendered, these markings are used by the software to create the formatting that you have specified in the final output document. Some example formatting symbols and conventions for Markdown include:

- to format a word or phrase in bold, surround it with two asterisks (**)
- to format a word or phrase in italics, surround it with one asterisk (*)
- to create a first-level header, put the header text on its own line, starting the line with #
- to create a second-level header, put the header text on its own line, starting the line with ##
- separate paragraphs with empty lines
- use hyphens to create bulleted lists

One thing to keep in mind when using Markdown, in terms of formatting, is that white space can be very important in specifying the formatting. For example when you specify a new paragraph, you must leave a blank line from your previous text. Similarly when you use a hash (#) to indicate a header, you must leave a blank space after the hash before the word or phrase that you want to be used in that header. To create a section header, you would write:

```
# Initial Data Inspection
```

On the other hand, if you forgot the space after the hash sign, like this:

```
#Initial Data Inspection
```

then in your ouput document you would get this:

```
#Initial Data Inspection
```

Similarly, white space is needed to separate paragraphs. For example, this would create two paragraphs:

This is a first paragraph.

This is a second.

Meanwhile this would create one:

This is a first paragraph.

This is still part of the first paragraph.

The syntax of Markdown is fairly simple and can be learned quickly. For more details on this syntax, you can refer to the Rmarkdown reference guide at <https://rstudio.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/rmarkdown-reference.pdf>. The basic formatting rules for Markdown are also covered in some more extensive resources for Rmarkdown that we will point you to later in this module.

3.8.3 Preambles in Rmarkdown documents

In the previous module, we explained how knitted documents include a preamble to specify some metadata about the document, including elements like the title, authors, and output format. In R, this preamble is created using YAML. In this subsection, we provide some more details on using this YAML section in Rmarkdown documents.

In an Rmarkdown document, the YAML is a special section at the top of an RMarkdown document (the original, plain text file, not the rendered version). It is set off from the rest of the document using a special combination of characters, using a process very similar to how executable code is set off from other text with a special set of characters so it can be easily identified by the software program that renders the document. For the YAML, this combination of characters is three hyphens (---) on a line by themselves to start the YAML section and then another three on a line by themselves to end it. Here is an example of what the YAML might look like at the top of an RMarkdown document:

```
---
title: "Laboratory report for example project"
author: "Brooke Anderson"
date: "1/12/2020"
output: word_document
---
```

Within the YAML itself, you can specify different options for your document. You can change simple things like the title, author, and date, but you can also change more complex things, including how the output document is rendered. For each thing that you want to specify, you specify it with a special keyword for that option and then a valid choice for that keyword. The idea is very similar to setting parameter values in a function call in R. For example, the

title: keyword is a valid one in RMarkdown YAML. It allows you to set the words that will be printed in the title space, using title formatting, in your output document. It can take any string of characters, so you can put in any text for the title that you'd like, as long as you surround it with quotation marks. The author: and date: keywords work in similar ways. The output: keyword allows you to specify the output that the document should be rendered to. In this case, the keyword can only take one of a few set values, including word_document to output a Word document, pdf_document to output a pdf document (see later in this section for some more set-up required to make that work), and html_document to output an HTML document.

As you start using RMarkdown, you will be able to do a lot without messing with the YAML much. In fact, you can get a long way without ever changing the values in the YAML from the default values they are given when you first create an RMarkdown document. As you become more familiar with R, you may want to learn more about how the YAML works and how you can use it to customize your document—it turns out that quite a lot can be set in the YAML to do very interesting customizations in your final rendered document. The book *R Markdown: The Definitive Guide* (Xie et al., 2018), which is available free online, has sections discussing YAML choices for both HTML and pdf output, at <https://bookdown.org/yihui/rmarkdown/html-document.html> and <https://bookdown.org/yihui/rmarkdown/pdf-document.html>, respectively. There is also a talk that Yihui Xie, the creator of RMarkdown, gave on this topic at a past RStudio conference, available at <https://rstudio.com/resources/rstudioconf-2017/customizing-extending-r-markdown/>.

3.8.4 Executable code in Rmarkdown files

In the previous module, we described how knitted documents use special markers to indicate where sections of executable code start and stop. In RMarkdown, the markers you will use to indicate executable code look like this:

```
```r{}  
my_object <- c(1, 2, 3)
~~~
```

In RMarkdown, the following combination indicates the start of executable code:

```
~~~{r}
```

while this combination indicates the end of executable code (in other words the start of regular text):

```
~~~
```

In the example above, we have shown the most basic version of the markup character combination used to specify the start of executable code (~`{r}). This character combination can be expanded, however, to include some specifications for how you want the code in the section following it to be run, as well

as how you want output to be shown. For example, you could use the following indications to specify that the code should be run, but the code itself should not be printed in the final document, by specifying echo = FALSE, as well as that the created figure should be centered on the page, by specifying fig.align = "center":

```
```{r echo = FALSE, fig.align = "center"}
```

There are numerous options that can be used to specify how the code will be run. These specifications are called **chunk options**, and you specify them in the special character combination where you mark the start of executable code. For example, you can specify that the code should be printed in the document, but not executed, by setting the eval parameter to FALSE with ```{r eval = FALSE} as the marker to start the code section.

The chunk options also include echo, which can be used to specify whether to print the code in that code chunk when the document is rendered. For some documents, it is useful to print out the code that is executed, where for other documents you may not want that printed. For example, for a pre-processing protocol, you are aiming to show yourself and others how the pre-processing was done. In this case, it is very helpful to print out all of the code, so that future researchers who read that protocol can clearly see each step. By contrast, if you are using Rmarkdown to create a report or an article that is focused on the results of your analysis, it may make more sense to instead hide the code in the final document.

As part of the code options, you can also specify whether messages and warnings created when running the code should be included in the document output, and there are number of code chunk options that specify how tables and figures rendered by the code should be shown. For more details on the possible options that can be specified for how code is evaluated within an executable chunk of code, you can refer to the Rmarkdown cheat sheet available at <https://rstudio.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/rmarkdown-cheatsheet.pdf>

RStudio has some functionality that is useful when you are working with code in Rmarkdown documents. Within each code chuck are some buttons that can be used to test out the code in that chunk of executable code. One is the green right arrow key to the right at the top of the code chunk, highlighted in Figure 3.10. This button will run all of the code in that chunk and show you the output in an output field that will open directly below the code chunk. This functionality allows you to explore the code in your document as you build it, rather than waiting until you are ready to render the entire document. The button directly to the left of that button, which looks like an upward-pointing arrow over a rectangle, will execute all code that comes before this chunk in the document. This can be very helpful in making sure that you have set up your environment to run this particular chunk of code.

### 3.8.5 More advanced Rmarkdown functionality

The details and resources that we have covered so far focus on the basics of Rmarkdown. You can get a lot done just with these basics. However, the Rmarkdown system is very rich and allows complex functionality beyond these basics. In this subsection, we will highlight just a few of the ways Rmarkdown can be used in a more advanced way. Since this topic is so broad, we will focus on elements that we have found to be particularly useful for biomedical researchers as they become more advanced Rmarkdown users. For the most part, we will not go into extensive detail about how to use these more advanced features in this module, but instead point to resources where you can learn more as you are ready. If you are just learning Rmarkdown, at this point it will be helpful to just know that some of these advanced features are available, so you can come back and explore them when you become familiar with the basics. However, we will provide more details for one advanced element that we find particularly useful in creating data pre-processing protocols: including bibliographical references.

#### Including bibliographical references.

To include references in RMarkdown documents, you can use something called **BibTeX**. This is a software system that is free and open-source and works in concert with LaTeX and other markup languages. It allows you to save bibliographical information in a plain text file—following certain rules—and then reference that information in a document. In this way, it can serve the role of a bibliographical reference manager (like Endnote or Mendeley) while being free and keeping all information in plain text files, where they can easily be tracked with version control like Git. By using BibTeX with RMarkdown, you can include bibliographical references in the documents that you create, and Rmarkdown will handle the creation of the references section and the numbering of the documents within your text.

To use BibTeX to add references to an RMarkdown document, you'll need to take three steps:

1. Create a plain text file with listings for each of your references (**BibTeX file**). Save this file with the extension .bib. These listings need to follow a special format, which we'll describe in just a minute.
2. In your RMarkdown document, include the filepath to this BibTeX file, so that RMarkdown will be able to find the bibliographical listings.
3. In the text of the RMarkdown file, include a key and special character combination anytime you want to reference a paper. This referencing also follows a special format, which we'll describe below.

Let's look at each of these steps in a bit more detail. The first step is to create a plain text file with a listing for each of the documents that you'd like to cite. The plain text document should be saved with the file extension .bib (for example, "mybibliography.bib"), and the listings for each document in the file must follow specific rules.

Let's take a look at one to explore these rules. Here's an example of a BibTeX listing for a scientific article:


```
@article{fox2020,
  title={Cyto-feature engineering: A pipeline for flow cytometry
         analysis to uncover immune populations and associations with
         disease},
  author={Fox, Amy and Dutt, Taru S and Karger, Burton and Rojas,
          Mauricio and Obreg{\'o}n-Henao, Andr{\'e}s and
          Anderson, G Brooke and Henao-Tamayo, Marcela},
  journal={Scientific Reports},
  volume={10},
  number={1},
  pages={1--12},
  year={2020}
}
```

You can see that this listing is for an article, because it starts with the keyword `@article`. BibTeX can record a number of different types of documents, including articles, books, and websites. You start by specifying the document type because different types of documents need to include different elements in their listings. For example, a website should include the date when it was last accessed, while an article typically will not.

Within the curly brackets for the listing shown above, there are key-value pairs—elements where the type of value is given with a keyword (e.g., `title`), and then the value for that element is given after an equals sign. For example, to specify the journal in which the article was published, this listing has `journal={Scientific Reports}`. Finally, the listing has a key that you will use to identify the listing in the main text. In this case, the listing is given the key `fox2020`, which combines the first author and publication year. You can use any keys you like for the items in the bibliography, as long as they are different for every listing, so that the computer can identify which bibliographical listing you are referring to when you use a key.

This format may seem overwhelming, but fortunately you will rarely have to create these listings by hand. Instead, you can get them directly from Google Scholar. To do this, look up the paper on Google Scholar (Figure 3.11). When you see it, look for a small quotation mark symbol at the bottom of the article listing (shown with the top red arrow in Figure 3.11). If you click on this, it will open a pop-up with the citation for the article. At the bottom of that pop-up is a link that says “BibTeX” (bottom red arrow in Figure 3.11). If you click on that, it will take you to a page that gives the full BibTeX listing for that article, and you can just copy and paste this into your plain text BibTeX file.

Once you have this plain text BibTeX file, you will tell your computer how to find it by including its path in the YAML. For example, if you created a BibTeX file called “`mybibliography.tex`” and saved it in the same directory as a



RMarkdown document, you could use the following to indicate this file for the RMarkdown document:

```
---
title: "Reproducible Research with R"
author: "Brooke Anderson"
date: "1/25/2021"
output: beamer_presentation
bibliography: mybibliography.bib
---
```

This shows the YAML for the document—the part that goes at the beginning of the RMarkdown document and gives some metadata and overall instructions for the document. In this example, we've added an extra line: `bibliography: mybibliography.bib`. This says that you'd like to link to a BibTeX file when this document is rendered, as well as where to find that file (the file named "mybibliography.bib" in the directory of the RMarkdown file).

Now that you have created the BibTeX file and told the RMarkdown file where to find it, you can connect the two. As you write in the RMarkdown file, you can refer to any of your BibTeX listings by using the key that you set for that document. For example, if you wanted to reference the Fox et al. paper we used in the example listing above, you would use the key that we set for

Figure 3.11: Example of using Google Scholar to get bibliographical information for a BibTeX file. When you look up an article on Google Scholar, there is an option (the quotation mark icon under the article listing) to open a pop-up window with bibliographical information. At the bottom of this pop-up box, you can click on 'BibTeX' to get a plain text version of the BibTeX entry for the article. You can copy and paste this into your BibTeX file.

that listing, `fox2020`. You will follow a special convention when you reference this key: you'll use the `@` symbol directly followed by that key. Typically, you will surround this with square brackets. Therefore, to reference the Fox et al. paper, you'd use `[@fox2021]`.

Here's how that might look in practice. If you write in the RMarkdown document:

```
This technique follows earlier work [@fox2020].
```

In the output from rendering that RMarkdown document you'd get:

"This technique follows earlier work (Fox et al. 2020)."

The full paper details will then be included at the end of the document, in a reference section.

#### **Other advanced Rmarkdown functionality**

There are a number of other advanced things that you can do with Rmarkdown, once you have mastered the basics. First, you can use Rmarkdown to build different types of documents, not just reports in Word, PDF, or HTML. For example, you can use the `bookdown` package to create entire online and print books using the Rmarkdown framework. This book of modules was created using this system. You can also create websites and web dashboards, using the `blogdown` and `flexdashboard` packages, respectively. The `blogdown` package allows you to create professionally-styled websites, including blog sections where you can include R code and results. Figure 3.12 gives an example of a website created using `blogdown`—you can see the full website here if you'd like to check out some of the features that this framework provides. The `flexdashboard` package lets you create "dashboards" with data, similar to the dashboards that many public health departments used during the COVID-19 pandemic to share case numbers in specific counties and states.

With Rmarkdown, you can also create reports that are more customized than the default style that we explored above. First, you can create templates that add customized styling to the document. In fact, many journals have created journal-specific templates that you can use in Rmarkdown. With these templates, you can write up your research results in a reproducible way, using Rmarkdown, and submit the resulting document directly to the journal, in the correct format. An example of the first page of an article created in Rmarkdown using one of these article templates is shown in Figure 3.13 (Wendt and Anderson, 2022). The `rticles` package in R provides these templates for several different journal families.

Rmarkdown also has some features that make it easy to run code that is computationally expensive or code that is written in another programming language. If code takes a long time to run, there are options in Rmarkdown to `cache` the results—that is, run the code once when you render the document, and then only re-run it in later renderings if the inputs have changed. Rmarkdown does this through by saving intermediate results, as well as using a

CSU MSMB Group Study    People Posts Schedule Location Syllabus     

# CSU Group Study

**Modern Statistics for Modern Biology**

Spring 2020

Thursdays, 3:00–5:00 PM

Weber 223H



Figure 3.12: Example of a website created using blogdown, leveraging the Rmarkdown framework.

system to remember which pieces of code depend on which earlier code. With very computationally expensive code, it can be a big time saver, although it can also use more storage, since it is saving more results. To include code in languages other than R, you can change something called the **engine** of the code chunk. Essentially, this is the language that your computer will use to run the code in that chunk. You can change the engine so that certain chunks of code are run using Python, Julia, and other languages by specifying the engine you'd like to use in the marker in the document that indicates the start of a piece of executable code. Earlier in this module, we showed you that executable code is normally introduced in Rmarkdown with `~~{r}`. The *r* in this string is specifying that the R engine should be used to run the code.

Finally, Rmarkdown allows you to create very customized formatting, as you move into more advanced ways to use the framework. As mentioned earlier, Markdown is a fairly simple markup language. Occasionally, this simplicity means that you might not be able to create fancier formatting that you might desire. There is a method that allows you to work around this constraint in RMarkdown.

In Rmarkdown documents, when you need more complex formatting, you can shift into a more complex markup language for part of the document. Markup languages like LaTeX and HTML are much more expressive than Markdown, with many more formatting choices possible. However, there is a downside—when you include formatting specified in these more complex markup languages, you will limit the output formats that you can render the document to. For example, if you include LaTeX formatting within an RMarkdown document, you must output the document to PDF, while if you include

## Ten simple rules for finding and selecting R packages

Caroline J. Wendt <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup>, G. Brooke Anderson <sup>3</sup> \*

**1** Department of Statistics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, United States of America  
**2** Department of Mathematics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, United States of America  
**3** Department of Environmental & Radiological Health Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, United States of America

\* Corresponding author: [Brooke.Anderson@colostate.edu](mailto:Brooke.Anderson@colostate.edu)

### Abstract

R is an increasingly preferred software environment for data analytics and statistical computing among scientists and practitioners. Packages markedly extend R's utility and ameliorate inefficient solutions to data science problems. We outline ten simple rules for finding relevant packages and determining which package is best for your desired use. We begin in Rule 1 with tips on how to consider your purpose, which will guide your search to follow, where, in Rule 2, you'll learn best practices for finding and collecting options. Rules 3 and 4 will help you navigate packages' profiles and explore the extent of their online resources, so that you can be confident in the quality of the package you choose, and assured that you'll be able to access support. In Rules 5 and 6, you'll become familiar with how the R Community evaluates packages, and learn how to assess the popularity and utility of packages for yourself. Rules 7 and 8 will teach you how to investigate and track package development processes, so you can further evaluate their merit. We end in Rules 9 and 10 with more hands-on approaches, which involve digging into package code.

### Disclaimer

GBA is a volunteer associate editor at ROpenSci and is an instructor through the Coursera platform, both of which are mentioned as potential resources in this article. The views described here reflect the authors' own views without input from any third party organization.

### Funding acknowledgment

This research was supported in part by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences through R25GM132797 (GBA). CJW also received support from the Honors Undergraduate Program at Colorado State University. The funders had no role in the manuscript preparation or the decision to publish.

Figure 3.13: Example of a manuscript written in Rmarkdown using a template. This figure shows the first page of an article written for submission to PLoS Computation Biology, written in Rmarkdown while using the PLoS template from the 'rticles' package (Wendt and Anderson 2022).

HTML, you must output to an HTML file. Conversely, if you stick with the simpler formatting available through the Markdown syntax, you can easily switch the output format for your document among several choices.

One area of customization that is particularly useful and simple to implement is with customized tables. The Markdown syntax can create very simple tables, but does not allow the creation of more complex tables. There is an R package called `kableExtra` that allows you to create very attractive and complex tables in RMarkdown documents. This package leverages more of the power of underlying markup languages, rather than the simpler Markdown language. The `kableExtra` package is extensively documented through two vignettes that come with the package, one if the output will be in pdf ([https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/kableExtra/vignettes/awesome\\_table\\_in\\_pdf.pdf](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/kableExtra/vignettes/awesome_table_in_pdf.pdf)) and one if it will be in HTML ([https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/kableExtra/vignettes/awesome\\_table\\_in\\_html.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/kableExtra/vignettes/awesome_table_in_html.html)).

### 3.8.6 Learning more about Rmarkdown.

To learn more about RMarkdown, you can explore a number of excellent resources. The most comprehensive are shared by RStudio, where RMarkdown's developer and maintainer, Yihui Xie, works. These resources are all freely available online, and some are also available to buy as print books, if you prefer that format.

First, you should check out the online tutorials that are provided by RStudio on RMarkdown. These are available at RStudio's RMarkdown page: <https://rmarkdown.rstudio.com/>. The page's "Getting Started" section (<https://rmarkdown.rstudio.com/lesson-1.html>) provides a nice introduction you can work through to try out RMarkdown and practice the overview provided in the last subsection of this module. The "Articles" section (<https://rmarkdown.rstudio.com/articles.html>) provides a number of other documents to help you learn RMarkdown. RStudio's RMarkdown page also includes a "Gallery" (<https://rmarkdown.rstudio.com/gallery.html>). This resource allows you to browse through example documents, so you can get a visual idea of what you might want to create and then access the example code for a similar document. This is a great resource for exploring the variety of documents that you can create using RMarkdown.

To go more deeply into RMarkdown, there are two online books from some of the same team that are available online. The first is *R Markdown: The Definitive Guide* by Yihui Xie, J. J. Allaire, and Garrett Grolemund (Xie et al., 2018). This book is available free online at <https://bookdown.org/yihui/rmarkdown/>. It moves from basics through very advanced functionality that you can implement with RMarkdown, including several of the topics we highlight later in this subsection.

The second online book to explore from this team is *R Markdown Cook-*

book, by Yihui Xie, Christophe Dervieux, and Emily Riederer (Xie et al., 2020). This book is available free online at <https://bookdown.org/yihui/rmarkdown-cookbook/>. This book is a helpful resource for dipping in to a specific section when you want to learn how to achieve a specific task. Just like a regular cookbook has recipes that you can explore and use one at a time, this book does not require a comprehensive end-to-end read, but instead provides “recipes” with advice and instructions for doing specific things. For example, if you want to figure out how to align a figure that you create in the center of the page, rather than the left, you can find a “recipe” in this book to do that.

### *3.9 Example: Creating a reproducible data pre-processing protocol*

We will walk through an example of creating a reproducible data pre-processing protocol. As an example, we will look at how to pre-process and analyze data that are collected in the laboratory to estimate bacterial load in samples. These data come from plating samples from an immunological experiment at serial dilutions, using data from an experiment lead by one of the coauthors. This data pre-processing protocol was created using RMarkdown and allows the efficient, transparent, and reproducible pre-processing of plating data for all experiments in the research group. We will go through how RMarkdown techniques can be applied to develop this type of data pre-processing protocol for a laboratory research group.

**Objectives.** After this module, you should be able to:

- Explain how a reproducible data pre-processing protocol can be developed for a real research project
- Understand how to design and implement a data pre-processing protocol to replace manual or point-and-click data pre-processing tools
- Apply techniques in RMarkdown to develop your own reproducible data pre-processing protocols

#### *3.9.1 Introduction and example data*

In this module, we’ll provide advice and an example of how you can use the tools for knitted documents to create a reproducible data pre-processing protocol. This module builds on ideas and techniques that were introduced in the last two modules (3.7 and 3.8), to help you put them into practical use for data pre-processing that you do repeatedly for research data in your laboratory.

In this module, we will use an example of a common pre-processing task in immunological research: estimating the bacterial load in samples by plating at different dilutions. For this type of experiment, the laboratory researcher plates each of the samples at several dilutions, identifies a good dilution for counting colony-forming units (CFUs), and then back-calculates the estimated bacterial load in the original sample based on the colonies counted at this “good” dilution. This experimental technique dates back to the late 1800s, with Robert

Koch, and continues to be widely used in microbiology research and applications today (Ben-David and Davidson, 2014). These data are originally from an experiment in one of our authors' laboratory and are also available as example data for an R package called `bactcountr`, currently under development at <https://github.com/aef1004/bactcountr/tree/master/data>.

These data are representative of data often collected in immunological research. For example, you may be testing out some drugs against an infectious bacteria and want to know how successful different drugs are in limiting bacterial load. You run an experiment and have samples from animals treated with different drugs or under control and would then want to know how much viable (i.e., replicating) bacteria are in each of your samples.

You can find out by plating the sample at different dilutions and counting the colony-forming units (CFUs) that are cultured on each plate. You put a sample on a plate with a medium they can grow on and then give them time to grow. The idea is that individual bacteria from the original sample end up randomly around the surface of the plate, and any that are viable (able to reproduce) will form a new colony that, after a while, you'll be able to see.

To get a good estimate of bacterial load from this process, you need to count CFUs on a “countable” plate—one with a “just right” dilution (and you typically won’t know which dilution this is for a sample until after plating). If you have too high of a dilution (i.e., one with very few viable bacteria), randomness will play a big role in the CFU count, and you’ll estimate the original bacterial load with more variability. If you have too low of a dilution (i.e., one with lots of viable bacteria), it will be difficult to identify separate colonies, and they may compete for resources. To translate from diluted concentration to original concentration, you can then do a back-calculation, incorporating both the number of colonies counted at that dilution and how dilute the sample was. There is therefore some pre-processing required (although it is fairly simple) to prepare the data collected to get an estimate of bacterial load in the original sample. This estimate of bacterial load can then be used in statistical testing and combined with other experimental data to explore questions like whether a candidate vaccine reduces bacterial load when a research animal is challenged with a pathogen.

We will use this example of a common data pre-processing task to show how to create a reproducible pre-processing protocol in this module. If you would like, you can access all the components of the example pre-processing protocol and follow along, re-rendering it yourself on your own computer. The example data are available as a csv file, downloadable [here](#). You can open this file using spreadsheet software, or look at it directly in RStudio. The final pre-processing protocol for these data can also be downloaded, including both the original RMarkdown file and the output PDF document. Throughout this module, we will walk through elements of this document, to provide an example as we explain the process of developing data pre-processing modules for common tasks in your research group. We recommend that you go ahead

and read through the output PDF document, to get an idea for the example protocol that we're creating.

This example is intentionally simple, to allow a basic introduction to the process using pre-processing tasks that are familiar to many laboratory-based scientists and easy to explain to anyone who has not used plating in experimental work. However, the same general process can also be used to create pre-processing protocols for data that are much larger or more complex or for pre-processing pipelines that are much more involved. For example, this process could be used to create data pre-processing protocols for automated gating of flow cytometry data or for pre-processing data collected through single cell RNA sequencing.

### 3.9.2 *Advice on designing a pre-processing protocol*

Before you write your protocol in a knitted document, you should decide on the content to include in the protocol. This section provides tips on this design process. In this section, we'll describe some key steps in designing a data pre-processing protocol:

1. Defining input and output data for the protocol;
2. Setting up a project directory for the protocol;
3. Outlining key tasks in pre-processing the input data; and
4. Adding code for pre-processing.

We will illustrate these design steps using the example protocol on pre-processing plating data.

#### **Defining input and output data for the protocol.**

The first step in designing the data pre-processing protocol is to decide on the starting point for the protocol (the data input) and the ending point (the data output). It may make sense to design a separate protocol for each major type of data that you collect in your research laboratory. Your input data for the protocol, under this design, might be the data that is output from a specific type of equipment (e.g., flow cytometer) or from a certain type of sample or measurement (e.g., metabolomics run on a mass spectrometer), even if it is a fairly simple type of data (e.g., CFUs from plating data, as used in the example protocol for this module). For example, say you are working with three types of data for a research experiment: data from a flow cytometer, metabolomics data measured with a mass spectrometer, and bacterial load data measured by plating data and counting colony forming units (CFUs). In this case, you may want to create three pre-processing protocols: one for the flow data, one for the metabolomics data, and one for the CFU data. These protocols are modular and can be re-used with other experiments that use any of these three types of data.

With an example dataset, you can begin to create a pre-processing protocol before you collect any of your own research data for a new experiment. If the

format of the initial data is similar to the format you anticipate for your data, you can create the code and explanations for key steps in your pre-processing for that type of data. Often, you will be able to adapt the RMarkdown document to change it from inputting the example data to inputting your own experimental data with minimal complications, once your data comes in. By thinking through and researching data pre-processing options before the data is collected, you can save time in analyzing and presenting your project results once you've completed the experimental data collection for the project. Further, with an example dataset, you can get a good approximation of the format in which you will output data from the pre-processing steps. This will allow you to begin planning the analysis and visualization that you will use to combine the different types of data from your experiment and use it to investigate important research hypotheses. Again, if data follow standardized formats across steps in your process, it will often be easy to adapt the code in the protocol to input the new dataset that you created, without major changes to the code developed with the example dataset.

While pre-processing protocols for some types of data might be very complex, others might be fairly simple. However, it is still worthwhile to develop a protocol even for simple pre-processing tasks, as it allows you to pass along some of the details of pre-processing the data that might have become “common sense” to longer-tenured members of your research group. For example, the pre-processing tasks in the example protocol are fairly simple. This protocol inputs data collected in a plain-text delimited file (a csv file, in the example). Within the protocol, there are steps to convert initial measurements from plating at different dilutions into an estimate of the bacterial load in each sample. There are also sections in the protocol for exploratory data analysis, to allow for quality assessment and control of the collected data as part of the pre-processing. The output of the protocol is a simple data object (a dataframe, in this example) with the bacterial load for each original sample. These data are now ready to be used in tables and figures in the research report or manuscript, as well as to explore associations with the experimental design details (e.g., comparing bacterial load in treated versus untreated animals) or merged with other types of experimental data (e.g., comparing immune cell populations, as measured with flow cytometry data, with bacterial loads, as measured from plating and counting CFUs).

Once you have identified the input data type to use for the protocol, you should identify an example dataset from your laboratory that you can use to create the protocol. This could be a dataset that you currently need to pre-process, in which case the development of the protocol will serve a second purpose, allowing you to complete this task at the same time. However, you may not have a new set of data of this type that you currently need to pre-process, and in this case you can build your protocol using a dataset from a previous experiment in your laboratory. In this case, you may already have a record of the steps that you used to pre-process the data previously, and

these can be helpful as a starting point as you draft the more thorough pre-processing protocol. You may want to select an example dataset that you have already published or are getting ready to publish, so you won't feel awkward about making the data available for people to practice with. If you don't have an example dataset from your own laboratory, you can explore example datasets that are already available, either as data included with existing R packages or through open repositories, including those hosted through national research institutions like the NIH. In this case, be sure to cite the source of the data and include any available information about the equipment that was used to collect it, including equipment settings used when the data were collected.

For the example protocol for this module, we want to pre-process data that were collected "by hand" by counting CFUs on plates in the laboratory. These counts were recorded in a plain text delimited file (a csv file) using spreadsheet software. The spreadsheet was set up to ensure the data can easily be converted to a "tidy" format, as described in module 2.3. The first few rows of the input data look like this:

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 6
##   group replicate dilution_0 dilution_1 dilution_2 dilution_3
##   <dbl> <chr>      <chr>      <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1     2 2-A       26          10          0          0
## 2     2 2-B       TNTC        52          10          5
## 3     2 2-C       0           0           0          0
## 4     3 3-A       0           0           0          0
## 5     3 3-B       TNTC        TNTC        30         10
## 6     3 3-C       0           0           0          0
```

Each row represents the number of bacterial colonies counted after plating a certain sample, where each sample represents one experimental animal and several experimental animals (replicates) were considered for each experimental group. Columns are included with values for the experimental group of the sample (group), the specific ID of the sample within that experimental group (replicate, e.g., 2-A is mouse A in experimental group 2), and the colony-forming units (CFUs) counted at each of several dilutions. If a cell has the value "TNTC", this indicates that CFUs were too numerous to count for that sample at that dilution.

When you have identified the input data type you will use for the protocol, as well as selected an example dataset of this type to use to create the protocol, you can include a section in the protocol that describes these input data, what file format they are in, and how they can be read into R for pre-processing (Figure 3.14).

For the data output, it often makes sense to plan for data in a format that is appropriate for data analysis and for merging with other types of data collected from the experiment. The aim of pre-processing is to get the data from the format in which they were collected into a format that is meaningful for combining

### Data input in final pdf output of the protocol

**Reading data into R**

```
The data are stored in a comma-separated plain text file called "cfu_data.csv." They can be read into R using the following code:
library(tidyverse)
cfu_data <- read_csv("cfu_data.csv")
head(cfu_data)

## # A tibble: 6 x 6
##   group replicate dilution_0 dilution_1 dilution_2 dilution_3
##   <dbl> <chr>      <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1     2 2-A       26          10          0          0
## 2     2 2-B       TNTC        52          10          5
## 3     2 2-C       0           0           0           0
## 4     3 3-A       0           0           0           0
## 5     3 3-B       TNTC        30          10          0
## 6     3 3-C       0           0           0           0
```

Once you run this command, the data will be available in your R session in the object `cfu_data`. You can see the first few rows by running:

```
head(cfu_data)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 6
##   group replicate dilution_0 dilution_1 dilution_2 dilution_3
##   <dbl> <chr>      <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1     2 2-A       26          10          0          0
## 2     2 2-B       TNTC        52          10          5
## 3     2 2-C       0           0           0           0
## 4     3 3-A       0           0           0           0
## 5     3 3-B       TNTC        30          10          0
## 6     3 3-C       0           0           0           0
```

### Associated inputs in the RMarkdown file

**# Reading data into R**

```
The data are stored in a comma-separated plain text file called "cfu_data.csv." They can be read into R using the following code:
```{r}
library(tidyverse)
cfu_data <- read_csv("cfu_data.csv")
head(cfu_data)
```

Once you run this command, the data will be available in your R session in the object `cfu_data`. You can see the first few rows by running:
```

```
```{r}
head(cfu_data)
```
```

with other types of data from the experiment and using in statistical hypothesis testing.

In the example pre-processing protocol, we ultimately output a simple dataset, with one row for each of the original samples. The first few rows of this output data are:

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 3
##   group replicate cfu_in_organ
##   <dbl> <chr>      <dbl>
## 1     2 2-A       260
## 2     2 2-B       2500
## 3     2 2-C        0
## 4     3 3-A        0
## 5     3 3-B       7500
## 6     3 3-C        0
```

For each original sample, an estimate of the CFUs of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in the full spleen is given (`cfu_in_organ`). These data can now be merged with other data collected about each animal in the experiment. For example, they could be joined with data that provide measures of the immune cell populations for each animal, to explore if certain immune cells are associated with bacterial load. They could also be joined with experimental information and then used in hypothesis testing. For example, these data could be merged with a table that describes which groups were controls versus which used a certain vaccine, and then a test could be conducted exploring evidence that bacterial loads in animals given a vaccine were lower than in control animals.

#### Setting up a project directory for the protocol

Figure 3.14: Providing details on input data in the pre-processing protocol. Once you have an example data file for the type of data that will be input for the protocol, you can add a section that provides the code to read the data into R. You can also add code that will show the first few rows of the example dataset, as well as a description of the data. This figure shows examples of how these elements can be added to an RMarkdown file for a pre-processing protocol, and the associated elements in the final pdf of the protocol, using the example protocol for this module.

Once you have decided on the input and output data formats, you will next want to set up a file directory for storing all the inputs needed in the protocol. You can include the project files for the protocol in an RStudio Project (see module 2.6) and post this either publicly or privately on GitHub (see modules 2.9–2.11). This creates a “packet” of everything that a reader needs to use to recreate what you did—they can download the whole GitHub repository and will have a nice project directory on their computer with everything they need to try out the protocol.

Part of the design of the protocol involves deciding on the files that should be included in this project directory. Figure 3.15 provides an example of the initial files included in the project directory for the example protocol for this module. The left side of the figure shows the files that are initially included, while the right side shows the files in the project after the code in the protocol is run.

Generally, in the project directory you should include a file with the input example data, in whatever file format you will usually collect this type of data. You will also include an RMarkdown file where the protocol is written. If you are planning to cite articles and other references, you can include a BibTeX file, with the bibliographical information for each source you plan to cite (see module 3.8). Finally, if you would like to include photographs or graphics, you can include these image files in the project directory. Often, you might want to group these together in a subdirectory of the project named something like “figures”.

Once you run the RMarkdown file for the protocol, you will generate additional files in the project. Two typical files you will generate will be the output file for the protocol (in the example, this is output to a pdf file). Usually, the code in the protocol will also result in output data, which is pre-processed through the protocol code and written into a file to be used in further analysis.

| Initial files in project directory                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Final files in project directory                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  cfu_data.csv 402 B<br> example_bib.bib 2.7 KB<br> example_protocol.Rmd 31.9 KB<br> figures |  cfu_data.csv 402 B<br> example_bib.bib 2.7 KB<br> example_protocol.pdf 4 MB<br> example_protocol.Rmd 31.9 KB<br> figures<br> processed_cfu_estimates.csv 231 B |

#### Outlining key tasks in pre-processing the input data.


The next step is to outline the key tasks that are involved in moving from the data input to the desired data output. For the plating data we are using for our example, the key tasks to be included in the pre-processing protocol are:

1. Read the data into R
2. Explore the data and perform some quality checks

Figure 3.15: Example of files in the project directory for a data pre-processing protocol. On the left are the files initially included in the project directory for the example protocol for this module. These include a file with the input data (cfu\_data.csv), a BibTeX file with bibliographical information for references (example\_bib.bib), the RMarkdown file for the protocol (example\_protocol.Rmd), and a subdirectory with figures to include in the protocol (figures). On the right is shown the directory after the code in the protocol RMarkdown document is run, which creates an output pdf with the protocol (example\_protocol.pdf) as well as the output data (processed\_cfu\_estimates.csv).

3. Identify a “good” dilution for each sample—one at which you have a countable plate
4. Estimate the bacterial load in each original sample based on the CFUs counted at that dilution
5. Output data with the estimated bacterial load for each sample

Once you have this basic design, you can set up the RMarkdown file for the pre-processing protocol to include a separate section for each task, as well as an “Overview” section at the beginning to describe the overall protocol, the data being pre-processed, and the laboratory procedures used to collect those data. In RMarkdown, you can create first-level section headers by putting the text for the header on its own line and beginning that line with #, followed by a space. You should include a blank line before and after the line with this header text. Figure 3.16 shows how this is done in the example protocol for this module, showing how text in the plain text RMarkdown file for the protocol align with section headers in the final pdf output of the protocol.



#### Adding code for pre-processing.

For many of these steps, you likely have code—or can start drafting the code—required for that step. In RMarkdown, you can test this code as you write it. You insert each piece of executable code within a special section, separated from the regular text with special characters, as described in previous modules.

For any pre-processing steps that are straightforward (e.g., calculating the dilution factor in the example module, which requires only simple mathematical operations), you can directly write in the code required for the step. For other

Figure 3.16: Dividing an RMarkdown data pre-processing protocol into sections. This shows an example of creating section headers in a data pre-processing protocol created with RMarkdown, showing section headers in the example pre-protocol for this module.

pre-processing steps, however, the algorithm may be a bit more complex.

For example, complex algorithms have been developed for steps like peak identification and alignment that are required when pre-processing data from a mass spectrometer.

For these more complex tasks, you can start to explore available R packages for performing the task. There are thousands of packages available that extend the basic functionality of R, providing code implementations of algorithms in a variety of scientific fields. Many of the R packages relevant for biological data—especially high-throughput biological data—are available through a repository called Bioconductor. These packages are all open-source (so you can explore their code if you want to) and free. You can use vignettes and package manuals for Bioconductor packages to identify the different functions you can use for your pre-processing steps. Once you have identified a function for the task, you can use the helpfile for the function to see how to use it. This help documentation will allow you to determine all of the function's parameters and the choices you can select for each.

You can add each piece of code in the RMarkdown version of the protocol using the standard method for RMarkdown (module 3.8). Figure 3.17 shows an example from the example protocol for this module. Here, we are using code to help identify a “good” dilution for counting CFUs for each sample. The code is included in an executable code chunk, and so it will be run each time the protocol is rendered. Code comments are included in the code to provide finer-level details about what the code is doing.

#### Pre-processing code in final pdf output of the protocol

```
Here is the code we used to identify the best dilution level for each sample, based on these criteria:
cfu_data <- cfu_data %>%
  # For each dilution, calculate how far the CFUs counted on the plate are
  # from 25.
  mutate(diff_from_25 = abs(CFU - 25)) %>%
  # For each original sample (ID-ed by the 'replicate' column), determine
  # first if CFUs are 0 at all dilutions and second which dilution
  # had a CFU count closest to 25. Finally, include a check to see if there
  # are non-zero ties for the sample, in terms of plates with non-zero CFU counts
  # equally close to 25.
  group_by(replicate) %>%
  mutate(all_zeros = all(CFU == 0),
        closest_to_25 = dilution[which.min(diff_from_25)],
        ties_closest_to_25 = sum(diff_from_25 == min(diff_from_25)) > 1)
```

#### Associated inputs in the Rmarkdown file

```
Here is the code we used to identify the best dilution level for each sample,
based on these criteria:
```{r}
cfu_data <- cfu_data %>%
  # For each dilution, calculate how far the CFUs counted on the plate are
  # from 25.
  mutate(diff_from_25 = abs(CFU - 25)) %>%
  # For each original sample (ID-ed by the 'replicate' column), determine
  # first if CFUs are 0 at all dilutions and second which dilution
  # had a CFU count closest to 25. Finally, include a check to see if there
  # are non-zero ties for the sample, in terms of plates with non-zero CFU counts
  # equally close to 25.
  group_by(replicate) %>%
  mutate(all_zeros = all(CFU == 0),
        closest_to_25 = dilution[which.min(diff_from_25)],
        ties_closest_to_25 = sum(diff_from_25 == min(diff_from_25)) > 1)
...```

```

For each step of the protocol, you can also include potential problems that might come up in specific instances of the data you get from future experiments. This can help you adapt the code in the protocol in thoughtful ways as you apply it in the future to new data collected for new studies and projects.

Figure 3.17: Example of including code in a data pre-processing protocol created with RMarkdown. This figure shows how code can be included in the RMarkdown file for a pre-processing protocol (right), and the corresponding output in the final pdf of the protocol (left), for the code to identify a ‘good’ dilution for counting CFUs for each sample. Code comments are included to provide finer-level details on the code.

### 3.9.3 *Writing data pre-processing protocols*

Now that you have planned out the key components of the pre-processing protocol, you can use RMarkdown’s functionality to flesh it out into a full pre-processing protocol. This gives you the chance to move beyond a simple code script, and instead include more thorough descriptions of what you’re doing at each step and why you’re doing it. You can also include discussions of potential limitations of the approach that you are taking in the pre-processing, as well as areas where other research groups might use a different approach. These details can help when it is time to write the Methods section for the paper describing your results from an experiment using these data. They can also help your research group identify pre-processing choices that might differ from other research groups, which opens the opportunity to perform sensitivity analyses regarding these pre-processing choices and ensure that your final conclusions are robust across multiple reasonable pre-processing approaches.

Protocols are common for wet lab techniques, where they provide a “recipe” that ensures consistency and reproducibility in those processes. Computational tasks, including data pre-processing, can also be standardized through the creation and use of protocol in your research group. While code scripts are becoming more common as a means of recording data pre-processing steps, they are often not as clear as a traditional protocol, in particular in terms of providing a thorough description of what is being done at each step and why it is being done that way. Data pre-processing protocols can provide these more thorough descriptions, and by creating them with RMarkdown or with similar types of “knitted” documents (modules 3.7 and 3.8), you can combine the executable code used to pre-process the data with extensive documentation. As a further advantage, the creation of these protocols will ensure that your research group has thought carefully about each step of the process, rather than relying on cobbling together bits and pieces of code they’ve found but don’t fully understand. Just as the creation of a research protocol for a clinical trial requires a careful consideration of each step of the ultimate trial (Al-JunDi and SAkkA, 2016), the creation of data pre-processing protocols ensure that each step in the process is carefully considered, and so helps to ensure that each step of this process is conducted as carefully as the steps taken in designing the experiment as a whole and each wet lab technique conducted for the experiment.

A data pre-processing protocol, in the sense we use it here, is essentially an annotated recipe for each step in preparing your data from the initial, “raw” state that is output from the laboratory equipment (or collected by hand) to a state that is useful for answering important research questions. The exact implementation of each step is given in code that can be re-used and adapted with new data of a similar format. However, the code script is often not enough to helpfully understand, share, and collaborate on the process. Instead, it’s critical to also include descriptions written by humans and for humans. These annota-

“Writing a research proposal is probably one of the most challenging and difficult task as research is a new area for the majority of postgraduates and new researchers. ... Protocol writing allows the researcher to review and critically evaluate the published literature on the interested topic, plan and review the project steps and serves as a guide throughout the investigation.”

[@al2016protocol]

tions can include descriptions of the code and how certain parameters are standardized the algorithms in the code. They can also be used to justify choices, and link them up both with characteristics of the data and equipment for your experiment as well as with scientific principles that underlie the choices. Protocols like this are critical to allow you to standardize the process you use across many samples from one experiment, across different experiments and projects in your research laboratory, and even across different research laboratories.

As you begin adding text to your pre-processing protocol, you should keep in mind these general aims. First, a good protocol provides adequate detail that another researcher can fully reproduce the procedure (Al-JunDi and SAkkA, 2016). For a protocol for a trial or wet lab technique, this means that the protocol should allow another researcher to reproduce the process and get results that are *comparable* to your results (Al-JunDi and SAkkA, 2016); for a data pre-processing protocol, the protocol must include adequate details that another researcher, provided they start with the same data, gets *identical* results (short of any pre-processing steps that include some element of sampling or random-number generation, e.g., Monte Carlo methods). This idea—being able to exactly re-create the computational results from an earlier project—is referred to as **computational reproducibility** and is considered a key component in ensuring that research is fully reproducible.


By creating the data pre-processing protocol as a knitted document using a tool like RMarkdown (modules 3.7 and 3.8), you can ensure that the protocol is computationally reproducible. In an RMarkdown document, you include the code examples as *executable* code—this means that the code is run every time you render the document. You are therefore “checking” your code every time that you run it. As the last step of your pre-processing protocol, you should output the copy of the pre-processed data that you will use for any further analysis for the project. You can use functions in R to output this to a plain text format, for example a comma-separated delimited file (modules 2.4 and 2.5). Each time you render the protocol, you will re-write this output file, and so this provides assurance that the code in your protocol can be used to reproduce your output data (since that’s how you yourself created that form of the data).

Figure 3.18 provides an example from the example protocol for this module. The RMarkdown file for the protocol includes code to write out the final, pre-processed data to a comma-separated plain text file called “*processed\_cfu\_estimates.csv*”. This code writes the output file into the same directory where you’ve saved the RMarkdown file. Each time the RMarkdown file is rendered to create the pdf version of the protocol, the input data will be pre-processed from scratch, using the code throughout the protocol, and this file will be overwritten with the data generated. This guarantees that the code in the protocol can be used by anyone—you or other researchers—to reproduce the final data from the protocol, and so guarantees that these data are computationally reproducible.

In your data pre-processing protocol, show the code that you use to imple-

“Now, all scientific research involves the use of powerful computers, whether it is for the data collection, the data analysis, or both. ... We are all computational scientists now, and thus the concept of reproducibility is relevant to all scientists.”

[@peng2021reproducible]



ment this choice and also explain clearly in the text why you made this choice and what alternatives should be considered if data characteristics are different. Write this as if you are explaining to a new research group member (or your future self) how to think about this step in the pre-processing, why you're doing it the way your doing it, and what code is used to do it that way. You should also include references that justify choices when they are available—include these using BibTeX (module 3.8). By doing this, you will make it much easier on yourself when you write the Methods section of papers that report on the data you have pre-processed, as you'll already have draft information on your pre-processing methods in your protocol.

Good protocols include not only *how* (for data pre-processing protocols, this is the code), but also *why* each step is taken. This includes explanations that are both higher-level (i.e., why a larger question is being asked) and also at a fine level, for each step in the process. A protocol should include some background, the aims of the work, hypotheses to be tested, materials and methods, methods of data collection and equipment to analyze samples (Al-JunDi and SAkkA, 2016).

This step of documentation and explanation is very important to creating a useful data pre-processing protocol. Yes, the code itself allows someone else to replicate what you did. However, only those who are very, very familiar with the software program, including any of the extension packages you include, can “read” the code directly to understand what it’s doing. Further, even if you understand the code very well when you create it, it is unlikely that you will stay at that same level of comprehension in the future, as other tasks and challenges take over that brain space. Explaining for humans, in text that augments and accompanies the code, is also important because function names and parameter names in code often are not easy to decipher. While excellent pro-

Figure 3.18: Example of using code in pre-processing protocol to output the final, pre-processed data that will be used in further analysis for the research project. This example comes from the example protocol for this module, showing both the executable code included in the RMarkdown file for the protocol (right) and how this code is included in the final pdf of the protocol. Outputting the pre-processed data into a plain text file as the last step of the protocol helps ensure computational reproducibility for this step of working with experimental data.

grammers can sometimes create functions with clear and transparent names, easy to translate to determine the task each is doing, this is difficult in software development and is rare in practice. Human annotations, written by and for humans, are critical to ensure that the steps will be clear to you and others in the future when you revisit what was done with this data and what you plan to do with future data.

The process of writing a protocol in this way forces you to think about each step in the process, why you do it a certain way (include parameters you choose for certain functions in a pipeline of code), and include justifications from the literature for this reasoning. If done well, it should allow you to quickly and thoroughly write the associated sections of Methods in research reports and manuscripts and help you answer questions and challenges from reviewers. Writing the protocol will also help you identify steps for which you are uncertain how to proceed and what choices to make in customizing an analysis for your research data. These are areas where you can search more deeply in the literature to understand implications of certain choices and, if needed, contact the researchers who developed and maintained associated software packages to get advice.

For example, the example protocol for this module explains how to pre-process data collected from counting CFUs after plating serial dilutions of samples. One of the steps of pre-processing is to identify a dilution for each sample at which you have a “countable” plate. The protocol includes an explanation of why it is important to identify the dilution for a countable plate and also gives the rules that are used to pick a dilution for each sample, before including the code that implements those rules. This allows the protocol to provide research group members with the logic behind the pre-processing, so that they can adapt if needed in future experiments. For example, the count range of CFUs used for the protocol to find a good dilution is about a quarter of the typically suggested range for this process, and this is because this experiment plated each sample on a quarter of a plate, rather than using the full plate. By explaining this reasoning, in the future the protocol could be adapted when using a full plate rather than a quarter of a plate for each sample.

One tool in RMarkdown that is helpful for this process is its built-in referencing system. In the previous module, we showed how you can include bibliographical references in an RMarkdown file. When you write a protocol within RMarkdown, you can include references in this way to provide background and support as you explain why you are conducting each step of the pre-processing. Figure 3.19 shows an example of the elements you use to do this, showing each element in the example protocol for this module.

Other helpful tools in RMarkdown are tools for creating equations and tables. As described in the previous module, RMarkdown includes a number of formatting tools. You can create simple tables through basic formatting, or more complex tables using add-on packages like `kableExtra`. Math can be typeset using conventions developed in the LaTeX mark-up language. The

The diagram illustrates the data flow from a RMarkdown file to a BibTeX file and finally to a PDF output. It consists of three main sections:

- Associated inputs in the RMarkdown file:** This section shows the YAML header of a RMarkdown file, which includes a title, author information, date, output type (pdf\_document), and a bibliography key (example\_bib.bib). An orange arrow points from the 'References' section of the PDF output to this YAML header.
- Associated inputs in the BibTeX file:** This section shows a BibTeX entry for a specific reference, detailing the title, author, journal, volume, pages, year, and publisher. Another orange arrow points from the same 'References' section in the PDF to this BibTeX entry.
- Referencing in final pdf output of the protocol:** This section displays the PDF output of the RMarkdown file. It includes a 'References' section containing a list of scientific papers. Orange arrows point from both the 'References' section in the RMarkdown YAML and the BibTeX entry back to this PDF section.

previous module provided advice and links to resources on using these types of tools. Figure 3.20 gives an example of them in use within the example protocol for this module.

You can also include figures, either figures created in R or outside figure files. Any figures that are created by code in the RMarkdown document will automatically be included in the protocol. For other graphics, you can include image files (e.g., png and jpeg files) using the `include_graphics` function from the `knitr` package. You can use options in the code chunk options to specify the size of the figure in the document and to include a figure caption. The figures will be automatically numbered in the order they appear in the protocol.

Figure 3.21 shows an example of how external figure files were included in the example protocol. In this case, the functionality allowed us to include an overview graphic that we created in PowerPoint and saved as an image as well as a photograph taken by a member of our research group.

Finally, you can try out even more complex functionality for RMarkdown as you continue to build data pre-processing protocols for your research group. Figure 3.22 shows an example of using R code within the YAML of the example protocol for this module; this allows us to include a “Last edited” date that is updated with the day’s date each time the protocol is re-rendered.

**Figure 3.19:** Including references in a data pre-processing protocol created with RMarkdown. RMarkdown has a built-in referencing system that you can use, based on the BibTeX system for LaTeX. This figure shows examples from the example protocol for this module of the elements used for referencing. You create a BibTeX file with information about each reference, and then use the key for the reference within the text to cite that reference. All cited references will be printed at the end of the document; you can chose the header that you want for this reference section in the RMarkdown file ('References' in this example). In the YAML of the RMarkdown file, you specify the path to the BibTeX file (with the 'bibliography:' key), so it can be linked in when the RMarkdown file is rendered.

Equations and tables in pdf output			Associated inputs in the Rmarkdown file															
<p>These following general equations apply for determining the total dilution in any of the tubes:</p> $\text{Dilution factor in tube} = 5^x$ $\text{Dilution in tube} = \frac{1}{5^x}$ <p>where <math>x</math> is the dilution level in the tube.</p> <p>As you move through the levels of dilution, each level will become diluted by an additional factor of 5 compared to the homogenate in the first tube (Figure 1):</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto; border-collapse: collapse; width: fit-content;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Dilution level</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Dilution factor in tube</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Dilution in tube</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0</td> <td><math>5^0 = 1</math></td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td><math>5^1 = 5</math></td> <td><math>\frac{1}{5}</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td><math>5^2 = 25</math></td> <td><math>\frac{1}{25}</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td><math>5^3 = 125</math></td> <td><math>\frac{1}{125}</math></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Dilution level	Dilution factor in tube	Dilution in tube	0	$5^0 = 1$	1	1	$5^1 = 5$	$\frac{1}{5}$	2	$5^2 = 25$	$\frac{1}{25}$	3	$5^3 = 125$	$\frac{1}{125}$	<p>These following general equations apply for determining the total dilution in any of the tubes:</p> <pre>\$\boxed{\text{Dilution factor in tube} = 5^x}</pre> <pre>\$\boxed{\text{Dilution in tube} = \frac{1}{5^x}}</pre> <p>where <math>\\$x\\$</math> is the dilution level in the tube.</p> <p>As you move through the levels of dilution, each level will become diluted by an additional factor of 5 compared to the homogenate in the first tube shown in Figure 1:</p> <pre>  Dilution level   Dilution factor in tube   Dilution in tube     0   \$5^0 = 1   \$1     1   \$5^1 = 5   \$1/5     2   \$5^2 = 25   \$1/25     3   \$5^3 = 125   \$1/125  </pre>
Dilution level	Dilution factor in tube	Dilution in tube																
0	$5^0 = 1$	1																
1	$5^1 = 5$	$\frac{1}{5}$																
2	$5^2 = 25$	$\frac{1}{25}$																
3	$5^3 = 125$	$\frac{1}{125}$																

Figure 3.20: Example of including tables and equations in an RMarkdown data pre-processing protocol.

Figures in output pdf		Associated inputs in the Rmarkdown file
<p>Figure 1: Visual overview of the dilution and plating process for this experiment. For each animal, half the spleen was homogenized in 500 microliters phosphate buffer saline (PBS) for plating ('Homogenate' tube in graphic). Three serial dilutions were created by resuspending 100 microliters from the homogenate or previous dilution in 400 microliters PBS ('Level 1 dilution', 'Level 2 dilution' and 'Level 3 dilution' tubes in graphic). From each tube, 100 microliters were plated in one quarter of a 7H11 agar plate (circle at bottom of graphic). After 3–5 weeks of incubation at 37°C, colony-forming units were counted from each quarter of the plate and recorded. These are the input data for this protocol.</p>		<pre>```{r platingexample, echo = FALSE, out.width = "\textwidth", fig.cap = "Visual overview of the dilution and plating process for this experiment. For each animal, half the spleen was homogenized in 500 microliters phosphate buffer saline (PBS) for plating ('Homogenate' tube in graphic). Three serial dilutions were created by resuspending 100 microliters from the homogenate or previous dilution in 400 microliters PBS ('Level 1 dilution', 'Level 2 dilution' and 'Level 3 dilution' tubes in graphic). From each tube, 100 microliters were plated in one quarter of a 7H11 agar plate (circle at bottom of graphic). After 3–5 weeks of incubation at 37°C, colony-forming units were counted from each quarter of the plate and recorded. These are the input data for this protocol."}</pre> <pre>knitr::include_graphics("figures/protocol_graphic.png")</pre> <pre>```{r platingexample2, echo = FALSE, out.width = "\textwidth", fig.cap = "Example of a plate from this process. Each plate is divided into quarters, with a single sample (i.e., from a specific tube shown in Figure 1) spread in each quarter of the plate. The shows the plate after enough time has passed following plating for colony forming units (CFUs) to grow. In this example, CFUs can easily be counted in the bottom two quadrants of the plate, but may be too numerous to count in the top two quadrants."}</pre> <pre>knitr::include_graphics("figures/bacteria_plate.JPG")</pre>
Figure files in Project directory		

Figure 3.21: Example of including figures from image files in an RMarkdown data pre-processing protocol.

Use of date in final pdf output	Associated inputs in the Rmarkdown file
<p>Protocol: Estimating bacterial loads from plating samples at different dilutions</p> <p>Henao-Tomayo Research Laboratory</p> <p>Last edited: 2021-04-02</p>	<pre>---</pre> <pre>title: "Protocol: Estimating bacterial loads from plating samples at different dilutions" author: "Henao-Tomayo Research Laboratory" date: "Last edited: `r Sys.Date()`" output: pdf_document bibliography: example_bib.bib ---</pre>

### 3.9.4 Applied exercise

To wrap up this module, try downloading both the source file and the output of this example data pre-processing protocol. Again, you can find the source code (the RMarkdown file) here and the output file here. If you would like to try re-running the file, you can get all the additional files you'll need (the original data file, figure files, etc.) here. See if you can compare the elements of the RMarkdown file with the output they produce in the PDF file. Read through the descriptions of the protocol. Do you think that you could recreate the process if your laboratory ran a new experiment that involved plating samples to estimate bacterial load?

Figure 3.22: Example of using more advanced RMarkdown functionality within a data pre-processing protocol. In this example, R code is incorporated into the YAML of the document to include the date that the document was last rendered, marking this on the pdf output as the \*Last edited\* date of the protocol.

# 4

## Bibliography

- (2021). RStudio Project Templates. [https://rstudio.github.io/rstudio-extensions/rstudio\\_project\\_templates.html](https://rstudio.github.io/rstudio-extensions/rstudio_project_templates.html). Accessed: 2021-03-03.
- Al-JunDi, A. and SAkkA, S. (2016). Protocol writing in clinical research. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*, 10(11):ZE10.
- AlTarawneh, G. and Thorne, S. (2017). A pilot study exploring spreadsheet risk in scientific research. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.09785*.
- Altman, D. G. and Bland, J. M. (1997). Statistical notes: Units of analysis. *BMJ*, 314:1874.
- Altschul, S., Demchak, B., Durbin, R., Gentleman, R., Krzywinski, M., Li, H., Nekrutenko, A., Robinson, J., Rasband, W., Taylor, J., et al. (2013). The anatomy of successful computational biology software. *Nature biotechnology*, 31(10):894–897.
- Anderson, N. R., Lee, E. S., Brockenbrough, J. S., Minie, M. E., Fuller, S., Brinkley, J., and Tarczy-Hornoch, P. (2007). Issues in biomedical research data management and analysis: needs and barriers. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 14(4):478–488.
- Arnold, T. (2017). A Tidy Data Model for Natural Language Processing using cleanNLP. *The R Journal*, 9(2):248–267.
- Bacher, R., Chu, L.-F., Leng, N., Gasch, A. P., Thomson, J. A., Stewart, R. M., Newton, M., and Kendziora, C. (2017). Scnorm: robust normalization of single-cell rna-seq data. *Nature methods*, 14(6):584–586.
- Baker, M. (2016). How quality control could save your science. *Nature*, 529(7587):456–459.
- Barga, R., Howe, B., Beck, D., Bowers, S., Dobyns, W., Haynes, W., Higdon, R., Howard, C., Roth, C., Stewart, E., et al. (2011). Bioinformatics and data-intensive scientific discovery in the beginning of the 21st century. *Oomics: a journal of integrative biology*, 15(4):199–201.

- Barnett, D., Walker, B., Landay, A., and Denny, T. N. (2008). Cd4 immunophenotyping in hiv infection. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 6(11):S7–S15.
- Barry, C. E. and Cheung, M. S. (2009). New tactics against tuberculosis. *Scientific American*, 300(3):62–69.
- Bass, A. J., Robinson, D. G., Lianoglou, S., Nelson, E., Storey, J. D., and with contributions from Laurent Gatto (2020). *biobroom: Turn Bioconductor objects into tidy data frames*. R package version 1.20.0.
- Baumer, B. (2015). A data science course for undergraduates: Thinking with data. *The American Statistician*, 69(4):334–342.
- Baumer, B. S., Kaplan, D. T., and Horton, N. J. (2017). *Modern Data Science with R*. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- Ben-David, A. and Davidson, C. E. (2014). Estimation method for serial dilution experiments. *Journal of microbiological methods*, 107:214–221.
- Benoist, C. and Hacohen, N. (2011). Flow cytometry, amped up. *Science*, 332(6030):677–678.
- Bertin, A. M. and Baumer, B. S. (2021). Creating optimal conditions for reproducible data analysis in r with fertile. *Stat*, 10(1):e332.
- Birch, D., Lyford-Smith, D., and Guo, Y. (2018). The future of spreadsheets in the big data era. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.10231*.
- Blischak, J. D., Carbonetto, P., and Stephens, M. (2019). Creating and sharing reproducible research code the workflowr way. *F1000Research*, 8:1749.
- Blischak, J. D., Davenport, E. R., and Wilson, G. (2016). A quick introduction to version control with git and github. *PLoS computational biology*, 12(1).
- Brazma, A., Krestyaninova, M., and Sarkans, U. (2006). Standards for systems biology. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 7(8):593.
- Broman, K. W. and Woo, K. H. (2018). Data organization in spreadsheets. *The American Statistician*, 72(1):2–10.
- Brown, Z. (2018). A git origin story. *Linux Journal*.
- Bryan, J. (2018). Excuse me, do you have a moment to talk about version control? *The American Statistician*, 72(1):20–27.
- Bryan, J. and Wickham, H. (2017). Data science: A three ring circus or a big tent? *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 26(4):784–785.
- Buffalo, V. (2015). *Bioinformatics data skills: Reproducible and robust research with open source tools*. " O'Reilly Media, Inc.".
- Burns, P. (2011). *The R inferno*. Lulu. com.

- Butler, D. (2005). Electronic notebooks: A new leaf. *Nature*, 436(7047):20–22.
- Campbell-Kelly, M. (2007). Number crunching without programming: The evolution of spreadsheet usability. *IEEE Annals of the History of Computing*, 29(3):6–19.
- Chatfield, C. (1995). *Problem solving: a statistician's guide*. CRC Press.
- Chen, Y., McCarthy, D., Robinson, M., and Smyth, G. K. (2014). edger: differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data user's guide. *Bioconductor User's Guide*. Available online: <http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/vignettes/edgeR/inst/doc/edgeRUsersGuide.pdf> (accessed on 15 February 2021).
- Creeth, R. (1985). Microcomputer spreadsheets: their uses and abuses. *Journal of Accountancy (pre-1986)*, 159(000006):90.
- Edwards, P. N., Mayernik, M. S., Batcheller, A. L., Bowker, G. C., and Borgman, C. L. (2011). Science friction: Data, metadata, and collaboration. *Social Studies of Science*, 41(5):667–690.
- Ellis, S. E. and Leek, J. T. (2018). How to share data for collaboration. *The American Statistician*, 72(1):53–57.
- Flicek, P. and Birney, E. (2009). Sense from sequence reads: methods for alignment and assembly. *Nature methods*, 6(Suppl 11):S6–S12.
- Fox, A., Dutt, T. S., Karger, B., Rojas, M., Obregón-Henao, A., Anderson, G. B., and Henao-Tamayo, M. (2020). Cyto-feature engineering: A pipeline for flow cytometry analysis to uncover immune populations and associations with disease. *Scientific reports*, 10(1):1–12.
- Gatto, L. (2013). Msnpbase development.
- Ghosh, S., Matsuoka, Y., Asai, Y., Hsin, K.-Y., and Kitano, H. (2011). Software for systems biology: from tools to integrated platforms. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 12(12):821.
- Gibb, B. C. (2014). Reproducibility. *Nature chemistry*, 6(8):653–654.
- Giles, J. (2012). The digital lab: lab-management software and electronic notebooks are here—and this time, it's more than just talk. *Nature*, 481(7382):430–432.
- Gillespie, C. and Lovelace, R. (2016). *Efficient R programming: a practical guide to smarter programming*. "O'Reilly Media, Inc.".
- Goodman, A., Pepe, A., Blocker, A. W., Borgman, C. L., Cranmer, K., Crosas, M., Di Stefano, R., Gil, Y., Groth, P., Hedstrom, M., et al. (2014). Ten simple rules for the care and feeding of scientific data.

- Hamming, R. R. (1997). *The Art of doing science and engineering: Learning to learn.* CRC Press.
- Haque, A., Engel, J., Teichmann, S. A., and Lönnberg, T. (2017). A practical guide to single-cell rna-sequencing for biomedical research and clinical applications. *Genome medicine*, 9(1):1–12.
- Hermans, F., Jansen, B., Roy, S., Aivaloglou, E., Swidan, A., and Hoepelman, D. (2016). Spreadsheets are code: An overview of software engineering approaches applied to spreadsheets. In *2016 IEEE 23rd International Conference on Software Analysis, Evolution, and Reengineering (SANER)*, volume 5, pages 56–65. IEEE.
- Hermans, F. and Murphy-Hill, E. (2015). Enron’s spreadsheets and related emails: A dataset and analysis. In *2015 IEEE/ACM 37th IEEE International Conference on Software Engineering*, volume 2, pages 7–16. IEEE.
- Hicks, S. C. and Irizarry, R. A. (2017). A guide to teaching data science. *The American Statistician*, In Press.
- Hicks, S. C., Liu, R., Ni, Y., Purdom, E., and Risso, D. (2021). mbkmeans: Fast clustering for single cell data using mini-batch k-means. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 17(1):e1008625.
- Holmes, S. and Huber, W. (2018). *Modern statistics for modern biology*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hsieh, T., Ma, K., and Chao, A. (2016). iNEXT: an R package for rarefaction and extrapolation of species diversity (Hill numbers). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 7(12):1451–1456.
- Huber, W., Carey, V. J., Gentleman, R., Anders, S., Carlson, M., Carvalho, B. S., Bravo, H. C., Davis, S., Gatto, L., Girke, T., et al. (2015). Orchestrating high-throughput genomic analysis with bioconductor. *Nature methods*, 12(2):115.
- Ilicic, T., Kim, J. K., Kolodziejczyk, A. A., Bagger, F. O., McCarthy, D. J., Marioni, J. C., and Teichmann, S. A. (2016). Classification of low quality cells from single-cell rna-seq data. *Genome biology*, 17(1):1–15.
- Irizarry, R. A. and Love, M. I. (2016). *Data Analysis for the Life Sciences with R*. Chapman and Hall.
- Irving, F. (2011). Astonishments, ten, in the history of version control.
- Janssens, J. (2014). *Data Science at the Command Line: Facing the Future with Time-tested Tools*. " O'Reilly Media, Inc.".
- Johnston, L. (2022). *prodigenr: Research Project Directory Generator*. R package version 0.6.2.

- Judkins, R. (2016). *The art of creative thinking*. Perigee.
- Kaplan, D. (2018). Teaching stats for data science. *The American Statistician*, 72(1):89–96.
- Keller, S., Korkmaz, G., Orr, M., Schroeder, A., and Shipp, S. (2017). The evolution of data quality: Understanding the transdisciplinary origins of data quality concepts and approaches. *Annu. Rev. Stat. Appl.*, 4:85–108.
- Kernighan, B. W. (2011). *D is for Digital: What a well-informed person should know about computers and communications*. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.
- Kernighan, B. W. and Pike, R. (1984). *The UNIX programming environment*, volume 270. Prentice-Hall Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Klemens, B. (2014). *21st century C: C tips from the new school*. ” O'Reilly Media, Inc.”.
- Krishnan, S., Haas, D., Franklin, M. J., and Wu, E. (2016). Towards reliable interactive data cleaning: A user survey and recommendations. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Human-In-the-Loop Data Analytics*, page 9. ACM.
- Kwok, R. (2018). Lab notebooks go digital. *Nature*, 560(7717):269–270.
- Lawrence, M. and Morgan, M. (2014). Scalable genomics with r and bioconductor. *Statistical science: a review journal of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics*, 29(2):214.
- LEIPS, J. (2010). At the helm: Leading your laboratory. *Genetics Research*, 92(4):325–326.
- Levy, S. (1984). A spreadsheet way of knowledge. *Harpers*, 269:58–64.
- Lithgow, G. J., Driscoll, M., and Phillips, P. (2017). A long journey to reproducible results. *Nature*, 548(7668):387–388.
- Lowndes, J. S. S., Best, B. D., Scarborough, C., Afflerbach, J. C., Frazier, M. R., O'Hara, C. C., Jiang, N., and Halpern, B. S. (2017). Our path to better science in less time using open data science tools. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1(6):160.
- Lynch, C. (2008). Big data: How do your data grow? *Nature*, 455(7209):28.
- Madhavan, G. (2015). *Applied Minds: How Engineers Think*. W. W. Norton.
- Maecker, H. T., McCoy, J. P., and Nussenblatt, R. (2012). Standardizing immunophenotyping for the human immunology project. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 12(3):191–200.

- Majumder, E. L.-W., Billings, E. M., Benton, H. P., Martin, R. L., Palermo, A., Guijas, C., Rinschen, M. M., Domingo-Almenara, X., Montenegro-Burke, J. R., Tagtow, B. A., et al. (2021). Cognitive analysis of metabolomics data for systems biology. *Nature Protocols*, 16(3):1376–1418.
- Mak, H. C. (2011). John storey. *Nature Biotechnology*, 29(4):331–333.
- Mangiola, S., Molania, R., Dong, R., Doyle, M. A., and Papenfuss, A. T. (2021). tidybulk: an r tidy framework for modular transcriptomic data analysis. *Genome biology*, 22:1–15.
- Marwick, B., Boettiger, C., and Mullen, L. (2018). Packaging data analytical work reproducibly using R (and friends). *The American Statistician*, 72(1):80–88.
- Mascarelli, A. (2014). Research tools: Jump off the page. *Nature*, 507(7493):523–525.
- McCarthy, D. J., Campbell, K. R., Lun, A. T., and Wills, Q. F. (2017). Scater: pre-processing, quality control, normalization and visualization of single-cell rna-seq data in r. *Bioinformatics*, 33(8):1179–1186.
- McCullough, B. (2001). Does microsoft fix errors in excel? In *Proceedings of the 2001 joint statistical meetings*.
- McCullough, B. D. (1999). Assessing the reliability of statistical software: Part ii. *The American Statistician*, 53(2):149–159.
- McCullough, B. D. and Heiser, D. A. (2008). On the accuracy of statistical procedures in microsoft excel 2007. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 52(10):4570–4578.
- McCullough, B. D. and Wilson, B. (1999). On the accuracy of statistical procedures in microsoft excel 97. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 31(1):27–37.
- McCullough, B. D. and Wilson, B. (2002). On the accuracy of statistical procedures in microsoft excel 2000 and excel xp. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 40(4):713–721.
- McCullough, B. D. and Wilson, B. (2005). On the accuracy of statistical procedures in microsoft excel 2003. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 49(4):1244–1252.
- McMurdie, P. J. and Holmes, S. (2013). phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS one*, 8(4):e61217.
- McNamara, A. (2016). On the state of computing in statistics education: Tools for learning and for doing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.00984*.

- Mélard, G. (2014). On the accuracy of statistical procedures in microsoft excel 2010. *Computational statistics*, 29(5):1095–1128.
- Metz, C. (2015). How github conquered google, microsoft, and everyone else.
- Michener, W. K. (2015). Ten simple rules for creating a good data management plan. *PLoS computational biology*, 11(10):e1004525.
- Murrell, P. (2009). *Introduction to data technologies*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Myreni, S. and Patel, V. L. (2010). Organization of biomedical data for collaborative scientific research: A research information management system. *International journal of information management*, 30(3):256–264.
- Nardi, B. A. and Miller, J. R. (1990). The spreadsheet interface: A basis for end user programming. In *Proceedings of the IFIP TC13 Third International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*, pages 977–983. North-Holland Publishing Co.
- Nash, J. (2006). Spreadsheets in statistical practice—another look. *The American Statistician*, 60(3):287–289.
- Neff, E. P. (2021). On the past, present, and future of in vivo science. *Lab animal*, 50(10):273–276.
- Nekrutenko, A. and Taylor, J. (2012). Next-generation sequencing data interpretation: enhancing reproducibility and accessibility. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 13(9):667–672.
- Noble, W. S. (2009). A quick guide to organizing computational biology projects. *PLoS computational biology*, 5(7):e1000424.
- Osann, I., Mayer, L., and Wiele, I. (2020). *The Design Thinking Quick Start Guide: A 6-step Process for Generating and Implementing Creative Solutions*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Pawlak, A., van Gelder, C. W., Nenadic, A., Palagi, P. M., Korpelainen, E., Lijnzaad, P., Marek, D., Sansone, S.-A., Hancock, J., and Goble, C. (2017). Developing a strategy for computational lab skills training through Software and Data Carpentry: Experiences from the ELIXIR Pilot action. *F1000Research*, 6:ELIXIR-1040.
- Peng, R. (2018). Teaching r to new users—from tapply to the tidyverse.
- Peng, R. D. (2016). *R programming for data science*. Leanpub.
- Perez-Riverol, Y., Gatto, L., Wang, R., Sachsenberg, T., Uszkoreit, J., da Veiga Leprevost, F., Fufezan, C., Ternent, T., Eglen, S. J., Katz, D. S., et al. (2016). Ten simple rules for taking advantage of git and github. *PLoS computational biology*, 12(7).
- Perkel, J. (2018a). Git: The reproducibility tool scientists love to hate.

- Perkel, J. M. (2011). Coding your way out of a problem.
- Perkel, J. M. (2017). Single-cell sequencing made simple. *Nature*, 547(7661):125–126.
- Perkel, J. M. (2018b). The future of scientific figures. *Nature*, 554(7690):133–134.
- Powell, K. (2012). A lab app for that. *Nature*, 484(7395):553–555.
- Powell, S. G., Baker, K. R., and Lawson, B. (2009). Errors in operational spreadsheets: A review of the state of the art. In *2009 42nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, pages 1–8. IEEE.
- Quintelier, K., Couckuyt, A., Emmaneel, A., Aerts, J., Saeys, Y., and Van Gassen, S. (2021). Analyzing high-dimensional cytometry data using flowsom. *Nature Protocols*, 16(8):3775–3801.
- Raymond, E. (2009). Understanding version-control systems (draft).
- Raymond, E. S. (2003). *The art of Unix programming*. Addison-Wesley Professional.
- Robinson, D. (2014). broom: An r package for converting statistical analysis objects into tidy data frames. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.3565*.
- Robinson, D. (2017). Teach the tidyverse to beginners.
- Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., and Smyth, G. K. (2010). edger: a bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*, 26(1):139–140.
- Ross, Z., Wickham, H., and Robinson, D. (2017). Declutter your R workflow with tidy tools. *PeerJ Preprints*, 5:e3180v1.
- Sansone, S.-A., Rocca-Serra, P., Field, D., Maguire, E., Taylor, C., Hofmann, O., Fang, H., Neumann, S., Tong, W., Amaral-Zettler, L., et al. (2012). Toward interoperable bioscience data. *Nature genetics*, 44(2):121.
- Savage, A. (2020). *Every tool's a hammer: life is what you make it*. Atria Books.
- Schadt, E. E., Linderman, M. D., Sorenson, J., Lee, L., and Nolan, G. P. (2010). Computational solutions to large-scale data management and analysis. *Nature reviews genetics*, 11(9):647.
- Schrode, N., Seah, C., Deans, P. M., Hoffman, G., and Brennand, K. J. (2021). Analysis framework and experimental design for evaluating synergy-driving gene expression. *Nature Protocols*, 16(2):812–840.
- Sedgwick, P. (2014). Unit of observation and unit of analysis. *BMJ*, 348:g3840.

- Silge, J. and Robinson, D. (2016). tidytext: Text mining and analysis using tidy data principles in R. *The Journal of Open Source Software*, 1(3).
- Silge, J. and Robinson, D. (2017). *Text Mining with R: A Tidy Approach*. O'Reilly Media, Sebastopol.
- Spidlen, J., Moore, W., Parks, D., Goldberg, M., Blenman, K., Cavenaugh, J. S., Force, I. D. S. T., and Brinkman, R. (2021). Data file standard for flow cytometry, version fcs 3.2. *Cytometry Part A*, 99(1):100–102.
- Stander, J. and Dalla Valle, L. (2017). On enthusing students about big data and social media visualization and analysis using R, RStudio, and RMarkdown. *Journal of Statistics Education*, 25(2):60–67.
- Stark, P. B. (2018). Before reproducibility must come preproducibility. *Nature*, 557(7706):613–614.
- Target, S. (2018). Version control before git with cvs.
- Teixeira, R. and Amaral, V. (2016). On the emergence of patterns for spreadsheets data arrangements. In *Federation of International Conferences on Software Technologies: Applications and Foundations*, pages 333–345. Springer.
- Tippmann, S. (2014). My digital toolbox: Nuclear engineer katy huff on version-control systems. *Nature News*.
- Topaloglou, T., Davidson, S. B., Jagadish, H., Markowitz, V. M., Steeg, E. W., and Tyers, M. (2004). Biological data management: Research, practice and opportunities. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth international conference on Very large data bases-Volume 30*, pages 1233–1236. VLDB Endowment.
- Tyner, S., Briatte, F., and Hofmann, H. (2017). Network Visualization with ggplot2. *The R Journal*, 9(1):27–59.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health (2016). NIH-Wide Strategic Plan, Fiscal Years 2016-2020: Turning Discovery Into Health. Accessed: 2018-06-24.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health (2018). NIH Strategic Plan for Data Science. Accessed: 2018-06-24.
- Vuorre, M. and Crump, M. J. (2021). Sharing and organizing research products as r packages. *Behavior research methods*, 53:792–802.
- Welsh, E. A., Stewart, P. A., Kuenzi, B. M., and Eschrich, J. A. (2017). Escape excel: A tool for preventing gene symbol and accession conversion errors. *PLoS one*, 12(9):e0185207.
- Wendt, C. J. and Anderson, G. B. (2022). Ten simple rules for finding and selecting r packages. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 18(3):e1009884.

- Wickham, H. (2014). Tidy data. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 59(10):1–23.
- Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer, New York, 2nd edition.
- Wickham, H. (2017). The tidy tools manifesto.
- Wickham, H. and Grolemund, G. (2016). *R for Data Science: Import, Tidy, Transform, Visualize, and Model Data*. O'Reilly Media.
- Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., Blomberg, N., Boiten, J.-W., da Silva Santos, L. B., Bourne, P. E., et al. (2016). The fair guiding principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific data*, 3.
- Willekens, F. (2013). Chronological objects in demographic research. *Demographic research*, 28:649–680.
- Wilson, G. (2014). Software Carpentry: lessons learned. *F1000Research*, 3:62–62.
- Winchester, C. (2018). Give every paper a read for reproducibility. *Nature*, 557(7706):281–282.
- Xie, Y., Allaire, J. J., and Grolemund, G. (2018). *R Markdown: The definitive guide*. CRC Press.
- Xie, Y., Dervieux, C., and Riederer, E. (2020). *R Markdown Cookbook*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Yin, T., Cook, D., and Lawrence, M. (2012). ggbio: an R package for extending the grammar of graphics for genomic data. *Genome Biology*, 13(8):R77.
- Zeeberg, B. R., Riss, J., Kane, D. W., Bussey, K. J., Uchio, E., Linehan, W. M., Barrett, J. C., and Weinstein, J. N. (2004). Mistaken identifiers: gene name errors can be introduced inadvertently when using Excel in bioinformatics. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 5(1):80.
- Ziemann, M., Eren, Y., and El-Osta, A. (2016). Gene name errors are widespread in the scientific literature. *Genome biology*, 17(1):177.