

BROOKE ANDERSON, MICHAEL LYONS, MERCEDES GONZALEZ-
JUARRERO, MARCELA HENAO-TAMAYO, AND GREGORY ROBERT-
SON

IMPROVING THE REPRODUCIBIL- ITY OF EXPERIMENTAL DATA RECORDING AND PRE-PROCESSING

Contents

I	Overview	5
1.1	License	6
2	Experimental Data Recording	7
2.1	Separating data recording and analysis	7
2.2	Principles and power of structured data formats	16
2.3	The ‘tidy’ data format	30
2.4	Designing templates for “tidy” data collection	39
2.5	Example: Creating a template for “tidy” data collection	61
2.6	Power of using a single structured ‘Project’ directory for storing and tracking research project files	62
2.7	Creating ‘Project’ templates	73
2.8	Example: Creating a ‘Project’ template	75
2.9	Harnessing version control for transparent data recording	75
2.10	Enhance the reproducibility of collaborative research with version control platforms	82
2.11	Using git and GitLab to implement version control	88
3	Experimental Data Preprocessing	97
3.1	Principles and benefits of scripted pre-processing of experimental data	97
3.2	Introduction to scripted data pre-processing in R	109
3.3	Simplify scripted pre-processing through R’s ‘tidyverse’ tools	109
3.4	Complex data types in experimental data pre-processing	115
3.5	Complex data types in R and Bioconductor	117

4 brooke anderson, michael lyons, mercedes gonzalez-juarrero, marcela henao-tamayo, and gregory robertson

3.6 *Example: Converting from complex to ‘tidy’ data formats* 141

3.7 *Introduction to reproducible data pre-processing protocols* 141

3.8 *RMarkdown for creating reproducible data pre-processing protocols* 148

3.9 *Example: Creating a reproducible data pre-processing protocol* 154

4 *References* 157

5 *Bibliography* 159

I

Overview

The recent NIH-Wide Strategic Plan (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, 2016) describes an integrative view of biology and human health that includes translational medicine, team science, and the importance of capitalizing on an exponentially growing and increasingly complex data ecosystem (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, 2018). Underlying this view is the need to use, share, and re-use biomedical data generated from widely varying experimental systems and researchers. Basic sources of biomedical data range from relatively small sets of measurements, such as animal body weights and bacterial cell counts that may be recorded by hand, to thousands or millions of instrument-generated data points from various imaging, -omic, and flow cytometry experiments. In either case, there is a generally common workflow that proceeds from measurement to data recording, pre-processing, analysis, and interpretation. However, in practice the distinct actions of data recording, data pre-processing, and data analysis are often merged or combined as a single entity by the researcher using commercial or open source spreadsheets, or as part of an often proprietary experimental measurement system / software combination (Figure 1.1), resulting in key failure points for reproducibility at the stages of data recording and pre-processing.

It is widely known and discussed among data scientists, mathematical modelers, and statisticians (Broman and Woo, 2018; Krishnan et al., 2016) that there is frequently a need to discard, transform, and reformat various elements of the data shared with them by laboratory-based researchers, and that data is often shared in an unstructured format, increasing the risks of introducing errors through reformatting before applying more advanced computational methods. Instead, a critical need for reproducibility is for the transparent and clear sharing across research teams of: (1) raw data, directly from hand-recording or directly output from experimental equipment; (2) data that has been pre-processed as necessary (e.g., gating for flow cytometry data, feature identification for metabolomics data), saved in a consistent, structured format, and (3) a clear and repeatable description of how the pre-processed data was



Figure 1.1: Two scenarios where 'black boxes' of non-transparent, non-reproducible data handling exist in research data workflows at the stages of data recording and pre-processing. These create potential points of failure for reproducible research. Red arrows indicate where data is passed to other research team members, including statisticians / data analysts, often within complex or unstructured spreadsheet files.

generated from the raw data (Broman and Woo, 2018; Ellis and Leek, 2018).

To enhance data reproducibility, it is critical to create a clear separation among data recording, data pre-processing, and data analysis—breaking up commonly existing “black boxes” in data handling across the research process. Such a rigorous demarcation requires some change in the conventional understanding and use of spreadsheets and a recognition by biomedical researchers that recent advances in computer programming languages, especially the R programming language, provide user-friendly and accessible tools and concepts that can be used to extend a transparent and reproducible data workflow to the steps of data recording and pre-processing. Among our team, we have found that there are many common existing practices—including use of spreadsheets with embedded formulas that concurrently record and analyze experimental data, problematic management of project files, and reliance on proprietary, vendor-supplied point-and-click software for data pre-processing—that can interfere with the transparency, reproducibility, and efficiency of laboratory-based biomedical research projects, problems that have also been identified by others as key barriers to research reproducibility (Broman and Woo, 2018; Bryan, 2018; Ellis and Leek, 2018; Marwick et al., 2018). In these training modules, we have chosen topics that tackle barriers to reproducibility that have straightforward, easy-to-teach solutions, but which are still very common in biomedical laboratory-based research programs.

1.1 License

This book is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License, while all code in the book is under the MIT license.

Click on the **Next** button (or navigate using the links at the top of the page)
to continue.

2

Experimental Data Recording

2.1 *Separating data recording and analysis*

Many biomedical laboratories currently use spreadsheets—with formulas creating underlying connections between spreadsheet cells—to jointly record, visualize, and analyze experimental data (Broman and Woo, 2018). This practice impedes the transparency and reproducibility of both data recording and data analysis. When a research group develops and uses an evolving spreadsheet template with embedded formulas, it leads to a data recording / analysis process that can become extraordinarily opaque and complex. To improve the computational reproducibility of a research project, it is critical for biomedical researchers to learn the importance of maintaining recorded experimental data as “read-only” files, separating data recording from any data pre-processing or data analysis steps (Broman and Woo, 2018; Marwick et al., 2018). Statisticians have outlined specific methods that a laboratory-based scientist can take to ensure that data shared in an Excel spreadsheet are shared in a reliable and reproducible way, including avoiding macros or embedded formulas, using a separate Excel file for each dataset, recording descriptions of variables in a separate code book rather than in the Excel file, avoiding the use of color of the cells to encode information, using “NA” to code missing values, avoiding spaces in column headers, and avoiding splitting or merging cells (Ellis and Leek, 2018; Broman and Woo, 2018). In this module, we will describe this common practice and will outline alternative approaches that separate the steps of data recording and data analysis.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Explain the difference between data recording and data analysis
- Understand why collecting data on spreadsheets with embedded formulas impedes reproducibility
- List alternative approaches to improve reproducibility

2.1.1 *Data recording versus data analysis*

Many scientific laboratories use spreadsheets within their data collection process, both to record data and to clean and analyze the data. One survey of over 250 biomedical researchers at the University of Washington found that most respondents used general-purpose applications like spreadsheets (Anderson et al., 2007), while a survey of neuroscience researchers at the University of Newcastle similarly found that most respondents used spreadsheets and other general-purpose software in their research (AlTarawneh and Thorne, 2017). A working group on bioinformatics and data-intensive science similarly found spreadsheets were the most common tool used across attendees (Barga et al., 2011).

Spreadsheets have long been an extremely popular tool, in part because they allow people without programming experience to conduct a range of standard computations and statistical analyses through a visual interface that is more immediately user-friendly to non-programmers than programs with command line interfaces. An early target for spreadsheet programs in terms of early users was business executives, and so the programs were designed to be very simple and easy to use—just one step up in complexity from crunching numbers on the back of an envelope (Campbell-Kelly, 2007). Spreadsheet programs in fact became so popular within businesses that many attribute these programs with driving the uptake of personal computers (Campbell-Kelly, 2007).

Spreadsheets were innovative and rapidly adapted in part because they allowed users to combine data recording and analysis—while previously, in business settings, any complicated data analysis task needed to be outsourced to mainframe computers and data processing teams, the initial spreadsheet program (VisiCalc) allowed one person to quickly apply and test different models or calculations to recorded data (Levy, 1984). These spreadsheet programs allowed non-programmers to engage with data, including data processing and analysis tasks, that previously required programming expertise (Levy, 1984).

In some cases, a spreadsheet is used solely to record data, as a simple type of database (Birch et al., 2018). However, biomedical researchers often use spreadsheets to both record and analyze experimental data (Anderson et al., 2007). In this case, data processing and analysis is implemented through the use of formulas and macros embedded within the spreadsheet. When a spreadsheet has formulas or macros within it, the spreadsheet program creates an internal record of how cells are connected through these formulas. For example, if the value in a specific cell is converted from Fahrenheit to Celsius to fill a second cell, and then that value is combined with other values in a column to calculate the mean temperature across several observations, then the spreadsheet program has internally saved how the later cells depend on the earlier ones. When you change the value recorded in a cell of a spreadsheet, the spreadsheet program queries this record and only recalculates the cells that depend on that cell. This process allows the program to quickly “react” to any change in cell inputs,

immediately providing an update to all downstream calculations and analyses (Levy, 1984). Starting from the spreadsheet program Lotus 1-2-3, spreadsheet programs also included *macros*, “a single computer instruction that stands for a sequence of operations” (Creeth, 1985).

Spreadsheets have become so popular in part because so many people know how to use them, at least in basic ways, and so many people have the software on their computers that files can be shared with the virtual guarantee that everyone will be able to open the file on their own computer (Hermans et al., 2016). Spreadsheets uses the visual metaphore of a traditional gridded ledger sheet (Levy, 1984), providing an interface that is easy for users to immediately understand and create a mental map of (Birch et al., 2018; Barga et al., 2011). This visually clear interface also means that spreadsheets can be printed or incorporated into other documents (Word files, PowerPoint presentations) “as-is”, as a workable and understandable table of data values. In fact, some of the most popular plug-in software packages for the early spreadsheet program Lotus 1-2-3 were programs for printing and publishing spreadsheets (Campbell-Kelly, 2007). This “What You See Is What You Get” interface was a huge advance from previous methods of data analysis for the first spreadsheet program, VisiCalc, providing a “window to the data” that was accessible to business executives and others without programming expertise (Creeth, 1985). Several surveys of researchers have found that spreadsheets were popular because of their simplicity and ease-of-use (Anderson et al., 2007; AlTarawneh and Thorne, 2017; Barga et al., 2011). By contrast, databases and scripted programming languages can be perceived as requiring a cognitive load and lengthy training that is not worth the investment when an easier tool is available (Hermans et al., 2016; Anderson et al., 2007; Myneni and Patel, 2010; Barga et al., 2011; Topaloglou et al., 2004).

2.1.2 *Hazards of combining recording and analysis*

Raw data often lost.

One of the key tenets of ensuring that research is computationally reproducible is to always keep a copy of all raw data, as well as the steps taken to get from the raw data to a cleaned version of the data through to the results of data analysis. However, maintaining a easily accessible copy of all original raw data for a project is a common problem among biomedical researchers (Goodman et al., 2014), especially as team members move on from a laboratory group (Myneni and Patel, 2010).

The use of spreadsheets to jointly record and analyze data can contribute to this problem. Spreadsheets allow for the immediate and embedded processing of data. As a result, it may become very difficult to pull out the raw data originally recorded in a spreadsheet. At the least, the combination of raw and processed data in a spreadsheet makes it hard to identify which data points within a spreadsheet make up the raw data and which are the result of process-

ing that raw data. One study of operational spreadsheets noted that:

“The data used in most spreadsheets is undocumented and there is no practical way to check it. Even the original developer would have difficulty checking the data.” (Powell et al., 2009)

Further, data in a spreadsheet is typically not saved as “read-only”, so it is possible for it to be accidentally overwritten. In situations where spreadsheets are shared among multiple users, without “read-only” protection, original cell values can easily be accidentally written over, and it may not be clear who last changed a value, when it was changed, or why (AlTarawneh and Thorne, 2017).

Finally, many spreadsheets use a proprietary format. In the development of spreadsheet programs, this use of proprietary, binary file formats helped a software program keep users, increasing barriers for a user to switch to a new program (since it wouldn’t be able to read their old files) (Campbell-Kelly, 2007). However, this file format may be hard to open in the future, as software changes and evolves (Michener, 2015); by comparison, plain text files should be widely accessible through general purpose tools regardless of changes to proprietary software like Microsoft Excel.

Opacity of analysis steps and potential for errors.

Previous studies have found that errors are very common within spreadsheets (Hermans et al., 2016). For example, one study of 50 operational spreadsheets found that about 90% contained at least one error (Powell et al., 2009). In part, it is easier to make errors in spreadsheets and harder to catch errors in later work with a spreadsheet because the formulas and connections between cells aren’t visible when you look at the spreadsheet—they’re behind the scenes (Birch et al., 2018). This makes it very hard to get a clear and complete view of the pipeline of analytic steps in data processing and analysis within a spreadsheet, as well as to discern how cells are connected within and across sheets of the spreadsheet. As one early article on the history of spreadsheet programs notes:

“People tend to forget that even the most elegantly crafted spreadsheet is a house of cards, ready to collapse at the first erroneous assumption. The spreadsheet that looks good but turns out to be tragically wrong is becoming a familiar phenomenon.” (Levy, 1984)

Some characteristics of spreadsheets may heighten chances for errors. These include high conditional complexity (i.e., lots of branching of data flow through if / else structures), formulas that depend on a large number of cells or that incorporate many functions (Hermans et al., 2016). Following the logical chain of spreadsheet formulas can be particularly difficult when several calculations are chained in a row (Hermans and Murphy-Hill, 2015). Very long chains of dependent formulas across spreadsheet cells may in some case requiring sketching out by hand the flow of information through the spreadsheet to understand what’s going on (Nardi and Miller, 1990). The use of macros can

also make it particularly hard to figure out the steps of an analysis and to diagnose and fix any bugs in those steps (Nash, 2006; Creeth, 1985). One study of spreadsheets in use in real life applications noted that, “Many spreadsheets are so chaotically designed that auditing (especially of a few formulas) is extremely difficult or impossible.” (Powell et al., 2009)

In some cases, formula dependences might span across different sheets of a spreadsheet file. For the example given above of a spreadsheet that converts temperature from one unit to another and then averages across observations, for example, the original temperature might be recorded in one sheet while the converted temperature value is calculated and shown in a second sheet. These cross-sheet dependencies can make the analysis steps even more opaque (Hermans et al., 2016), as a change in the cell value of one sheet might not be immediately visible as a change in another cell on that sheet (the same is true for spreadsheets so large that upstream and downstream cells are not concurrently visible on screen). Other common sources of errors included incorrect references to cells inside formulas and incorrect use of formulas (Powell et al., 2009) or errors introduced through the common practice of copying and pasting when developing spreadsheets (Hermans et al., 2016).

To keep analysis steps clear, whether in scripted code or in spreadsheets or pen-and-paper calculations, it is important to document what is being done at each step and why (Goodman et al., 2014). Scripted languages allow for code comments, which are written directly into the script but not evaluated by the computer, and so can be used to document steps within the code without changing the operation of the code. Further, the program file itself often presents a linear, step-by-step view of the pipeline, stored separated from the data itself (Creeth, 1985). Calculations done with pen-and-paper (e.g., in a laboratory notebook) can be annotated with text to document the steps. However, there is evidence that spreadsheets are often poorly documented, or documented in ways that are hard to keep track of. Before spreadsheets,

“The formulas appeared in one place and the results in another. You could see what you were getting. That cannot be said of electronic spreadsheets, which don’t display the formulas that govern their calculations. As Mitch Kapur explained, with electronic spreadsheets, ‘You can just randomly make formulas, all of which depend on each other. And when you look at the final results, you have no way of knowing what the rules are, unless someone tells you.’” (Levy, 1984)

Within spreadsheets, the logic and methods behind the pipeline of data processing and analysis is often not documented, or only documented with cell comments (hard to see as a whole) or in emails, not the spreadsheet file. One study that investigated a large collection of spreadsheets found that most do not include documentation explaining the logic or implementation of data processing and analysis implemented within the spreadsheet (Hermans et al., 2016). A survey of neuroscience researchers at a UK institute found that about a third of respondents included no documentation for spreadsheets used in their research laboratories (AlTarawneh and Thorne, 2017).

When spreadsheet pipelines are documented, it is often through methods that are hard to find and interpret later. One study of scientific researchers found that, when research spreadsheets were documented, it was often through “cell comments” added to specific cells in the spreadsheet, which can be hard to interpret inclusively to understand the flow and logic of a spreadsheet as a whole (AlTarawneh and Thorne, 2017). In some cases, teams discuss and document functionality and changes in spreadsheets through email chains, passing different versions of the spreadsheet file as attachments of emails with discussion of the spreadsheet in the email body. One research team investigated over 700,000 emails from employees of Enron that were released during legal proceedings and investigated the spreadsheets attached to these emails (over 15,000 spreadsheets) as well as discussion of the spreadsheets within the emails themselves (Hermans and Murphy-Hill, 2015). They found that the logic and methods of calculations within the spreadsheets were often documented within the bodies of emails that team members used to share and discuss spreadsheets. This means that, if someone needs to figure out why a step was taken or identify when an error was introduced into a spreadsheet, they may need to dig through the chain of old emails documenting that spreadsheet, rather than being able to find the relevant documentation within the spreadsheet’s own file.

Often spreadsheets are designed, and their structure determined, by one person, and this is often done in an *ad hoc* fashion, rather than designing the spreadsheet to follow a common structure for the research field or for the laboratory group (Anderson et al., 2007). Often, data processing and analysis pipelines for spreadsheets are not carefully designed; instead, it’s more typically for spreadsheet user to start by directly entering data and formulas without a clear overall plan (AlTarawneh and Thorne, 2017). Often, the person who created the spreadsheet is the only person who fully knows how it works (Myneni and Patel, 2010), particularly if the spreadsheet includes complex macros or a complicated structure in the analysis pipeline (Creeth, 1985).

This practice creates a heavy dependence on the person who created that spreadsheet anytime the data or results in that spreadsheet need to be interpreted. This is particularly problematic in projects where the spreadsheet will be shared for collaboration or adapted to be used in a future project, as is often done in scientific research groups. One survey of neuroscience researchers at a UK institute, for example, found that “on average, 2–5 researchers share the same spreadsheet”. (AlTarawneh and Thorne, 2017) In this case, it can be hard to “onboard” new people to use the file, and much of the work and knowledge about the spreadsheet can be lost when that person moves on from the business or laboratory group (Creeth, 1985; Myneni and Patel, 2010). If you share a spreadsheet with numerous and complex macros and formulas included to clean and analyze the data, it can take an extensive amount of time, and in some cases may be impossible, for the researcher you share it with to decipher what is being done to get from the original data input in some cells to the final results

shown in others and in graphs. Further, if others can't figure out the steps being done through macros and formulas in a spreadsheet, they will not be able to check it for problems in the logic of the overall analysis pipeline or for errors in the specific formulas used within that pipeline. They also will struggle to extend and adapt the spreadsheet to be used for other projects. These problems come up not only when sharing with a collaborator, but also when reviewing spreadsheets that you have previously created and used (as many have noted, your most frequent collaborator will likely be "future you"). In fact, one survey of biomedical researchers at the University of Washington noted that,

"The profusion of individually created spreadsheets containing overlapping and inconsistently updated data created a great deal of confusion within some labs. There was little consideration to future data exchange of submission requirements at the time of publication." (Anderson et al., 2007)

There are methods that have been brought from more traditional programming work into spreadsheet programming to try to help limit errors, including spreadsheet assertions to enable testing of spreadsheets (Hermans et al., 2016). However, these are often not implemented, in part perhaps because many spreadsheet users see themselves as "end-users", creating spreadsheets for their own personal use rather than as something robust to future use by others, and so don't seek out strategies adopted by "programmers" when creating stable tools for others to use (Hermans et al., 2016). In practice, though, often a spreadsheet is used much longer, and by more people, than originally intended. Often, the spreadsheet in this case was not designed for robust, long-term use. From early in the history of spreadsheet programs, users have shared spreadsheet files with interesting functionality with other users (Levy, 1984), and the lifespan of a spreadsheet can be much longer than originally intended—a spreadsheet created by one user for their own personal use can end up being used and modified by that person or others for years (Hermans et al., 2016).

Subpar software for analysis.

While spreadsheets serve as a widely-used tool for data recording and analysis, in many cases spreadsheet programs are poorly suited to clean and analyze scientific data compared to other programs. As tools and interfaces continue to develop that make other software more user-friendly to those new to programming, scientists may want to reevaluate the costs and benefits, in terms of both time required for training and aptness of tools, for spreadsheet programs compared to using scripted programming languages like R and Python.

Several problems have been identified with spreadsheet programs in the context of recording and, especially, analyzing scientific data. First, some statistical methods may be inferior to those available in other statistical programming language. Since the most popular spreadsheet program (Excel) is closed source, it is hard to identify and diagnose such problems, and there is likely less of an incentive for problems in statistical methodology to be fixed (rather than using development time and funds to increase easier-to-see functionality in the program). Many statistical operations require computations that cannot be

perfectly achieved with a computer, since the computer must ultimately solve many mathematical problems using numerical approximations rather than continuous methods (e.g., calculus). The choice of the algorithms used for these approximations heavily influence how closely a result approximates the true answer.

A series of papers examined the quality of statistical methods in several statistical software programs, including Excel, starting in the 1990s (McCullough and Wilson, 1999; McCullough, 1999; McCullough and Wilson, 2002, 2005; McCullough and Heiser, 2008; Mélard, 2014). In the earliest studies, they found some concerns across all programs considered (McCullough and Wilson, 1999; McCullough, 1999). One of the biggest concerns, however, was that there was little evidence over the years that the identified problems in Excel were resolved, or at least improved, over time (McCullough, 2001; McCullough and Heiser, 2008). The authors note that there may be little incentive for checking and fixing problems with algorithms for statistical approximation in closed source software like Excel, where sales might depend more on the more immediately evident functionality in the software, while problems with statistical algorithms might be less evident to potential users (McCullough, 2001).

Open source software, on the other hand, offers pathways for identifying and fixing any problems in the software, including for statistical algorithms and methods implemented in the software's code. Since the full source code is available, researchers can closely inspect the algorithms being used and compare them to the latest knowledge in statistical computing methodology. Further, if an inferior algorithm is in use, most open source software licenses allow a user to adapt and extend the software, for example to implement better statistical algorithms.

Second, spreadsheet programs can include automated functionality that's meant to make something easier for most users, but that might invisibly create problems in some cases. A critical problem, for example, has been identified when using Excel for genomics data. When Excel encounters a cell value in a format that seems like it could be a date (e.g., "Mar-3-06"), it will try to convert that cell to a "date" class. Many software programs save date as this special "date" format, where it is printed and visually appears in a format like "3-Mar-06" but is saved internally by the program as a number (for Microsoft Excel, the number of days since January 1, 1900 (Willekens, 2013)). By doing this, the software can more easily undertake calculations with dates, like calculating the number of days between two dates or which of two dates is earlier. Bioinformatics researchers at the National Institutes of Health found that Excel was doing this type of automatic and irreversible date conversion for 30 gene names, including "MAR3" and "APR-4", resulting in these gene names being lost for further analysis (Zeeberg et al., 2004). Other automatic conversion problems caused the lost of clone identifiers with composed of digits and the letter "E" (Zeeberg et al., 2004; Welsh et al., 2017), which were assumed to be expressing a number using scientific notation and so automatically and irreversibly

converted to a numeric class. Further automatic conversion problems can be caused by cells that start with an operator (e.g., “+ control”) or with leading zeros in a numeric identifier (e.g., “007”) (Welsh et al., 2017).

Avoiding this automatic date conversion required specifying that columns with columns susceptible to these problems, including columns of gene names, should be retained in a “text” class in Excel’s file import process. While this problem was originally identified and published in 2004 (Zeeberg et al., 2004), along with tips to identify and avoid the problem, a study in 2016 found that approximately a fifth of genomics papers investigated in a large-scale review had gene name errors resulting from Excel automatic conversion, with the rate of errors actually increasing over time (Ziemann et al., 2016).

Finally, spreadsheet programs can be limited as analysis needs become more complex or large (Topaloglou et al., 2004). For example, spreadsheets can be problematic when integrating or merging large, separate datasets (Birch et al., 2018). This can create barriers, for example, in biological studies seeking to integrate measurements from different instruments (e.g., flow cytometry data with RNA-sequencing data). Further, while datasets continue to expand in their capacity for data, for very large datasets they continue to face limits that may be reached in practical applications (Birch et al., 2018), and their efficiency of running data processing and analysis pipelines across large datasets can be slow compared to code implemented with other programming languages.

Difficulty collaborating with statisticians.

Modern biomedical researchers requires large teams, with statisticians and bioinformaticians often forming a critical part of the team to enable sophisticated processing and analysis of experimental data. However, the process of combining data recording and analysis of experimental data, especially through the use of spreadsheet programs, can create barriers in working across disciplines. One group defined these issues as “data friction” and “science friction”—the extra steps and work required at each interface where data passes, for example, from a machine to analysis or from a collaborator in one discipline to one in a separate discipline (Edwards et al., 2011). From a survey of scientific labs, for example, one respondent said:

“I can give data that I think are appropriate to answer a question to a biostatistician, but when they look at it, they see it from a different point of view. And that spreadsheet does not really encapsulate where it came from very well, how was it generated, was it random, how was this data collected. You would run a series of queries that you think are pertinent to what this biostatistician would want to know. They become a part of the exploration and not just a receiver of whatever I decided to put in my spreadsheet on that day. What I get back is almost never fully documented in any way that I can really understand and add more to the process.” (Myneni and Patel, 2010)

When collaborating with statisticians or bioinformaticians, one of the key sources of this “data friction” can result from the use of spreadsheets to jointly record and analyze experimental data. First, spreadsheets are easy to print or

copy into another format (e.g., PowerPoint presentation, Word document), and so researchers often design spreadsheets to be immediately visually appealing to viewers. For example, a spreadsheet might be designed to include hierarchically organized headers (e.g., heading and subheading, some within a cell merged across several columns), or to show the result of a calculation at the bottom of a column of observations (e.g., “Total” in the last cell of the column) (Teixeira and Amaral, 2016). Multiple separate small tables might be included in the same sheet, with empty cells used for visual separation, or use a “horizontal single entry” design, where the headers are in the leftmost column rather than the top row (Teixeira and Amaral, 2016).

These spreadsheet design choices make it much more difficult for the contents of the spreadsheet to be read into other statistical programs. These types of data require several extra steps in coding, in some cases fairly complex coding, with regular expressions or logical rules needed to parse out the data and convert it to the needed shape, before the statistical work can be done for the dataset. This is a poor use of time for a collaborating statistician, especially if it can be avoided through the design of the data recording template. Further, it introduces many more chances for errors in cleaning the data.

Further, information embedded in formulas, macros, and extra formatting like color or text boxes is lost when the spreadsheet file is input into other programs. Spreadsheets allow users to use highlighting to represent information (e.g., measurements for control animals shown in red, those for experiment animals in blue) and to include information or documentation in text boxes. For example, one survey study of biomedical researchers at the University of Washington included this quote from a respondent: “I have one spreadsheet that has all of my chromosomes ... and then I’ve gone through and color coded it for homozygosity and linkage.” (Anderson et al., 2007) All the information encoded in this sheet through color will be lost when the data from the spreadsheet is read into another statistical program.

2.1.3 Approaches to separate recording and analysis

In the remaining modules in this section, we will present and describe techniques that can be used to limit or remove these problems. First, in the module on “Structure data”, we will walk through techniques to design data recording formats so that data is saved in a consistent format across experiments within a laboratory group, and in a way that removes “data friction” for collaboration with statisticians or later use in scripted code. These techniques can be immediately used to design a better spreadsheet to be used solely for data collection.

In later modules, we will discuss the use of R projects to coordinate data recording and analysis steps within a directory, while using separate files for data recording versus data processing and analysis. These more advanced formats will enable the use of quality assurance / control measures like testing of data entry and analysis functionality, better documentation of data analysis

pipelines, and easy use of version control to track projects and collaborate transparently and with a recorded history.

[We will probably want to flesh this section out as we write later modules.]

2.1.4 Discussion questions

:w

2.2 Principles and power of structured data formats

The format in which experimental data is recorded can have a large influence on how easy and likely it is to implement reproducibility tools in later stages of the research workflow. Recording data in a “structured” format brings many benefits. In this module, we will explain what makes a dataset “structured” and why this format is a powerful tool for reproducible research.

Every extra step of data cleaning is another chance to introduce errors in experimental biomedical data, and yet laboratory-based researchers often share experimental data with collaborators in a format that requires extensive additional cleaning before it can be input into data analysis (Broman and Woo, 2018). Recording data in a “structured” format brings many benefits for later stages of the research process, especially in terms of improving reproducibility and reducing the probability of errors in analysis (Ellis and Leek, 2018). Data that is in a structured, tabular, two-dimensional format is substantially easier for collaborators to understand and work with, without additional data formatting (Broman and Woo, 2018). Further, by using a consistent structured format across many or all data in a research project, it becomes much easier to create solid, well-tested code scripts for data pre-processing and analysis and to apply those scripts consistently and reproducibly across datasets from multiple experiments (Broman and Woo, 2018). However, many biomedical researchers are unaware of this simple yet powerful strategy in data recording and how it can improve the efficiency and effectiveness of collaborations (Ellis and Leek, 2018).

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- List the characteristics of a structured data format
- Describe benefits for research transparency and reproducibility
- Outline other benefits of using a structured format when recording data

2.2.1 Data recording standards

For many areas of biological data, there has been a push to create standards for how data is recorded and communicated. Standards can clarify both the *content* that should be included in a dataset, the *format* in which that content is stored, and the *vocabulary* used within this data. One article names these three facets of a data standard as the **minimum information**, **file formats**, and **ontologies** (Ghosh et al., 2011).

“It is important to distinguish between standards that specify how to actually do experiments and standards that specify how to describe experiments. Recommendations such as what standard reporters (probes) should be printed on microarrays or what quality control steps should be used in an experiment belong to the first category. Here we focus on the standards that specify how to describe and communicate data and information.” (Brazma et al., 2006)

Many people and organizations (including funders) are excited about the idea of developing and using data standards, especially at the community level. Good standards, that are widely adapted by researchers, can help in making sure that data submitted to data repositories are used widely and that software can be developed that is *interoperable* with data from many research group’s experiments. There are also many advantages, if there are not community-level standards for recording a certain type of data, to develop and use local data standards for recording data from your own experiments. This section describes the elements that go into a data standard, discusses some choices to be made when defining a data standard (especially choices on data structure and file formats), and some of the advantages and disadvantages of developing and using data recording standards at both the research group and community levels.

The first of four root causes for irreproducibility in biomedical research: “First, a lack of standards for data generation leads to problems with the comparability and integration of data sets.” (Waltemath and Wolkenhauer, 2016)

Ontology standards. Although it has the most complex name, an *ontology* (sometimes called a *terminology* (Sansone et al., 2012)) might be the easiest and quickest to adapt in recording data. An ontology helps define a vocabulary that is controlled and consistent to use that researchers can use to refer to concepts and concrete things within an area of research. It helps researchers, when they want to talk about an idea or thing, to use one word, and just one word, and to ensure that it will be the same word used by other researchers when they refer to that idea or thing. Ontologies also help to define the relationships between ideas or concrete things in a research area (Ghosh et al., 2011), but here we’ll focus on their use in provided a consistent vocabulary to use when recording data.

For example, when recording a dataset, what do you call a small mammal that is often kept as a pet and that has four legs and whiskers and purrs? Do you record this as “cat” or “feline” or maybe, depending on the animal, even “tabby” or “tom” or “kitten”? Similarly, do you record tuberculosis as “tuberculosis” or “TB” or or maybe even “consumption”? If you do not use the same word consistently in a dataset to record an idea, then while a human might be able to understand that two words should be considered equivalent, a computer will not be able to immediately tell that “TB” should be treated equivalently to “tuberculosis”.

At a larger scale, if a research community can adapt an ontology they agree to use throughout their studies, it will make it easier to understand and inte-

grate datasets produced by different research laboratories. If every research group uses the term “cat”, then code can easily be written to extract and combine all data recorded for cats across a large repository of experimental data. On the other hand, if different terms are used, then it might be necessary to first create a list of all terms used in datasets in the repository, then pick through that list to find any terms that are exchangeable with “cat”, then write script to pull data with any of those terms.

Several ontologies already exist or are being created for biological and other biomedical research (Ghosh et al., 2011). Existing community-level ontologies in the biological sciences include [Gene Ontology and Systems Biology Ontology are listed in the Ghosh et al. paper as two examples]. For biomedical science, practice, and research, the BioPortal website (<http://bioportal.bioontology.org/>) provides access to almost 800 ontologies, including several versions of the International Classification of Diseases, the Medical Subject Headings (MESH), the National Cancer Institute Thesaurus, the Orphanet Rare Disease Ontology and the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Organismal Classification. For each ontology in the BioPortal website, the website provides a link for downloading the ontology in several formats. If you download the ontology as a “CSV”, you can open it in your favorite spreadsheet program and explore how it defines specific terms to use for each idea or thing you might need to discuss within that topic area, as well as synonyms for some of the terms. To use an ontology when recording your own data, just make sure you use the ontology’s suggested terms in your data. For example, if you’d like to use the Ontology for Biomedical Investigations (<http://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OBI>) and you are recording how many children a woman has had who were born alive, you should name that column of the data “number of live births”, not “# live births” or “live births (N)” or anything else. Other collections of ontologies exist for fields of scientific research, including the Open Biological and Biomedical Ontology (OBO) Foundry (<http://www.obofoundry.org/>).

If there are community-wide ontologies in your field, it is worthwhile to use them in recording experimental data in your research group. Even better is to not only consistently use the defined terms, but also to follow any conventions with capitalization. While most statistical programs provide tools to change capitalization (for example, to change all letters in a character string to lower case), this process does require an extra step of data cleaning and an extra chance for confusion or for errors to be introduced into data.

Minimum information standards. The next easiest facet of a data standard to bring into data recording in a research group is *minimum information*. Within a data recording standard, *minimum information* (sometimes also called *minimum reporting guidelines* (Sansone et al., 2012) or *reporting requirements* (Brazma et al., 2006)) specify *what* should be included in a dataset (Ghosh et al., 2011). Using minimum information standards help ensure that data within a laboratory, or data posted to a repository, contain a number of required elements.

This makes it easier to re-use the data, either to compare it to data that a lab has newly generated, or to combine several posted datasets to aggregate them for a new, integrated analysis, considerations that are growing in importance with the increasing prevalence of research repositories and research consortia in many fields of biomedical science (Keller et al., 2017).

“Minimum information is a checklist of required supporting information for datasets from different experiments. Examples include: Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment (MIAME), Minimum Information About a Proteomic Experiment (MIAPE), and the Minimum Information for Biological and Biomedical Investigations (MIBBI) project.” (Ghosh et al., 2011)

Standardized file formats. While using a standard ontology and a standard for minimum information is a helpful start, it just means that each dataset has the required elements *somewhere*, and using a consistent vocabulary—it doesn’t specify where those elements are in the data or that they’ll be in the same place in every dataset that meets those standards. As a result, datasets that all meet a common standard can still be very hard to combine, or to create common data analysis scripts and tools for, since each dataset will require a different process to pull out a given element.

Computer files serve as a way to organize data, whether that’s recorded datapoints or written documents or computer programs (Kernighan and Pike, 1984). As the programmer Paul Ford writes,

“Data is just stuff, or rather, structured stuff: The cells of a spreadsheet, the structure of a Word document, computer programs themselves—all data.” (Ford, 2015)

A *file format* defines the rules for how the bytes in the chunk of memory that makes up a certain file should be parsed and interpreted anytime you want to meaningfully access and use the data within that file (Murrell, 2009). There are many file formats you may be familiar with—a file that ends in “.pdf” must be opened with a Portable Document Format (PDF) Reader like Adobe Acrobat, or it won’t make much sense (you can try this out by trying to open a “.pdf” file with a text editor, like TextEdit or Notepad). The Reader has been programmed to interpret the data in a “.pdf” file based on rules defining what data is stored where in the section of computer memory for that file. Because most “.pdf” files conform to the same *file format* rules, powerful software can be built that works with any file in that format.

For certain types of biomedical data, the challenge of standardizing a format has similarly been addressed through the use of well-defined rules for not only the content of data, but also the way that content is *structured*. This can be standardized through *standardized file formats* (sometimes also called *data exchange formats* (Brazma et al., 2006)) and often defines not only the upper-level file format (e.g., use of a “.csv” file type), but also how data within that file type should be organized. If data from different research groups and experiments is recorded using the same file format, researchers can develop software tools

that can be repeatedly used to interpret and visualize that data; on the other hand, if different experiments record data using different formats, bespoke analysis scripts must be written for each separate dataset. This is a blow not only to the efficiency of data analysis, but also a threat to the accuracy of that analysis. If a set of tools can be developed that will work over and over, more time can be devoted to refining those tools and testing them for potential errors and bugs, while one-shot scripts often can't be curated with similar care. One paper highlights the dangers that come with working with files that don't follow a defined format:

"Beware of common pitfalls when working with *ad hoc* bioinformatics formats. Simple mistakes over minor details like file formats can consume a disproportionate amount of time and energy to discover and fix, so mind these details early on." (Buffalo, 2015)

Some biomedical data file formats have been created to help smooth over the transfer of data that's captured by complex equipment into software that can analyze that data. For example, many immunological studies need to measure immune cell populations in experiments, and to do so they use piece of equipment called a flow cytometer that probes cells in a sample with lasers and measures resulting intensities to determine characteristics of that cell. The data created by this equipment is large (often measurements from [x] or more lasers are taken for [x] cells in a single run) and somewhat complex, with a need to record not only the intensity measurements from each laser, but also some metadata about the equipment and characteristics of the run. If every company that manufactured flow cytometers used a different file format for saving the resulting data, then a different set of analysis software would need to be developed to accompany each piece of equipment. For example, a laboratory at a university with flow cytometers from two different companies would need licenses for two different software programs to work with data recorded by flow cytometers, and they would need to learn how to use each software package separately. There is a chance that software could be developed that used shared code for data analysis, but only if it also included separate sets of code to read in data from all types of equipment and to reformat them to a common format.

This isn't the case, however. Instead, there is a commonly agreed on file format that flow cytometers should use to record the data they collect, called the *FCS file format*. This format has been defined through a series of papers [refs], with several separate versions as the file format has evolved over the years. It provides clear specifications on where to save each relevant piece of information in the block of memory devoted to the data recorded by the flow cytometer (in some cases, leaving a slot in the file blank if no relevant information was collected on that element). As a result, people have been able to create software, both proprietary and open-source, that can be used with any data recorded by a flow cytometer, regardless of which company manufacturer the piece of equipment that was used to generate the data. Other

types of biomedical data also have standardized file formats, including [example popular file formats for biomedical data]. In some cases these were defined by an organization, society, or initiative (e.g., the Metabolomics Standards Initiative) (Ghosh et al., 2011), while in some cases the file format developed by a specific equipment manufacturer has become popular enough that it's established itself as the standard for recording a type of data (Brazma et al., 2006).

For an even simpler example, think about recording dates. The *minimum information standard* for a date might always be the same—a recorded value must include the day of the month, month, and year. However, this information can be *structured* in a variety of ways. In many scientific data, it's common to record this information going from the largest to smallest units, so March 12, 2006, would be recorded “2006-03-12”. Another convention (especially in the US) is to record the month first (e.g., “3/12/06”), while another (more common in Europe) is to record the day of the month first (e.g., “12/3/06”).

If you are trying to combine data from different datasets with dates, and all use a different structure, it's easy to see how mistakes could be introduced unless the data is very carefully reformatted. For example, March 12 (“3-12” with month-first, “12-3” with day-first) could be easily mistaken to be December 3, and vice versa. Even if errors are avoided, combining data in different structures will take more time than combining data in the same structure, because of the extra needs for reformatting to get all data in a common structure.

“Vast swathes of bioscience data remain locked in esoteric formats, are described using nonstandard terminology, lack sufficient contextual information, or simply are never shared due to the perceived cost or futility of the exercise.” (Sansone et al., 2012)

2.2.2 Defining data standards for a research group

If some of the data you record from your experiments comes from complex equipment, like flow cytometers [or?], you may be recording much of that data in a standardized format without any extra effort, because that format is the default output format for the equipment. However, you may have more control over other data recorded from your experiments, including smaller, less complex data recorded directly into a laboratory notebook or spreadsheet. You can derive a number of benefits from defining and using a standard for collecting this data, as well.

As already mentioned, for many of the complex types of biological data, standardized file formats exist. For example, flow cytometry data is typically collected and recorded in .fcs files. Every piece of flow cytometry equipment can then be built to output data in this format, and every piece of software to analyze flow cytometry data can be built to read in this input. The .fcs file format specifies how both raw data and metadata (e.g., compensation information, equipment details) can be saved within the file—everyone who uses that file format knows where to store data and where to find data of a certain type.

Much of the data collected in a laboratory is smaller, less complex, or less structured than these types of data, data that is recorded “by hand”, often into a laboratory notebook or a spreadsheet. One paper describes this type of data as the output of “traditional, low-throughput bench science” (Wilkinson et al., 2016). For this data recording, the data may be written down in an *ad hoc* way—however the particular researcher doing the experiment thinks makes sense—and that format might change with each experiment, even if many experiments have similar data outputs. As a result, it becomes harder to create standardized data processing and analysis scripts that work with this data or that integrate it with more complex data types. Further, if everyone in a laboratory sets up their spreadsheets for data recording in their own way, it is much harder for one person in the group to look at data another person recorded and immediately find what they need within the spreadsheet.

As a step in a better direction, the head of a research group may designate some common formats (e.g., a spreadsheet template) that all researchers in the group should use when recording the data from a specific type of experiments. This provides consistency across the recorded data for the laboratory, making easier for one lab member to quickly understand and navigate data saved by another lab member. It also opens the possibility to create tools or scripts that read in and analyze the data that can be re-used across multiple experiments with minor changes. This helps improve the efficiency and reproducibility of data analysis, visualization, and reporting steps of the research project.

This does require some extra time commitment (Brazma et al., 2006). First, time is needed to design the format, and it does take a while to develop a format that is inclusive enough that it includes a place to put all data you might want to record for a certain type of experiment. Second, it will take some time to teach each laboratory member what the format is and how to make sure they comply with it when they record data.

On the flip side, the longer-term advantages of using a defined, structured format will outweigh the short-term time investments for many laboratory groups for frequently used data types. By creating and using a consistent structure to record data of a certain type, members of a laboratory group can increase their efficiency (since they do not need to re-design a data recording structure repeatedly). They can also make it easier for downstream collaborators, like biostatisticians and bioinformaticians, to work with their output, as those collaborators can create tools and scripts that can be recycled across experiments and research projects if they know the data will always come to them in the same format. These benefits increase even more if data format standards are created and used by a whole research field (e.g., if a standard data recording format is always used for researchers conducting a certain type of drug development experiment), because then the tools built at one institution can be used at other institutions. However, this level of field-wide coordination can be hard to achieve, and so a more realistic immediate goal might be formalizing data recording structures within your research group or department,

while keeping an eye out for formats that are gaining popularity as standards in your field to adopt within your group.

One key advantage to using standardized data formats even for recording simple, “low-throughput” data is that everyone in the research group will be able to understand and work with data recorded by anyone else in the group—data will not become impenetrable once the person who recorded it leaves the group. Also, once a group member is used to the format, the process of setting up to record data from a new experiment will be quicker, as it won’t require the effort of deciding and setting up a *de novo* format for a spreadsheet or other recording file. Instead, a template file can be created that can be copied as a starting point for any new data recording.

Finally, there are huge benefits further down the data analysis pipeline that come with always recording data in the same format. If your group is working with a statistician or data analyst, it becomes much easier for that person to quickly understand a new file if it follows the same format as previous files. Further, if you work with a statistician or data analyst, he or she probably creates code scripts to read in, re-format, analyze, and visualize the data you’ve shared. If you always record data using the same format, these scripts can be reused with very little modification. This saves valuable time, and it helps make more time for more interesting statistical analysis if your collaborator can trim time off reading in and reformatting the data in their statistical programming language.

One paper suggests that the balance can be found, in terms of deciding whether the benefits of developing a standard outweigh the costs, by considering how often data of a certain type is generated and used:

“To develop and deploy a standard creates an overhead, which can be expensive. Standards will help only if a particular type of information has to be exchanged often enough to pay off the development, implementation, and usage of the standard during its lifespan.” (Brazma et al., 2006)

2.2.3 Two-dimensional structured data format

So far, this module has explored *why* you might want to use standardized data formats for recording experimental data. The rest of the module aims to give you tips for how to design and define your own standardized data formats, if you decide that is worthwhile for certain data types recorded within your research group.

Once you commit to creating a defined, structured format, you’ll need to decide what that structure should be. There are many options here, and it’s very tempting to use a format that is easy on human eyes (Buffalo, 2015). For example, it may seem appealing to create a format that could easily be copied and pasted into presentations and Word documents and that will look nice in those presentation formats. To facilitate this use, a laboratory might set up a recording format base on a spreadsheet template that includes multiple tables of different data types on the same sheet, or multi-level column headings.

Unfortunately, many of the characteristics that make a format attractive to human eyes will make it harder for a computer to make sense of. For example, if you include two tables in the same spreadsheet, it might make it easier for a person to get a one-screen look at two small data tables. However, if you want to read that data into a statistical program (or work with a collaborator who would), it will likely take some complex code to try to tell the computer how to find the second table in the spreadsheet. The same applies if you include some blank lines at the top of the spreadsheet, or use multi-level headers, or use “summary” rows at the bottom of a table. Further, any information you’ve included with colors or with text boxes in the spreadsheet will be lost when the data’s read into a statistical program. These design elements in a data format make it much harder to read the data embedded in a spreadsheet into other computer programs, including programs for more complex data analysis and visualization, like R and Python.

“Data should be formatted in a way that facilitates computer readability. All too often, we as humans record data in a way that maximizes its readability to us, but takes a considerable amount of cleaning and tidying before it can be processed by a computer. The more data (and metadata) that is computer readable, the more we can leverage our computers to work with this data.” (Buffalo, 2015)

For most statistical programs, data can be easily read in from a spreadsheet if the computer can parse it in the following way: first, read in the first row, and assign each cell in that row as the *name* of a column. Then, read in the second row, and put each cell in the column the corresponds with the name of the cell in the same position in the first row. Also, set the data type for that column (e.g., number, character) based on the data type in this cell. Then, keep reading in rows until getting to a row that’s completely blank, and that will be the end of the data. If any of the rows has more cell than the first row, then that means that something went wrong, and should result in stopping or giving a warning. If any of the rows have fewer cells than the first row, then that means that there are missing data in that row, and should probably be recorded as missing values for any cells the row is “short” compared to the first row.

One of the easiest format for a computer to read is therefore a two-dimensional “box” of data, where the first row of the spreadsheet gives the column names, and where each row contains an equal number of entries. This type of two-dimensional tabular structure forms the basis for several popular “delimited” file formats that serve as a *lingua franca* across many simple computer programs, like the comma-separated values (CSV) format, the tab-delimited values (TSV) format, and the more general delimiter-separated values (DSV) format, which are a common format for data exchange across databases, spreadsheet programs, and statistical programs (Janssens, 2014; Raymond, 2003; Buffalo, 2015).

“Tabular plain-text data formats are used extensively in computing. The basic format is incredibly simple: each row (also known as a record) is kept on its

own line, and each column (also known as a field) is separate by some delimiter.” (Buffalo, 2015)

If you think of the computer parsing a spreadsheet as described above, hopefully it clarifies why some spreadsheet formats would cause problems. For example, if you have two tables in the same spreadsheet, with blank lines between them, the computer will likely either think it’s read all the data after the first table, and so not read in any data from the second table, or it will think the data from both tables belong in a single table, with some rows of missing data in the center. To write the code to read in data from two tables into two separate datasets in a statistical program, it will be necessary to write some complex code to tell the computer how to search out the start of the second table in the spreadsheet.

Similar problems come up if a spreadsheet diverges from a regular, two-dimensional format, with a single row of column names to start the data. For example, if the data uses multiple rows to create multi-level column headers, anyone reading it into another program will need to either skip some of the rows of the column headers, and so lose information in the original spreadsheet, or write complex code to parse the column headers separately, then read in the later rows with data, and then stick the two elements back together. “Summary” rows at the end of a dataset (for example, the sums or means of all values in a column) will need to be trimmed off when the data is read into other programs, since most of the analysis and visualization someone would want to do in another program will calculate any summaries fresh, and will want each row of a dataset to represent the same “type” and level of data (e.g., one measurement from one animal).

For anything in a data format that requires extra coding when reading data into another program, you are introducing a new opportunity for errors at the interface between data recording and data analysis. If there are strong reasons to use a format that requires these extra steps, it will still be possible to create code to read in and parse the data in statistical programs, and if the same format is consistently used, then scripts can be developed and thoroughly tested to allow this. However, do keep in mind that this will be an extra burden on any data analysis collaborators who are using a program besides a spreadsheet program. The extra time this will require could be large, since this code should be vetted and tested thoroughly to ensure that the data cleaning process is not introducing errors. By contrast, if the data is recorded in a two-dimensional format with a single row of column names as the first row, data analysts can likely read it quickly and cleanly into other programs, with low risks of errors in the transfer of data from the spreadsheet.

“Cleaning data is a short-term solution, and preventing errors is promoted as a permanent solution. The drawback to cleaning data is that the process never ends, is costly, and may allow many errors to avoid detection.” (Keller et al., 2017)

2.2.4 Saving two-dimensional structured data in plain text file formats

If you have recorded data in a two-dimensional structured format, you can choose to save it in either a *plain text* format or a *binary* format. With a plain text format, a file is “human readable” when it’s opened in a text editor (Hunt et al., 2000; Janssens, 2014), because each byte that encodes the file translates to a single character (Murrell, 2009), usually using an ASCII or Unicode encoding. Common plain text file formats used for biomedical research include CSV and TSV files (these are distinguished only by the character used as a delimiter—commas for CSV files versus tabs for TSV files) (Buffalo, 2015), other more complex file formats like SAM and XML are also typically saved in plain text.

A binary file format, on the other hand, encodes data within the file using an encoding system that differs from ASCII or Unicode. To extract the data in a meaningful way, a computer program must know and use rules for the encoding and structure of that file format, and those rules will be different for each different binary file format (Murrell, 2009). Some binary file formats are “open”, with all the information on these rules and encodings available for anyone to read. On the otherhand, other binary file formats are proprietary, without available guidance on how to interpret or use the data stored in them when creating new software tools. Binary files, because they don’t follow the restrictions of plain text encoding and format, can encode and organize data in a way that’s often much more compressed, because it’s optimized to suit a specific type of data. This means that binary file formats can often store more data within a certain amount of computer memory compared to plain text file formats. Binary files can also be designed so that the computer can find and read a specific piece of data, rather than needing to read data in linearly from the start to the end of a file as with plain text formats. This means that programs can often access specific bits of data much more quickly from a binary file format than from a plain text format, making computation processing run much faster.

However, even with the speed and size advantages of many binary file formats, it is often worthwhile to record and save experimental data in a plain text, rather than binary, file format. There are a number of advantages to using a plain text format. A plain text format may take more space (in terms of computer memory) and take longer to process within other programs; however, its benefits typically outweigh these limitations (Hunt et al., 2000). Advantages include: (1) humans can read the file directly (Hunt et al., 2000; Janssens, 2014), and should always be able to, regardless of changes in and future obsolescence of computer programs; (2) almost all software programs for analyzing and processing files can input plain-text files, while binary file formats often require specialized software (Murrell, 2009); (3) the Unix system, which has influenced many existing software programs, especially open-source programs for data analysis and command-line tools, are based on inputting and outputting

line-based plain-text files (Janssens, 2014); and (4) plain-text files can be easily tracked with version control (Hunt et al., 2000). These advantages might become particularly important in cases where researchers need to combine and integrate heterogeneous data, for example data coming from different instruments.

Another advantage of storing data in a plain text format is that it makes version control, which we'll discuss in a later module, a much more powerful tool. With plain text files, you can use version control to see the specific changes to a file. With binary files, you can typically see if a file was changed, but it's much harder to see exactly what within the file was changed.

The book *The Pragmatic Programmer* highlights some of the advantages of plain text:

"Human-readable forms of data, and self-describing data, will outlive all other forms of data and the applications that created them. Period. As long as the data survives, you will have a chance to be able to use it—potentially long after the original application that wrote it is defunct. ... Even in the future of XML-based intelligent agents that travel the wild and dangerous Internet autonomously, negotiating data interchange among themselves, the ubiquitous text file will still be there. In fact, in heterogeneous environments the advantages of plain text can outweigh all of the drawbacks. You need to ensure that all parties can communicate using a common standard. Plain text is that standard." (Hunt et al., 2000)

Paul Ford, by contrast, describes some of the disadvantages of a binary file format, using the Photoshop file format as an example:

"A Photoshop file is a lump of binary data. It just sits there on your hard drive. Open it in Photoshop, and there are your guides, your color swatches, and of course, the manifold pixels of your intent. But outside of Photoshop that file is an enigma. There is not 'view source'. You can, if you're passionate, read the standard on the web, and it's all piled in there, the history of pictures on computers. That's when it becomes clear: only Photoshop's creator Adobe can understand this thing." (Ford, 2014)

Structuring data in a gridded, two-dimensional format, as described in the last section, will be helpful even if it is in a file format that is binary, like Excel. However, there are added benefits to saving the structured data in a plain text format. Older Excel spreadsheets are typically saved in a proprietary file format (".xls"), while more recently Excel has saved files to an open binary format based on packaging XML files with the data (".xlsx" file format) (Janssens, 2014). While the open proprietary format is preferable, since tools can be developed to work with them by people other than the Microsoft team, both file formats still face some of the limitations of binary file formats as a way of recording experimental data. However, even if you have used a spreadsheet program like Excel to record data, it's very easy to still save that data in a plain text file format (Murrell, 2009). In most spreadsheet programs, you can choose to save a file "As CSV".

2.2.5 Occassions for more complex data structures and file formats

There are some cases where a two-dimensional data format may not be adequate for recording experimental data, despite this format's advantages in improving reproducibility through later data analysis steps. Similarly, there may be cases where a binary file format, or use of a database, will outweigh the benefits of saving data to a plain text format. Being familiar with different file formats can also be helpful when you need to integrate data stored in different formats (Murrell, 2009).

Non-tabular plain-text formats. First, some data has a linked or hierarchical nature, in terms of how data points are connected through the dataset. For example, data on a family tree might have a hierarchical structure, where different numbers of children are recorded for each parent. As another example, if you were building a dataset describing how scientists have collaborated together as coauthors, that data might form a network. In many cases, it is possible to structure datasets with these types of “non-tabular” structure using the “tidy data” tabular format described in the next section. However, in very complex cases, it may work better to use a non-tabular data format (Raymond, 2003). Popular data formats that are non-tabular include the eXtensible Markup Language (XML) and JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) formats, both of which are well-suited for hierarchically-structured data. You may also have data you would like to use in XML or JSON formats if you are using web services to pull datasets from online repositories, as open data application programming interfaces (APIs) often return data in these formats (Janssens, 2014).

Another use of file formats that are plain text but meant to be streamed, rather than read in as a whole. When reading in data stored in a delimited plain text file, like a CSV file, a statistical program like R will typically read in all the data and then operate on the dataset as a whole. If a data file is very large, then reading in all the data at once might require so much memory that it slows down processing, or even exceed the program's memory cap [?]. One strategy is to design a data format so that the program can read in a small amount of the file, process that piece of the data, write the result out, and remove that bit of data from the program's memory before moving into the next portion of data (Buffalo, 2015). This *streaming* approach is sometimes used with some file formats used for biomedical research, including FASTA and FASTQ files.

Databases. When research datasets include not only data that can be expressed in plain text, but also data like images, photographs, or videos, it may be worth considering using a database to store the data (Murrell, 2009). Relational database management system software, like [examples. MySQL? PostgreSQL?] can be used to organize data in a way that records connections (*relations*) between different pieces of data and allows you to access different combinations of that data quickly using Structured Query Language, or *SQL* (Ford, 2015). Further, some statistical programming languages, including R, now have tools that allow you to directly access and work with data from a database

from within the statistical program, and in some cases using scripts that are very similar or identical to the code that would be used if you'd read the data into the program from a plain text file.

"The database is the unsung infrastructure of the world, the shared memory of every corporation, and the foundation of every major web site. And they are everywhere. Nearly every host-your-own-web-site package comes with access to a database called MySQL; just about every cell phone has SQLite3, aa tiny, pocket-sized database, built in." (Ford, 2015)

It will be more complicated to set up a database for recording experimental data, and so it's often preferable to instead save data in plain text files within a file directory, if the data is simple enough to allow that. However, there are some fairly simple database solutions that are now available, including SQLite (Buffalo, 2015).

Binary file formats.

There are cases where it may not be best to store laboratory-generated data in a plain text format. For example, the output from a flow cytometer is large and would take up a lot (more) computer memory if stored in a plain text format, and it would take much longer to read and work with the data in analysis software if it were in that format. For very large datasets like this, it may be necessary to use a binary data format, either for size or speed or both (Kernighan and Pike, 1984; Hunt et al., 2000). For very large biomedical datasets, binary file formats are sometimes designed for *out-of-memory approaches* (Buffalo, 2015), where a file format is designed in a way that allows computer programs to find and read only specific pieces of data in a file through a process called *random access*, rather than needing to read the full file into memory before a specific piece of data in the file can be accessed (a.k.a., *sequential access*) (Murrell, 2009).

2.2.6 Levels of standardization—research group to research community

Standards can operate both at the level of individual research groups and at the level of the scientific community as a whole. The potential advantages of community-level standards are big: they offer the chance to develop common-purpose tools and code scripts for data analysis, as well as make it easier to re-use and combine experimental data from previous research that is posted in open data repositories. If a software tool can be reused, then more time can be spent in developing and testing it, and as more people use it, bugs and shortcomings can be identified and corrected. Community-wide standards can lead to databases with data from different experiments, and from different laboratory groups, structured in a way that makes it easy for other researchers to understand each dataset, find pieces of data of interest within datasets, and integrate different datasets (Lynch, 2008). Similarly, with community-wide standards, it can become much easier for different research groups to collaborate with each other or for a research group to use data generated by

equipment from different manufacturers (Schadt et al., 2010).

“Without community-level harmonization and interoperability, many community projects risk becoming data silos.” (Sansone et al., 2012)

“Solutions to integrating the new generation of large-scale data sets require approaches akin to those used in physics, climatology and other quantitative disciplines that have mastered the collection of large data sets.” (Schadt et al., 2010)

However, there are important limitations to community-wide standards, as well. It can be very difficult to impose such standards top-down and community-wide, particularly for low-throughput data collection (e.g., laboratory bench measurements), where research groups have long been in the habit of recording data in spreadsheets in a format defined by individual researchers or research groups. One paper highlights this point:

“The data exchange formats PSI-MI and MAGE-ML have helped to get many of the high-throughput data sets into the public domain. Nevertheless, from a bench biologist’s point of view benefits from adopting standards are not yet overwhelming. Most standardization efforts are still mainly an investment for biologists.” (Brazma et al., 2006)

Further, in some fields, community-wide standards have struggled to remain stable, which can frustrate community members, as scripts and software must be revamped to handle shifting formats (Buffalo, 2015; Barga et al., 2011). In some cases, a useful compromise is to follow a general data recording format, rather than one that is very prescriptive. For example, committing to recording data in a format that is “tidy” (which we discuss extensively in the next module) may be much more flexible—and able to meet the needs of a large range of experimental designs—than the use of a common spreadsheet template or a more proscriptive standardized data format.

2.2.7 Applied exercise

2.3 The ‘tidy’ data format

The “tidy” data format is one implementation of a tabular, two-dimensional structured data format that has quickly gained popularity among statisticians and data scientists since it was defined in a 2014 paper (Wickham, 2014). The “tidy” data format plugs into R’s *tidyverse* framework, which enables powerful and user-friendly data management, processing, and analysis by combining simple tools to solve complex, multi-step problems (Ross et al., 2017; Silge and Robinson, 2016; Wickham, 2016; Wickham and Grolemund, 2016). Since the *tidyverse* tools are simple and share a common interface, they are easier to learn, use, and combine than tools created in the traditional base R framework (Ross et al., 2017; Lowndes et al., 2017; 7; McNamara, 2016). This *tidyverse* framework is quickly becoming the standard taught in introductory R courses

and books (Hicks and Irizarry, 2017; Baumer, 2015; Kaplan, 2018; Stander and Dalla Valle, 2017; ?; McNamara, 2016), ensuring ample training resources for researchers new to programming, including books (e.g., (Baumer et al., 2017; Irizarry and Love, 2016; Wickham and Grolemund, 2016)), massive open online courses (MOOCs), on-site university courses (Baumer, 2015; Kaplan, 2018; Stander and Dalla Valle, 2017), and Software Carpentry workshops (Wilson, 2014; Pawlik et al., 2017). Further, tools that extend the *tidyverse* have been created to enable high-quality data analysis and visualization in several domains, including text mining (Silge and Robinson, 2017), microbiome studies (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013), natural language processing (Arnold, 2017), network analysis (Tyner et al., 2017), ecology (Hsieh et al., 2016), and genomics (Yin et al., 2012). In this section, we will explain what characteristics determine if a dataset is “tidy” and how use of the “tidy” implementation of a structure data format can improve the ease and efficiency of “Team Science”.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- List characteristics defining the “tidy” structured data format
- Explain the difference between the a structured data format (general concept) and the ‘tidy’ data format (one popular implementation)

In the previous module, we explained the benefits of saving data in a structured format, and in particular using a two-dimensional format saved to a plain text file when possible. In this section, we’ll talk about the “tidy text” format—a set of principles to use when structuring two-dimensional tabular data. These principles cover some basic rules for ordering the data, and the resulting datasets can be very easily worked with, including to further clean, model, and visualize the data, and to integrate the data with other datasets, using a series of open-source tools on the R platform called the “tidyverse”. These characteristics mean that, if you are planning to use a standardized data format for recording experimental data in your research group, you may want to consider creating one that adheres to the “tidy data” rules.

We’ll start by describing what rules a dataset’s format must follow for it to be “tidy”, and try to clarify how you can set up your data recording to follow these rules. In a later part of this module, we’ll talk more about the tidyverse tools that you can use with this data, as well as give some resources for finding out more about the tidyverse and how to use its tools.

Since a key advantage of the “tidy data” format is that it works so well with R’s “tidyverse” tools, we’ll also talk a bit in this section about the use of scripting languages like R, and how using them to analyze and visualize the data you collect can improve the overall reproducibility of your research.

2.3.1 What makes data “tidy”?

The “tidy” data format describes one way to structure tabular data. The name follows from the focus of this data format and its associated set of tools—the

“tidyverse”—on preparing and cleaning (“tidying”) data, in contrast to sets of tools more focused on other steps, like data analysis (Wickham, 2014). The word “tidy” is not meant to apply that other formats are “dirty”, or that they include data that is incorrect or subpar. In fact, the same set of datapoints could be saved in a file in a way that is either “tidy” (in the sense of (Wickham, 2014)) or untidy, depending only on how the data are organized across columns and rows.

“The development of tidy data has been driven by my experience from working with real-world datasets. With few, if any, constraints on their organization, such datasets are often constructed in bizarre ways. I have spent countless hours struggling to get such datasets organized in a way that makes data analysis possible, let alone easy.” (Wickham, 2014)

The rules for making data “tidy” are pretty simple, and they are defined in detail, and with extended examples, in the journal article that originally defined the data format (Wickham, 2014). Here, we’ll go through those rules, with the hope that you’ll be able to understand what makes a dataset follow the “tidy” data format. If so, you’ll be able to set up your data recording template to follow this template, and you’ll be able to tell if other data you get is in this format and, if it’s not, restructure it so that it does. In the next part of this module, we’ll explain why it’s so useful to have your data in this format.

Tidy data, first, must be in a tabular (i.e., two-dimensional, with columns and rows, and with all rows and columns of the same length—nothing “ragged”). If you recorded data in a spreadsheet using a very basic strategy of saving a single table per spreadsheet, with the first row giving the column names (as described in the previous module), then your data will be in a tabular format. It should not be saved in a hierarchical structure, like XML (although there are now tools for converting data from XML to a “tidy” format, so you may still be able to take advantage of the tidyverse even if you must use XML for your data recording). In general, if your recorded data looks “boxy”, it’s probably in a two-dimensional tabular format.

There are some additional criteria for the “tidy” data format, though, and so not every structured, tabular dataset is in a “tidy” format. The first of these rules are that each row of a “tidy” dataset records the values for a single observation, and that each column provides characteristics or measurements of a certain type, in the order of the observations given by the rows (Wickham, 2014).

“Most statistical datasets are rectangular tables made up of rows and columns ... [but] there are many ways to structure the same underlying data. ... Real datasets can, and often do, violate the three precepts of tidy data in almost every way imaginable.” (Wickham, 2014)

To be able to decide if your data is tidy, then, you need to know what forms a single observation in data you’re collecting. The *unit of observation* of a dataset is the unit at which you take measurements (Sedgwick, 2014). This

idea is different than the *unit of analysis*, which is the unit that you're focusing on in your study hypotheses and conclusions (this is sometimes also called the "sampling unit" or "unit of investigation") (Altman and Bland, 1997). In some cases, these two might be equivalent (the same unit is both the unit of observation and the unit of measurement), but often they are not (Sedgwick, 2014).

"The unit of observation and unit of analysis are often confused. The unit of observation, sometimes referred to as the unit of measurement, is defined statistically as the 'who' or 'what' for which data are measured or collected. The unit of analysis is defined statistically as the 'who' or 'what' for which information is analysed and conclusions are made." (Sedgwick, 2014)

For example, say you are testing how the immune system of mice responds to a certain drug over time. You may have several replicates of mice measured at several time points, and those mice might be in separate groups (for example, infected with a disease versus uninfected). In this case, if a separate mouse (replicate) is used to collect each observation, and a mouse is never measured twice (i.e., at different time points, or for a different infection status), then the unit of measurement is the mouse. There should be one and only one row in your dataset for each mouse, and that row should include two types of information: first, information about the unit being measured (e.g., the time point, whether the mouse was infected, and a unique mouse identifier) and, second, the results of that measurement (e.g., the weight of the mouse when it was sacrificed, the levels of different immune cell populations in the mouse, a measure of the extent of infection in the mouse if it was infected, and perhaps some notes about anything of note for the mouse, like if it appeared noticeably sick). In this case, the *unit of analysis* might be the drug, or a combination of drug and dose—ultimately, you may want to test something like if one drug is more effective than another. However, the *unit of observation*, the level at which each data point is collected, is the mouse, with each mouse providing a single observation to help answer the larger research question.

As another example, say you conducted a trial on human subjects, to see how the use of a certain treatment affects the speed of recovery, where each study subject was measured at different time points. In this case, the unit of observation is the combination of study subject and time point (while the unit of analysis is the study subject, if the treatments are randomized to the study subjects). That means that Subject 1's measurement at Time 1 would be one observation, and the same person's measurement at Time 2 would be a separate observation. For a dataset to comply with the "tidy" data format, these two observations would need to be recorded on separate lines in the data. If the data instead had different columns to record each study subject's measurements at different time points, then the data would still be tabular, but it would not be "tidy".

In this second example, you may initially find the "tidy" format unappealing, because it seems like it would lead to a lot of repeated data. For example, if

you wanted to record each study subject's sex, it seems like the “tidy” format would require you to repeat that information in each separate line of data that's used to record the measurements for that subject for different time points. This isn't the case—instead, with a “tidy” data format, different “levels” of data observations should be recorded in separate tables (Wickham, 2014). So, if you have some data on each study subject that does not change across the time points of the study—like the subject's ID, sex, and age at enrollment—those form a separate dataset, one where the unit of observation is the study subject, so there should be just one row of data per study subject in that data table, while the measurements for each time point should be recorded in a separate data table. A unique identifier, like a subject ID, should be recorded in each data table so it can be used to link the data in the two tables. If you are using a spreadsheet to record data, this would mean that the data for these separate levels of observation should be recorded in separate sheets, and not on the same sheet of a spreadsheet file. Once you read the data into a scripting language like R or Python, it will be easy to link the larger and smaller “tidy” datasets as needed for analysis, visualizations, and reports.

Once you have divided your data into separate datasets based on the level of observation, and structured each row to record data for a single observation based on the unit of observation within that dataset, each column should be used to measure a separate characteristic or measurement (a *variable*) for each measurement (Wickham, 2014). A column could either give characteristics of the data that were pre-defined by the study design—for example, the treatment assigned to a mouse, or the time point at which a measurement was taken if the study design defined the time points when measurements would be taken. These types of column values are also sometimes called *fixed variables* (Wickham, 2014). Other columns will record observed measurements—values that were not set prior to the experiment. These might include values like the level of infection measured in an animal and are sometimes called *measured variables* (Wickham, 2014).

“While the order of variables and observations does not affect analysis, a good ordering makes it easier to scan the raw values. One way of organizing variables is by their role in the analysis: are values fixed by the design of the data collection, or are they measured during the course of the experiment? Fixed variables describe the experimental design and are known in advance. ... Measured variables are what we actually measure in the study. Fixed variables should come first, followed by measured variables, each ordered so that related variables are contiguous. Rows can then be ordered by the first variable, breaking ties with the second and subsequent (fixed) variables.” (Wickham, 2014)

2.3.2 Why make your data “tidy”?

This may all seem like a lot of extra work, to make a dataset “tidy”, and why bother if you already have it in a structured, tabular format? It turns out that, once you get the hang of what gives data a “tidy” format, it's pretty simple to

design recording formats that comply with these rules. What's more, when data is in a "tidy" format, it can be directly input into a collection of tools in R that belong to something called the "tidyverse". This collection of tools is very straightforward to use and so powerful that it's well worth making an effort to record data in a format that works directly with the tools, if possible. Outside of cases of very complex or very large data, it should be possible.

"A standard makes initial data cleaning easier because you do not need to start from scratch and reinvent the wheel every time. The tidy data standard has been designed to facilitate initial exploration and analysis of the data, and to simplify the development of data analysis tools that work well together." (Wickham, 2014)

"Tidy data is great for a huge fraction of data analyses you might be interested in. It makes organizing, developing, and sharing data a lot easier. It's how I recommend most people share data." (Leek, 2012)

The "tidyverse" is a collection of tools united by a common philosophy: **very complex things can be done simply and efficiently with small, sharp tools that share a common interface.**

"The philosophy of the tidyverse is similar to and inspired by the "unix philosophy", a set of loose principles that ensure most command line tools play well together. ... Each function should solve one small and well-defined class of problems. To solve more complex problems, you combine simple pieces in a standard way." (Ross et al., 2017)

The tidyverse isn't the only popular system that follows this philosophy—one other favorite is Legos. Legos are small, plastic bricks, with small studs on top and tubes for the studs to fit into on the bottom. The studs all have the same, standardized size and are all spaced the same distance apart. Therefore, the bricks can be joined together in any combination, since each brick uses the same *input format* (studs of the standard size and spaced at the standard distance fit into the tubes on the bottom of the brick) and the same *output format* (again, studs of the standard size and spaced at the standard distance at the top of the brick).

This is true if you want to build with bricks of different colors or different heights or different widths or depths. It even allows you to include bricks at certain spots that either don't require input (for example, a solid sheet that serves as the base) or that don't give output (for example, the round smooth bricks with painted "eyes" that are used to create different creatures). With Legos, even though each "tool" (brick) is very simple, the tools can be combined in infinite variations to create very complex structures.

The tools in the "tidyverse" operate on a similar principle. They all input one of a few very straightforward data types, and they (almost) all output data in the same format they input it. For most of the tools, their required format for input and output is the "tidy data" format (Wickham, 2014), called a *tidy dataframe* in R—this is a dataframe that follows the rules detailed earlier in this section.



Figure 2.1: When simple tools have a common interface that allows them to be combined in different ways, like Legos, they can be combined to create complex structures, like this Lego shark.

Some of the tools require input and output of *vectors* instead of tidy dataframes (Wickham, 2014); a vector in R is a one-dimensional string of values, all of which are of the same data type (e.g., all numbers, or all character strings, like names). In a tidy dataframe, each column is a vector, and the dataframe is essentially several vectors of the same length stuck together to make a table. Having functions that input and output vectors, then, means that you can use those functions to make changes to the columns in a tidy dataframe.

A few functions in the “tidyverse” input a tidy dataframe but output data in a different format. For example, visualizations are created using a function called `ggplot`, as well as its helper functions and extensions. This function inputs data in a tidy dataframe but outputs it in a type of R object called a “ggplot object”. This object encodes the plot the code created, so in this case the fact that the output is in a different format from the endpoint is similar to with the “eye” blocks in Legos, where it’s meant as a final output step, and you don’t intend to do anything further in the code once you move into that step.

This common input / output interface, and the use of small tools that follow this interface and can be combined in various ways, is what makes the tidyverse tools so powerful. However, there are other good things about the tidyverse that make it so popular. One is that it’s fairly easy to learn to use the tools, in comparison to learning how to write code for other R tools (Robinson, 2017; Peng, 2018). The developers who have created the tidyverse tools have taken a lot of effort to try to make sure that they have a clear and consistent *user interface* across tools (Wickham, 2017; Bryan and Wickham, 2017). So far, we’ve talked about the interface between functions, and how a common *input / output interface* means the functions can be chained together more easily. But there’s another interface that’s important for software tools: the rules for how a computer users employ that tool, or the *user interface*.

To help understand a user interface, and how having a consistent user interface across tools is useful, let’s think about a different example—cars. When you drive a car, you get the car to do what you want through the steering wheel, the gas pedal, the break pedal, and different knobs and buttons on the

dashboard. When the car needs to give you feedback, it uses different gauges on the dashboard, like the speedometer, as well as warning lights and sounds. Collectively, these ways of interacting with your car make up the car's *user interface*. In the same way, each function in a programming language has a collection of parameters you can set, which let you customize the way the function runs, as well as a way of providing you output once the function has finished running and the way to provide any messages or warnings about the function's run. For functions, the software developer can usually choose design elements for the function's user interface, including which parameters to include for the function, what to name those parameters, and how to provide feedback to the user through messages, warnings, and the final output.

If a collection of tools is similar in its user interfaces, it will make it easier for users to learn and use any of the tools in that collection once they've learned how to use one. For cars, this explains how the rental car business is able to succeed. Even though different car models are very different in many characteristics—their engines, their colors, their software—they are very consistent in their user interfaces. Once you've learned how to drive one car, when you get in a new car, the gas pedal, brake, and steering wheel are almost guaranteed to be in about the same place and to operate about the same way as in the car you learned to drive in. The exceptions are rare enough to be memorable—think how many movies have a laughline from a character trying to drive a car with the driver side on the right if they're used to the left or vice versa.

The tidyverse tools are similarly designed so that they all have a very similar user interface. For example, many of the tidyverse functions use a parameter named `“data”` to refer to the tidy dataframe to input into the function, and this parameter is often the first listed for functions. Similarly, parameters named `“vars”` and `“funs”` are repeatedly used over tidyverse functions, with the same meaning in each case. What's more, the tidyverse functions are typically given names that very clearly describe the action that the function does, like `filter`, `summarize`, `mutate`, and `group`. As a result, the final code is very clear and can almost be “read” as a natural language, rather than code.

“Another part of what makes the Tidyverse effective is harder to see and, indeed, the goal is for it to become invisible: conventions. The Tidyverse philosophy is to rigorously (and ruthlessly) identify and obey common conventions. This applies to the objects passed from one function to another and to the user interface each function presents. Taken in isolation, each instance of this seems small and unimportant. But collectively, it creates a cohesive system: having learned one component you are more likely to be able to guess how another different component works.” (Bryan and Wickham, 2017)

“The goal of [the tidy tools] principles is to provide a uniform interface so that tidyverse packages work together naturally, and once you've mastered one, you have a head start on mastering the others.” (?)

As a result, the tidyverse collection of tools is pretty easy to learn, com-

pared to other sets of functions in scripting languages, and pretty easy to expand your knowledge of once you know some of its functions. Several people who teach R programming now focus on first teaching the tidyverse, given these characteristics (Robinson, 2017; Peng, 2018), and it's often a first focus for online courses and workshops on R programming. Since its main data structure is the “tidy data” structure, it's often well worth recording data in this format so that all these tools can easily be used to explore and model the data.

“All our code is underpinned by the principles of tidy data, the grammar of data manipulation, and the tidyverse R packages developed by Wickham. This deliberate philosophy for thinking about data helped bridge our scientific questions with the data processing required to get there, and the readability and conciseness of tidyverse operations makes our data analysis read more as a story arc. Operations require less syntax—which can mean fewer potential errors that are easier to identify—and they can be chained together, minimizing intermediate steps and data objects that can cause clutter and confusion. The tidyverse tools for wrangling data have expedited our transformation as coders and made R less intimidating to learn.” (Lowndes et al., 2017)

2.3.3 Using tidyverse tools with data in the “tidy data” format

The tidyverse includes tools for many of the tasks you might need to do while managing and working with experimental data. When you download R, you get what's called *base R*. This includes the main code that drives anything you do in R, as well as functions for doing many core tasks. However, the power of R is that, in addition to base R, you can also add onto R through what are called *packages* (sometimes also referred to as *extensions* or *libraries*). These are kind of like “booster packs” that add on new functions for R. They can be created and contributed by anyone, and many are collected through a few key repositories like CRAN and Bioconductor.

All the tidyverse tools are included in R extension packages, rather than base R, so once you download R, you'll need to download these packages as well to use the tidyverse tools. The core tidyverse functions include functions to read in data (the *readr* package for reading in plain text, delimited files, *readxl* to read in data from Excel spreadsheets), clean or summarize the data (the *dplyr* package, which includes functions to merge different datasets, make new columns as functions of old ones, and summarize columns in the data, either as a whole or by group), and reformat the data if needed to get it in a tidy format (the *tidyr* package). The tidyverse also includes more precise tools, including tools to parse dates and times (*lubridate*) and tools to work with character strings, including using regular expressions as a powerful way to find and use certain patterns in strings (*stringr*). Finally, the tidyverse includes powerful functions for visualizing data, based around the *ggplot2* package, which implements a “grammar of graphics” within R.

You can install and load any of these tidyverse packages one-by-one using the `install.packages` and `library` functions with the package name from

within R. If you are planning on using many of the tidyverse packages, you can also install and load many of the tidyverse functions by installing a package called “tidyverse”, which serves as an umbrella for many of the tidyverse packages.

In addition to the original tools in the tidyverse, many people have developed *tidyverse* extensions—R packages that build off the tools and principles in the tidyverse. These often bring the tidyverse conventions into tools for specific areas of science. For example, the *tidytext* package provides tools to analyze large datasets of text, including books or collections of tweets, using the tidy data format and tidyverse-style tools. Similar tidyverse extensions exist for working with network data (*tidygraph*) or geospatial data (*sf*). Extensions also exist for the visualization branch of the tidyverse specifically. These include *ggplot* extensions that allow users to create things like calendar plots (*sugrrants*), gene arrow maps (*gggene*), network plots (*igraph*), phylogenetic trees (*ggtree*) and anatogram images (*gganatogram*). These extensions all allow users to work with data that’s in a “tidy data” format, and they all provide similar user interfaces, making it easier to learn a large set of tools to do a range of data analysis and visualization, compared to if the set of tools lacked this coherence.

2.3.4 Practice quiz

2.4 Designing templates for “tidy” data collection

This module will move from the principles of the “tidy” data format to the practical details of designing a “tidy” data format to use when collecting experimental data. We will describe common issues that prevent biomedical research datasets from being “tidy” and show how these issues can be avoided. We will also provide rubrics and a checklist to help determine if a data collection template complies with a “tidy” format.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Identify characteristics that keep a dataset from being ‘tidy’
- Convert data from an “untidy” to a “tidy” format

It is usually very little work to record data in a structure that follows the “tidy data” principles, especially if you are planning to record the data in a two-dimensional, tabular format already, and following these principles can bring some big advantages. We explain these rules and provide examples of biomedical datasets that both comply and don’t comply with these principles, to help make it clearer how you could structure a “tidy-compliant” structure for recording experimental data for your own research.

If the data is the same regardless of whether it’s “tidy” or not, then why all the fuss about following the “tidy” principles when you’re designing the format you’ll use to record your data? The magic here is this—if you follow these principles, then your data can be immediately input into a collection of powerful

tools for visualizing and analyzing the data, without further cleaning steps (as discussed in the previous module). What's more, all those tools (the set of tools is called the "tidyverse") will typically *output* your data in a "tidy" format, as well.

Once you have tools that input and output data in the same way, it becomes very easy to model each of the tools as "small, sharp tools"—each one does one thing, and does it really well. That's because, if each tool needs the same type of input and creates that same type of output, those tools can be chained together to solve complex problems. The alternative is to create large software tools, ones that do a lot to the input data before giving you some output. "Big" tools are harder to understand, and more importantly, they make it hard to adapt your own solutions, and to go beyond the analysis or visualization that the original tool creators were thinking of when they created it. Think of it this way—if you were writing an essay, how much more can you say when you can mix and match words to create your own sentences versus if you were made to combine pre-set sentences?

2.4.1 *Creating the rules for collecting data in the same time each time*

It is likely that there are certain types of experiments that you conduct regularly, and that they're often trying to answer the same type of question and generate data of a consistent type and structure. This is a perfect chance to lay down rules or a pattern for how members of your research group will record that data.

These rules can include:

1. How many units of observation does the experiment typically have? Say, for example, that you are measuring the influence of two drugs on bacterial load in an animal at three time points. There may be some measurements taken at the unit of the drug (for example, measurements related to its chemical composition) and some taken at the unit of animal and time point (for example, the concentration of drug in an animal's blood at a certain time point). This will help you define how many tables you should use to collect the data—one for each unit of observation.
2. Which measurements will be recorded for each observation? In tidy data, the measurements taken for an observation are recorded in rows, so you then specify what column names should be used for each measurement (e.g., "sample_time", "animal_weight"). If data is being recorded using multiple tables (because there are multiple units of observation), make sure that each table include "ID" columns that can be used to link across the tables. For example, each table might have a column with a unique ID for each drug being tested, or tables with measurements on animals might each have a column that uniquely identifies the animal in an observation.
3. What units will be used for recording each measurement? For timestamp-type measurements, like the date and time that an experiment started and

the time of each sample measurement, what timezone will be used? (Even if it's always the same one, this can come in useful every now and then if you need to figure out something like whether that location's timezone followed Daylight Savings Time, for an experiment that spans the switch between Standard and Daylight Savings).

[Figure: Three tables—measurements on a drug (chemistry), measurements on an animal (weight), measurements on an animal at time points (drug concentration)]

You can then take this information and design a *template* for collecting that type of data. A template is, in this case, a file that gives the “skeleton” of the table or tables. You will create this template file and save it somewhere easy for lab members to access, with a filename that makes it clear that this is a template. For example, you may create a folder with all the templates for tables for your experiment, and name a template in it for collecting something like animal weights at the start of the experiment something like “animal_wt_table_template.csv” or “animal_wt_table_template.xlsx”. Each time someone starts an experiment collecting that type of data, he or she can copy that template file, move it to the directory with files for that experiment and rename it. When you open that copy of the file, you can record observations directly into it.

[Figure: Example template file]

```
#####
#####
#
# Column names and meanings
#
# animal_id:          A unique identifier for each animal.
# animal_wt_g:        The weight of the animal, recorded in grams.
# date_wt_measured:   The date that the animal's weight was measured, recorded as
#                     "month day, year", e.g., "Jan 1, 2019"
# cage_id:            A unique identifier for the case in which the animal was housed
#
# Other table templates for this experiment type:
# drug_conc_by_time.csv: A template for recording drug concentrations in the animals
#                       by time point
#
animal_id, animal_wt_g, date_wt_measured, cage_id
"A101",      50.2,    "Jan 1, 2019",      "B"
```

Adding in one row of sample values, to be deleted each time the template is copied and used, can be a very helpful addition. This will help the user remember the formats that are expected for each column (for example, the format the date should be recorded in), as well as small details like which columns should include quotation marks.

These template tables can be created as flat files, like comma-separated value files. However, if this is too big of a jump, they can also be created as spreadsheet files. Many of the downsides of spreadsheet files are linked to the use of embedded macros, integration of raw and processed / calculated data, and other factors, rather than related to their use as a method to record data. However, do note that plain text files like flat files can be opened in RStudio in a spreadsheet-like view in RStudio. Data can be recorded directly here, in a format that will feel comfortable for spreadsheet users, but without all the bells and whistles that we're aiming to avoid in spreadsheet programs like Excel.

[Figure—Opening a csv file with a spreadsheet like view]

There are some advantages to shifting to record data in flat files like CSVs, rather than Excel files, and using the spreadsheet-style view in RStudio to work with those files if you find it easier than working with the files in a text editor (which can get tough, since the values in a column don't always visually line up, and you have to remember to put in the right number of columns). By recording the data in a plain text file, you can later move to tracking changes that are made to the data using the version control tool *git*. This is a powerful tool that can show who made changes to a file and when, with exact details on the changes made and room for comments on why the change was made. However, *git* does not provide useful views of changes made to binary files (like Excel), only those made in plain text files. Further, plain text files are guaranteed to not try to “outsmart” you—for example, they will not try to convert something that looks like a date into a date. Instead, they will leave things exactly as you typed them in. Finally, later in this book we will build up to creating templates that do even more—for example, templates for reports you need to write and presentations you need to give, as well as templates for the whole structure of a project. Plain text files fit very nicely into this developing framework, while files in complex binary formats like xlxs don't fit as naturally.

Google Sheets is another tool that might come in useful. [More about using this with R.]

This idea of creating template files for data recording isn't revolutionary—many laboratory groups have developed spreadsheet template files that they share and copy to use across similar experiments that they conduct. The difference here is in creating a table for recording data *that follows the tidy data principles*, or at least comes close to them (any steps away from characteristics like embedded macros and use of color to record information will be helpful).

The next chapter will walk through two examples of changing from non-tidy table templates to ones that record data in a way that follows the tidy data principles.

2.4.2 Subsection 1

“Or maybe your goal is that your data is *usable* in a wide range of applications? If so, consider adopting standard formats and metadata standards early on. At the very least, keep track of versions of data and code, with associated dates.”

(Goodman et al., 2014)

“Standards for data include, for example, data formats, data exchange protocols, and meta-data controlled vocabularies.” (Barga et al., 2011)

“Software systems are transparent when they don’t have murky corners or hidden depths. Transparency is a passive quality. A program is passive when it is possible to form a simple mental model of its behavior that is actually predictive for all or most cases, because you can see through the machinery to what is actually going on.” (Raymond, 2003)

“Software systems are discoverable when they include features that are designed to help you build in your mind a correct mental model of what they do and how they work. So, for example, good documentation helps discoverability to a programmer. Discoverability is an active quality. To achieve it in your software, you cannot merely fail to be obscure, you have to go out of your way to be helpful.” (Raymond, 2003)

“Elegant code does much with little. Elegant code is not only correct but visibly, *transparently* correct. It does not merely communicate an algorithm to a computer, but also conveys insight and assurance to the mind of a human that reads it. By seeking elegance in our code, we build better code. Learning to write transparent code is a first, long step toward learning how to write elegant code—and taking care to make code discoverable helps us learn how to make it transparent. Elegant code is both transparent and discoverable.” (Raymond, 2003)

“To design for transparency and discoverability, you need to apply every tactic for keeping your code simple, and also concentrate on the ways in which your code is a communication to other human beings. The first questions to ask, after ‘Will this design work?’ are ‘Will it be readable to other people? Is it elegant?’ We hope it is clear ... that these questions are not fluff and that elegance is not a luxury. These qualities in the human reaction to software are essential for reducing its bugginess and increasing its long-term maintainability.” (Raymond, 2003)

“Software is maintainable to the extent that people who are not its author can successfully understand and modify it. Maintainability demands more than code that works; it demands code that follows the Rule of Clarity and communicates successfully to human beings as well as the computer.” (Raymond, 2003)

2.4.3 Don’t Repeat Yourself!

One of the core tenets of programming is the philosophy of “Don’t Repeat Yourself” (a.k.a., the “DRY Principle”).[Source of “Don’t Repeat Yourself”—*The Pragmatic Programmer*] With programming, you can invest a little bit of time to code your computer to do things that take a lot of your time otherwise. In this way, you can automate repetitive tasks.

“The DRY principle, for Don’t Repeat Yourself, is one of the colloquial tenets of programming. That is, you should name things once, do things once, create a function once, and let the computer repeat itself.” [ford2015code]

“Code, in other words, is really good at making things *scale*. Computers may require utterly precise instructions, but if you get the instructions right, the machine will tirelessly do what you command over and over and over again, for users around the world. ... Solve a problem once, and you’ve solved it for everyone.” [Coders, p. 20]

“Since they have, at their beck and call, machines that can repeat instructions with robotic perfection, coders take a dim view of doing things repetitively themselves. They have a dislike of inefficiency that is almost aesthetic—they recoil from it as if from a disgusting smell. Any opportunity they have to automate a process, to do something more efficiently, they will.” [Coders, p. 20]

“Programmers are obsessed with efficiency. ... Removing the friction from a system is an aesthetic joy; [programmers’] eyes blaze when they talk about making something run faster, or how they eliminated some bothersome human effort from a process.” [Coders, p. 122]

“Computers, in many ways, inspire dreams of efficiency greater than any tool that came before. That’s because they’re remarkably good at automating repetitive tasks. Write a script once, set it running, and the computer will tirelessly execute it until it dies or the power runs out. What’s more, computers are strong in precisely the ways that humans are weak. Give us a repetitive task, and our mind tends to wander, so we gradually perform it more and more irregularly. Ask us to do something at a precise time or interval, and we space out and forget to do it. ... In contrast, computers are clock driven and superb at doing the same thing at the same time, day in and day out.” [Coders, p. 124]

“Larry Wall, the famous coder and linguist who created the Perl programming language, deeply intuited this coderly aversion to repetition. In his book on Perl, he and coauthors wrote that one of the key virtues of a programmer is ‘laziness’. It’s not that you’re too lazy for coding. It’s that you’re too lazy to do routine things, so it inspires you to automate them.” [Coders p. 126]

In scientific research, there are a lot of these repetitive tasks, and as tools for automation continue to develop, there are many opportunities to “automate away” busywork.

“Science often involves repetition of computational tasks such as processing large number of data files in the same way or regenerating figures each time new data are added to an existing analysis. Computers were invented to do these kinds of repetitive tasks but, even today, many scientists type the same commands in over and over again or click the same buttons repeatedly.” [wilson2014best]

“Whenever possible, rely on the execution of programs instead of manual procedures to modify data. Such manual procedures are not only inefficient and error-prone, they are also difficult to reproduce.” [sandve2013ten]

“Other manual operations like the use of copy and paste between documents should also be avoided. If manual operations cannot be avoided, you should as a minimum note down which data fields were modified or moved, and for what purpose.” [sandve2013ten]

Statisticians have been doing this for a while for data cleaning analysis tasks. For example, if you need to read in an Excel file into a statistical programming language like R, you could write a few lines of code to do that anew each time you get a new file. However, say you get Excel files over and over that follow the same format—for example, files with the same number of columns, the same names for those columns, and the same type of data. You can write a *script*—a recorded file with a few lines of code, in this case—that reads in the file. You can apply this script to each new file.

This saves you a little bit of time. It also ensures that you do the exact same thing with every file you get. It also means that you can reproduce what you do now to a file in the future. Say, for example, that you are working on a project and you read in a file and conduct an analysis. Your laboratory group sends the paper out for review. Months later, you get back comments from the reviewers, and they are wondering what would happen if you had analyzed the data a bit differently—say, used a different statistical test. If you use a script to read in the data file, then when you re-run it to address the reviewers' comments, you can be sure that you are getting your data into the statistical program in the exact same way you did months ago, and so you're not unintentionally introducing differences in your results because you are doing some small things differently in processing the file.

This idea can extend across the full data analysis you do on a project. You are only saving a little bit of time and effort, maybe, by automating the step where you read the data from a spreadsheet into the statistical program. And it takes some time to write that script the first time, so it can be tempting to do it fresh each time you need to do it. However, you can also write scripts that will automate cleaning your data. Maybe you want to identify data points with very high (maybe suspect) values for a certain measurement, or remove observations with missing data. You can also write scripts that will automate processing your data—doing things like calculating the time since the start of an experiment based on the recorded sampling time for an observation. Each of these steps might be small, but the time saved really adds up since you typically need to perform many of these steps each time you run a new experiment.

There are many cases in life where you'll need to make the choice between spending some time upfront to make something more efficient, versus doing it more quickly the first time but then having to do it "from scratch" again each following time. For example, say that you're teaching a class, and you need to take attendance for each class period. You could write down the names of each student at the first class and save that, and then the next class write down the name of each student who shows up that day on a separate sheet of paper, and so on for each class meeting. Conversely, you could take some extra time before the first class and create a table or spreadsheet file with every student's name and the date of each class, and then use that to mark attendance. The first method will be quicker the first day, but more time consuming each following time. The second method requires a small initial

investment, but with time-saving returns in the following class meetings.

For people who use scripts and computer programs to automate their data-related tasks, it quickly becomes very confusing how anyone who doesn't could argue that they don't because they don't have time to learn how to. The amount of time you end up saving based on your initial investment is just so high if you're working with data, that it would have to take a huge time investment to not be worth it. Plus—the thrill of running something that you've automated! It's a very similar feeling to the feeling you get when a student or postdoc that you've spent a lot of time training has gotten to the point where you can just ask them to run something, and they do, and it means you don't have to.

Here are some of the problems that are solved by automating your small tasks:

1. **It gets done the same way every single time.** Even simple tasks can be done with numerous small modifications. You will probably remember some of those choices and settings and modifications the next time you need to do the same thing, but probably not all of them, and so those choices will not be exact from one time to the next. If the computer is doing it based on a clear set of instructions, it will be.
2. **It gets done more quickly.** Or if not more quickly (some large data might take some time to process), at least the spent time is the computers time, not yours. You can leave the computer to run the script while you get on with other things that a computer can't do.
3. **Anyone who does it can do it the same way.** Just as you might not do something exactly the same way from one time to the next, one person in a laboratory group is likely to do things at least slightly different than other members of the group. Even with very detailed instructions, few instructions written for humans can be so detailed and precise to ensure that something is done exactly the same way by everyone who follows them. If everyone is given the same computer script to run, however, and they all instruct the computer to run that script, the task will be done in exactly the same way.
4. **It is easier teach new people how to do the task.** Often, with a script to automate a task, you just need to teach someone new to the laboratory group how to get the computer to run a script in a certain language. When you need them to run a new script, the process will be the same. The script encapsulates all the task-specific details, and so the user doesn't need to understand all of them to get something to run. What's more, once you want to teach a new lab member how everything is working, so they can understand the full process, the script provides the exact recipe. You can teach them how to read and understand scripts in that language, and then the scripts you've created to automate tasks serve as a recipe book for everything going on in terms of data analysis for the lab.

5. **You can create tools to share with others.** If you've written a script that's very useful, with a bit more work you can create it into a tool that you can share with other research groups and perhaps publish a paper about. Papers about R software extensions (also called *packages*) and data analysis workflows and pipelines are becoming more and more common in biological contexts.
6. **It's more likely to be done correctly.** Boring, repetitive tasks are easy to mess up. We get so bored with them, that we shift our brains into a less-attentive gear when we're working on them. This can lead to small, stupid mistakes, ones at the level of typos but that, with data cleaning and analysis, can have much more serious ramifications.

"We view workflows as a paradigm to: 1) expose non-experts to well-understood end-to-end data analysis processes that have proven successful in challenging domains and represent the state-of-the-art, and 2) allow non-experts to easily experiment with different combinations of data analysis processes, represented as workflows of computations that they can easily reconfigure and that the underlying system can easily manage and execute." [hauder2011making]

"While reuse [of workflows] by other expert scientists saves them time and effort, reuse by non-experts is an enabling matter as in practice they would not be able to carry out the analytical tasks without the help of workflows." [hauder2011making]

"We observed that often steps that could be easily automated were performed manually in an error-prone fashion." [vidger2008supporting]

Biological research is quickly moving where a field where projects often required only simple and straightforward data analysis once the experimental data was collected—with the raw data often published directly in a table in the manuscript—to a field with very complex and lengthy data analysis pipelines between the experiment and the final manuscript. To ensure rigor and clarity in the final research results, as well as to allow others to reproduce the results exactly, the researcher must document all details of the computational data analysis, and this is often missing from papers. RMarkdown documents (and their analogues) can provide all these details unambiguously—with RMarkdown documents, you can even run a command to pull out all the code used within the document, if you'd like to submit that code script as a stand-alone document as a supplement to a manuscript.

"More recently, scientists who are not themselves computational experts are conducting data analysis with a wide range of modular software tools and packages. Users may often combine these tools in unusual or novel ways. In biology, scientists are now routinely able to acquire and explore data sets far beyond the scope of manual analysis, including billions of DNA bases, millions of genotypes, and hundreds of thousands of RNA measurements. ... While propelling enormous progress, this increasing and sometimes 'indirect' use of computation poses new challenges for scientific publication and replication. Large datasets are

often analyzed many times, with modifications to the methods and parameters, and sometimes even updates of the data, until the final results are produced. The resulting publication often gives only scant attention to the computational details. Some papers have suggested these papers are ‘merely the advertisement of scholarship whereas computer programs, input data, parameter values, etc., embody the scholarship itself.’ However, the actual code or software ‘mashup’ that gave rise to the final analysis may be lost or unrecoverable.” [mesirov2010accessible]

“Bioinformatic analyses invariably involve shepherding files through a series of transformations, called a pipeline or workflow. Typically, these transformations are done by third-part executable command line software written for Unix-compatible operating systems. The advent of next-generation sequencing (NGS), in which millions of short DNA sequences are used as the source input for interpreting a range of biological phenomena, has intensified the need for robust pipelines. NGS analyses tend to involve steps such as sequence alignment and genomic annotation that are both time-intensive and parameter-heavy.” [leipzig2017review]

“Rule 7: Always Store Raw Data behind Plots. From the time a figure is first generated to it being part of a published article, it is often modified several times. In some cases, such modifications are merely visual adjustments to improve readability, or to ensure visual consistency between figures. If raw data behind figures are stored in a systematic manner, so as to allow raw data for a given figure to be easily retrieved, one can simply modify the plotting procedure, instead of having to redo the whole analysis. An additional advantage of this is that if one really wants to read fine values in a figure, one can consult the raw numbers. ... When plotting is performed using a command-based system like R, it is convenient to also store the code used to make the plot. One can then apply slight modifications to these commands, instead of having to specify the plot from scratch.” [sandve2013ten]

2.4.4 *Don’t repeat your report-writing!*

Until a few years ago, statisticians and data analysts frequently automated the data cleaning, processing, and analysis tasks. But that still left the paper and report writing to be done by hand. This process is often repetitive. You would do your analysis and create some tables or figures. You would save these from your statistical program and then paste them into your report or paper draft. If you decided that you needed to change your analysis a bit, or if you got a new set of data to analyze in a similar way, you had to go back to the statistical program, run things again there, save the tables and figure files again, and paste them in the report or paper again to replace the outdated version. If there were numbers from the analysis in the text of the paper, then you had to go back through the text and update all of those with the newer numbers, too.

Do you still write your papers and reports like this? I can tell you that there is now a *much* better way. Computer scientists and other programmers started thinking quite a while ago about how to create documents that combine computer code and text for humans, and to do it in a way where the computer code isn’t just a static copy of what someone once told the computer to do,

but instead a living, working, *executable* set of instructions that the computer can run anytime you ask it to.

These ideas first percolated with Donald Knuth, who many consider to be the greatest computer programmer of all time [Bill Gates, for example, has told anyone who reads Dr. Knuth's magnum opus, *The Art of Computer Programming*, to come see him right away about a job]. As Dr. Knuth was writing a book on computer programming, he became frustrated with the quality of the typesetting used in the final book. In a field that requires a lot of mathematical and other symbols incorporated into the text, it takes a bit more to make an attractive book than with simpler text. Dr. Knuth therefore took some time to create a programming for typesetting. (You may have heard of it—if you ever notice that a journal's Instructions to Authors allow authors to submit articles in “LaTeX” or “TeX”, that's using a system built off of Donald Knuth's typesetting program.)

And *then*, once he had that typesetting program, he started thinking about how programmers document their code. When one person does a very small code project, and that one person is the only person who will ever go back to try to modify or understand the code, that person might be able to get away with poor documentation in the code. However, interesting code projects can become enormous, with many collaborators, and it becomes impossible to understand and improve the code if it doesn't include documentation explaining, in human terms, what the code is doing at each step, as well as some overall documentation explaining how different pieces of the code coordinate to get something big done.

Traditionally, code was documented by including small comments within the code. These comments are located near the code that they explain, and the order of the information in the code files are therefore dominated by the order of the instructions to the computer, not the order that you might explain what's going on to a human. To “read” the code and the documentation, you end up hopscotching through the code, following the code inside one function when it calls another function, for example, to where the code for that second function is defined and then back to the first, and so on. You often follow paths as you get deeper and deeper into helper functions and the helper functions for those functions, that you feel like you're searching through a set of Russian dolls and then coming back up to start on a new set of Russian dolls later down the line.

Donald Knuth realized that, with a good typesetting program that could itself be programmed, you could write your code so that the documentation for humans took precedence, and could be presented in a very clear and attractive final document, rather than hard-to-read computer code with some plain-text comments sprinkled in. Computers don't care what order the code is recorded in—as long as you give them some instructions on how to decipher code in a certain format or order, they can figure out how to use it fine. But human brains are a bit more finicky, and we need clear communication, laid

out in a logical and helpful order. Donald Knuth created a paradigm of *literate programming* that interleaved executable code inside explanations written for humans; by making the code executable, it meant that the document was a living guide. When someone changed the program, they did it by changing the documentation—documentation wasn’t left as the final, often neglected, step to refine once the “real code” was written (and the “real work” done).

“Programs must be written for people to read, and only incidentally for machines to execute. A great program is a letter from current you to future you or the the person who inherits your code. A generous humanistic document.”
[ford2015what]

Well, this was a fantastic idea. It hasn’t been universally leveraged, but the projects that do leverage it are much stronger for it. But that’s not where the story ends. If you are someone who does a little bit of coding (maybe small scripts to analyze and visualize your data, for example) and a lot of “documenting” of the results, and if you’re not planning on doing a lot of large coding projects or creating software tools, it’s not immediately how you’d use these literate programming ideas.

Well, there are many people who do a little bit of programming in service to a larger research project. While they are not creating software that needs classical software documentation, they do want to document the results that they get when they run their scripts, and they want to create reports and journal articles to share what they’ve found. Several people took the ideas behind literate programming—as it’s used to document large software projects—and leveraged it to create tools to automate writing in data-related fields.

[F. Leisch?] was the first to do this with the R programming language, with a tool called “Sweave” (“S”-“weave”, as R builds off of another programming language called “S” and Leisch’s program would “weave” together S / R code and writing). This used Donald Knuth’s typesetting program. It allowed you to write a document for humans (like a report or journal article) and to intersperse bits of code in the paper. You’d put each code piece in the spot in the paper where the text described what was going on or where you wanted the results that it generated for example, if you had a section in the Methods where you talked about removing observations that were outliers, you would add in the code that took out those outliers right there in the paper. And if you had a placed in the Results that talked about how your data were different between two experimental groups, you would add the code that generated the plot to show that right there in the paper.

To tell the computer how to tell between code and writing, you would add a little weird combination of text each time that you wanted to “switch” into code and then another one each time you wanted to switch back into writing for humans. (These combinations were so weird because that guaranteed that it was a combination you would probably never want to type otherwise, so you wouldn’t have a lot of cases of the computer getting confused between whether the combo meant to switch to code or whether it was just something that

came up in the regular writing.) You'd send the document, code and writing and all, through R once you had it written up. R would ignore everything that wasn't code. When it got to the code pieces, it would run them, and if the code created output (like a figure or table), it would "write" that into the document at that point in the text. Then you'd run the document through Donald Knuth's typesetting program (or an extension of it), and the whole document would get typeset into an attractive final product (often a pdf, although you had some choices on the type of output).

This meant that you got very attractive final documents. It also meant that your data analysis code was well documented—it was "documented" by the very article or report you wrote based on it, because the code was embedded right there in the final product! It also meant that you could save a lot of time if you needed to go back and change some of your code later (or input a different or modified dataset). You just had to change that small piece of code or data input, and then essentially press a button to put everything together again, and the computer would re-write the whole report for you, with every figure and table updated. It even let you write small bits of computer code directly into the written text, in case you need to write something like "this study included 52 subjects", where the "52" came from you counting up the number of rows in one of your datasets—if you later added three more subjects and re-ran the analysis with the updated dataset, the report would automatically change to read "this study included 55 subjects".

Leisch's system is still out there, but another has been adopted much more widely, building on it. Yihui Xie started work on a program that tweaked and improved Leisch's Sweave program, creating something called "knitr" ("knit"- "R"—are you noticing a pattern in the names?). Xie's knitr program, along with its extensions, is now widely used for data analysis projects. What's more, it's grown to allow for larger or more diverse writing projects—this book, for example, is written using an extension called "bookdown", and extensions also exist for create blogs that include executable R code ("blogdown") and websites with documentation for R packages ("packagedown").

So now, let's put these two pieces together. We know that programmers love to automate small tasks, and we know that there are tools that can be used to "program" tasks that involve writing and reporting. So what does this mean if you frequently need to write reports that follow a similar pattern and start from similar types of data? If you are thinking like a code, it means that you can move towards automating the writing of those reports.

One of us was once talking to someone who works in a data analysis-heavy field, and she was talking about how much time she spends copying the figures that her team creates, based on a similar analysis of new data that's regularly generated, into PowerPoint presentations. So, for this weeks report, she's creating a presentation that shows the same analysis she showed last week, just with newer data. Cutting and pasting is an enormous waste of time—there are tools to automate this.

2.4.5 Automating reports

First—think through the types of written reports or presentations you’ve created in the past year or two. Are there any that follow a similar pattern? Any that input the same types of data, but from different experiments, and then report the same types of statistics or plots for them? Are there Excel spreadsheets your lab uses that generate specific tables or plots that you often cut and paste for reports or presentations? Look through your computer file folders or email attachments if you need to—many of these might be small regular reports that are so regular that they don’t pop right to mind. If you are creating documents that match any of these conditions, you probably have something ripe for converting to a reusable, automatable template.

“Think like Henry Ford; he saw that building cars was a repeatable process and came up with the moving assembly line method, revolutionizing production. You may not be building a physical product, but chances are you are producing something. ... Look for the steps that are nearly identical each time, so you can build your own assembly line.” [rose2018dont]

... [Creating a framework for the report]

“Odds are, if you’re doing any kind of programming, especially Web programming, you’ve adopted a framework. Whereas an SDK is an expression of a corporate philosophy, a framework is more like a product pitch. Want to save time? Tired of writing old code? Curious about the next new thing? You use a graphics framework to build graphical applications, a Web framework to build Web applications, a network framework to build network servers. There are hundreds of frameworks out there; just about every language has one. A popular Web framework is Django, which is used for coding in Python. Instagram was bootstrapped on it. When you sit down for the first time with Django, you run the command ‘startproject’, and it makes a directory with some files and configuration inside. This is your project directory. Now you have access to libraries and services that add to and enhance the standard library.” [ford2015what]

One key advantage of creating a report template is that it optimizes the time of statistical collaborators. It is reasonable for a scientist with a couple of courses worth of statistical training to design and choose the statistical tools for simple and straightforward data analysis. However, especially as the biological data collected in experiments expands in complexity and size, a statistician can recommend techniques and approaches to draw more knowledge from the data and to appropriately handle non-standard features of the data. There is substantial work involved in the design of any data analysis pipeline that goes beyond the very basics. It waste time and resources to recreate this with each new project, time that—in the case of statistical collaborators—could probably be better spent in extending data analysis goals beyond the simplest possible analysis to explore new hypotheses or to add exploratory analysis that could inform the design of future experiments.

“Workflows effectively capture valuable expertise, as they represent how an

expert has designed computational steps and combined them into an end-to-end process.” [hauder2011making]

When collaborative work between scientists and statisticians can move towards developing repeatable data analysis scripts and report templates, you will start to think more about common patterns and common questions that you ask across many experiments in your research program, rather than focusing on the immediate needs for a specific project. You can start to think of the data analysis tools that are general purpose for your research lab, develop those into clean, well-running scripts or functions, and then start thinking about more sophisticated questions you want to ask of your data. The statisticians you collaborate will be able to see patterns across your work and help to develop global, and perhaps novel, methods to apply within your research program, rather than piecemeal small solutions to small problems.

“Although foundational knowledge is taught in major universities and colleges, advanced data analytics can only be acquired through hands-on practical training. Only exposure to real-world datasets allows students to learn the importance of preparing and cleansing the data, designing appropriate features, and formulating the data mining task so that the data reveals phenomena of interest. However, the effort required to implement such complex multi-step data analysis systems and experiment with the tradeoffs of different algorithms and feature choices is daunting. For most practical domains, it can take weeks to months for a student to setup the basic infrastructure, and only those who have access to experts to point them to the right high-level design choices will endeavor on this type of learning. As a result, acquiring practical data analytics skills is out of reach for many students and professionals, posing severe limitations to our ability as a society to take advantage of our vast digital data resources.” [hauder2011making]

“In practice designing an appropriate end-to-end process to prepare and analyze the data plays a much more influential role than using a novel classifier or statistical model.” [hauder2011making]

It is neither quick nor simple to design the data analysis plan and framework for a research experiment. It is not simply naming a statistical test or two. Instead, the data analyst must start by making sure they understand the data, how it was measured, how to decipher the format in which it’s stored, what questions the project is hoping to answer, where there might be problems in the data (and what they would look like), and so on. If a data analyst is helping with a lot of projects using similar types of data to answer similar questions, then he or she should, in theory, need less time for these “framework” types of questions and understanding. However, if data isn’t shared in the same format each time, it will still take overhead to figure out that this is indeed the same type of data and that code from a previous project can be adapted or repurposed.

Let’s think about one area where you likely repeat very similar steps frequently—writing up short reports or slide presentations to share your to-date research results with your research group or colleagues. These probably

often follow a similar structure. For example, they may start with a section describing the experimental conditions, and then have a slide showing a table with the raw data (or a simple summary of it, if there's a lot of data), and then have a figure showing something like the difference in experimental measurements between to experimental groups.

[Figure: Three simple slides for a research update—experimental conditions, table of raw data, boxplots with differences between groups.]

“The cornerstone of using DRY in your work life is the humble template. Whenever you create something, whether it's an email, a business document, or an infographic, think if there's something there you could save for future use. The time spend creating a template will save you exponentially more time down the road.” [rose2018dont]

You could start very simply in turning this into a template. You could start by creating a PowerPoint document called “lab_report_template.pptx”. It could include three slides, with the titles on each slide of “Experimental conditions”, “Raw data”, and “Bacterial burden by group”, and maybe with some template set to provide general formatting that you like (font, background color, etc.). That's it. When you need to write a new report, you copy this file, rename the copy, and open it up. Now instead of needing to start from a blank PowerPoint file, you've shaved off those first few steps of setting up the pieces of the file you always use.

[Figure: Simplest possible template]

This very simple template won't save you much time—maybe just a minute or so for each report. However, once you can identify other elements that you commonly use in that type of report, you can add more and more of these “common elements” to the template, so that you spend less time repeating yourself with each report. For example, say that you always report the raw data using the same number of columns and the same names for those columns. You could add a table to that slide in your template, with the columns set with appropriate column names. You can always add or delete rows in the table if you need to in your reports, but now each time you create a new report, you save yourself the time it takes to create the table structure and add the column names. Plus, now you've guaranteed that the first table will use the exact same column names every time you give a report! You'll never have to worry about someone wondering if you are using a different model animal because you have a column named “Animal ID” in one report, while your last report had “Mouse ID”, for example. And because you're making a tool that you'll use many times, it becomes worthwhile to take some time double-checking the clean-up, so you're more likely to avoid things like typos in the slide titles or in columns names of tables.

[Figure: Template with a table skeleton added.]

You can do the same thing for written reports or paper manuscripts. For example, most of the papers you like may have the classic scientific paper sections: “Introduction”, “Data and Methods”, “Results”, and “Discussion”. And

then, you probably typically include a couple of pages at the beginning for the title page and abstract, and then a section at the end with references and figure captions. Again, you could create a file called “article_template.docx” with section headings for each of the sections and with space for the title page, abstract, and references. Presumably, you are always an author on papers you’re writing, so go ahead and add your name, contact information, and affiliation in the right place on the title page (I bet you have to take the time to do that every time you start a paper—and if you’re like me, you have to look up the fax number for your building every time you do). You probably need to mention funding sources on the title page for every paper, too. Do you need to look those grant numbers up every time? Nope! Just put all your current ones in the title page of your template, and then you can just delete those that don’t apply when you start a new paper.

[Figure: Simple article template]

Again, you can build on this simple template. Look through the “Data and Methods” section of several of your recent papers. Are there certain elements that you commonly report there? For example, is there a mouse model you use in most of your experiments, that you need to describe? Put it in the template. Again, you can always delete or modify this information if it doesn’t apply to a specific paper. But for any information that you find yourself copying and pasting from one paper draft to another, add it to your template. It is so much more delightful to start work on a paper by *deleting* the details that don’t apply than by staring down a blank sheet of paper.

[Quote—Taking away everything that isn’t the statue.]

“Most docs you work on will have some sort of repeatable process. For example, when I sit down to write a blog post, I go through the same repeatable steps when setting up my file: Title, Subtitle, Focus Keywords, Links to relevant articles / inspiration, Outline of subheds, Intro / hook, etc. ... Even though it is a well-worn process, I can save time by creating a writing template with these sections already pre-set. Not only does this save time, but it also saves mental energy and helps push me into ‘Writing’ mode instead of ‘Set-up’ or ‘Research’ mode.”
[mackay2019dry]

This template idea is so basic, and yet far fewer people use it than would seem to make sense. Maybe it’s because it does require some forward thinking, about the elements of presentations, reports, and papers that are common across your body of work, not just the details that are pertinent to a specific project. It also does require some time investment, but not much more that adding all these element to a single paper or presentation takes. If you can see the appeal of having a template for the communication output that you create from your research, and if you try it an like it, then you are well on your way to having a programmers mindset. The joy of programming is exactly this kind of joy—a little thinking and time at the start and you have these little tools that do some of your work for you over and over again. In fact, a Python programmer has even written a book whose title captures this intrinsic *esprit*: “[Automating

the Boring Stuff?].

But wait. There's more. Do you always do the same calculations or statistical tests with the data you're getting in? Or at least often enough that it would save time to have a template? There is a way to add this into the template that you create for your presentation, report, or paper.

"Your templates are living documents. If you notice that you're making the same change over and over, that means it's time to update the template itself."
[rose2018dont]

Researchers create and use Excel templates for this purpose. The template may have macros embedded in it to make calculations or create basic graphs. However, spreadsheets—whether created from templates or not—share the limitations discussed in an earlier chapter. What's more, they can't easily be worked into a template that creates a final document to communicate results, whether that's a slide presentation or a written document. Finally, they are in a binary format that can't clearly be tracked with version control like git.

[R Project templates? Can you create them? Clearly something like that is going on when you start a new package...]

2.4.6 *Scripts and automated reports as simple pipelines*

Scientific workflows or pipelines have become very popular in many biological research areas. These are meant to meet many of the DRY goals—create a recipe that can be repeated at different times and by different research groups, clearly record each step of an analysis, and automate steps or processes that are repeated across different research projects so they can be completed more efficiently.

There are very sophisticated tools now available for creating biological data analysis pipelines and workflows,[leipzig2017review] including tools like Galaxy and Taverna. Simple code scripts and tools that build on them (like makefiles, RMarkdown documents, and Jupyter Notebooks), however, can be thought of as the simpler (and arguably much more customizable) little sibling of these more sophisticated tools.

"Scripts, written in Unix shell or other scripting languages such as Perl, can be seen as the most basic form of pipeline framework." [leipzig2017review]

"Naive methods such as shell scripts or batch files can be used to describe scientific workflows." [mishima2011agile]

Flexibility can be incorporated into scripts, and the tools that build directly off them, through including *variables*, which can be set in different configurations each time the script is run [leipzig2017review].

More complex pipeline systems do have some advantages (although generalizable tools that can be applied to scripts are quickly catching up on most of these). For example, many complex data analysis or processing steps may use

open-source software that is under continuing development. If the creators of that software modify it between the time that you submit your first version of an article and the time that you need to submit revisions, and you have updated the version of the package on your computer, the code may no longer run the same way. The same thing can happen if someone else tries to run your code—if they are trying to run it with a more recent version of some of the open-source software used in the code, they may run into problems.

This problem of changes in *dependencies* of the code (software programs, packages, or extensions that the code loads as runs as part of its process) is an important challenge to reproducibility in many areas of science. Pipeline software can improve on simpler scripts by helping limit dependency problems [by ...]. However, R extensions are rapidly being developed that also address this issue. For example, the `packrat` package, while [packrat update Nichole was talking about].

“Dependencies refer to upstream files (or tasks) that downstream transformation steps require as input. When a dependency is updated, associated downstream files should be updated as well.” [leipzig2017review]

The tools that we’ve discussed for reproducible and automatable report writing—like Rmarkdown and Jupyter Notebooks—build off of a tool for coordinating and conducting a process involving multiple scripts and input files, or a “build tool”. Among computer programmers, perhaps the most popular build tool is called “make”. This tool allows coders to write a “Makefile” that details the order that scripts should be run in a big process, and what other scripts and inputs they require. With these files, you can re-run a whole project, and do it in the right order, and the only steps that will be re-run are those where something will change based on whatever change you just made to the code or input data.

“To avoid errors and inefficiencies from repeating commands manually, we recommend that scientists use a build tool to automate workflows, e.g., specify the ways in which intermediate data files and final results depend on each other, and on the programs that create them, so that a single command will regenerate anything that needs to be regenerated.” [wilson2014best]

For example, say that you have a large project that starts by inputting data, cleans or processes it using a step that takes a lot of time to run, analyzes the simpler processed data, and then creates some plots and tables based on this analysis. With a makefile, if you want to change the color of the labels on a plot, you can change that code and re-run the Makefile, and the computer will re-make the plots, but not re-run the time-intensive early data processing steps. However, if you update the raw data for the project and re-run the Makefile, the computer will (correctly) run everything from the very beginning, since the updated data needs to be reprocessed, all the way through to creating the final plots and tables.

“A file containing commands for an interactive system is often called a script, though there is really no difference between this and a program. When these scripts are repeatedly used in the same way, or in combination, a workflow management tool can be used. The most widely used tool for this task is probably Make, although many alternatives are now available. All of these allow people to express the dependencies between files, i.e., to say that if A or B has changed, then C needs to be updated using a specific set of commands. These tools have been successfully adopted for scientific workflows as well.” [wilson2014best]

“This experience motivated the creation of a way to encapsulate all aspects of our in silico analyses in a manner that would facilitate independent replication by another scientist. Computer and computational scientists refer to this goal as ‘reproducible research’, a coinage attributed to the geophysicist Jon Claerbout in 1990, who imposed the standard of makefiles for construction of all the figures and computational results in papers published by the Stanford Exploration Project. Since that time, other approaches have been proposed, including the ability to insert active scripts within a text document and the use of a markup language that can produce all of the text, figures, code, algorithms, and settings used for the computational research. Although these approaches may accomplish the goal, they are not practical for many nonprogramming experimental scientists using other groups’ or commercial software tools today.” [mesirov2010accessible]

“All science campaigns of sufficient complexity consist of numerous interconnected computational tasks. A workflow in this context is the composition of several such computing tasks.” [deelman2018future]

“Scientific applications can be very complex as software artifacts. They may contain a diverse amalgam of legacy codes, compute-intensive parallel codes, data conversion routines, and remote data extraction and preparation. These individual codes are often stitched together using scripted languages that specify the data and software to be executed, and orchestrate the allocation of computing resources and the movement of data across locations. To manage a particular set of codes, a number of interdependent scripts may be used.” [gil2008data]

[Disadvantages of more complex pipeline tools over starting from scripts]

“Unlike command line-based pipeline frameworks ... workbenches allow end-users, typically scientists, to design analyses by linking preconfigured modular tools together, typically using a drag-and-drop graphical interface. Because they require exacting specifications of inputs and outputs, workbenches are intrinsically a subset of configuration-based pipelines.” [leipzig2017review]

“Magnificent! Wonderful! So, what’s the downside? Well, frameworks lock you into a way of thinking. You can look at a website and, with a trained eye, go, ‘Oh, that’s a Ruby on Rails site.’ Frameworks have an obvious influence on the kind of work developers can do. Some people feel that frameworks make things too easy and that they become a crutch. It’s pretty easy to code yourself into a hole, to find yourself trying to force the framework to do something it doesn’t want to do. Django, for example, isn’t the right tool for building a giant chat application, nor would you want to try competing with Google Docs using a Django backend. You pay a price in speed and control for all that convenience. The problem is really in knowing how much speed, control, and convenience you need.” [ford2015what]

“Workbenches and class-based frameworks can be considered heavyweight. There are costs in terms of flexibility and ease of development associated with making a pipeline accessible or fast. Integrating new tools into workbenches clearly increases their audience but, ironically, the developers who are most capable of developing plug-ins for workbenches are the least likely to use them.” [leipzig2017review]

“Business workflow management systems emerged in the 1990’s and are well accepted in the business community. Scientific workflows differ from business workflows in that rather than coordinating activities between individuals and systems, scientific workflows coordinate data processing activities.” [vigder2008supporting]

“The concept of workflows has traditionally been used in the areas of process modelling and coordination in industries. Now the concept is being applied to the computational process including the scientific domain.” [mishima2011agile]

“Although bioinformatics-specific pipelines such as bcbio-nextgen and Omics Pipe offer high performance automated analysis, they are not frameworks in the sense they are not easily extensible to integrate new user-defined tools.” [leipzig2017review]

Writing a script-based pipeline does require that you or someone in your laboratory group develops some expertise in writing code in a “scripting language” like R or Python. However, the barriers to entry for these languages continues to come down, and with tools that leverage the ideas of templating and literate programming, it is becoming easier and easier for new R or Python users to learn to use them quickly. For example, one of us teaches a three-credit R Programming class, designed for researchers who have never coded. The students in the class are regularly creating code projects by the end of the class that integrate literate programming tools to weave together code and text and saving these documents within code project directories that include raw data, processed data, and scripts with code definitions for commonly used pieces of code (saved as functions). These are all the skills you’d need to craft an R project template for your research group that can serve as a starting point for each future experiment or project.

“Without an easy-to-use graphical editor, developing workflows requires some programming knowledge.” [vigder2008supporting]

“Scripting languages are programming languages and as a result are inaccessible to any scientists without computing background. Given that a major aspect of scientific research is the assembly of scientific processes, the fact that scientists cannot assemble or modify the applications themselves results in a significant bottleneck.” [gil2008data]

“As anyone who’s ever shared a networked folder—or organized a physical filing cabinet—knows, without a good shared filing system your office will implode.” [ford2015code]

“You can tell how well code is organized from across the room. Or by squinting or zooming out. The shape of code from 20 feet away is incredibly informative. Clean code is idiomatic, as brief as possible, obvious even if it’s not heavily documented. Colloquial and friendly.” [ford2015code]

“[Wesley Clark] wanted to make the world’s first ‘personal computer’, one that could fit in a single office or laboratory room. No more waiting in line; one scientist would have it all to himself (or, more rarely, herself). Clark wanted specifically to target biologists, since he knew they often needed to crunch data in the middle of an experiment. At that time, if they were using a huge IBM machine, they’d need to stop and wait their turn. If they had a personal computer in their own lab? They could do calculations on the fly, rejiggering their experiment as they went. It would even have its own keyboard and screen, so you could program more quickly: no clumsy punch cards or printouts. It would be a symbiosis of human and machine intelligence. Or, as Wilkes put it, you’d have ‘conversational access’ to the LINC: You type some code, you see the result quickly. Clark knew he and his team could design the hardware. But he needed Wilkes to help create the computers’ operating system that would let the user control the hardware in real time. And it would have to be simple enough that biologists could pick it up with a day or two of training.” [Coders, p. 32]

“When they had a rough first prototype [of the LINC] working, Clark tested it on a real-life problem of biological research. He and his colleague Charles Molnar dragged a LINC out to the lab of neurologist Arnold Starr, who had been trying and failing to record the neuroelectric signals cats produce in their brains when they heard a sound. Starr had put an electrode implant into a cat’s cortex, but he couldn’t distinguish the precise neuroelectric signal he was looking for. In a few hours, Molnar wrote a program for the LINC that would play a clicking noise out of a speaker, record precisely when the electrode fired, and map on the LINC’s screen the average response of the cat to noises. It worked: As data scrolled across the screen, the scientists ‘danced a jig right around the equipment’.” [Coders, p. 33]

If you have built a pipeline as an R or Python script, but there is an open source software tool that you need to use that is written in another language, you can right a “wrapper” function that calls that software from within the R or Python process. And chances are good, if that software is a popular tool, that someone else has already written one, so you can just leverage that code or tool. Open-source scripting languages and R and Python “play well with others”, and can communicate and run just about anything that you could run at a command line.

“Our approach to dealing with software integration is to wrap applications with Python wrappers.” [vigder2008supporting]

The templating process can eventually extend to making small tools as software functions and extensions. For example, if you regularly create a certain type of graph to show your results, you could write a small function in R that encapsulates the common code for creating that. One research group I know of wanted to make sure their figures all had a similar style (font, color for

points, etc.), but didn't like the default values, and so wrote a small function that applied their style choices to every plot they made. Once your research group has a collection of these small functions, you can in turn encapsulate them in a R package (which is really just a collection of R functions, plus maybe some data and documentation). This package doesn't have to be shared outside your research group—you can just share it internally, but then everyone can load and use it in their computational work. With the rise of larger datasets in many fields, and the accompanying need to do more and more work on the computer to clean, manage, and analyze the data, more scientists are getting into this mindset that they are not just the “end users” of software tools, but they can dig in and become artisans of small tools themselves, building on the larger structure and heavier lifting made available by the base software package.

“End-user software engineering refers to research dedicated to improving the capability of end-users who need to perform programming or engineering tasks. For many, if not all, of these end-users, the creation and maintenance of software is a secondary activity performed only in service of their real work. This scenario applies to many fields include science. However, there is little research specifically focused on scientists as end-user software engineers.” [vigder2008supporting]

John Chambers (one of the creators of R's precursor S, and heavily involved in R development) defines programming as “a language and environment to turn ideas into new tools.” [Programming with Data, p. 2]

“Sometimes, it seems that the software we use just sort of sprang into existence, like grass growing on the lawn. But it didn't. It was created by someone who wrote out—in code—a long, painstaking set of instructions telling the computer precisely what to do, step-by-step, to get a job done. There's a sort of priestly class mystery cultivated around the word *algorithm*, but all they consist of are instructions: Do this, then do this, then do this. News Feed [in Facebook] is now an extraordinarily *complicated* algorithm involving some trained machine learning; but it's ultimately still just a list of rules.” [Coders, p. 10]

2.4.7 Applied exercise

2.5 Example: Creating a template for “tidy” data collection

We will walk through an example of creating a template to collect data in a “tidy” format for a laboratory-based research project, based on a research project on drug efficacy in murine tuberculosis models. We will show the initial “untidy” format for data recording and show how we converted it to a “tidy” format. Finally, we will show how the data can then easily be analyzed and visualized using reproducible tools.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Understand how the principles of “tidy” data can be applied for a real, complex research project;
- List advantages of the “tidy” data format for the example project

*2.5.1 Subsection 1**2.5.2 Subsection 2**2.5.3 Example datasets***Example dataset 1.****Example dataset 2.***2.5.4 Issues with these data sets*

1. Issues related to using a spread sheet program

- Embedded macros
- Use of color to encode information

2. Issues related to non-structured / non-two-dimensional data

- Added summary row
- Multiple tables in one sheet
- One cell value is meant to represent values for all rows below, until next non-missing row

3. Issues with data being non-“tidy”

*2.5.5 Final “tidy” examples**2.5.6 Options for recording tidy data***Spreadsheet program.****Spreadsheet-like interface in R.***2.5.7 Examples of how “tidy” data can be easily analyzed / visualized**2.5.8 Discussion questions**2.6 Power of using a single structured ‘Project’ directory for storing and tracking research project files*

To improve the computational reproducibility of a research project, researchers can use a single ‘Project’ directory to collectively store all research data, meta-data, pre-processing code, and research products (e.g., paper drafts, figures). We will explain how this practice improves the reproducibility and list some of the common components and subdirectories to include in the structure of a ‘Project’ directory, including subdirectories for raw and pre-processed experimental data.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Describe a ‘Project’ directory, including common components and subdirectories
- List how a single ‘Project’ directory improves reproducibility

2.6.1 *Organizing project files through the file system*

One of the most amazing parts of how modern computers work is their file directory systems. [More on these.]

It is useful to leverage this system to organize all the files related to a project. These include data files (both “raw” data—directly output from measurement equipment or directly recorded from observations, as well as any “cleaned” version of this data, after steps have been taken to preprocess the data to prepare it for visualization and analysis in papers and reports). These files also include the files with writing and presentations (posters and slides) associated with the project, as well as code scripts for preprocessing data, for conducting data analysis, and for creating and sharing final figures and tables.

There are a number of advantages to keeping all files related to a single project inside a dedicated file directory on your computer. First, this provides a clear and obvious place to search for all project files throughout your work on the project, including after lulls in activity (for example, while waiting for reviews from a paper submission). By keeping all project files within a single directory, you also make it easier to share the collection of files for the project. There are several reasons you might want to share these files. An obvious one is that you likely will want to share the project files across members in your research team, so they can collaborate together on the project. However, there are also other reasons you’d need to share files, and one that is growing in popularity is that you may be asked to share files (data, code scripts, etc.) when you publish a paper describing your results.

When files are all stored in one directory, the directory can be compressed and shared as an email attachment or through a file sharing platform like Google Drive. As you learn more tools for reproducibility, you can also share the directory through some more dynamic platforms, that let all those sharing access continue to change and contribute to the files in the directory in a way that is tracked and reversible. In later modules in this book, we will introduce `git` version control software and the GitHub platform for sharing files under this type of version control—this is one example of this more dynamic way of sharing files within a directory.

2.6.2 *Organizing files within a project directory*

To gain the advantages of directory-based project file organization, all the files need to be within a single directory, but they don’t all have to be within the same “level” in that directory. Instead, you can use subdirectories to structure and organize these files, while still retaining all the advantages of directory-based file organization. This will help limit the number of files in each “level” of the directory, so none becomes an overwhelming slew of files of different types. It can help you navigate the files in the directory, and also help someone you share the directory with figure out what’s in it and where everything is.

Subdirectory organizations can also, it turns out, be used in clever ways

within code scripts applied to files in the directory. For example, there are functions in all scripting languages that will list all the files in a specified subdirectory. If you keep all your raw data files of a certain type (for example, all output from running flow cytometry for the project) within a single subdirectory, you can use this type of function with code scripts to list all the files in that directory and then apply code that you've developed to preprocess or visualize the data across all those files. This code would continue to work as you added files to that directory, since it starts by looking in that subdirectory each time it runs and working with all files there as of that moment.

It is worthwhile to take some time to think about the types of files that are often generated by your research projects, because there are also big advantages to creating a standard structure of subdirectories that you can use consistently across the directories for all the projects in your research program. Of course, some projects may not include certain files, and some might have a new or unusual type of file, so you can customize the directory structure to some degree for these types of cases, but it is still a big advantage to include as many common elements as possible across all your projects.

For example, you may want to always include a subdirectory called “raw_data”, and consistently call it “raw_data”, to store data directly from observations or directly output from laboratory equipment. You may want to include subdirectories in that “raw_data” subdirectory for each type of data—maybe a “cfu” subdirectory, for example, with results from plating data to count colony forming units, and another called “flow” for output from a flow cytometer. By using the same structure and the same subdirectory names, you will find that code scripts are easier to reuse from one project to another. Again, most scripting languages allow you to leverage order in how you've arranged your files in the file system, and so using the same order across different projects lets you repeat and reuse code scripts more easily from one project to another.

Finally, if you create a clear and clean organization structure for your project directories, you will find it is much easier to navigate your files in all directories, and also that new lab members and others you share the directories with will be able to quickly learn to navigate them. In other areas of science and engineering, this idea of standardized directory structures has allowed the development of powerful techniques for open-source software developers to work together. For example, anyone may create their own extensions to the R programming language and share these with others through GitHub or several large repositories. This is coordinated by enforcing a common directory structure on these extension “packages”—to create a new package, you must put certain types of files in certain subdirectories within a project directory. With these standardized rules of directory structure and content, each of these packages can interact with the base version of R, since there are functions that can tap into any of these new packages by assuming where each type of file will be within the package's directory of files. In a similar way, if you impose a common directory structure across all the project directories in your research

lab, your collaborators will quickly be able to learn where to find each element, even in projects they are new to, and you will all be able to write code that can be easily applied across all project directories, allowing you to improve reproducibility and comparability across all projects by assuring that you are conducting the same preprocessing and analysis across all projects (or, if you are conducting things differently for different projects, that you are deliberate and aware that you are doing so).

Figure [x] gives an example of a project directory organization that might make sense for a immunology research laboratory.

Once you have decided on a structure for your directory, you can create a template of it—a file directory with all the subdirectories included, but without any files (or only template files you’d want to use as a starting point in each project). When you start a new project, you can then just copy this template and rename it. If you are using R and begin to use R Project (described in the next section), you can also create an R Studio Project template to serve as this kind of starting point each time you start a new project.

2.6.3 Using RStudio Projects with project file directories

If you are using the R programming language for data preprocessing, analysis, and visualization—as well as RMarkdown for writing reports and presentations—then you can use RStudio’s “Project” functionality to make it even more convenient to work with files within a research project’s directory. You can make any file directory a “Project” in RStudio by choosing “File” -> “New Project” in RStudio’s menu. This gives you the option to create a project from scratch or to make an existing directory and RStudio Project.

When you make a file directory an RStudio Project, it doesn’t change much in the directory itself except adding a “.RProj” file. This file keeps track of some things about the file directory for RStudio, including ... Also, when you open one of these Projects in RStudio, it will move your working directory into that projects top-level directory. This makes it very easy and practical to write code using relative pathnames that start from this top-level of the project directory. This is very good practice, because these relative pathnames will work equally well on someone else’s computer, whereas if you use file pathnames that are absolute (i.e., giving directions to the file from the root directory on your computer), then when someone else tries to run the code on their own computer, it won’t work and they’ll need to change the filepaths in the code, since everyone’s computer has its files organized differently. For example, if you, on your personal computer, have the project directory stored in your “Documents” folder, while a colleague has stored the project directory in his or her “Desktop” directory, then the absolute filepaths for each file in the directory will be different for each of you. The relative pathnames, starting from the top level of the project directory, will be the same for both of you, though, regardless of where you each stored the project directory on your

computer.

There are some other advantages, as well, to turning each of your research project directories into RStudio Projects. One is that it is very easy to connect each of these Projects with GitHub, which facilitates collaborative work on the project across multiple team members while tracking all changes under version control. This functionality is described in a later module in this book.

As you continue to use R and RStudio's Project functionality, you may want to take the template directory for your project and create an RStudio Project template based on its structure. Once you do, when you start a new research project, you can create the full directory for your project's files from within RStudio by going to "File" -> "New Project" and then choosing to create a new project based on that template. The new project will already be set up with the ".RProj" file that allows you to easily navigate into and out of that project, to connect it to GitHub, and all the other advantages of setting a file directory as an RStudio Project. The next module gives step-by-step directions for making a directory an RStudio Project, and also how to create your own RStudio Project template to quickly create a new directory for project files each time you start a new research project.

[Visual—project directory as a *mise en place* for cooking—everything you need for the analysis, plus the recipe for someone to repeat later.]

[Reference: The Usual Suspects—you'll typically have the same types of data files, analysis, types of figures, etc., come up again and again for different research projects. Leverage tools to improve efficiency when working with these "usual suspects". The first time you follow a protocol that is new to you, or the first time you cook a recipe, it takes much longer and much more thought than it should as you do it over and over—there are some recipes where I only use the cookbook now to figure out the oven temperature or the exact measurement of an ingredient. These tools will help you streamline your project file organization and move towards reuse of modular tools and ideas (e.g., remembering how to make a vinaigrette and applying that regardless of the type of salad) across projects.]

[Analogies for moving to do things more programatically—Tom Sawyer outsourcing the fence painting, sorcerer's apprentice (all the mops, plus some difficulties when you first start, before you get the hang of it).]

[File extensions give an idea of the power of consistent file names. While some operating systems don't require these, by naming all the files that should be opened with, for example, Word ".docx", the operating system can easily do a targeted search that looks for files with certain key words in the name while limiting the search only to Word files. You can leverage this same power yourself, and in a way that's more customized to your project or typical research approach, by using consistent conventions to name your files.]

2.6.4 Subsection I

One study surveyed over 250 biomedical researchers at the University of Washington. They noted that, “a common theme surrounding data management and analysis was that many researchers preferred to utilize their own individual methods to organize data. The varied ways of managing data were accepted as functional for most present needs. Some researchers admitted to having no organizational methodology at all, while others used whatever method best suited their individual needs.” (Anderson et al., 2007) One respondent answered, “They’re not organized in any way—they’re just thrown into files under different projects,” while another said “I grab them when I need them, they’re not organized in any decent way,” and another, “It’s not even organized—a file on a central computer of protocols that we use, common lab protocols but those are just individual Word files within a folder so it’s not searchable per se.” (Anderson et al., 2007)

“In general, data reuse is most possible when: 1) data; 2) metadata (information describing the data); and 3) information about the process of generating those data, such as code, are all provided.” (Goodman et al., 2014)

“So far we have used filenames without ever saying what a legal name is, so it’s time for a couple of rules. First, filenames are limited to 14 characters. Second, although you can use almost any character in a filename, common sense says you should stick to ones that are visible, and that you should avoid characters that might be used with other meanings. ... To avoid pitfalls, you would do well to use only letters, numbers, the period and the underscore until you’re familiar with the situation [i.e., characters with pitfalls]. (The period and the underscore are conventionally used to divide filenames into chunks...) Finally, don’t forget that case distinctions matter—junk, Junk, and JUNK are three different names.” (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

“The [Unix] system distinguishes your file called ‘junk’ from anyone else’s of the same name. The distinction is made by grouping files into *directories*, rather in the way that books are placed on shelves in a library, so files in different directories can have the same name without any conflict. Generally, each user has a personal or *home directory*, sometimes called login directory, that contains only the files that belong to him or her. When you log in, you are ‘in’ your home directory. You may change the directory you are working in—often called your working or *current directory*—but your home directory is always the same. Unless you take special action, when you create a new file it is made in your current directory. Since this is initially your home directory, the file is unrelated to a file of the same name that might exist in someone else’s directory. A directory can contain other directories as well as ordinary files ... The natural way to picture this organization is as a tree of directories and files. It is possible to move around within this tree, and to find any file in the system by starting at the root of the tree and moving along the proper branches. Conversely, you can start where you are and move toward the root.” (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

“The name ‘usr/you/junk’ is called the *pathname* of the file. ‘Pathname’ has an intuitive meaning: it represents the full name of the path from the root through the tree of directories to a particular file. It is a universal rule in the Unix system

that wherever you can use an ordinary filename, you can use a pathname.”
(Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

“If you work regularly with Mary on information in her directory, you can say ‘I want to work on Mary’s files instead of my own.’ This is done by changing your current directory with the `cd` command... Now when you use a filename (without the `/`s) as an argument to `cat` or `pr`, it refers to the file in Mary’s directory. Changing directories doesn’t affect any permissions associated with a file—if you couldn’t access a file from your own directory, changing to another directory won’t alter that fact.” (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

“It is usually convenient to arrange your own files so that all the files related to one thing are in a directory separate from other projects. For example, if you want to write a book, you might want to keep all the text in a directory called ‘book’.” (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

“Suppose you’re typing a large document like a book. Logically this divides into many small pieces, like chapters and perhaps sections. Physically it should be divided too, because it is cumbersome to edit large files. Thus you should type the document as a number of files. You might have separate files for each chapter, called ‘ch1’, ‘ch2’, etc. ... With a systematic naming convention, you can tell at a glance where a particular file fits into the whole. What if you want to print the whole book? You could say `$ pr ch1.1 ch1.2 ch 1.3 . . .`, but you would soon get bored typing filenames and start to make mistakes. This is where filename shorthand comes in. If you say `$ pr ch*` the shell takes the `*` to mean ‘any string of characters,’ so `ch*` is a pattern that matches all filenames in the current directory that begin with `ch`. The shell creates the list, in alphabetical order, and passes the list to `pr`. The `pr` command never sees the `*`; the pattern match that the shell does in the current directory generates a list of strings that are passed to `pr`.” (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

“The current directory is an attribute of a process, not a person or a program. ... The notion of a current directory is certainly a notational convenience, because it can save a lot of typing, but its real purpose is organizational. Related files belong together in the same directory. ‘`usr`’ is often the top directory of a user file system... ‘`usr/you`’ is your login directory, your current directory when you first log in. ... Whenever you embark on a new project, or whenever you have a set of related files ... you could create a new directory with `mkdir` and put the files there.” (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

“Despite their fundamental properties inside the kernel, directories sit in the file system as ordinary files. They can be read as ordinary files. But they can’t be created or written as ordinary files—to preserve its sanity and the users’ files, the kernel reserves to itself all control over the contents of directories.” (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

“A file has several components: a name, contents, and administrative information such as permissions and modifications times. The administrative information is stored in the inode (over the years, the hyphen fell out of ‘i-node’), along with essential system data such as how long it is, where on the disc the contents of the file are stored, and so on. ... It is important to understand inodes, not only to appreciate the options on `ls`, but because in a strong sense the inodes *are* the files. All the directory hierarchy does is provide convenient names for files.

The system's name for a file is its *i-number*: the number of the inode holding the file's information. ... It is the *i-number* that is stored in the first two bytes of a directory, before the name. ... The first two bytes in each directory entry are the only connection between the name of a file and its contents. A filename in a directory is therefore called a *link*, because it links a name in the directory hierarchy to the inode, and hence to the data. The same *i-number* can appear in more than one directory. The `rm` command does not actually remove the inodes; it removes directory entries or links. Only when the last link to a file disappears does the system remove the inode, and hence the file itself. If the *i-number* in a directory entry is zero, it means that the link has been removed, but not necessarily the contents of the file—there may still be a link somewhere else.” (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

2.6.5 Subsection 2

“The file system is the part of the operating system that makes physical storage media like disks, CDs and DVDs, removable memory devices, and other gadgets look like hierarchies of files and folders. The file system is a great example of the distinction between logical organization and physical implementation; file systems organize and store information on many different kinds of devices, but the operating system presents the same interface for all of them.” (Kernighan, 2011)

” A *folder* contains the names of other folders and files; examining a folder will reveal more folders and files. (Unix systems traditionally use the word *directory* instead of folder.) The folders provide the organizational structure, while the files hold the actual contents of documents, pictures, music, spreadsheets, web pages, and so on. All the information that your computer holds is stored in the file system and is accessible through it if you poke around. This includes not only your data, but the executable forms of programs (a browser, for example), libraries, device drivers, and the files that make up the operating system itself. ... The file system manages all this information, making it accessible for reading and writing by applications and the rest of the operating system. It coordinates accesses so they are performed efficiently and don't interfere with each other, it keeps track of where data is physically located, and it ensures that the pieces are kept separate so that parts of your email don't mysteriously wind up in your spreadsheets or tax returns.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“File system services are available through system calls at the lowest level, usually supplemented by libraries to make common operations easy to program.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“The file system is a wonderful example of how a wide variety of physical systems can be made to present a uniform logical appearance, a hierarchy of folders and files.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“A folder is a file that contains information about where folders and files are located. Because information about file contents and organization must be perfectly accurate and consistent, the file system reserves to itself the right to manage and maintain the contents of folders. Users and application programs can only change the folder contents implicitly, by making requests of the file system.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“In fact, folders are files; there’s no difference in how they are stored except that the file system is totally responsible for folder contents, and application programs have no direct way to change them. But otherwise, it’s just blocks on the disk, all managed by the same mechanisms.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“A folder entry for this [example] file would contain its name, its size of 2,500 bytes, the date and time it was created or changed, and other miscellaneous facts about it (permissions, type, etc., depending on the operating system). All of that information is visible through a program like Explorer or Finder. The folder entry also contains information about where the file is stored on disk—which of the 100 million blocks [on the example computer’s hard disk] contain its bytes. There are different ways to manage that location information. The folder entry could contain a list of block numbers; it could refer to a block that itself contains a list of block numbers; or it could contain the number of the first block, which in turn gives the second block, and so on. ... Blocks need not be physically adjacent on disk, and in fact they typically won’t be, at least for large files. A megabyte file will occupy a thousand blocks, and those are likely to be scattered to some degree. The folders and the block lists are themselves stored in blocks...” (Kernighan, 2011)

“When a program wants to access an existing file, the file system has to search for the file starting at the root of the file system hierarchy, looking for each component of the file path name in the corresponding folder. That is, if the file is `/Users/bwk/book/book.txt` on a Mac, the file system will search the root of the file system for `Users`, then search within that folder for `bwk`, then within that folder for `book`, then within that for `book.txt`. ... This is a divide-and-conquer strategy, since each component of the path narrows the search to files and folders that lie within that folder; all others are eliminated. Thus multiple files can have the same name for some component; the only requirement is that the full path name be unique. In practice, programs and the operating system keep track of the folder that is currently in use so searches need not start from the root each time, and the system is likely to cache frequently-used folders to speed up operations.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“When quitting R, the option is given to save the ‘workspace image’. The workspace consists of all values that have been created during a session—all of the data values that have been stored in RAM. The workspace is saved as a file called `.Rdata` and then R starts up, it checks for such a file in the current working directory and loads it automatically. This provides a simple way of retaining the results of calculations from one R session to the next. However, saving the entire R workspace is not the recommended approach. It is better to save the original data set and R code and re-create results by running the code again.” (Murrell, 2009)

“Just as a well-organized laboratory makes a scientist’s life easier, a well-organized and well-documented project makes a bioinformatician’s life easier. Regardless of the particular project you’re working on, your project directory should be laid out in a consistent and understandable fashion. Clear project organization makes it easier for both you and collaborators to figure out exactly where and what everything is. Additionally, it’s much easier to automate tasks when files are organized and clearly named. For example, processing 300 gene sequences stored in separate FASTA files with a script is trivial if these files are organized in a single directory and are consistently named.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Project directory organization isn’t just about being tidy, but is essential to the way by which tasks are automated across large numbers of files” (Buffalo, 2015)

“All files and directories used in your project should live in a single project directory with a clear name. During the course of a project, you’ll have amassed data files, notes, scripts, and so on—if these were scattered all over your hard drive (or worse, across many computers’ hard drives), it would be a nightmare to keep track of everything. Even worse, such a disordered project would later make your research nearly impossible to reproduce.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Naming files and directories on a computer matters more than you may think. In transitioning from a graphical user interface (GUI) based operating system to the Unix command line, many folks bring the bad habit of using spaces in file and directory names. This isn’t appropriate in a Unix-based environment, because spaces are used to separate arguments in commands. ... Although Unix doesn’t require file extensions, including extensions in file names helps indicate the type of each file. For example, a file named *osativa-genes.fasta* makes it clear that this is a file of sequences in FASTA format. In contrast, a file named *osativa-genes* could be a file of gene models, notes on where these *Oryza sativa* genes came from, or sequence data. When in doubt, explicit is always better than implicit when it comes to filenames, documentation, and writing code.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Scripts and analyses often need to refer to other files (such as data) in your project hierarchy. This may require referring to parent directories in your directory’s hierarchy ... In these cases, it’s important to always use *relative paths* ... rather than *absolute paths* ... As long as your internal project directory structure remains the same, these relative paths will always work. In contrast, absolute paths rely on your particular user account and directory structures details *above* the project directory level (not good). Using absolute paths leaves your work less portable between collaborators and decreases reproducibility.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“*Document the origin of all data in your project directory.* You need to keep track of where data was downloaded from, who gave it to you, and any other relevant information. ‘Data’ doesn’t just refer to your project’s experimental data—it’s any data that programs use to create output. This includes files your collaborators send you from their separate analyses, gene annotation tracks, reference genomes, and so on. It’s critical to record this important data about your data, or *metadata*. For example, if you downloaded a set of genic regions, record the website’s URL. This seems like an obvious recommendation, but countless times I’ve encountered an analysis step that couldn’t be easily reproduced because someone forgot to record the data’s source.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“*Record data version information.* Many databases have explicit release numbers, version numbers, or names (e.g., TAIR10 version of genome annotation for *Arabidopsis thaliana*, or Wormbase release WS231 for *Caenorhabditis elegans*). It’s important to record all version information in your documentation, including minor version numbers.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“*Describe how you downloaded the data.* For example, did you use MySQL to download a set of genes? Or the UCSC Genome Browser? These details can be useful in tracking down issues like when data is different between collaborators.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Bioinformatics projects involve many subprojects and subanalyses. For example, the quality of raw experimental data should be assessed and poor quality regions removed before running it through bioinformatics tools like aligners or assemblers. ... Even before you get to actually analyzing the sequences, your project directory can get cluttered with intermediate files. Creating directories to logically separate subprojects (e.g., sequencing data quality improvement, aligning, analyzing alignment results, etc.) can simplify complex projects and help keep files organized. It also helps reduce the risk of accidentally clobbering a file with a buggy script, as subdirectories help isolate mishaps. Breaking a project down into subprojects and keeping these in separate subdirectories also makes documenting your work easier; each README pertains to the directory it resides in. Ultimately, you'll arrive at your own project organization system that works for you; the take-home point is: leverage directories to help stay organized.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Because automating file processing tasks is an integral part of bioinformatics, organizing our projects to facilitate this is essential. Organizing data into subdirectories and using clear and consistent file naming schemes is imperative—both of these practices allow us to *programmatically* refer to files, the first step to automating a task. Doing something programmatically means doing it through code rather than manually, using a method that can effortlessly scale to multiple objects (e.g., files). Programmatically referring to multiple files is easier and safer than typing them all out (because it's less error prone.)” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Organizing data files into a single directory with consistent filenames prepares us to iterate over *all* of our data, whether it's the four example files used in this example, or 40,000 files in a real project. Think of it this way: remember when you discovered you could select many files with your mouse cursor? With this trick, you could move 60 files as easily as six files. You could also select certain file types (e.g., photos) and attach them all to an email with one movement. By using consistent file naming and directory organization, you can do the same programmatically using the Unix shell and other programming languages.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Because lots of daily bioinformatics work involves file processing, programmatically accessing files makes our job easier and eliminates mistakes from mistyping a filename or forgetting a sample. However, our ability to programmatically access files with wildcards (or other methods in R or Python) is only possible when our filenames are consistent. While wildcards are powerful, they're useless if files are inconsistently named. ... Unfortunately, inconsistent naming is widespread across biology, and is the source of bioinformaticians everywhere. Collectively, bioinformaticians have probably wasted thousands of hours fighting others' poor naming schemes of files, genes, and in code.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Another useful trick is to use leading zeros ... when naming files. This is useful because lexicographically sorting files (as `ls` does) leads to correct ordering. ... Using leading zeros isn't just useful when naming filenames; this is also the best way to name genes, transcripts, and so on. Projects like Ensembl use this naming scheme in naming their genes (e.g., ENSG00000164256).” (Buffalo, 2015)

“In addition to simplifying working with files, consistent naming is an often overlooked component of robust bioinformatics. Bad naming schemes can easily lead

to switched samples. Poorly chosen filenames can also cause serious errors when you or collaborators think you're working with the correct data, but it's actually outdated or the wrong file. I guarantee that out of all the papers published in the past decade, at least a few and likely many more contain erroneous results because of a file naming issue." (Buffalo, 2015)

"In order to read or write a file, the first thing we need to be able to do is specify *which* file we want to work with. Any function that works with a file requires a precise description of the name of the file and the location of the file. A filename is just a character value..., but identifying the location of a file can involve a **path**, which describes a location on a persistent storage medium, such as a hard drive." (Murrell, 2009)

"A regular expression consists of a mixture of **literal** characters, which have their normal meaning, and **metacharacters**, which have a special meaning. The combination describes a **pattern** that can be used to find matches amongst text values." (Murrell, 2009)

"A regular expression may be as simple as a literal word, such as `cat`, but regular expressions can also be quite complex and express sophisticated ideas, such as `[a-z]{3,4}[0-9]{3}`, which describes a pattern consisting of either three or four lowercase letters followed by any three digits." (Murrell, 2009)

"... it's important to mind R's working directory. Scripts should *not* use `setwd()` to set their working directory, as this is not portable to other systems (which won't have the same directory structure). For the same reason, use *relative* paths ... when loading in data, and *not* absolute paths... Also, it's a good idea to indicate (either in comments or a README file) which directory the user should set as their working directory." (Buffalo, 2015)

2.6.6 Practice quiz

2.7 Creating 'Project' templates

Researchers can use RStudio's 'Projects' to facilitate collecting research files in a single, structured directory, with the added benefit of easy use of version control. Researchers can gain even more benefits by consistently structuring all their 'Project' directories. We will demonstrate how to implement structured project directories through RStudio, as well as how RStudio enables the creation of a 'Project' for initializing consistently-structured directories for all of a research group's projects.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Be able to create a structured Project directory within RStudio
- Understand how RStudio can be used to create 'Project' templates

The last module describes the advantages of organizing all the files for a research project within a single file directory, as well as the added advantages of making that file directory an RStudio "Project". In this module, we'll walk through the steps required to do that, as well as how to navigate and use the

“Project” structure and functionality to make it easier to integrate project files, code, and final output like reports and presentation slides. If you have created a standardized file directory structure that you will use as a starting point for all of your research projects, then it can save time to create an RStudio Project Template with this structure. This way, you can set up a new directory, including all the subdirectories you want to use and any code or report templates that might be useful, by just opening a new project with this template through RStudio.

2.7.1 *Making an existing file directory an RStudio Project*

It’s very easy to turn an existing file directory into an RStudio Project. Open RStudio, and then in its menu go to “File” and then “New Project”. This will open a pop-up window with several options, including the option to create a new RStudio Project from an existing directory. Choose this option, and then in the window that is opened, navigate through your file directories to the directory with your project files.

This will create a new RStudio Project with the same name as the file directory name of the directory you selected [check this]. Once you have created the directory, RStudio will automatically move you into that Project. When you close RStudio and reopen it, it will automatically open in the last Project you had open. There is a small tab in the top right hand corner of the RStudio window that lists the project you are currently in. To move to a different Project, you can click on the down arrow beside this project name. There will be a list of your most recent projects, as well as options to open any Project on your computer. If you want to work in RStudio, but not in any of the Projects, you can choose to “Close Project”.

When you are working in an RStudio Project, RStudio will automatically move your working directory to be the top-level directory of the Project directory. This makes it easy to write code that uses this directory as the presumed working directory, using relative file paths to identify and files within the directory. For example Now if you share the project directory with someone else, they can similarly open the RStudio Project in their own version of RStudio, and all the relative pathnames to files should work on their system without any problems. This feature helps make code in an RStudio Project directory reproducible across different people’s computers.

The RStudio Project environment has some other features, as well, that may be useful for some projects. For example, if you are tracking the project directory under the git version control system, then when you open the RStudio Project, there will be a special tab in one of the panes to help in using git with the project. This tab provides a visual interface for you to commit changes you’ve made, so they are tracked and can be reversed if needed, and also so you can easily push and pull these committed changes to and from a remote repository, like a GitHub repository, if you are collaborating with others.

For certain types of projects, you may also want to include a “Makefile”. [More about Makefiles]. If you add this to an RStudio Project, you will get a new “Build” tab that allows you to run the Makefile for the project with the click of a button. For some more complex RStudio Projects, like projects that use RMarkdown to create online books or websites using bookdown and blogdown, this “Build” pane will allow you to render the whole book.

2.7.2 *Making an RStudio Project Template*

If you have created a template directory for research projects for your group, you can create an RStudio Project template to make it easy to set up a new project directory every time you start a project. At its most basic, this can be a directory that includes the subdirectories (with standardized names for each subdirectory) that you want to include—for example, you may know that you will always want the project directory to include subdirectories for “raw_data” (with its own subdirectories for different types of data, for example for “cfus” and “flow”), “data” (with clean versions of the data, after conducting and needed preprocessing, like calculating CFUs in a sample based on data from plating at different dilutions, or the output from gating flow cytometry data), “reports” (for writing, posters, and presentation slides), and “R” (for common scripts that you use for preprocessing, visualization, and data analysis).

As you progress, you may also want to add templates that serve as a starting point for files within this project. For example, if you always want to collect observed data in a standard way, you could create a template for data collection, for example as a CSV file. Each researcher in your lab could copy and rename this file each time they collect a new set of data—by ensuring a common structure when collecting the data, including file format, column names, and so on, you can build code scripts that will work on data collected for all your experiments. You may also have some standard reports that you want to create with types of data you commonly collect, and so you could include templates for those reports in your R Project template. Again, these can be copied and adapted within the project—the template serves as a starting point so you don’t have to start with a blank slate with every project, but it is not restrictive and can be adapted to each project as you work on that project.

[How to create a template]

2.7.3 *Discussion questions*

2.8 *Example: Creating a ‘Project’ template*

We will walk through a real example, based on the experiences of one of our Co-Is, of establishing the format for a research group’s ‘Project’ template, creating that template using RStudio, and initializing a new research project directory using the created template. This example will be from a laboratory-based research group that studies the efficacy of tuberculosis drugs in a murine

model.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Create a ‘Project’ template in RStudio to initialize consistently-formatted ‘Project’ directories
- Initialize a new ‘Project’ directory using this template

2.8.1 Subsection 1

2.8.2 Subsection 2

2.8.3 Applied exercise

2.9 Harnessing version control for transparent data recording

As a research project progresses, a typical practice in many experimental research groups is to save new versions of files (e.g., ‘draft1.doc’, ‘draft2.doc’), so that changes can be reverted. However, this practice leads to an explosion of files, and it becomes hard to track which files represent the ‘current’ state of a project. Version control allows researchers to edit and change research project files more cleanly, while maintaining the power to ‘backtrack’ to previous versions, messages included to explain changes. We will explain what version control is and how it can be used in research projects to improve the transparency and reproducibility of research, particularly for data recording.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Describe version control
- Explain how version control can be used to improve reproducibility for data recording

2.9.1 What is version control?

Version control developed as a way to coordinate collaborative work on software programming projects. The term “version” here refers to the current state of a document or set of documents, for example the source code for a computer program. The idea of “control” is to allow for safe changes and updates to this version while more than one person is working on it. The general term “version control” can refer to any method of syncing contributions from several people to a file or set of files, and very early on it was done by people rather than through a computer program. While version control of computer files can be done by people, and originally was (Irving, 2011), it’s much more efficient to use a computer program to handle this tracking of the history of a set of files as they evolve.

“Tracking all that detail is just the sort of thing computers are good at and humans are not.” (Raymond, 2003)

While the very earliest version control systems tracked single files, these systems quickly moved to tracking sets of files, called *repositories*. You can think of a repository as a computer file directory with some extra overhead added to record how the files in the directory have changed over time. In a repository of files that is under version control, you take regular “snapshots” of how the files look during your work on them. Each snapshot is called a *commit*, and it provides a record of which lines in each file changed from one snapshot to another, as well as exactly how they changed. The idea behind these commits—recording the differences, line-by-line, between an older and newer version of each file derives from a longstanding Unix command line tool called *diff*. This tool, developed early in the history of Unix at AT&T (Raymond, 2003), is an extremely solid and well-tested tool that did the simple but important job of generating a list of all the differences between two plain text files.

When you are working with a directory under version control, you can also explain your changes as you make them—in other words, it allows for *annotation* of the developing and editing process (Raymond, 2009). Each commit requires you to enter a *commit message* describing why the change was made. The commit messages can serve as a powerful tool for explaining changes to other team members or for reminding yourself in the future about why certain changes were made. As a result, a repository under version control includes a complete history of how files in a project directory have changed over the timecourse of the project and why. Further, each of the commits is given its own ID tag ([name for this? hash?]), and version control systems have a number of commands that let you “roll back” to earlier versions, by going back to the version as it was when a certain commit was made, provided *reversability* within the project files (Raymond, 2009).

It turns out that this functionality—of being able to “roll back” to earlier versions—has a wonderful side benefit when it comes to working on a large project. It means that you *don’t* need to save earlier versions of each file. You can maintain one and only one version of each project file in the project’s directory, with the confidence that you never “lose” old versions of the file (Perkel, 2018; Blischak et al., 2016). This allows you to maintain a clean and simple version of the project files, with only one copy of each, ensuring it’s always clear which version of a file is the “current” one (since there’s only one version). This also provides the reassurance that you can try new directions in a project, and always roll back to the old version if that direction doesn’t work well.

“Early in his graduate career, John Blischak found himself creating figures for his advisor’s grant application. Blischak was using the programming language R to generate the figures, and as he iterated and optimized his code, he ran into a familiar problem: Determined not to lose his work, he gave each new version a different filename—analysis_1, analysis_2, and so on, for instance—but failed to document how they had evolved. ‘I had no idea what had changed between them,’ says Blischak... Using Git, Blischak says, he no longer needed to maintain multiple copies of his files. ‘I just keep overwriting it and changing it and saving

the snapshots. And if the professor comes back and says, 'oh, you sent me an email back in March with this figure', I can say, 'okay, well, I'll just go back to the March version of my code and I can recreate it'." (Perkel, 2018)

Finally, most current version control systems operate under a *distributed* framework. In earlier types of version control programs, there was one central ("main") repository for the file or set of files the team was working on (Raymond, 2009; Target, 2018). Very early on, this was kept on one computer (Irving, 2011). A team member who wanted to make a change would "check out" the file he or she wanted to work on, make changes, and then check it back in as the newest main version (Raymond, 2003). While one team member had this file checked out, other members would often be "locked" out of making any changes to that file—they could look at it, but couldn't make any edits (Raymond, 2009; Target, 2018). This meant that there was no chance of two people trying to change the same part of a file at the same time. In spirit, this early system is pretty similar to the idea of sending a file around the team by email, with the understanding that only one person works on it at a time. While the "main" version is in different people's hands at different times, to do work, you all agree that only one person will work on it at a time. A slightly more modern analogy is the idea of having a single version of a file in Dropbox or Google Docs, and avoiding working on the file when you see that another team member is working on it.

This system is pretty clunky, though. In particular, it usually increases the amount of time that it takes the team to finish the project, because only one person can work on a file at a time. Later types of version control programs moved toward a different style, allowing for *distributed* rather than *centralized* collaborative work on a file or a set of files (Raymond, 2009; Irving, 2011). Under the distributed model, all team members can have their own version of all the files, work on them and make records of changes they make to the files, and then occasionally sync with everyone else to share your changes with them and bring their changes into your copy of the files. This distributed model also means there is a copy of the full repository on every team member's computer, which has the side benefit of providing natural backup of the project files. Remote repositories—which may be on a server in a different location—can be added with another copy of the project, which can similarly be synced regularly to update with any changes made to project files.

While there are a number of software systems for version control, by far the most common currently used for scientific projects is *git*. This program was created by Linus Torvalds, who also created the Linux operating system, in 2005 as a way to facilitate the team working on Linux development. This program for version control thrives in large collaborative projects, for example open-source software development projects that include numerous contributors, both regular and occasional (Brown, 2018).

In recent years, some complementary tools have been developed that make the process of collaborating together using version control software easier.

Other tools can help in collaborating on file-based projects, including *bug trackers* or *issue trackers*, which allow the team to keep a running “to-do” list of what needs to be done to complete the project, all of which are discussed in the next chapter as tools that can be used to improve collaboration on scientific projects spread across teams. GitHub, a very popular version control platform with these additional tools, was created in 2008 as a web-based platform to facilitate collaborating on projects running under git version control. It can provide an easier entry to using git for version control than trying to learn to use git from the command line (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016). It also plays well with RStudio, making it easy to integrate a collaborative workflow through GitHub from the same RStudio window on your computer where you are otherwise doing your analysis (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016).

“If your software engineering career, like mine, is no older than GitHub, then git may be the only version control software you have ever used. While people sometimes grouse about its steep learning curve or unintuitive interface, git has become everyone’s go-to for version control.” (Target, 2018)

2.9.2 Recording data in the laboratory—from paper to computers

Traditionally, experimental data collected in a laboratory was recorded in a paper laboratory notebook. These laboratory notebooks played a role not only as the initial recording of data, but also can serve as, for example, a legal record of the data recorded in the lab (Mascarelli, 2014). They were also a resource for collaborating across a team and for passing on a research project from one lab member to another (Butler, 2005).

However, paper laboratory notebooks have a number of limitations. First, they can be very inefficient. In a time when almost all data analyses—even simple calculations—are done on a computer, recording research data on paper rather than directly entering it into a computer is inefficient. Also, any stage of copying data from one format to another, especially when done by a human rather than a machine, introduces the chance to copying errors. Handwritten laboratory notebooks can be hard to read (Butler, 2005; Perkel, 2011), and may lack adequate flexibility and expandability to handle the complex experiments often conducted. Further, electronic alternatives can also be easier to search, allowing for deeper and more comprehensive investigations of the data collected across multiple experiments (Giles, 2012; Butler, 2005; Perkel, 2011).

“Handwritten lab notebooks are usually chaotic and always unsearchable.”
(Perkel, 2011)

Given a widespread recognition of the limitations of paper laboratory notebooks, in the past couple of decades, there have been a number of efforts, both formal and informal, to move from paper laboratory notebooks to electronic alternatives. In some fields that rely heavily on computational analysis, there are very few research labs (if any) that use paper laboratory notebooks (Butler, 2005). In other fields, where researchers have traditionally used paper lab

notebooks, companies have been working for a while to develop electronic laboratory notebooks specifically tailored to scientific research needs (Giles, 2012). These were adopted more early in pharmaceutical industrial labs, where companies had the budgets to get customized versions and the authority to require their use, but have taken longer to be adapted in academic laboratories (Giles, 2012; Butler, 2005). A widely adopted platform for electronic laboratory notebooks has yet to be taken up by the scientific community, despite clear advantages of recording data directly into a computer rather than first using a paper notebook.

“Since at least the 1990s, articles on technology have predicted the imminent, widespread adoption of electronic laboratory notebooks (ELNs) by researchers. It has yet to happen—but more and more scientists are taking the plunge.”
(Kwok, 2018)

Instead of using customized electronic laboratory notebook software, some academics are moving their data recording online, but are using more generalized electronic alternatives, like Dropbox, Google applications, OneNote, and Evernote (Perkel, 2011; Kwok, 2018; Giles, 2012; Powell, 2012). Some scientists have started using version control tools, especially the combination of git and GitHub, as a way to improve laboratory data recording, and in particular to improve transparency and reproducibility standards. These pieces of software share the same pattern as Google tools or Dropbox—they are generalized tools that have been honed and optimized for ease of use through their role outside of scientific research, but can be harnessed as a powerful tool in a scientific laboratory, as well. They are also free—at least, for GitHub, at the entry and academic levels—and, even better, one (git) is open source.

“The purpose of a lab notebook is to provide a lasting record of events in a laboratory. In the same way that a chemistry experiment would be nearly impossible without a lab notebook, scientific computing would be a nightmare of inefficiency and uncertainty without version-control systems.” (Tippmann, 2014)

While some generalized tools like Google tools and Dropbox might be simpler to initially learn, version control tools offer some key advantages for recording scientific data and are worth the effort to adopt. A key advantage is their ability to track the full history of files as they evolve, including not only the history of changes to each file, but also a record of why each change was made. Git excels in tracking changes made to plain text files. For these files, whether they record code, data, or text, git can show line-by-line differences between two versions of the file. This makes it very easy to go through the history of “commits” to a plain text file in a git-tracked repository and see what change was made at each time point, and then read through the commit messages associated with those commits to see why a change was made. For example, if a value was entered in the wrong row of a csv, and the researcher then made a commit to correct that data entry mistake, the researcher could explain the problem and its resolution in the commit message for that change.

Platforms for using git often include nice tools for visualizing differences between two files, providing a more visual way to look at the “diffs” between files across time points in the project. For example, GitHub automatically shows these using colors to highlight additions and subtractions of plain text for one file compared to another version of it when you look through a repository’s commit history. Similarly, RStudio provides a new “Commit” window that can be used to compare differences between the original and revised version of plain text files at a particular stage in the commit history.

[Maybe include a figure with an example of how the difference between two text files can be seen, along with a commit message explaining the change?]

The use of version control tools and platforms, like git and GitHub, not only helps in transparent and trackable recording of data, but it also brings some additional advantages in the research project. First, this combination of tools aids in collaboration across a research group, as we discuss in depth in the next chapter.

Second, if a project uses these tools, it is very easy to share data recorded for the project publicly. In a project that uses git and GitHub version control tools, it is easy to share the project data online once an associated manuscript is published, an increasingly common request or requirement from journals and funding agencies (Blischak et al., 2016). Sharing data allows a more complete assessment of the research by reviewers and readers and makes it easier for other researchers to build off the published results in their own work, extending and adapting the code to explore their own datasets or ask their own research questions (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016). On GitHub, you can set the access to a project to be either public or private, and can be converted easily from one form to the other over the course of the project (Metz, 2015). A private project can be viewed only by fellow team members, while a public project can be viewed by anyone. Further, because git tracks the full history of changes to these documents, it includes functionality that let’s you tag the code and data at a specific point (for example, the date when a paper was submitted) so that viewers can look at that specific “version” of the repository files, even while the project team continues to move forward in improving files in the directory. At the more advanced end of functionality, there are even ways to assign a DOI to a specific version of a GitHub repository (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016).

Third, the combination of git and GitHub can help as a way to backup study data (Blischak et al., 2016; Perez-Riverol et al., 2016; Perkel, 2018). Together, git and GitHub provide a structure where the project directory (repository) is copied on multiple computers, both the users’ laptop or desktop computers and on a remote server hosted by GitHub or a similar organization. This set-up makes it easy to bring all the project files onto a new computer—all you have to do is clone the project repository. It also ensures that there are copies of the full project directory, including all its files, in multiple places (Blischak et al., 2016). Further, not only is the data backed up across multiple computers, but so is the full history of all changes made to that data and the recorded messages

explaining those changes, through the repositories commit messages (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016).

There are, of course, some limitations to using version control tools when recording experimental data. First, while ideally laboratory data is recorded in a plain text format (see Chapter [x] for a deeper discussion of why), some data may be recorded in a binary file format. Some version control tools, including git, can be used to track changes in binary files. However, git does not take to these types of files naturally. In particular, git typically will not be able to show users a useful comparison of the differences between two versions of a binary file. More problems can arise if the binary file is very large (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016; Blischak et al., 2016), as some experimental research data files are (e.g., if they are the output of 'omics laboratory equipment like a mass spectrometer). However, there are emerging tools and strategies for improving the ability to include and track large binary files when using git and GitHub (Blischak et al., 2016)

“You can version control any file that you put in a Git repository, whether it is text-based, an image, or a giant data file. However, just because you *can* version control something, does not mean that you *should*.” (Blischak et al., 2016)

[Add something about whether version control timestamps would meet legal standards, e.g., for patent claims? Mike had a comment about using locks with data that might go with that.]

Finally, as with other tools and techniques described in this book, there is an investment required to learn how to use git and GitHub (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016), as well as a bit of extra overhead when using version control tools in a project (Raymond, 2003). However, both can bring dramatic gains to efficiency, transparency, and organization of research projects, even if you only use a small subset of its basic functionality (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016). In Chapter 11 we provide guidance on getting started with using git and Github to track a scientific research project.

“Although Git has a complex set of commands and can be used for rather complex operations, learning to apply the basics requires only a handful of new concepts and commands and will provide a solid ground to efficiently track code and related content for research projects.” (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016)

2.9.3 Discussion questions

2.10 Enhance the reproducibility of collaborative research with version control platforms

Once a researcher has learned to use *git* on their own computer for local version control, they can begin using version control platforms (e.g., *GitLab*, *GitHub*) to collaborate with others under version control. We will describe how a research team can benefit from using a version control platform to work collaboratively.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- List benefits of using a version control platform to collaborate on research projects, particularly for reproducibility
- Describe the difference between version control (e.g., *git*) and a version control platform (e.g., *GitLab*)

2.10.1 *git and GitHub features*

- issue trackers
- contributions
- branching
- versioning
- READMEs

2.10.2 *Subsection 1*

As the proverb about too many cooks in the kitchen captures, any time you have multiple people working on a project, it introduces the chance for conflicts. While higher-level conflicts, like about what you want the final product to look like or who should do which jobs, can't be easily managed by a computer program, now the complications of integrating everyone's contributions—and letting people work in their own space and then bring together their individual work into one final joint project—can be. While these programs for version control were originally created to help with programmers developing code, they can be used now to coordinate group work on numerous types of file-based projects, including scientific manuscripts, books, and websites (Raymond, 2009). And although they can work with projects that include binary code, they thrive in projects with a heavier concentration of text-based files, and so they fit in nicely in a scientific research / data analysis workflow that is based on data stored in plain text formats and data analysis scripts written in plain text files, tools we discuss in other parts of this book.

“The most primitive (but still very common) method [of version control] is all hand-hacking. You snapshot the project periodically by manually copying everything in it to a backup. You include history comments in source files. You make verbal or email arrangements with other developers to keep their hands off certain files while you hack them.” (Raymond, 2003)

“The hidden costs of this hand-hacking method are high, especially when (as frequently happens) it breaks down. The procedures take time and concentration; they're prone to error, and tend to get slipped under pressure or when the project is in trouble—that is exactly when they are needed. ... To avoid these problems, you can use a *version-control system* (VCS), a suite of programs that automates away most of the drudgery involved in keeping an annotated history of your project and avoiding modification conflicts.” (Raymond, 2003)

“In a medium-sized project, it often happens that a (relatively small) number of people work simultaneously on a single set of files, the 'program' or the 'project'.

Often these people have additional tasks, causing their working speeds to differ greatly. One person may be working a steady ten hours a day on the project, a second may have barely time to dabble in the project enough to keep current, while a third participant may be sent off on an urgent temporary assignment just before finishing a modification. It would be nice if each participant could be abstracted from the vicissitudes of the lives of the others.” (Grune, 1986)

[Under the distributed model, all team members can have their own version of all the files, work on them and make records of changes they make to the files, and then occasionally sync with everyone else to share your changes with them and bring their changes into your copy of the files.] This functionality is called *concurrency*, since it allows team members to concurrently work on the same set of files (Raymond, 2009). This idea allowed for the development of other useful features and styles of working, including *branching* to try out new ideas that you’re not sure you’ll ultimately want to go with and *forking*, a key tool used in open-source software development, which among other things facilitates someone who isn’t part of the original team getting a copy of the files they can work with and suggesting some changes that might be helpful.

This functionality is called *concurrency*, since it allows team members to concurrently work on the same set of files (Raymond, 2009). This idea allowed for the development of other useful features and styles of working, including *branching* to try out new ideas that you’re not sure you’ll ultimately want to go with and *forking*, a key tool used in open-source software development, which among other things facilitates someone who isn’t part of the original team getting a copy of the files they can work with and suggesting some changes that might be helpful.

“VCSs are a huge boon to productivity and code quality in many ways, even for small single-developer projects. They automate away many procedures that are just tedious work. They help a lot in recovering from mistakes. Perhaps most importantly, they free programmers to experiment by guaranteeing that reversion to a known-good state will always be easy.” (Raymond, 2003)

There is one key feature of modern version control that’s critical to making this work—merging files that started the same but were edited in different ways and now need to be put back together, bringing any changes made from the original version. This step is called *merging* the files. While this is typically described using the plural, “files”, at a higher-level, you can think of this as just merging the *changes* that two people have made as they edited a single file, a file where they both started out with identical copies.

Think of the file broken up into each of its separate lines. There will be some lines that neither person changed. Those are easy to handle in the “merge”—they stay the same as in the original copy of the file. Next, there will be some lines that one person changed, but that the other person didn’t. It turns out that these are pretty easy to handle, too. If only one person changed the line, then you use their version—it’s the most up-to-date, since if both people started out with the same version, it means that the other person didn’t

make any changes to that part of the file. Finally, there may be a few lines that both people changed. These are called *merge conflicts*. They're places in the file where there's not a clear, easy-to-automate way that the computer can know which version to put into the integrated, latest version of the file. Different version control programs handle these merge conflicts in different ways. For the most common version control program used today, *git*, these spots in the file are flagged with a special set of symbols when you try to integrate the two updated versions of the file. Along with the special symbols to denote a conflict, there will also be *both* versions of the conflicting lines of the file. Whoever is integrating the files must go in and pick the version of those lines to use in the integrated version of the file, or write in some compromise version of those lines that brings in elements from both people's changes, and then delete all the symbols denoting that was a conflict and save this latest version of the file.

There are a number of other features of version control that make it useful for collaborating on file-based projects with teams. First, these systems allow you to explain your changes as you make them—in other words, it allows for *annotation* of the developing and editing process (Raymond, 2009). This provides the team with a full history of why the files evolved in the way they did across the team. It also provides a way to communicate across the team members.

"You will likely share your code with multiple lab mates or collaborators, and they may have suggestions on how to improve it. If you email the code to multiple people, you will have to manually incorporate all the changes each of them sends." (Blischak et al., 2016)

[*change tracking*] For example, if one person is the key person working on a certain file, but has run into a problem with one spot and asks another team member to take a go, then the second team member isn't limited to just looking at the file and then emailing some suggestions. Instead, the second person can make sure he or she has the latest version of that file, make the changes they think will help, *commit* those changes with a message (a *commit message*) about why they think this change will fix the problem, and then push that latest version of the file back to the first person. If there are several places where it would help to change the file, then these can be fixed through several separate commits, each with their own message. The first person, who originally asked for help, can read through the updates in the file (most platforms for using version control will now highlight where all these changes are in the file) and read the second person's message or messages about why each change might help. Even better, days or months later, when team members are trying to figure out why a certain change was made in that part of the file, can go back and read these messages to get an explanation.

"You know your code has changed; do you know why? It's easy to forget the reasons for changes, and step on them later. If you have collaborators on a project, how do you know what they have changed while you weren't looking, and who was responsible for each change?" (Raymond, 2003)

There are a number of other features of version control that make it useful for collaborating on file-based projects with teams. First, these systems allow you to explain your changes as you make them—in other words, it allows for *annotation* of the developing and editing process (Raymond, 2009). This provides the team with a full history of why the files evolved in the way they did across the team. It also provides a way to communicate across the team members.

“You will likely share your code with multiple lab mates or collaborators, and they may have suggestions on how to improve it. If you email the code to multiple people, you will have to manually incorporate all the changes each of them sends.” (Blischak et al., 2016)

[*change tracking*] For example, if one person is the key person working on a certain file, but has run into a problem with one spot and asks another team member to take a go, then the second team member isn’t limited to just looking at the file and then emailing some suggestions. Instead, the second person can make sure he or she has the latest version of that file, make the changes they think will help, *commit* those changes with a message (a *commit message*) about why they think this change will fix the problem, and then push that latest version of the file back to the first person. If there are several places where it would help to change the file, then these can be fixed through several separate commits, each with their own message. The first person, who originally asked for help, can read through the updates in the file (most platforms for using version control will now highlight where all these changes are in the file) and read the second person’s message or messages about why each change might help. Even better, days or months later, when team members are trying to figure out why a certain change was made in that part of the file, can go back and read these messages to get an explanation.

“You know your code has changed; do you know why? It’s easy to forget the reasons for changes, and step on them later. If you have collaborators on a project, how do you know what they have changed while you weren’t looking, and who was responsible for each change?” (Raymond, 2003)

If you’re working on a manuscript, for example, when it’s time to edit, you can cut whole paragraphs, and if you ever need to get them back, they’ll be right there in the commit history for your project, with their own commit message about why they were cut (hopefully a nice clear one that will make it easy to find that commit if you ever need those paragraphs again).

“Using GitHub or any similar versioning / tracking system is not a replacement for good project management; it is an extension, an improvement for good project and file management.” (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016)

In recent years, some complementary tools have been developed that make the process of collaborating together using version control software easier. Other tools can help in collaborating on file-based projects, including *bug*

trackers or *issue trackers*, which allow the team to keep a running “to-do” list of what needs to be done to complete the project, all of which are discussed in the next chapter.

Other helpful tools, particularly for compiled software projects, include *build systems*. Some of these features come within Software Configuration Management (SCM) programs (Raymond, 2009)

“GitHub issues are a great way to keep track of bugs, tasks, feature requests, and enhancements. While classical issue trackers are primarily intended to be used as bug trackers, in contrast, GitHub issue trackers follow a different philosophy: each tracker has its own section in every repository and can be used to trace bugs, new ideas, and enhancements by using a powerful tagging system. The main objective of issues in GitHub is promoting collaboration and providing context using cross-references. Raising an issue does not require lengthy forms to be completed. It only requires a title and, preferably, at least a short description. Issues have very clear formatting and provide space for anyone with a GitHub account to provide feedback. ... Additional elements of issues are (i) color-coded labels that help to categorize and filter issues, (ii) milestones, and (iii) one assignee responsible for working on the issue.” (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016)

“As another illustration of issues and their generic and wide application, we and others used GitHub issues to discuss and comment on changes in manuscripts and address reviewers’ comments.” (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016)

“The astonishment was that you might want to make even your tiny hacks to other people’s code public. Before GitHub, we tended to keep those on our own computer. Nowadays, it is so easy to make a fork, or even edit the code directly in your browser, that potentially anyone can find even your least polished bug fixes immediately.” (Irving, 2011)

Resources like GitHub are “essential for collaborative software projects because they enable the organization and sharing of programming tasks between different remote contributors.” (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016)

With GitHub, while only collaborators on a public project can directly change the code, anyone else can *suggest* changes through a process of copying a version of the project (*forking* it), making the changes they would like to suggest, and then asking the project’s owners to consider integrating the changes back into the main version of the project through a *pull request*. GitHub therefore creates a platform where people can explore, adapt, and add to other people’s coding projects, enabling a community of coders (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016).

“Much more than a code warehouse, GitHub is effectively a social network for software development.” (Perkel, 2018)

GitHub has been described as “a kind of bazaar that offers just about any piece of code you might want—and so much of it free.” (Metz, 2015)

GitHub can also be used to collaborate on, host, and publish websites and other online content (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016). It’s GitHub Pages functionality, for example, is now being used to host a number of books created in R using the *bookdown* package, including the online version of this book.

“The traditional way to promote scientific software is by publishing an associated paper in the peer-reviewed scientific literature, though, as pointed out by Buckheir and Donoho, this is just advertising. Additional steps can boost the visibility of an organization. For example, GitHub Pages are simple websites freely hosted by GitHub. Users can create and host blog websites, help pages, manuals, tutorials, and websites related to specific projects.” (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016)

“VCs, by the way, are not merely good for program code; the manuscript of this book was maintained as a collection of files under RCS while it was being written.” (Raymond, 2003)

So, this is the basic idea of modern version control—for a project that involves a set of computer files, everyone on the team (even if that’s just one person) has their own copy of a directory with those files on their own computer, makes changes at the time and in the spots in the files that they want, and then regularly re-syncs their local directory with everyone else’s to share changes and updates.

VC platforms as a form of back-up.

One study surveyed neuroscience researchers at a UK institute. “The backup ‘rule of three’ states that for a file to be sufficiently backed up it should be kept in three separate locations using two different types of media with one offsite backup. A lack of an adequate backup solution could mean permanently lost data, effort and time. In this research, more than 82% of the respondents seemed to be unaware of suitable backup procedures to protect their data. Some respondents kept a single backup of work on external hard disks. Others used the Universities local networked servers as their means of backup.” (AITarawneh and Thorne, 2017)

“A good approach is to store at least three copies in at least two geographically distributed locations (e.g., original location such as a desktop computer, an external hard drive, and one or more remote sites) and to adopt a regular schedule for duplicating the data (i.e., backup).” (Michener, 2015)

“One reason for GitHub’s success is that it offers more than a simple source code hosting service. It provides developers and researchers with a dynamic and collaborative environment, often referred to as a social coding platform, that supports peer review, commenting, and discussion. A diverse range of efforts, ranging from individual to large bioinformatics projects, laboratory repositories, as well as global collaborations, have found GitHub to be a productive place to share code and ideas and collaborate.” (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016)

Some scientists also use the combination of git and GitHub to collaborate on writing scientific manuscripts and grant proposals (Perez-Riverol et al., 2016).

For software development projects, funding agencies may ask for plans on how to maintain access to products if the main package is “orphaned”.

Email attachments in lieu of common access files.

...

For example, one group of researchers investigated a large collection of emails from Enron (Hermans and Murphy-Hill, 2015). They found that passing

Excel files through email attachments was a common practice, and that messages within emails suggested that spreadsheets were stored locally, rather than in a location that was accessible to all team members (Hermans and Murphy-Hill, 2015), which meant that team members might often be working on different versions of the same spreadsheet file. They note that “the practice of emailing spreadsheets is known to result in serious problems in terms of accountability and errors, as people do not have access to the latest version of a spreadsheet, but need to be updated of changes via email.” (Hermans and Murphy-Hill, 2015)

“Team members regularly pass data files back and forth by hand, by email, and by using shared lab or project servers, websites, and databases.” (Edwards et al., 2011)

Version control for spreadsheets

“Recent versions of spreadsheets now incorporate a ‘Track Changes’ functionality which enables highlighting of changes made by different users along with a comment and review system. Such tools are a start toward this but more robust version control systems are required particularly in the context of increasingly online and collaborative method of working where large teams interact with a single document concurrently.” (Birch et al., 2018)

2.10.3 Subsection 2

2.10.4 Discussion questions

2.11 Using git and GitLab to implement version control

For many years, use of version control required use of the command line, limiting its accessibility to researchers with limited programming experience. However, graphical interfaces have removed this barrier, and RStudio has particularly user-friendly tools for implementing version control. In this module, we will show how to use *git* through RStudio’s user-friendly interface and how to connect from a local computer to *GitLab* through RStudio.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Understand how to set up and use *git* through RStudio’s interface
- Understand how to connect with *GitLab* through RStudio to collaborate on research projects while maintaining version control

2.11.1 How to use version control

In this chapter, we will give you an overview of how to use *git* and GitHub for your laboratory research projects. In this chapter, we’ll address two separate groups, in separate sections. First, we’ll provide an overview of how you can leverage and use these tools as the director or manager of a project, without knowing how to code in a language like R. GitHub provides a number of useful tools that can be used by anyone, providing a common space for managing

the data recording, analysis and reporting for a scientific research project. In this case, there would need to be at least one member of your team who is comfortable with a programming language, but all team members can participate in many features of the GitHub repository regardless of programming skill.

Second, we'll provide some details on the “how-tos” of setting up and using git and GitHub for scientists who *are* programmers or learning to program in a language like R or Python. We will not be exhaustive in this section, as there are a number of excellent resources that already go into depth on these topics. Instead, we provide an overview of getting starting, and what tools you might want to try within projects, and then provide advice on more references to follow up with to learn more and fully develop these skills.

2.11.2 *Leveraging git and GitHub as a project director*

Because git has a history in software development, and because most introductions to it quickly present arcane-looking code commands, you may have hesitations about whether it would be useful in your scientific research group if you, and many in your research group, do not have experience programming. This is not at all the case, and in fact, the combination of git and GitHub can become a secret weapon for your research group if you are willing to encourage those in your group who do know some programming (or are willing to learn a bit) and to take them time to try out this environment for project management.

As mentioned in the previous two chapters, repositories that are tracked with git and shared through GitHub provide a number of tools that are useful in managing a project, both in terms of keeping track of what's been done in the project and also for planning what needs to be done next, breaking those goals into discrete tasks, assigning those tasks to team members, and maintaining a discussion as you tackle those tasks.

While git itself traditionally has been used with a command-line interface (think of the black and green computer screens shown when movies portray hackers), GitHub has wrapped git's functionality with an attractive and easy to understand graphical user interface. This is how you will interact with a project repository if you are online and logged into GitHub, rather than exploring it on your own computer (although there are also graphical user interfaces you can use to more easily explore git repositories locally, on your computer).

[Give a brief “tour” of a GitHub repo? One that we set up with some example data?]

Key project management tools for GitHub that you can leverage, all covered in subsections below, are:

- Issues
- Commit history
- Repository access and ownership
- Wiki

- Insights

Successfully using GitHub to help track and manage a research project does not require using all of these tools, and in fact you can go a long way by just starting with a subset. The first four covered (Commits, Issues, Commit history, and Repository access and ownership) would be a great set to try out in a first project.

Commits

[Some about making commits straight from GitHub]

Issues

[Tracking the “to-do” list with the Issues page]

Commit history

[How to read through the history of changes to the repository from GitHub]


Repository access and ownership

[Make it so people can see and make changes to the repository]

Insights

Each GitHub repository also provides an “Insights” page, which lets you see who is contributing to the project and, as well when and how much they have contributed, as tracked by the commits they’ve made.

First, this page provides some repository-wide summaries, regardless of who was contributing. The figure below shows an example of the “Code frequency” graph, showing the number of additions and deletions to the code each week (here, “code” means any data in the tracked files, so it would include data recorded for the project or text written up for a project report or presentation [double-check that this is the case]).



During periods when the research team is collecting data, you would expect a lot more additions than deletions, and you could check this plot to ensure that the team is committing data soon after it's recorded (i.e., that there are lots of additions on weeks with major data collection for the experiment, not several weeks after). Periods with a lot of deletions, aren't bad, but instead likely indicate that a lot of work is being done in editing reports and manuscripts. For example, if a paper is being prepared for publication, you'd expect a lot of deletions as the team edits it to meet word count requirements.

The "Insights" page on a GitHub repository also lets you track the frequency of commits to the project, where each commit could be something small (like fixing a typo) or large (adding new data files for all data recorded for a time-point for the experiment). However, the frequency of these commits can help identify periods when the team is working on the project. For example, the commit history graph shown below is for the GitHub repository for a website for a spring semester course in 2020. It's clear to see the dates when the course was in session, as well as how the project required a lot of initial set up (shown by the number of commits early in the project period compared to later). You can even see spring break in mid-March (the week in the middle with no commits).



This window also allows you to track the number and timing of commits of each contributor to the project.

Wiki

[Use the Wiki to publish information about the project, especially when you make the project public]

2.11.3 Leveraging git and GitHub as a scientist who programs

[More on how to get started with GitHub if you're a programmer]

- Interfacing with RStudio
- Initiating a repository and first commit
- Subsequent commits and commit messages
- Fixing merge conflicts when team members make concurrent changes
- Using branches and forks / pull requests to try out new things
- Using GitHub Actions for automation (e.g., automatic testing?)
- [Odds and ends—DS_Store, \$Word_doc]
- More resources for learning to use git and GitHub

2.11.4 Notes

While this method of merging two sets of changes to a file generally works very well in integrating what members of the team have typed into the file in their edits (i.e., the actual text), it doesn't do *anything* to check the logic. If that text is computer code, then the latest version, with code integrated from two people, could be “broken”, even if each person confirmed that the code worked on their own computers before merging, because a change in one spot in code could break something somewhere else in the code. One way to try to quickly identify this kind of a problem is to create small pieces of code that can be run whenever you'd like to check that your code is still behaving like you want it to. These pieces of code are called “unit tests”. They allow you to define how you expect your code to behave—for example, if you have created a function in your code that counts the number of letters in a word, you expect it to always give back “5” for “fever” and “0” for “”. You can write a bit of code that runs the function, using inputs of “fever” and “”, and then tests to see if what it gets in return is “5” and “0”. If it does, great! You won't hear anything else. If it doesn't though, it will print out a message to warn you that one of the functions in your code didn't return what you were expecting it to.

You can collect all of these unit tests in one part of the project directory, and you can even set up a “hook” with your version control program to run all of those tests every time you merge in new changes from team members. If everything still works fine after merging in new changes, it will be a silent success, but if a merge breaks something, you'll get a noisy failure which, while certainly worse than a silent success, is much, much better than a silent failure. As Mark Twain (supposedly) said, “What gets us into trouble is not what we

don't know. It's what we know for sure that just ain't so."

"It is possible to put hook scripts in [some version control program's] repository that will fire at various interesting times—notably before each commit, or after. Hook scripts can be used for many purposes, including enforcing fine-grained access control and sending automated commit notifications to mailing lists, bug trackers, or even IRC channels." (Raymond, 2009)

"When the system prints the prompt `$` and you type commands that get executed, it's not the kernel that is talking to you, but a go-between called the command interpreter or *shell*. The shell is just an ordinary program like `date` or `who`, although it can do some remarkable things. The fact that the shell sits between you and the facilities of the kernel has real benefits, some of which we'll talk about here. There are three main ones: (1) Filename shorthands: you can pick up a whole set of filenames as arguments to a program by specifying a pattern for the names—the shell will find the filenames that fit your pattern; (2) Input-output redirection: you can arrange for the output of any program to go into a file instead of onto the terminal, and for the input to come from a file instead of the terminal. Input and output can even be connected to other programs. (3) Personalizing the environment: you can define your own commands and shorthands." (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

"Suppose you're typing a large document like a book. Logically this divides into many small pieces, like chapters and perhaps sections. Physically it should be divided too, because it is cumbersome to edit large files. Thus you should type the document as a number of files. You might have separate files for each chapter, called 'ch1', 'ch2', etc. ... With a systematic naming convention, you can tell at a glance where a particular file fits into the whole. What if you want to print the whole book? You could say `$ pr ch1.1 ch1.2 ch 1.3 ...`, but you would soon get bored typing filenames and start to make mistakes. This is where filename shorthand comes in. If you say `$ pr ch*` the shell takes the `*` to mean 'any string of characters,' so `ch*` is a pattern that matches all filenames in the current directory that begin with `ch`. The shell creates the list, in alphabetical order, and passes the list to `pr`. The `pr` command never sees the `*`; the pattern match that the shell does in the current directory generates a list of strings that are passed to `pr`. The crucial point is that filename shorthand is not a property of the `pr` command, but a service of the shell. Thus you can use it to generate a sequence of filenames for *any* command." (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

"One of the virtues of the Unix system is that there are several ways to bring it closer to your personal taste or the conventions of your local computing environment. ... If there is a file named '.profile' in your login directory, the shell will execute the commands in it when you log in, before printing the first prompt. So you can put commands into '.profile' to set up your environment as you like it, and they will be executed every time you log in. ... Some of the properties of the shell are actually controlled by so-called *shell variables*, with values that you can access and set yourself. For example, the prompt string, which we have been showing as `$`, is actually stored in a shell variable called 'PS1', and you can set it to anything you like, like this `PS1='Yes dear? '`. ... Probably the most useful shell variable is the one that controls where the shell looks for commands. Recall that when you type the name of a command, the shell normally looks for it first in the current directory, then in '/bin', and then in '/usr/bin'. This sequence of

directories is called the *search path*, and is stored in a shell variable called 'PATH'. If the default search path isn't what you want, you can change it, again usually in your '.profile'. ... It is also possible to use variables for abbreviation. If you find yourself frequently referring to some directory with a long name, it might be worthwhile adding a line like `d=/horribly/long/directory/name` to your profile, so that you can say things like `$cd $d`. Personal variables like `d` are conventionally spelled in lower case to distinguish them from those used by the shell itself, like `PATH`." (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

"The culmination of your login efforts is a *prompt*, usually a single character, indicating that the system is ready to accept commands from you. The prompt is most likely to be a dollar sign or a percent sign, but you can change it to anything you like... The prompt is actually printed by a program called the *command interpreter* or *shell*, which is your main interface to the system. ... Once you receive the prompt, you can type *commands*, which are requests that the system do something. We will use *program* as a synonym for command." (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

"While checksums are a great method to check if files are different, they don't tell us *how* the files differ. One approach to this is to compute the *diff* between two files using the Unix tool *diff*. Unix's *diff* works line by line, and outputs blocks (called *hunks*) that differ between files (resembling Git's *git diff* command)." (Buffalo, 2015)

2.11.5 Applied exercise

3

Experimental Data Preprocessing

3.1 Principles and benefits of scripted pre-processing of experimental data

The experimental data collected for biomedical research often requires pre-processing before it can be analyzed (e.g., gating of flow cytometry data, feature finding / quantification for mass spectrometry data). Use of point-and-click software can limit the transparency and reproducibility of this analysis stage and is time-consuming for repeated tasks. We will explain how scripted pre-processing, especially using open source software, can improve transparency and reproducibility.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Define 'pre-processing' of experimental data
- Describe an open source code script and explain how it can increase reproducibility of data pre-processing

3.1.1 What is pre-processing?

Some data collected through laboratory experiments is very straightforward and requires little or no pre-processing before it's used in analysis. For example, [example]. Other data may require some minimal pre-processing. For example, if you plate bacteria from a sample at a variety of dilutions, you might count each plate and determine a measure of Colony Forming Units from the set of plates with different dilutions by deciding which dilution provides the clearest count and then back-calculating based on its dilution to get the total number of colony-forming units in the original sample.

This step of pre-processing data can become much more complex with data that was collected using complex equipment, like a flow cytometer or a mass spectrometer. In these cases, there are often steps required to extract from the machine's readings a biologically-relevant measurement. For example, the data output from a mass spectrometer must be processed to move from measurements of mass and retention time to estimates of concentrations of different molecules in the sample. If you want to compare across multiple samples, then the preprocessing will also involve steps to align the different

samples (in terms of ...), as well as to standardize the measurements for each sample, to make the measurements from the different samples comparable. For data collected from a flow cytometer, preprocessing may include steps to disentangle the florescence from different markers to ensure that the read for one marker isn't inflated by spillover florescence from a different marker.

3.1.2 Approaches to simple preprocessing tasks

There are several approaches for tackling this type of data preprocessing, to get from the data that you initially observe (or that is measured by a piece of laboratory equipment) to meaningful biological measurements that can be analyzed and presented to inform explorations of a scientific hypothesis. While there are a number of approaches that don't involve writing code scripts for this preprocessing, there are some large advantages to scripting preprocessing any time you are preprocessing experimental data prior to including it in figures or further analysis. In this section, we'll describe some common non-scripted approaches and discuss the advantages that would be brought by instead using a code script. In the next module, we'll walk through an example of how scripts for preprocessing can be created and applied in laboratory research.

In cases where the pre-processing is mathematically straightforward and the dataset is relatively small, many researchers do the preprocessing by hand in a laboratory notebook or through an equation or macro embedded in a spreadsheet. For example, if you have plated samples at different dilutions and are trying to calculate from these the CFUs in the original sample, this calculation is simple enough that it could be done by hand. However, there are advantages to instead writing a code script to do this simple preprocessing.

When you write a script to do a task with data, it is like writing a recipe that can be applied again and again. By writing a script, you encode the process a single time, so you can take the time to check and recheck to make sure that you've encoded the process correctly. This helps in avoiding small errors when you do the preprocessing—if you are punching numbers into a calculator over and over, it's easy to mistype a number or forget a step every now and then, while the code will ensure that the same process is run every time and that it faithfully uses the numbers saved in the data for each step, rather than relying on a person correctly entering each number in the calculation.

Scripts can be used across projects, as well, and so they can ensure consistency in the calculation across projects. If different people do the calculation in the lab for different projects or experiments, and they are doing the calculations by hand, they might each do the calculation slightly differently, even if it's only in small details like how they report rounded numbers. A script will do the exact same thing every time it is applied. You can even share your script with colleagues at other labs, if you want to ensure that your data preprocessing is comparable for experiments conducted in different research groups, and many scientific journals will allow supplemental material with code used for data pre-

processing and analysis, or links within the manuscript to a repository of this code posted online.

There are also gains in efficiency when you use a script. For small pre-processing steps, these might seem small for each experiment, and certainly when you first write the script, it will likely take longer to write and test the script than it would to just do the calculation by hand (even more if you're just starting to learn how to write code scripts). However, since the script can be applied again and again, with very little extra work to apply it to new data, you'll save yourself time in the future, and over a lot of experiments and projects, this can add up. This makes it particularly useful to write scripts for preprocessing tasks that you find yourself doing again and again in the lab.

3.1.3 Approaches to more complex preprocessing tasks

Other preprocessing tasks can be much more complex, particularly those that need to conduct a number of steps to extract biologically meaningful measurements from the measurements made by a complex piece of laboratory equipment, as well as steps to make sure these measurements can be meaningfully compared across samples.

For these more complex tasks, the equipment manufacturer will often provide software that can be used for the preprocessing. This software might conduct some steps using defaults, and others based on the user's specifications. These are often provided through "GUIs" (graphical user interfaces), where the user does a series of point-and-click steps to process the data. In some software, this series of point-and-click steps is recorded as the user does them, so that these steps can be "re-run" later or on a different dataset.

For many types of biological data, including output from equipment like flow cytometers and mass spectrometers, open-source software has been developed that can be used for this preprocessing. Often, the most cutting edge methods for data preprocessing are first available through open-source software packages, if the methods are developed by researchers rather than by the companies, and often many of the algorithms that are made available through the equipment manufacturer's proprietary software are encoded versions of an algorithm first shared by researchers as open-source software.

It can take a while to develop a code script for preprocessing the raw data from a piece of complex equipment like a mass spectrometer. However, the process of developing this script requires a thoughtful consideration of the steps of preprocessing, and so this is often time well-spent. Again, this initial time investment will pay off later, as the script can then be efficiently applied to future data you collect from the equipment, saving you time in pointing and clicking through the GUI software. Further, it's easier to teach someone else how to conduct the preprocessing that you've done, and apply it to future experiments, because the script serves as a recipe.

When you conduct data preprocessing in a script, this also gives you ac-

cess to all the other tools in the scripting language. For example, as you work through preprocessing steps for a dataset, if you are doing it through an R script, you can use any of the many visualization tools that are available through R. By contrast, in GUI software, you are restricted to the visualization and other tools included in that particular set of software, and those software developers may not have thought of something that you'd like to do. Open-source scripting languages like R, Python, and Julia include a huge variety of tools, and once you have loaded your data in any of these platforms, you can use any of these tools.

If you have developed a script for preprocessing your raw data, it also becomes much easier to see how changes in choices in preprocessing might influence your final results. It can be tricky to guess whether your final results are sensitive, for example, to what choice you make for a particular transform for part of your data, or in how you standardize data in one sample to make different samples easier to compare. If the preprocessing is in a script, then you can test making these changes and running all preprocessing and analysis scripts, to see if it makes a difference in the final conclusions. If it does, then it helps you identify parts of preprocessing that need to be deeply thought through for the type of data you're collecting, and you may want to explore the documentation on that particular step of preprocessing to determine what choice is best for your data, rather than relying on defaults.

3.1.4 *Scripting preprocessing tasks*

Code scripts can be developed for any open-source scripting languages, including Python, R, and Julia. These can be embedded in or called from literate programming documents, like RMarkdown and Julia, which are described in other modules. The word “script” is a good one here—it really is as if you are providing the script for a play. In an interactive mode, you can send requests to run in the programming language step by step using a console, while in a script you provide the whole list of all of your “lines” in that conversation, and the programming language will run them all in order without you needing to interact from the console.

For preprocessing the data, the script will have a few predictable parts. First, you'll need to read the data in. There are different functions that can be used to read in data from different file formats. For example, data that is stored in an Excel spreadsheet can be loaded into R using functions in a package called `readxl`. Data that is stored in a plain-text delimited format (like a csv file) can be loaded into R using functions in the `readr` package.

When preprocessing data from complex equipment, you can determine how to read the data into R by investigating the file type that is output by the equipment. Fortunately, many types of scientific equipment follow standardized file formats. This means that open-source developers can develop a single package that can load data from equipment from multiple manufacturers. For

example, flow cytometry data is often stored in [file format]. Other biological datasets use file formats that are appropriate for very large datasets and that allow R to work with parts of the data at a time, without loading the full data in. [netCDF?] In these cases, the first step in a script might not be to load in all the data, but rather to provide R with a connection to the larger datafile, so it can pull in data as it needs it.

Once the data is loaded or linked in the script, the script can proceed through steps required to preprocess this data. These steps will often depend on the type of data, especially the methods and equipment used to collect it. For example, for mass spectrometry data, these steps will include For flow cytometry data, these steps would include

The functions for doing these steps will often come from extensions that different researchers have made for R. Base R is a simpler collection of data processing and statistics tools, but the open-source framework of R has allowed users to make and share their own extensions. In R, these are often referred to as “packages”. Many of these are shared through the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN), and packages on CRAN can be directly installed using the `install.packages` function in R, along with the package’s names. While CRAN is the common spot for sharing general-purpose packages, there is a specialized repository that is used for many genomics and other biology-related R packages called Bioconductor. These packages can also be easily installed through a call in R, but in this case it requires an installation function from the `BiocManager` package. Many of the functions that are useful for preprocessing biological data from laboratory experiments are available through Bioconductor.

Table [x] includes some of the primary R packages on Bioconductor that can be used in preprocessing different types of biological data. There are often multiple choices, developed by different research groups, but this list provides a starting point of several of the standard choices that you may want to consider as you start developing code.

Much of the initial preprocessing might use very specific functions that are tailored to the format that the data takes once it is loaded. Later in the script, there will often be a transfer to using more general-purpose tools in that coding language. For example, once data is stored in a “dataframe” format in R, it can be processed using a powerful set of general purpose tools collected in a suite of packages called the “tidyverse”. This set of packages includes functions for filtering to specific subsets of the data, merging separate datasets, adding new measurements for each observation that are functions of the initial measurements, summarizing, and visualizing. The tidyverse suite of R tools is very popular in general R use and is widely taught, including through numerous free online resources. By moving from specific tools to these more general tools as soon as possible in the script, a researcher can focus his or her time in learning these general purpose tools well, as these can be widely applied across many types of data.

By the end of the script, data will be in a format that has extracted biologically relevant measurements. Ideally, this data will be in a general purpose format, like a dataframe, to make it easier to work with using general purpose tools in the scripting language when the data is used in further data analysis or to create figures for reports, papers, and presentations. Often, you will want to save a version of this preprocessed version of the data in your project files, and so the last step of the script might be to write out the cleaned data in a file that can be loaded in later scripts for analysis and visualization. This is especially useful if these data preprocessing steps are time consuming, as is often the case for the large raw datasets output by laboratory equipment like flow cytometers and mass spectrometers.

Figure [x] gives an example of a data preprocessing script, highlighting these different common areas that often show up in these scripts.

[Some data may be incorporated into the preprocessing by downloading it from databases or other online sources. These data downloads can be automated and recorded by using scripted code for the download in many cases, as long as the database or online source offers web services or another API for this type of scripted data access. In this case, you can incorporate the script in a RMarkdown document to record the date the data was downloaded, as well as the code used to download it. R is able to run system calls, and one of these will provide the current date, so this can be included in an RMarkdown file to record the date the file is run. Further, there may be a call that can be made to the online data source's API that returns the working version of the database or source, and if so this can also be included in the RMarkdown code used to access the data.]

RMarkdown files can be used to combine both code and more manual document (for example, a record of which collaborator provided each type of data file). While traditionally this more manual documentation was recommended to be recorded in plain-text README files in a project's directory and subdirectories (Buffalo, 2015), RMarkdown files provide some advantages over this traditional approach. First, RMarkdown files are themselves in plain text, and so they offer the advantages of simple plain text documentation files (e.g., ones never rendered to another format) in terms of being able to use script-based tools to search them. Further, they can be rendered into attractive formatted documents that may be easier to share with project team members who do not code.

[Example of a function: recipe for making a vinaigrette. There will be a "basic" way that the function can run, which uses its default parameters. However, you can also specify and customize certain inputs (for example, using walnut oil instead of olive oil, or adding mustard) to tweak the recipe in slight ways each time you use it, and to get customized outputs.]

[History of the mouse—enable GUIs, before everything was from the terminal.]

3.1.5 Potential quotes

For bioinformatics, “all too often the software is developed without thought toward future interoperability with other software products. As a result, the bioinformatics software landscape is currently characterized by fragmentation and silos, in which each research group develops and uses only the tools created within their lab.” (Barga et al., 2011)

“The group also noted the lack of agility. Although they may be aware of a new or better algorithm they cannot easily integrate it into their analysis pipelines given the lack of standards across both data formats and tools. It typically requires a complete rewrite of the code in order to take advantage of a new technique or algorithm, requiring time and often funding to hire developers.” (Barga et al., 2011)

“The benefit of working with a programming language is that you have the code in a file. This means that you can easily reuse that code. If the code has parameters it can even be applied to problems that follow a similar pattern.” (Janssens, 2014)

“Data exploration in spreadsheet software is typically conducted via menus and dialog boxes, which leaves no record of the steps taken.” (Murrell, 2009)

“One reason Unix developers have been cool toward GUI interfaces is that, in their designers’ haste to make them ‘user-friendly’ each one often becomes frustratingly opaque to anyone who has to solve user problems—or, indeed, interact with it anywhere outside the narrow range predicted by the user-interface designer.” (Raymond, 2003)

“Many operating systems touted as more ‘modern’ or ‘user friendly’ than Unix achieve their surface glossiness by locking users and developers into one interface policy, and offer an application-programming interface that for all its elaborateness is rather narrow and rigid. On such systems, tasks the designers have anticipated are very easy—but tasks they have not anticipated are often impossible or at best extremely painful. Unix, on the other hand, has flexibility in depth. The many ways Unix provides to glue together programs means that components of its basic toolkit can be combined to produce useful effects that the designers of the individual toolkit parts never anticipated.” (Raymond, 2003)

“The good news is that a computer is a general-purpose machine, capable of performing any computation. Although it only has a few kinds of instructions to work with, it can do them blazingly fast, and it can largely control its own operation. The bad news is that it doesn’t do anything itself unless someone tells it what to do, in excruciating detail. A computer is the ultimate sorcerer’s apprentice, able to follow instructions tirelessly and without error, but requiring painstaking accuracy in the specification of what to do.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“Software is the general term for sequences of instructions that make a computer do something useful. It’s ‘soft’ in contrast with ‘hard’ hardware, because it’s intangible, not easy to put your hands on. Hardware is quite tangible: if you drop a computer on your foot, you’ll notice. Not true for software.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“Modern systems increasingly use general purpose hardware—a processor, some memory, and connections to the environment—and create specific behaviors by

software. The conventional wisdom is that software is cheaper, more flexible, and easier to change than hardware is (especially once some device has left the factory).” (Kernighan, 2011)

“An algorithm is a precise and unambiguous recipe. It’s expressed in terms of a fixed set of basic operations whose meanings are completely known and specified; it spells out a sequence of steps using those operations, with all possible situations covered; it’s guaranteed to stop eventually. On the other hand, a *program* is the opposite of abstract—it’s a concrete statement of the steps that a real computer must perform to accomplish a task. The distinction between an algorithm and a program is like the difference between a blueprint and a building; one is an idealization and the other is the real thing.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“One way to view a program is as one or more algorithms expressed in a form that a computer can process directly. A program has to worry about practical problems like inadequate memory, limited processor speed, invalid and even malicious input data, faulty hardware, broken network connections, and (in the background and often exacerbating the other problems) human frailty. So if an algorithm is an idealized recipe, a program is the instructions for a cooking robot preparing a month of meals for an army while under enemy attack.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“During the late 1950s and early 1960s, another step was taken towards getting the computer to do more for programmers, arguably the most important step in the history of programming. This was the development of ‘high-level’ programming languages that were independent of any particular CPU architecture. High-level languages make it possible to express computations in terms that are closer to the way a person might express them.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“Programming in the real world tends to happen on a large scale. The strategy is similar to what one might use to write a book or undertake any other big project: figure out what to do, starting with a broad specification that is broken into smaller and smaller pieces, then work on the pieces separately, while making sure that they hang together. In programming, pieces tend to be of a size such that one person can write the precise computational steps in some programming language. Ensuring that the pieces written by different programmers work together is challenging, and failing to get this right is a major source of errors. For instance, NASA’s Mars Climate Orbiter failed in 1999 because the flight system software used metric units for thrust, but course correction data was entered in English units, causing an erroneous trajectory that brought the Orbiter too close to the planet’s surface.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“If you’re going to build a house today, you don’t start by cutting down trees to make lumber and digging clay to make your own bricks. Instead, you buy prefabricated pieces like doors, windows, plumbing fixtures, a furnace, and a water heater. House construction is still a big job, but it’s manageable because you can build on the work of many others and rely on an infrastructure, indeed an entire industry, that will help. The same is true of programming. Hardly any significant program is created from nothing. Many components written by others can be taken off the shelf and used. For instance, if you’re writing a program for Windows or a Mac, there are libraries of prefabricated menus, buttons, text editors, graphics, network connections, database access, and so on. Much of

the job is understanding the components and gluing them together in your own way. Of course, many of these components in turn rest on other simpler and more basic ones, often for several layers. Below that, everything runs on the operating system, a program that manages the hardware and controls everything that happens.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“At the simplest level, programming languages provide a mechanism called functions that make it possible for one programmer to write code that performs a useful task, then package it in a form that other programmers can use in their programs without having to know how it works.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“A function has a name and a set of input data values that it needs to do its job; it does a computation and returns a result to the part of the program that called it. ... Functions make it possible to create a program by building on components that have been created separately and can be used as necessary by all programmers. A collection of related functions is usually called a *library*. ... The services that a function library provides are described to programmers in terms of an *Application Programming Interface*, or *API*, which lists the functions, what they do, how to use them in a program, what input data they require, and what values they produce. The API might also describe data structures—the organization of data that is passed back and forth—and various other bits and pieces that all together define what a programmer has to do to request services and what will be computed as a result. This specification must be detailed and precise, since in the end the program will be interpreted by a dumb literal computer, not by a friendly and accomodating human.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“The code that a programmer writes, whether in assembly language or (much more likely) in a high-level language, is called *source code*. ... Source code is readable by other programmers, though perhaps with some effort, so it can be studied and adapted, and any innovations or ideas it contains are visible.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“In early times, most software was developed by companies and most source code was unavailable, a trade secret of whoever developed it.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“An *operating system* is the software underpinning that manages the hardware of a computer and makes it possible to run other programs, which are called *applications*. ... It’s a clumsy but standard terminology for programs that are more or less self-contained and focused on a single task.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“Software, like many other things in computing, is organized into layers, analogous to geological strata, that separate one concern from another. Layering is one of the important ideas that help programmers to manage complexity.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“I think that it’s important for a well-informed person to know something about programming, perhaps only that it can be surprisingly difficult to get very simple programs working properly. There is nothing like doing battle with a computer to teach this lesson, but also to give people a taste of the wonderful feeling of accomplishment when a program does work for the first time. It may also be valuable to have enough programming experience that you are cautious when someone says that programming is easy, or that there are no errors in a program. If you have trouble making 10 lines of code work after a day of struggle, you might be legitimately skeptical of someone who claims that a million-line program will be delivered on time and bug-free.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“Programming languages share certain basic ideas, since they are all notations for spelling out a computation as a sequence of steps. Every programming language thus will provide ways to get input data upon which to compute; do arithmetic; store and retrieve intermediate values as computation proceeds; display results along the way; decide how to proceed on the basis of previous computations; and save results when the computation is finished. Languages have *syntax*, that is, rules that define what is grammatically legal and what is not. Programming languages are picky on the grammatical side: you have to say it right or there will be a complaint. Languages also have *semantics*, that is, a defined meaning for every construction in the language.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“In programming, a *library* is a collection of related pieces of code. A library typically includes the code in compiled form, along with needed source code declarations [for C++]. Libraries can include stand-alone functions, classes, type declarations, or anything else that can appear in code.” (Spraul, 2012)

“One way to write R code is simply to enter it interactively at the command line... This interactivity is beneficial for experimenting with R or for exploring a data set in a casual manner. ... However, interactively typing code at the R command line is a very bad approach from the perspective of recording and documenting code because the code is lost when R is shut down. A superior approach in general is to write R code in a file and get R to read the code from the file.” (Murrell, 2009)

“The features of R are organized into separate bundles called *packages*. The standard R installation includes about 25 of those packages, but many more can be downloaded from CRAN and installed to expand the things that R can do. ... Once a package is installed, it must be *loaded* within an R session to make the extra features available. ... Of the 25 packages that are installed by default, nine packages are *loaded* by default when we start a new R session; these provide the basic functionality of R. All other packages must be loaded before the relevant features can be used.” (Murrell, 2009)

“The R environment is the software used to run R code.” (Murrell, 2009)

“*Document your methods and workflows*. This should include full command lines (copied and pasted) that are run through the shell that generate data or intermediate results. Even if you use the default values in software, be sure to write these values down; later versions of the program may use different default values. Scripts naturally document all steps and parameters ..., but be sure to document any command-line options used to run this script. In general, any command that produces results in your work needs to be documented somewhere.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“*Document the version of the software that you ran*. This may seem unimportant, but remember the example from ‘Reproducible Research’ on page 6 where my colleagues and I traced disagreeing results down to a single piece of software being updated. These details matter. Good bioinformatics software usually has a command-line option to return the current version. Software managed with a version control system such as Git has explicit identifiers to every version, which can be used to document the precise version you ran... If no version information is available, a release date, link to the software, and download date will suffice.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Document when you downloaded data. It’s important to include when the data was downloaded, as the external data source (such as a website or server) might change in the future. For example, a script that downloads data directly from a database might produce different results if rerun after the external database is updated. Consequently, it’s important to document when data came into your repository.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“All of this [documentation] information is best stored in plain-text README files. Plain text can easily be read, searched, and edited directly from the command line, making it the perfect choice for portable and accessible README files. It’s also available on all computer systems, meaning you can document your steps when working directly on a server or computer cluster. Plain text also lacks complex formatting, which can create issues when copying and pasting commands from your documentation back into the command line.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“The computer is a very flexible and powerful tool, and it is a tool that is ours to control. Files and documents, especially those in open standard formats, can be manipulated using a variety of software tools, not just one specific piece of software. A programming language is a tool that allows us to manipulate data stored in files and to manipulate data held in RAM in unlimited ways. Even with a basic knowledge of programming, we can perform a huge variety of data processing tasks.” (Murrell, 2009)

“Computer code is the preferred approach to communicating our instructions to the computer. The approach allows us to be precise and expressive, it provides a complete record of our actions, and it allows others to replicate our work.” (Murrell, 2009)

“Programming in R is carried out, primarily, by manipulating and modifying data structures. These different transformations are carried out using functions and operators. In R, virtually every operation is a function call, and though we separate our discussion into operators and function calls, the distinction is not strong ... The R evaluator and many functions are written in C but most R functions are written in R itself.” (Gentleman, 2008)

“Many biologists are first exposed to the R language by following a cookbook-type approach to conduct a statistical analysis like a t-test or an analysis of variance (ANOVA). Although R excels at these and more complicated statistical tests, R’s real power is as a data programming language you can use to explore and understand data in an open-ended, highly interactive, iterative way. Learning R as a data programming language will give you the freedom to experiment and problem solve during data analysis—exactly what we need as bioinformaticians.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Popularized by statistician John W. Tukey, EDA is an approach that emphasizes understanding data (and its limitations) through interactive investigation rather than explicit statistical modeling. In his 1977 book *Exploratory Data Analysis*, Tukey described EDA as ‘detective work’ involved in ‘finding and revealing the clues’ in data. As Tukey’s quote emphasizes, EDA is much more an approach to exploring data than using specific statistical methods. In the face of rapidly changing sequencing technologies, bioinformatics software, and statistical methods, EDA skills are not only widely applicable and comparatively stable—they’re also essential to making sure that our analyses are robust to these new data and methods.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Developing code in R is a back-and-forth between writing code in a rerunnable script and exploring data interactively in the R interpreter. To be reproducible, all steps that lead to results you’ll use later must be recorded in the R script that accompanies your analysis and interactive work. While R can save a history of the commands you’ve entered in the interpreter during a session (with the command `savehistory()`), storing your steps in a well-commented R script makes your life much easier when you need to backtrack to understand what you did or change your analysis.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“It’s a good idea to avoid referring to specific dataframe rows in your analysis code. This would produce code fragile to row permutations or new rows that may be generated by rerunning a previous analysis step. In every case in which you might need to refer to a specific row, it’s avoidable by using subsetting... Similarly, it’s a good idea to refer to columns by their column name, *not* their position. While columns may be less likely to change across dataset versions than rows, it still happens. Column names are more specific than positions, and also lead to more readable code.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“In bioinformatics, we often need to extract data from strings. R has several functions to manipulate strings that are handy when working with bioinformatics data in R. Note, however, that for most bioinformatics text-processing tasks, R is *not* the preferred language to use for a few reasons. First, R works with all data stored in memory; many bioinformatics text-processing tasks are best tackled with the stream-based approaches..., which explicitly avoid loading all data in memory at once. Second, R’s string processing functions are admittedly a bit clunky compared to Python’s.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Versions of R and any R packages installed change over time. This can lead to reproducibility headaches, as the results of your analyses may change with the changing version of R and R packages. ... you should always record the versions of R and any packages you use for analysis. R actually makes this incredibly easy to do—just call the `sessionInfo()` function.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Bioconductor is an open source R software project focused on developing tools for high-throughput genomics and molecular biology data.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Bioconductor’s package system is a bit different than those on the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN). Bioconductor packages are released on a set schedule, twice a year. Each release is coordinated with a version of R, making Bioconductor’s versions tied to specific R versions. The motivation behind this strict coordination is that it allows for packages to be thoroughly tested before being released for public use. Additionally, because there’s considerable code re-use within the Bioconductor project, this ensures that all package versions within a Bioconductor release are compatible with one another. For users, the end result is that packages work as expected and have been rigorously tested before you use it (this is good when your scientific results depend on software reliability!). If you need the cutting-edge version of a package for some reason, it’s always possible to work with their development branch.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“When installing Bioconductor packages, we use the `biocLite()` function. `biocLite()` installs the correct version of a package for your R version (and its corresponding Bioconductor version).” (Buffalo, 2015)

“In addition to a careful release cycle that fosters package stability, Bioconductor also has extensive, excellent documentation. The best, most up-to-date documentation for each package will always be a Bioconductor [web address]. Each package has a full reference manual covering all functions and classes included in a package, as well as one or more in-depth vignettes. Vignettes step through many examples and common workflows using packages.” (Buffalo, 2015)

3.1.6 Discussion questions

3.2 Introduction to scripted data pre-processing in R

We will show how to implement scripted pre-processing of experimental data through R scripts. We will demonstrate the difference between interactive coding and code scripts, using R for examples. We will then demonstrate how to create, save, and run an R code script for a simple data cleaning task.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Describe what an R code script is and how it differs from interactive coding in R
- Create and save an R script to perform a simple data pre-processing task
- Run an R script
- List some popular packages in R for pre-processing biomedical data

3.2.1 Subsection 1

3.2.2 Subsection 2

3.2.3 Applied exercise

3.3 Simplify scripted pre-processing through R's 'tidyverse' tools

The R programming language now includes a collection of 'tidyverse' extension packages that enable user-friendly yet powerful work with experimental data, including pre-processing and exploratory visualizations. The principle behind the 'tidyverse' is that a collection of simple, general tools can be joined together to solve complex problems, as long as a consistent format is used for the input and output of each tool (the 'tidy' data format taught in other modules). In this module, we will explain why this 'tidyverse' system is so powerful and how it can be leveraged within biomedical research, especially for reproducibly pre-processing experimental data.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Define R's 'tidyverse' system
- Explain how the 'tidyverse' collection of packages can be both user-friendly and powerful in solving many complex tasks with data
- Describe the difference between base R and R's 'tidyverse'.

3.3.1 *Limitations of object-oriented programming*

In previous sections, we described how the R programming language allows for object-oriented programming, and how customized objects are often used in preprocessing for biological data. This is a helpful approach for preprocessing, because it can handle complexities in biological data at its early stages of preprocessing, when R must handle complex input formats from equipment like flow cytometers or mass spectrometers, and data sizes that are often very large.

However, once you have preprocessed your data, it is often possible to work with it in a smaller, more consistent object type. This will give you a lot of flexibility and power. While object-oriented approaches can handle complex data, it can be a little hard to write and work with code that is built on an object oriented approach. Working with this type of code requires you to keep track of what object type your data is in at each stage of a code pipeline, as well as which functions can work with that type of object.

Further, this type of coding, in practice at least, can be a bit inflexible. Often, specific functions only work with a single or few types of functions. In theory, object-oriented programming allows for *methods* that work in customized ways with different types of objects to apply customized code to that type of object for similar, common-sense results. For example, there are often `summary` and `plot` methods for most types of objects, and these apply code that is customized to that object type and output, respectively, summarized information about the data in the object and a plot of the data in the object. However, when you want to do more with the object that summarize it or create its default plot, you often end up needing to move to more customized functions that work only with a single or few object types. When you get to this point, you find that you have to remember which functions work with which object type, and you have to use different functions at different stages of your code pipeline, as your code changes from one object class to another.

Further, many of these functions input one object type and output a different one. This evolution of object types for storing data can be difficult to navigate and keep track of. Different object types store data in different ways, and so this evolution of data object types for storage can make it tricky to figure out how to extract and explore data along the pipeline. It makes it hard to write your own code to explore and visualize the data along the way, as well, and so users are often restricted to the visualization and analysis functions pre-made and shared in packages when working with data in complex object types, especially until the user becomes very comfortable with coding in R.

Overall, what does this all mean? Object-oriented approaches offer real advantages early in the process of pre-processing biological data, especially complex and large data output from complex laboratory equipment. However, once this pre-processing is completed, there is a big advantage in moving the data into a simple format and then continuing coding, data analysis, and visual-

ization using tools that work with this simple format. This is the approach taken by a suite of R packages called the “tidyverse”, as well as extensions that build off the approach that this suite of tools embraces. This “tidyverse” approach is described in the next section.

3.3.2 The “tidyverse” approach

The term “elegance” often captures styles and approaches that are beautiful and functional without unneeded extras or complexity. Engineers and scientists sometimes use this term to capture approaches that achieve a desired result with minimal complexity and friction. A coding problem, for example, could be solved by an average coder with a hundred lines of code that get the job done, but a very good coder might be able to solve the same problem with five lines of code that are easy to follow. The second approach would be applauded as the “elegant” solution. In mathematics, similarly, proofs can be complex and unwieldy, or they can be simple and elegant—this idea was beautifully captured by the Hungarian mathematician Paul Erdos, who famously described very elegant mathematical proofs as being from “The Book”—that is, God’s own version of the proof of the mathematical idea.

“Paul Erdos liked to talk about The Book, in which God maintains the perfect proofs for mathematical theorems, following the dictum of G. H. Hardy that there is no permanent place for ugly mathematics. Erdos also said that you need not believe in God but, as a mathematician, you should believe in The Book.” [Proofs from the Book, Third Edition, Preface]

The “tidyverse” approach in R is elegant. It is powerful, and gives you immense flexibility once you’ve gotten the hang of it, but it’s also so straightforward that the basics can be quickly taught to and applied by beginning coders. It focuses on keeping data in a simple, standard format called “tidy” dataframes. By keeping data in this format while working with it, common tools can be applied that work with the data at any stage of a “tidy” coding pipeline. These tools take a “tidy” dataframe as their input, and they also output a “tidy” dataframe, with whatever change the function implements applied. Because each of these “tidyverse” tools input and output data in the same standard format, they can be strung together in order you want. By contrast, when functions input and output data in different object types, they can only be joined in a specified order, because you can only apply certain functions to certain object types.

Since the “tidyverse” tools can be strung together in any order, they can be used very flexibly to build up to do interesting tasks. The tidyverse tools generally each do very small and simple things. For example, one function (`select`) just limits the data to a subset of its original columns; another (`mutate`) adds or changes values in columns of the dataset, while another (`distinct`) limits the dataframe to remove any rows that are duplicates. These small, simple steps can be combined together in different patterns to add up to complex

operations on the data, while keeping each step very simple and clear. Since the data stays in a standard and simple object type, it is easy to check in on your data at any stage, as the common visualization tools for this approach (from the `ggplot2` package and its extensions) can be always be applied to data stored in a tidy dataframe.

The centralizing principal of the tidyverse approach is the format in which data is stored throughout “tidyverse” coding—the tidy dataframe. We’ve described this data type, including its rules and advantages, in an earlier module of this book. Briefly, you can think of this format in two parts. First, there’s the R object type that the data should be stored in—a basic “dataframe” object. The dataframe object type is a very basic two-dimensional format for storing data in R. When you print it out, it will remind you of looking at data in a spreadsheet. The two dimensions—rows and columns—allow you to include data for one or more observations, with different values that were measured for each. For example, if you were conducting a study of children’s BMI and blood sugar, you might have an observation for each child in the study, and values measured for each child of height, weight, a blood sugar measure, study ID, and date of the observation.

The two-dimensional structure of a dataframe keeps the values measured for each observation lined up with each other, and lets you keep them aligned as you work with the data. You could also store data for each value as separate objects, in one-dimensional vectors, which you can visualize as strings of values of the same data type, like the dates that each observation was made, or the weight of each study subject. However, when the data is in separate vectors, it is easy to make coding mistakes, and coding is often less efficient. If you want to remove one observation, for example, because you find it is a duplicate, you would need to carefully make sure you remove it correctly from each vector. When data are stored in a dataframe, you can remove the row for that observation with one command, and you can be sure that you’ve removed the value you meant to from each of the measured values.

Sometimes, you’ll see that data in a tidyverse approach are stored in a special type of dataframe called a “tibble”—this isn’t very different from a dataframe, and in fact is a special type of dataframe. It’s only differences in practice are that it has a slightly different `print` method. The `print` method is the method that’s run, by default, when you just type the R object’s name at the console. A tibble prints more nicely than a basic dataframe. By default, it will only print the first few lines. By contrast, a dataframe will, by default, print everything—if you have a lot of data, this can create an overwhelming amount of output when you just want to check out what the data looks like. The printout of a tibble will also include some interesting annotations to help you see what’s in the data, including the dimensions of the full dataframe and the data type of each column in the data.

The R object class—dataframe, and more specifically, tibble—of the standard format for data for a tidyverse approach is just the first part of the standard

data format for the tidyverse approach. The second part of the standard format is how you organize your data in this format. To easily work with tidyverse functions, you'll want to make sure that your data is stored within that dataframe following "tidy" data principals. These are fully described in an earlier module in this book [which module]. If you use this data format to initially collect your data, as described in an earlier module, you will find it very easy to read the data into R and work within the tidyverse approach. When working with larger and more complex data collected from laboratory equipment, you may find you need to do some preprocessing of the data using an object-oriented approach before you can move the data into this tidy format, but at that point, you can continue with analysis and visualization of your data using a tidyverse approach.

3.3.3 How to "tidyverse"

Once data are in the "tidy" data format, you can create a pipeline of code that uses small tools, each of which does one simple thing, to work with the data. This work can include cleaning the data, adding values that are functions of the original values for each observation (e.g., adding a column with BMI based on values for each observation on height and weight), applying statistical models to test hypotheses, summarizing data to create tables, and visualizing the data.

The tidyverse approach is now widely taught, both in in-person courses at universities and through a variety of online resources. One key resource for learning the tidyverse approach for R is the book *R for Data Science* by Hadley Wickham (the primary developer of the tidyverse) and Garrett Grolemund. This book is available as a print edition through O'Reilly Media. It is also freely available online at <https://r4ds.had.co.nz/>. This book is geared to beginners in R, moving through to get readers to an intermediate stage of coding expertise, which is a level that will allow most scientific researchers to powerfully work with their experimental data. The book includes exercises for practicing the concepts, and a separate online book is available with solutions for the exercises (<https://jrnold.github.io/r4ds-exercise-solutions/>).

[More on other resources for learning the tidyverse.]

Since there are so many excellent resources available—many for free—to learn how to code in R using the tidyverse approach, we consider it beyond the scope of these modules to go more deeply into these instructions. However, we do think it is critical that biological researchers learn how to connect this approach to the type of coding that is often necessary for pre-processing large and complex data that is output from laboratory equipment. Through many of the modules in this book, we provide advice on how to make these connections, so that data from different sources—including different types of laboratory equipment and hand-recorded data collected by personnel in the lab, like colony forming units measured from plating samples—can all be connected in a tidyverse pipeline by recording hand-recorded data following a tidy format

and by pre-processing data with the aim of moving data toward a tidy dataframe that can be integrated with other “tidy” data for analysis and visualization.

3.3.4 Subsection 1

“There is a now-old trope in the world of programming. It’s called the ‘worse is better’ debate; it seeks to explain why the Unix operating systems (which include Mac OS X these days), made up of so many little interchangeable parts, were so much more successful in the marketplace than LISP systems, which were ideologically pure, based on a single language (again, LISP), which itself was exceptionally simple, a favorite of ‘serious’ hackers everywhere. It’s too complex to rehash here, but one of the ideas inherent within ‘worse is better’ is that systems made up of many simple pieces that can be roped together, even if those pieces don’t share a consistent interface, are likely to be more successful than systems that are designed with consistency in every regard. And it strikes me that this is a fundamental drama of new technologies. Unix beat out the LISP machines. If you consider mobile handsets, many of which run descendants of Unix (iOS and Android), Unix beat out Windows as well. And HTML5 beat out all of the various initiatives to create a single unified web. It nods to accessibility: it doesn’t get in the way of those who want to make something huge and interconnected. But it doesn’t enforce; it doesn’t seek to change the behavior of page creators in the same way that such lost standards as XHTML 2.0 (which emerged from the offices of the World Wide Web Consortium, and then disappeared under the weight of its own intentions) once did. It’s not a bad place to end up. It means that there is no single framework, no set of easy rules to learn, no overarching principles that, once learned, can make the web appear like a golden statue atop a mountain. There are just components: HTML to get the words on the page, forms to get people to write in, videos and images to put up pictures, moving or otherwise, and JavaScript to make everything dance.” (Ford, 2014)

“One of the fundamental contributions of the Unix system [is] the idea of a *pipe*. A pipe is a way to connect the output of one program to the input of another program without any temporary file; a *pipeline* is a connection of two or more programs through pipes. ... Any program that reads from a terminal can read from a pipe instead; any program that writes on the terminal can write to a pipe. ... The programs in a pipeline actually run at the same time, not one after another. This means that the programs in a pipeline can be interactive; the kernel looks after whatever scheduling and synchronization is needed to make it all work. As you probably suspect by now, the shell arranges things when you ask for a pipe; the individual programs are oblivious to the redirection.” (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

“Even though the Unix system introduces a number of innovative programs and techniques, no single program or idea makes it work well. Instead, what makes it effective is an approach to programming, a philosophy of using the computer. Although that philosophy can’t be written down in a single sentence, at its heart is the idea that the power of a system comes more from the relationships among programs than from the programs themselves. Many Unix programs do quite trivial things in isolation, but, combined with other programs, become general and useful tools.” (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

“What is ‘Unix’? In the narrowest sense, it is a time-sharing operating system *kernel*: a program that controls the resources of a computer and allocates them

among its users. It lets users run their programs; it controls the peripheral devices (discs, terminals, printers, and the like) connected to the machine; and it provides a file system that manages the long-term storage of information such as programs, data, and documents. In a broader sense, 'Unix' is often taken to include not only the kernel, but also essential programs like compilers, editors, command languages, programs for copying and printing files, and so on. Still more broadly, 'Unix' may even include programs developed by you or others to be run on your system, such as tools for document preparation, routines for statistical analysis, and graphics packages." (Kernighan and Pike, 1984)

3.3.5 Subsection 2

3.3.6 Practice quiz

3.4 Complex data types in experimental data pre-processing

Raw data from many biomedical experiments, especially those that use high-throughput techniques, can be very large and complex. Because of the scale and complexity of these data, software for pre-processing the data in R often uses complex, 'untidy' data formats. While these formats are necessary for computational efficiency, they add a critical barrier for researchers wishing to implement reproducibility tools. In this module, we will explain why use of complex data formats is often necessary within open source pre-processing software and outline the hurdles created in reproducibility tool use among laboratory-based scientists.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Explain why R software for pre-processing biomedical data often stores data in complex, 'untidy' formats
- Describe how these complex data formats can create barriers to laboratory-based researchers seeking to use reproducibility tools for data pre-processing

3.4.1 Subsection 1

When you process data using a programming language, there will be different structures that you can use to store data as you work with it. In other modules, we've discussed the "tidyverse" approach to processing data in R—this approach emphasizes the dataframe as a way to store data while you're working with it. In fact, its use of this data structure for data storage is one of the defining features of the "tidyverse" approach.

Data in R can be stored in a variety of other formats, too. When you are working with biological data—in particular, complex or large data output from laboratory equipment—there can be advantages to using data structures besides dataframes. In this section, we'll discuss some of the complex characteristics of biomedical data that recommend the use of data structures in R beyond the dataframe. We'll also discuss how the use of these other data structures can complicate the use of "tidyverse" functions and principles that you might

learn in beginning R programming courses and books. In later modules, we'll discuss how to connect your work in R to clean and analyze data by performing earlier pre-processing steps using more complex data structures and then transferring when possible to dataframes for storing data, to allow you to take advantage of the power and ease of the "tidyverse" approach as early as possible in your pipeline.

3.4.2 Subsection 2

There are two main features of biomedical data—in particular, data collected from laboratory equipment like flow cytometers and mass spectrometers—that make it useful to use more complex data structures in R in the earlier stages of preprocessing the data. First, the data are often very large, in some cases so large that it is difficult [or impossible?] to read them into R. Second, the data might combine various elements, each with their own natural structures, that you'd like to keep together as you move through the steps of preprocessing the data.

[Data size]

[Different elements in the data] Most laboratory equipment can output a raw data file that you can then read into R. For many types of laboratory equipment, these raw data files follow a strict format. For example [flow cytometry format...]....

The file formats will often have different pieces of data stored in specific spots. For example, the equipment might record not only the measurements taken for the sample, but also information about the setting that were applied to the equipment while the measurements were taken, the date of the measurements, and other metadata that may be useful to access when preprocessing the data. Each piece of data may have different "dimensions". For example, the measurements might provide one measurement per metabolite feature or per marker. Some metadata might also be provided with these dimensions (e.g., metadata about the markers for flow cytometry data), but other metadata might be provided a single time per sample or even per experiment—for example, the settings on the equipment when the sample or samples were run.

When it comes to data structures, dataframes and other two-dimensional data storage structures (you can visualize these as similar to the format of data in a spreadsheet, with rows and columns) work well to store data where all data conform to a common dimension. For example, a dataframe would work well to store the measurements for each marker in each sample in a flow cytometry experiment. In this case, each column could store the values for a specific marker and each row could provide measurements for a sample. In this way, you could read the measurements for one marker across all samples by reading down a column, or read the measurements across all markers for one sample by reading across a row.

When you have data that doesn't conform to these common dimensions [unit of measurement?] however, a dataframe may work poorly to store the data. For example, if you have measurements taken at the level of the equipment settings for the whole experiment, these don't naturally fit into the dataframe format. In the "tidyverse" approach, one approach to handling data with different units of measurement is to store data for each unit of measurement in a different dataframe and to include identifiers that can be used to link data across the dataframes. More common, however, in R extensions for pre-processing biomedical data is to use more complex data structures that can store data with different units of measurement in different slots within the data structure, and use these in conjunction with specific functions that are built to work with that specific data structure, and so know where to find each element within the data structure.

3.4.3 Subsection 3

3.4.4 Practice quiz

3.5 Complex data types in R and Bioconductor

Many R extension packages for pre-processing experimental data use complex (rather than 'tidy') data formats within their code, and many output data in complex formats. Very recently, the *broom* and *biobroom* R packages have been developed to extract a 'tidy' dataset from a complex data format. These tools create a clean, simple connection between the complex data formats often used in pre-processing experimental data and the 'tidy' format required to use the 'tidyverse' tools now taught in many introductory R courses. In this module, we will describe the 'list' data structure, the common backbone for complex data structures in R and provide tips on how to explore and extract data stored in R in this format, including through the *broom* and *biobroom* packages.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Describe the structure of R's 'list' data format
- Take basic steps to explore and extract data stored in R's complex, list-based structures
- Describe what the *broom* and *biobroom* R packages can do
- Explain how converting data to a 'tidy' format can improve reproducibility

3.5.1 Subsection 1

When you are writing scripts in R to work with your code, if you are at a point in your pipeline when you can use a "tidyverse" approach, then you will "keep" your data in a dataframe, as your data structure, throughout your work. However, at earlier stages in your preprocessing, you may need to use tools that use other data structures. It's helpful to understand the basic building

blocks of R data structures, so you can find elements of your data in these other, more customized data structures.

Many R data structures are built on a general structure called a “list”. This data structure is a useful basic general data structure, because it is extraordinarily flexible. The list data structure is flexible in two important ways: it allows you to include data of different types in the same data structure, and it allows you to include data with different dimensions—and data stored hierarchically, including various other data structures—within the list structure. We’ll cover each of these points a bit more below and describe why they’re helpful in making the list a very good general purpose data structure.

In R, your data can be stored as different types of data: whole numbers can be stored as an *integer* data type, continuous [?] numbers through a few types of *floating* data types, character strings as a *character* data type, and logical data (which can only take the two values of “TRUE” and “FALSE”) as a *logical* data type. More complex data types can be built using these—for example, there’s a special data type for storing dates that’s based on a combination of an [integer?] data type, with added information counting the number of days [?] from a set starting date (called the [Unix epoch?]), January 1, 1970. (This set-up for storing dates allows them to be printed to look like dates, rather than numbers, but at the same time allows them to be manipulated through operations like finding out which date comes earliest in a set, determining the number of days between two dates, and so on.) R uses these different data types for several reasons. First, by using different data types, R can improve its efficiency [?] in storing data. Each piece of data must—as you go deep in the heart of how the computer works—as a series of binary digits (0s and 1s). Some types of data can be stored using fewer of these *bits* (binary digits). Each measurement of logical data, for example, can be stored in a single bit, since it only can take one of two values (0 or 1, for FALSE and TRUE, respectively). For character strings, these can be divided into each character in the string for storage (for example, “cat” can be stored as “c”, “a”, “t”). There is a set of characters called the ASCII character set that includes the lowercase and uppercase of the letters and punctuation sets that you see on a standard US keyboard [?], and if the character strings only use these characters, they can be stored in [x] bits per character. For numeric data types, integers can typically be stores in [x] bits per number, while continuous [?] numbers, stored in single or double floating point notation [?], are stored in [x] and [x] bits respectively. When R stores data in specific types, it can be more memory efficient by packing the types of data that can be stored in less space (like logical data) into very compact structures.

The second advantage of the list structure in R is that it has enormous flexibility in terms of storing lots of data in lots of possible places. This data can have different types and even different substructures. Some data structures in R are very constrained in what type of data they can store and what structure they use to store it. For example, one of the “building block” data structures in R is the vector. This data structure is one dimensional and can only contain

data that have the same data type—you can think of this as a bead string of values, each of the same type. For example, you could have a vector that gives a series of names of study sites (each a character string), or a vector that gives the dates of time points in a study (each a date data type), or a vector that gives the weights of mice in a study (each a numeric data type). You cannot, however, have a vector that includes some study site names and then some dates and then some weights, since these should be in different data types. Further, you can't arrange the data in any structure except a straight, one-dimensional series if you are using a vector. The dataframe structure provides a bit more flexibility—you can expand into two dimensions, rather than one, and you can have different data types in different columns of the dataframe (although each column must itself have a single data type).

The list data structure is much more flexible. It essentially allows you to create different “slots”, and you can store any type of data in each of these slots. In each slot you can store any of the other types of data structures in R—for example, vectors, dataframes, or other lists. You can even store unusual things like R *environments* [?] or *pointers* that give the directions to where data is stored on the computer without reading the data into R (and so saving room in the RAM memory, which is used when data is “ready to go” in R, but which has much more limited space than the mass [?] storage on your computer).

Since you can put a list into the slot of a list, it allows you to create deep, layered structures of data. For example, you could have one slot in a list where you store the metadata for your experiment, and this slot might itself be a list where you store one dataframe with some information about the settings of the laboratory equipment you used to collect the data, and another dataframe that provides information about the experimental design variables (e.g., which animal received which treatment). Another slot in the larger list then might have experimental measurements, and these might either be in a dataframe or, if the data are very large, might be represented through pointers to where the data is stored in memory, rather than having the data included directly in the data structure.

Given all these advantages of the list data structure, then, why not use it all the time? While it is a very helpful building block, it turns out that its flexibility can have some disadvantages in some cases. This flexibility means that you can't always assume that certain bits of data are in a certain spot in each instance of a list in R. Conversely, if you have data stored in a less flexible structure, you can often rely on certain parts of the data always being in a certain part of the data structure. In a “tidy” dataframe, for example, you can always assume that each row represents the measurements for one observation at the unit of observation for that dataframe, and that each column gives values across all observations for one particular value that was measured for all the observations. For example, if you are conducting an experiment with mice, where a certain number of mice were sacrificed at certain time points, with their weight and the bacteria load in their lungs measured when the mouse was

sacrificed, then you could store the data in a dataframe, with a row for each mouse, and columns giving the experimental characteristics for each mouse (e.g., treatment status, time point when the mouse was sacrificed), the mouse's weight, and the mouse's bacteria load when sacrificed. You could store all of this information in a list, as well, but the defined, two-dimensional structure of the dataframe makes it much more clearly defined where all the data goes in the dataframe structure, while you could order the data in many ways within a list.

There is a big advantage to having stricter standards for what parts of data go where when it comes to writing functions that can be used across a lot of data. You can think of this in terms of how cars are set up versus how kitchens are set up. Cars are very standardized in the “interface” that you get when you sit down to drive them. The gas and brakes are typically floor pedals, with the gas to the right of the brake. The steering is almost always provided through a wheel centered in front of the driver's torso. The mechanism for shifting gears (e.g., forward, reverse) is typically to the right of the steering wheel, while mechanisms for features like lights and windshield wipers, are typically to the left of the steering wheel. Because this interface is so standardized, you can get into a car you've never driven before and typically figure out how to drive it very quickly. You don't need a lot of time exploring where everything is or a lot of directions from someone familiar with the car to figure out where things are. Think of the last time that you drove a rental car—within five minutes, at most, you were probably able to orient yourself to figure out where everything you needed was. This is like a dataframe in R—you can pretty quickly figure out where everything you might need is stored in the data structure, and people can write functions to use with these dataframes that work well generally across lots of people's data because they can assume that certain pieces of data are in certain places.

By contrast, think about walking into someone else's kitchen and orienting yourself to use that. Kitchen designs do tend to have some general features—most will have a few common large elements, like a stove somewhere, a refrigerator somewhere, a pantry somewhere, and storage for pots, pans, and utensils somewhere. However, there is a lot of flexibility in where each of these are in the kitchen design, and further flexibility in how things are organized within each of these structures. If you cook in someone else's kitchen, it is easy to find yourself disoriented in the middle of cooking a recipe, where a utensil that you can grab almost without thinking in your own kitchen requires you to stop and search many places in someone else's kitchen. This is like a list in R—there are so many places that you can store data in a list, and so much flexibility, that you often find yourself having to dig around to find a certain element in a list data structure that someone else has created, and you often can't assume that certain pieces are in certain places if you are writing your own functions, so it becomes hard to write functions that are “general purpose” for generic list structures in R.

There is a way that list structures can be used in R in a way that retains some of their flexibility while also leveraging some of the benefits of standardization. This is R's system for creating *objects*. These object structures are built on the list data structure, but each object is constrained to have certain elements of data in certain structures of the data. These structures cannot be used as easily as dataframes in a “tidyverse” approach, since the tidyverse tools are built based on the assumption that data is stored in a tidy dataframe. However, they are used in many of the Bioconductor approaches that allow powerful tools for the earlier stages in preprocessing biological data. The types of standards that are imposed in the more specialized objects include which slots the list can have, the names they have, what order they're in (e.g., in a certain object, the metadata about the experiment might always be stored in the first slot of the list), and what structures and/or data types the data in each slot should have.

R programmers get a lot of advantages from using these classes because they can write functions under the assumption that certain pieces of the data will always be in the same spot for that type of object. There is still flexibility in the object, in that it can store lots of different types of data, in a variety of different structures. While this “object oriented” approach in R data structures does provide great advantages for programmers, and allow them to create powerful tools for you to use in R, it does make it a little trickier in some cases for you to explore your data by hand as you work through preprocessing. This is because there typically are a variety of these object classes that your data will pass through as you go through different stages of preprocessing, because different structures are suited to different stages of analysis. Functions often can only be used for a single class of objects, and so you have to keep track of which functions pair up with which classes of data. Further, it can be a bit tricky—at least in comparison to when you have data in a dataframe—to explore your data by hand, because you have to navigate through different slots in the object. By contrast, a dataframe always has the same two-dimensional, rectangular structure, and so it's very easy to navigate and explore data in this structure, and there are a large number of functions that are built to be used with dataframes, providing enormous flexibility in what you can do with data stored in this structure.

While it is a bit trickier to explore your data when it is stored in a list—either a general list you created, or one that forms the base for a specialized class structure through functions from a Bioconductor package—you can certainly learn how to do this navigation. This is a powerful and critical tool for you to learn as you learn to preprocess your data in R, as you should *never* feel like your data is stored in a “black box” structure, where you can't peek in and explore it. You should *always* feel like you can take a look at any part of your data at any step in the process of preprocessing, analyzing, and visualizing it.

“There are four primary types of atomic vectors: logical, integer, double, and character (which contains strings). Collectively, integer and double vectors are known as numeric vectors.” (Wickham, 2019)

“... the most important family of data types in base R [is] vectors. ... Vectors come in two flavours: atomic vectors and lists. They differ in terms of their elements’ types: for atomic vectors, all elements must have the same type; for lists, elements can have different types. ... Each vector can also have **attributes**, which you can think of as a named list of arbitrary metadata. Two attributes are particularly important. The **dimension** attribute turns vectors into matrices and arrays and the **class** attribute powers the S3 object system.” (Wickham, 2019)

“A few places in R’s documentation call lists generic vectors to emphasise their difference from atomic vectors.” (Wickham, 2019)

“Some of the most important S3 vectors [are] factors, dates and times, data frames, and tibbles.” (Wickham, 2019)

You can use `typeof` to determine the data type and `is.[x]` (`is.logical`, `is.character`, `is.double`, and `is.integer`) to test if data has a certain type (Wickham, 2019).

“You may have noticed that the set of atomic vectors does not include a number of important data structures like matrices, arrays, factors, or date-times. These types are all built on top of atomic vectors by adding attributes.” (Wickham, 2019)

“Adding a `dim` attribute to a vector allows it to behave like a 2-dimensional **matrix** or a multi-dimensional **array**. Matrices and arrays are primarily mathematical and statistical tools, not programming tools...” (Wickham, 2019)

“One of the most important vector attributes is `class`, which underlies the S3 object system. Having a class attribute turns an object into a **S3 object**, which means it will behave differently from a regular vector when passed to a **generic** function. Every S3 object is built on top of a base type, and often stores additional information in other attributes.” (Wickham, 2019)

“Lists are a step up in complexity from atomic vectors: each element can be any type, not just vectors.” (Wickham, 2019)

“Lists are sometimes called **recursive** vectors because a list can contain other lists. This makes them fundamentally different from atomic vectors.” (Wickham, 2019)

“The two most important S3 vectors built on top of lists are data frames and tibbles. If you do data analysis in R, you’re going to be using data frames. A data frame is a named list of vectors with attributes for `(column) names`, `row.names`, and its class, “data.frame”... In contrast to a regular list, a data frame has an additional constraint: the length of each of its vectors must be the same. This gives data frames their rectangular structure...” (Wickham, 2019)

“Data frames are one of the biggest and most important ideas in R, and one of the things that makes R different from other programming languages. However, in

the over 20 years since their creation, the ways that people use R have changed, and some of the design decisions that made sense at the time data frames were created now cause frustration. This frustration led to the creation of the tibble [Muller and Wickham, 2018], a modern reimagining of the data frame. Tibbles are meant to be (as much as possible) drop-in replacements for data frames that fix those frustrations. A concise, and fun, way to summarise the main differences is that tibbles are lazy and surly: they do less and complain more.” (Wickham, 2019)

“Tibbles are provided by the tibble package and share the same structure as data frames. The only difference is that the class vector is longer, and includes `tbl_df`. This allows tibbles to behave differently in [several] key ways. ... tibbles never coerce their input (this is one feature that makes them lazy)... Additionally, while data frames automatically transform non-syntactic names (unless `check.names = FALSE`), tibbles do not... While every element of a data frame (or tibble) must have the same length, both `data.frame()` and `tibble()` will recycle shorter inputs. However, while data frames automatically recycle columns that are an integer multiple of the longest column, tibbles will only recycle vectors of length one. ... There is one final difference: `tibble()` allows you to refer to variables created during construction. ... [Unlike data frames,] tibbles do not support row names. ... One of the most obvious differences between tibbles and data frames is how they print... Tibbles tweak [a data frame’s subsetting] behaviours so that a `[` always returns a tibble, and a `$` doesn’t do partial matching and warns if it can’t find a variable (this is what makes tibbles surly). ... List columns are easier to use with tibbles because they can be directly included inside `tibble()` and they will be printed tidily.” (Wickham, 2019)

“Since the elements of lists are references to values, the size of a list might be much smaller than you expect.” (Wickham, 2019)

“[The] behavior [of environments] is different from that of other objects: environments are always modified in place. This property is sometimes described as **reference semantics** because when you modify an environment all existing bindings to that environment continue to have the same reference. ... This basic idea can be used to create functions that ‘remember’ their previous state... This property is also used to implement the R6 object-oriented programming system...” (Wickham, 2019)

3.5.2 Exploring and extracting data from R list data structures

To feel comfortable exploring your data at any stage during the preprocessing steps, you should learn how to investigate and explore data that’s stored in a list structure in R. Because the list structure is the building block for complex data structures, including Bioconductor class structures, this will serve you well throughout your work. You should get in the habit of checking the structure and navigating where each piece of data is stored in the data structure at each step in preprocessing your data. Also, by checking your data throughout preprocessing, you might find that there are bits of information tucked in your data at early stages that you aren’t yet using. For example, many file formats for laboratory equipment include slots for information about the equipment and its settings during when running the sample. This information might be read in

from the file into R, but you might not know it's there for you to use if you'd like, to help you in creating reproducible reports that include this metadata about the experimental equipment and settings.

First, you will want to figure out whether your data is stored in a generic list, or if it's stored in a specific class-based data structure, which means it will have a bit more of a standardized structure. To do this, you can run the `class` function on your data object. The output of this might be a single value (e.g., "list" [?]) or a short list. If it's a short list, it will include both the specific class of the object and, as you go down the list, the more general data structure types that this class is built on. For example, if the `class` function returns this list:

[Example list of data types---maybe some specific class, then "list"?]

it means that the data's in a class-based structure called ... which is built on the more general structure of a list. You can apply to this data any of the functions that are specifically built for ... data structures, but you can also apply functions built for the more general list data structure.

There are several tools you can use to explore data structured as lists in R. R lists can sometimes be very large—in terms of the amount of data stored in them—particularly for some types of biomedical data. With some of the tools covered in this subsection, that will mean that your first look might seem overwhelming. We'll also cover some tools, therefore, that will let you peel away levels of the data in a bit more manageable way, which you can use when you encounter list-structured data that at first feels overwhelming.

First, if your data is stored in a specific class-based data structure, there likely will also be help files specifically for the class structure that can help you navigate it and figure out where things are. [Example]

[More about exploring data in list structures.]

"Use `[` to select any number of elements from a vector. ... **Positive integers** return elements at the specified positions. ... **Negative integers** exclude elements at the specified positions... **Logical vectors** select elements where the corresponding logical vector is TRUE. This is probably the most useful type of subsetting because you can write an expression that uses a logical vector... If the vector is named, you can also use **character vectors** to return elements with matching names." (Wickham, 2019)

"Subsetting a list works in the same way as subsetting an atomic vector. Using `[` always returns a list; `[[` and `$` ... lets you pull out elements of a list." (Wickham, 2019)

"`[[` is most important when working with lists because subsetting a list with `[` always returns a smaller list. ... Because `[[` can return only a single item, you must use it with either a single positive integer or a single string." (Wickham, 2019)

“\$ is a shorthand operator: `x$y` is roughly equivalent to `x[["y"]]`. It’s often used to access variables in a data frame... The one important difference between \$ and [] is that \$ does (left-to-right) partial matching [which you likely want to avoid to be safe].” (Wickham, 2019)

“There are two additional subsetting operators, which are needed for S4 objects: `@` (equivalent to \$), and `slot()` (equivalent to []).” (Wickham, 2019)

“The environment is the data structure that powers scoping. ... Understanding environments is not necessary for day-to-day use of R. But they are important to understand because they power many important features like lexical scoping, name spaces, and R6 classes, and interact with evaluation to give you powerful tools for making domain specific languages, like `dplyr` and `ggplot2`.” (Wickham, 2019)

“The job of an environment is to associate, of **bind**, a set of names to a set of values. You can think of an environment as a bag of names, with no implied order (i.e., it doesn’t make sense to ask which is the first element in an environment).” (Wickham, 2019)

“... environments have reference semantics: unlike most R objects, when you modify them, you modify them in place, and don’t create a copy.” (Wickham, 2019)

“As well as powering scoping, environments are also useful data structures in their own right because they have reference semantics. There are three common problems that they can help solve: **Avoiding copies of large data**. Since environments have reference semantics, you’ll never accidentally create a copy. But bare environments are painful to work with, so I instead recommend using R6 objects, which are built on top of environments. ...” (Wickham, 2019)

“Generally in R, functional programming is much more important than object-oriented programming, because you typically solve complex problems by decomposing them into simple functions, not simple objects. Nevertheless, there are important reasons to learn each of the three [object-oriented programming] systems [S3, R6, and S4]: S3 allows your functions to return rich results with user-friendly display and programmer-friendly internals. S3 is used throughout base R, so it’s important to master if you want to extend base R functions to work with new types of input. R6 provides a standardised way to escape R’s copy-on-modify semantics. This is particularly important if you want to model objects that exist independently of R. Today, a common need for R6 is to model data that comes from a web API, and where changes come from inside or outside R. S4 is a rigorous system that forces you to think carefully about program design. It’s particularly well-suited for building large systems that evolve over time and will receive contributions from many programmers. This is why it’s used by the Bioconductor project, so another reason to learn S4 is to equip you to contribute to that project.” (Wickham, 2019)

“The main reason to use OOP is **polymorphism** (literally: many shapes). Polymorphism means that a developer can consider a function’s interface separately from its implementation, making it possible to use the same function form for different types of input. This is closely related to the idea of **encapsulation**: the

user doesn't need to worry about details of an object because they are encapsulated behind a standard interface. ... To be more precise, **OO** systems call the type of an object its **class**, and an implementation for a specific class is called a **method**. Roughly speaking, a class defines what an object *is* and methods describe what that object can *do*. The class defines the **fields**, the data possessed by every instance of that class. Classes are organised in a hierarchy so that if a method does not exist for one class, its parent's method is used, and the child is said to **inherit** behaviour. ... The process of finding the correct method given a class is called **method dispatch**." (Wickham, 2019)

"There are two main paradigms of object-oriented programming which differ in how methods and classes are related. In this book, we'll borrow the terminology of *Extending R* [Chambers 2016] and call these paradigms encapsulated and functional: In **encapsulated** OOP, methods belong to objects or classes, and method calls typically look like `object.method(arg1, arg2)`. This is called encapsulated because the object encapsulates both data (with fields) and behaviour (with methods), and is the paradigm found in most popular languages. In **functional** OOP, methods belong to **generic** functions, and method calls look like ordinary function calls: `generic(object, arg2, arg3)`. This is called functional because from the outside it looks like a regular function call, and internally the components are also functions." (Wickham, 2019)

"**S3** is R's first OOP system... **S3** is an informal implementation of functional OOP and relies on common conventions rather than ironclad guarantees. This makes it easy to get started with, providing a low cost way of solving many simple problems. ... **S4** is a formal and rigorous rewrite of **S3**... It requires more upfront work than **S3**, but in return provides more guarantees and greater encapsulation. **S4** is implemented in the base **methods** package, which is always installed with R." (Wickham, 2019)

"While everything is an object, not everything is object-oriented. This confusion arises because the base objects come from **S**, and were developed before anyone thought that **S** might need an OOP system. The tools and nomenclature evolved organically over many years without a single guiding principle. Most of the time, the distinction between objects and object-oriented objects is not important. But here we need to get into the nitty gritty details so we'll use the terms **base objects** and **OO objects** to distinguish them. ... Technically, the difference between base and OO objects is that OO objects have a 'class attribute'." (Wickham, 2019)

"An **S3** object is a base type with at least a `class` attribute (other attributes may be used to store other data). ... An **S3** object behaves differently from its underlying base type whenever it's passed to a **generic** (short for generic function). ... A generic function defines an interface, which uses a different implementation depending on the class of an argument (almost always the first argument). Many base R functions are generic, including the important `print`... The generic is a middleman: its job is to define the interface (i.e., the arguments) then find the right implements for the job. The implementation for a specific class is called a **method**, and the generic finds the method by performing **method dispatch**." (Wickham, 2019)

"If you have done object-oriented programming in other languages, you may be surprised to learn that **S3** has no formal definition of a class: to make an object an

instance of a class, you simply set the **class attribute**. ... You can determine the class of an S3 object with `class(x)`, and see if an object is an instance of a class using `inherits(x, "classname")`.” (Wickham, 2019)

“The job of an S3 generic is to perform method dispatch, i.e., find the specific implementation for a class.” (Wickham, 2019)

“An important new component of S4 is the **slot**, a named component of the object that is accessed using the specialised subsetting operator `@` (pronounced ‘at’). The set of slots, and their classes, forms an important part of the definition of an S4 class.” (Wickham, 2019)

“Given an S4 object you can see its class with `is()` and access slots with `@` (equivalent to `$`) and `slot()` (equivalent to `[]`) ... Generally, you should only use `@` in your methods. If you’re working with someone else’s class, look for **accessor** functions that allow you to safely set and get slot values. ... Accessors are typically S4 generics allowing multiple classes to share the same external interface.” (Wickham, 2019)

“If you’re using an S4 class defined in a package, you can get help on it with `class?Person`. To get help for a method, put `?` in front of a call (e.g., `?age(john)`) and `?` will use the class of the arguments to figure out which help file you need.” (Wickham, 2019)

“Slots [in S4 objects] should be considered an internal implementation detail: they can change without warning and user code should avoid accessing them directly. Instead, all user-accessible slots should be accompanied by a pair of **accessors**. If the slot is unique to the class, this can just be a function... Typically, however, you’ll define a generic so that multiple classes can use the same interface” (?)

“The strictness and formality of S4 make it well suited for large teams. Since more structure is provided by the system itself, there is less need for convention, and new contributors don’t need as much training. S4 tends to require more upfront design than S3, and this investment is more likely to pay off on larger projects where greater resources are available. One large team where S4 is used to good effect is Bioconductor. Bioconductor is similar to CRAN: it’s a way of sharing packages amongst a wider audience. Bioconductor is smaller than CRAN (~1,300 versus ~10,000 packages, July 2017) and the packages tend to be more tightly integrated because of the shared domain and because Bioconductor has a stricter review process. Bioconductor packages are not required to use S4, but most will because the key data structures (e.g., Summarized Experiment, IRanges, DNASTringSet) are built using S4.” (Wickham, 2019)

“The biggest challenge to using S4 is the combination of increased complexity and absence of a single source of documentation. S4 is a complex system and it can be challenging to use effectively in practice. This wouldn’t be such a problem if S4 wasn’t scattered through R documentation, books, and websites. S4 needs a book length treatment, but that book does not (yet) exist. (The documentation for S3 is no better, but the lack is less painful because S3 is much simpler.)” (Wickham, 2019)

3.5.3 *Interfacing between object-based and tidyverse workflows*

The tidyverse approach in R is based on keeping data in a dataframe structure. By keeping this common structure, the tidyverse allows for straightforward but powerful work with your data by chaining together simple, single-purpose functions. This approach is widely covered in introductory R programming courses and books. A great starting point is the book *R Programming for Data Science*, which is available both in print and freely online at [site]. Many excellent resources exist for learning this approach, and so we won't recover that information here. Instead, we will focus on how to interface between this approach and the object-based approach that's more common with Bioconductor packages. Bioconductor packages often take an object-based approach, and with good reason because of the complexity and size of many early versions of biomedical data in the preprocessing process. There are also resources for learning to use specific Bioconductor packages, as well as some general resources on Bioconductor, like *R Programming for Bioinformatics* [ref]. However, there are fewer resources available online that teach how to coordinate between these two approaches in a pipeline of code, so that you can leverage the needed power of Bioconductor approaches early in your pipeline, as you preprocess large and complex data, and then shift to use a tidyverse approach once your data is amenable to this more straightforward approach to analysis and visualization.

The heart of making this shift is learning how to convert data, when possible, from a more complex, class-type data structure (built on the flexible list data structure) to the simpler, more standardized two-dimensional dataframe structure that is required for the tidyverse approach. In this subsection, we'll cover approaches for converting your data from Bioconductor data structures to dataframes.

If you are lucky, this might be very straightforward. A pair of packages called `broom` and `biobroom` have been created specifically to facilitate the conversion of data from more complex structures to dataframes. The `broom` package was created first, by David Robinson, to convert the data stored in the objects that are created by fitting statistical models into tidy dataframes. Many of the functions in R that run statistical tests or fit statistical models output results in a more complex, list-based data structure. These structures have nice "print" methods, so if fitting the model or running the test is the very last step of your pipeline, you can just read the printed output from R. However, often you want to include these results in further code—for example, creating plots or tables that show results from several statistical tests or models. The `broom` package includes several functions for pulling out different bits of data that are stored in the complex data structure created by fitting the model or running the test and convert those pieces of data into a tidy dataframe. This tidy dataframe can then be easily used in further code using a tidyverse approach.

The `biobroom` package was created to meet a similar need with data stored

in some of the complex structures commonly used in Bioconductor packages.

[More about `biobroom`.]

[How to convert data if there isn't a `biobroom` method.] If you are unlucky, there may not be a `broom` or `biobroom` method that you can use for the particular class-based data structure that your data's in, or it might be in a more general list, rather than a specific class with a `biobroom` method. In this case, you'll need to extract the data "by hand" to move it into a dataframe once your data is simple enough to work with using a tidyverse approach. If you've mastered how to explore data stored in a list (covered in the last subsection), you'll have a headstart on how to do this. Once you know where to find each element of the data in the structure of the list, you can assign these specific pieces to their own R objects using typical R assignment (e.g., with the `gets` arrow, `<-`, or with `=`, depending on your preferred R programming style). ...

3.5.4 Extras

[Comparison of complexity of biological systems versus complexity of code and algorithms for data pre-processing—for the later, nothing is unknowable or even unknown. Someone somewhere is guaranteed to know exactly how it works, what it's doing, and why. By contrast, with biological systems, there are still things that noone anywhere completely understands. It's helpful to remember that all code and algorithms for data pre-processing is knowable, and that the details are all there if and when you want to dig to figure out what's going on.]

[There are ways to fully package up and save the computer environment used to run a pipeline of pre-processing and analysis, including any system settings, all different software used in analysis steps, and so on. Some of the approaches that are being explored for this include the use of "containers", including Docker containers. This does allow, typically, for full reproducibility of the workflow. However, this approach isn't very proactive in emphasizing the robustness of a workflow or its comprehensibility to others—instead, it makes the workflow reproducible by putting everything in a black box that must be carefully unpackaged and explored if someone wants to understand or adapt the pipeline.]

"Object-oriented design doesn't have to be over-complicated design, but we've observed that too often it is. Too many OO designs are spaghetti-like tangles of is-a and has-a relationships, or feature thick layers of glue in which many of the objects seem to exist simply to hold places in a steep-sided pyramid of abstractions. Such designs are the opposite of transparent; they are (notoriously) opaque and difficult to debug." (Raymond, 2003)

"Unix programmers are the original zealots about modularity, but tend to go about it in a quieter way [that with OOP]. Keeping glue layers thin is part of it; more generally, our tradition teaches us to build lower, hugging the ground with algorithms and structures that are designed to be simple and transparent." (Raymond, 2003)

“A *standard* is a precise and detailed description of how some artifact is built or is supposed to work. Examples of software standards include programming languages (the definition of syntax and semantics), data formats (how information is represented), algorithmic processing (the steps necessary to do a computation), and the like. Some standards, like the Word .doc file format, are *de facto* standards—they have no official standing but everyone uses them. The word ‘standard’ is best reserved for formal descriptions, often developed and maintained by a quasi-neutral party like a government or a consortium, that define how something is built or operated. The definition is sufficiently complete and precise that separate entities can interact or provide independent implementations. We benefit from hardware standards all the time, though we may not notice how many there are. If I buy a new television set, I can plug it into the electrical outlets in my home thanks to standards for the size and shape of plugs and the voltage they provide. The set itself will receive signals and display pictures because of standards for broadcast and cable television. I can plug other devices into it through standard cables and connectors like HDMI, USB, S-video and so on. But every TV needs its own remote control and every cell phone needs a different charger because those have not been standardized. Computing has plenty of standards as well, including character sets like ASCII and Unicode, programming languages like C and C++, algorithms for encryption and compression, and protocols for exchanging information over networks.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“Standards are important. They make it possible for independently created things to cooperate, and they open an area to competition from multiple suppliers, while proprietary systems tend to lock everyone in. ... Standards have disadvantages, too—a standard can impede progress if it is inferior or outdated yet everyone is forced to use it. But these are modest drawbacks compared to the advantages.” (Kernighan, 2011)

“A *class* is a blueprint for constructing a particular package of code and data; each variable created according to a class’s blueprint is known as an *object* of that class. Code outside of a class that creates and uses an object of that class is known as a *client* of the class. A *class declaration* names the class and lists all of the *members*, or items inside that class. Each item is either a *data member*—a variable declared within the class—or a *method* (also known as a *member function*), which is a function declared within the class. Member functions can include a special type called a *constructor*, which has the same name as the class and is invoked implicitly when an object of the class is declared. In addition to the normal attributes of a variable or function declaration (such as type, and for functions, the parameter list), each member has an *access specifier*, which indicates what functions can access the member. A *public member* can be accessed by any code using the object: code inside the class, a client of the class, or code in a *subclass*, which is a class that ‘inherits’ all the code and data of an existing class. A *private member* can be accessed only by the code inside the class. *Protected members* ... are similar to private members, except that methods in subclasses can also reference them. Both private and protected members, though, are inaccessible from client code.” (Spraul, 2012)

“An object should be a meaningful, closely knit collection of data and code that operates on the data.” (Spraul, 2012)

“Recognizing a situation in which a class would be useful is essential to reaching

the higher levels of programming style, but it's equally important to recognize situations in which a class is going to make things worse." (Spraul, 2012)

"The word *encapsulation* is a fancy way of saying that classes put multiple pieces of data and code together in a single package. If you've ever seen a gelatin medicine capsule filled with little spheres, that's a good analogy: The patient takes one capsule and swallows all the individual ingredient spheres inside. ... From a problem-solving standpoint, encapsulation allows us to more easily reuse the code from previous problems to solve current problems. Often, even though we have worked on a problem similar to our current project, reusing what we learned before still takes a lot of work. A fully encapsulated class can work like an external USB drive; you just plug it in and it works. For this to happen, though, we must design the class correctly to make sure that the code and data is truly encapsulated and as independent as possible from anything outside of the class. For example, a class that references a global variable can't be copied into a new project without copying the global variable, as well." (Spraul, 2012)

"Beyond reusing classes from one program to the next, classes offer the potential for a more immediate form of code reuse: inheritance. ... Using inheritance, we create parent classes with methods common to two or more child classes, thereby 'factoring out' not just a few lines of code [as with helper functions in procedural code] but whole methods." (Spraul, 2012)

"One technique we're returned to again and again is dividing a complex problem into smaller, more manageable pieces. Classes are great at dividing programs up into functional units. Encapsulation not only holds data and code together in a reusable package; it also cordons off that data and code from the rest of the program, allowing us to work on that class, and everything else separately. The more classes we make in a program, the greater the problem-dividing effect." (Spraul, 2012)

"Some people use the terms *information hiding* and *encapsulation* interchangeable, but we'll separate the ideas here. As described previously ..., encapsulation is packaging data and code together. Information hiding means separating the interface of a data structure—the definition of the operations and their parameters—from the implementation of a data structure, or the code inside the functions. If a class has been written with information hiding as a goal, then it's possible to change the implementation of the methods without requiring any changes in the client code (the code that uses the class). Again, we have to be clear on the term *interface*; this means not only the name of the methods and their parameter list but also the explanation (perhaps expressed in code documentation) of what the different methods do. When we talk about changing the implementation without changing the interface, we mean that we change *how* the class methods work but not *what* they do. Some programming authors have referred to this as a kind of implicit contract between the class and the client: The class agrees never to change the effects of existing operations, and the client agrees to use the class strictly on the basis of its interface and to ignore any implementation details." (Spraul, 2012)

"So how does information hiding affect problem solving? The principle of information hiding tells the programmer to put aside the class implementation details when working on the client code, or more broadly, to be concerned about a

particular class's implementation only when working inside that class. When you can put implementation details out of your mind, you can eliminate distracting thoughts and concentrate on solving the problem at hand." (Spraul, 2012)

"A final goal of a well-designed class is expressiveness, or what might be broadly called writability—the ease with which code can be written. A good class, once written, makes the rest of the code simpler to write in the way that a good function makes code simpler to write. Classes effectively extend a language, becoming high-level counterparts to basic low-level features such as loops, if statements, and so forth. ... With classes, programming actions that previously took many steps can be done in just a few steps or just one." (Spraul, 2012)

"Right now, in labs across the world, machines are sequencing the genomes of the life on earth. Even with rapidly decreasing costs and huge technological advancements in genome sequencing, we're only seeing a glimpse of the biological information contained in every cell, tissue, organism, and ecosystem. However, the smidgen of total biological information we're gathering amounts to mountains of data biologists need to work with. At no other point in human history has our ability to understand life's complexities been so dependent on our skills to work with and analyze data." (Buffalo, 2015)

"Bioinformaticians are concerned with deriving biological understanding from large amounts of data with specialized skills and tools. Early in biology's history, the datasets were small and manageable. Most biologists could analyze their own data after taking a statistics course, using Microsoft Excel on a personal desktop computer. However, this is all rapidly changing. Large sequencing datasets are widespread, and will only become more common in the future. Analyzing this data takes different tools, new skills, and many computers with large amounts of memory, processing power, and disk space." (Buffalo, 2015)

"In a relatively short period of time, sequencing costs dropped drastically, allowing researchers to utilize sequencing data to help answer important biological questions. Early sequencing was low-throughput and costly. Whole genome sequencing efforts were expensive (the human genome cost around \$2.7 billion) and only possible through large collaborative efforts. Since the release of the human genome, sequencing costs have decreased exponentially until about 2008 ... With the introduction of next-generation sequencing technologies, the cost of sequencing a megabase of DNA dropped even more rapidly. At this crucial point, a technology that was only affordable to large collaborative sequencing efforts (or individual researchers with very deep pockets) became affordable to researchers across all of biology. ... What was the consequence of this drop in sequencing costs due to these new technologies? As you may have guessed, lots and lots of data. Biological databases have swelled with data after exponential growth. Whereas once small databases shared between collaborators were sufficient, now petabytes of useful data are sitting on servers all over the world. Key insights into biological questions are stored not just in the unanalyzed experimental data sitting on your hard drive, but also spinning around a disk in a data center thousands of miles away." (Buffalo, 2015)

"To make matters even more complicated, new tools for analyzing biological data are continually being created, and their underlying algorithms are advancing. A 2012 review listed over 70 short-read mappers ... Likewise, our approach to

genome assembly has changed considerably in the past five years, as methods to assemble long sequences (such as overlap-layout-consensus algorithms) were abandoned with the emergence of short high-throughput sequencing reads. Now, advances in sequencing chemistry are leading to longer sequencing read lengths and new algorithms are replacing others that were just a few years old. Unfortunately, this abundance and rapid development of bioinformatics tools has serious downsides. Often, bioinformatics tools are not adequately benchmarked, or if they are, they are only benchmarked in one organism. This makes it difficult for new biologists to find and choose the best tool to analyze their data. To make matters more difficult, some bioinformatics programs are not actively developed so that they lose relevance or carry bugs that could negatively affect results. All of this makes choosing an appropriate bioinformatics program in your own research difficult. More importantly, it's imperative to critically assess the output of bioinformatics programs run on your own data." (Buffalo, 2015)

"With the nature of biological data changing so rapidly, how are you supposed to learn bioinformatics? With all of the tools out there and more continually being created, how is a biologist supposed to know whether a program will work appropriately on her organism's data? The solution is to approach bioinformatics as a bioinformatician does: try stuff, and assess the results. In this way, bioinformatics is just about having the skills to experiment with data using a computer and understanding your results. The experimental part is easy: this comes naturally to most scientists. The limiting factor for most biologists is having the data skills to freely experiment and work with large data on a computer." (Buffalo, 2015)

"Unfortunately, many of the biologist's common computational tools can't scale to the size and complexity of modern biological data. Complex data formats, interfacing numerous programs, and assessing software and data make large bioinformatics datasets difficult to work with." (Buffalo, 2015)

"In 10 years, bioinformaticians may only be using a few of the bioinformatics software programs around today. But we most certainly will be using data skills and experimentation to assess data and methods of the future." (Buffalo, 2015)

"Biology's increasing use of large sequencing datasets is changing more than the tools and skills we need: it's also changing how reproducible and robust our scientific findings are. As we utilize new tools and skills to analyze genomics data, it's necessary to ensure that our approaches are still as reproducible and robust as any other experimental approaches. Unfortunately, the size of our data and the complexity of our analysis workflows make these goals especially difficult in genomics." (Buffalo, 2015)

"The requisite of reproducibility is that we share our data and methods. In the pre-genomics era, this was much easier. Papers could include detailed method summaries and entire datasets—exactly as Kreitman's 1986 paper did with a 4,713bp Adh gene flanking sequence (it was embedded in the middle of the paper). Now papers have long supplementary methods, code, and data. Sharing data is no longer trivial either, as sequencing projects can include terabytes of accompanying data. Reference genomes and annotation datasets used in analyses are constantly updated, which can make reproducibility tricky. Links to supplemental materials, methods, and data on journal websites break, materials on faculty websites disappear when faculty members move or update their sites,

and software projects become stale when developers leave and don't update code. ... Additionally, the complexity of bioinformatics analyses can lead to findings being susceptible to errors and technical confounding. Even fairly routine genomics projects can use dozens of different programs, complicated input parameter combinations, and many sample and annotation datasets; in addition, work may be spread across servers and workstations. All of these computational data-processing steps create results used in higher-level analyses where we draw our biological conclusions. The end result is that research findings may rest on a rickety scaffold of numerous processing steps. To make matters worse, bioinformatics workflows and analyses are usually only run once to produce results for a publication, and then never run or tested again. These analyses may rely on very specific versions of all software used, which can make it difficult to reproduce on a different system. In learning bioinformatics data skills, it's necessary to concurrently learn reproducibility and robust best practices." (Buffalo, 2015)

"When we are writing code in a programming language, we work most of the time with RAM, combining and restructuring data values to produce new values in RAM. ... The computer memory in RAM is a series of 0's and 1's, just like the computer memory used to store files in mass storage. In order to work with data values, we need to get those values into RAM in some format. At the basic level of representing a single number or a single piece of text, the solution is the same as it was in Chapter 5 [on file formats for mass storage]. Everything is represented as a pattern of bits, using various numbers of bytes for different sorts of values. In R, in an English locale, and on a 32-bit operating system, a single character usually takes up one byte, an integer takes up four bytes, and a real number 8 bytes. Data values are stored in different ways depending on the **data type**—whether the values are numbers or texts." (Murrell, 2009)

"Although we do not often encounter the details of the memory representation, except when we need a rough estimate of how much RAM a data set might require, it is important to keep in mind what sort of data type we are working with because the computer code that we will produce different results for different data types. For example, we can only calculate an average if we are dealing with values that have been stored as text." (Murrell, 2009)

"Another important issue is how *collections* of values are stored in memory. The tasks that we will consider will typically involve working with an entire data set, or an entire variable from a data set, rather than just a single value, so we need to have a way to represent several related values in memory. This is similar to the problem of deciding on a storage format for a data set... However, rather than talking about different file formats, [in this case] we will talk about different **data structures** for storing a collection of data values in RAM. ... It will be important to always keep close in our minds what data type we are working with and what sort of data structure we are working with." (Murrell, 2009)

"Every individual data value has a data type that tells us what sort of value it is. The most common data types are numbers, which R calls **numeric values**, and text, which R calls **character values**." (Murrell, 2009)

"**Vectors:** A collection of values that all have the same data type. The **elements** of a vector are all numbers, giving a **number vector**, or all character values, giving a **character vector**." (Murrell, 2009)

“Data frames: A collection of vectors that all have the same length. This is like a matrix, except that each column can contain a different data type.” (Murrell, 2009)

“Lists: A collection of data structures. The **components** of a list can be simply vectors—similar to a data frame, but with each column allowed to have a different length. However, a list can also be a much more complicated structure. This is a very flexible data structure. Lists can be used to store any combination of data values together.” (Murrell, 2009)

“Notice the way that lists are displayed. The first component of the list starts with the component index, `[[1]]`, followed by the contents of this component... The second component of the list starts with the component index `[[2]]` followed by the contents of this component...” (Murrell, 2009)

“A list is a very flexible data structure. It can have any number of **components**, each of which can be any data structure of any length or size. A simple example is a data-frame-like structure where each column can have a different length, but much more complex structures are also possible. For example, it is possible for a component of a list to be another list.” (Murrell, 2009)

“Anyone who has worked with a computer should be familiar with the idea of a list containing another list because a directory or folder of files has this sort of structure: a folder contains multiple files of different kinds and sizes and a folder can contain other folders, which can contain more files or even more folders, and so on. Lists allow for this kind of hierarchical structure.” (Murrell, 2009)

“One of the most basic ways that we can manipulate data structures is to **subset** them—select a smaller portion from a larger data structure. This is analogous to performing a query on a database. ... R has very powerful mechanisms for subsetting... A subset from a vector may be obtained by appending an **index** within square brackets to the end of a symbol name. ... The index can be a vector of any length ... The index does not have to be a contiguous sequence, and it can include repetitions... As well as using integers for indices, we can use logical values... A data frame can also be indexed using square brackets, though slightly differently because we have to specify both which rows *and* which columns we want ... When a data structure has named components, a subset may be selected using those names.” (Murrell, 2009)

“Single square bracket subsetting on a data frame is like taking an egg container that contains a dozen eggs and chopping up the *container* so that we are left with a smaller egg container that contains just a few eggs. Double square bracket subsetting on a data frame is like selecting just one egg from an egg container.” (Murrell, 2009)

“We can often get some idea of what sort of data structure we are working with by simply viewing how the data are displayed on screen. However, a more definitive answer can be obtained by calling the `class()` function. ... Many R functions return a data structure that is not one of the basic data structures that we have already seen [like the ‘xtabs’ and ‘table’ classes]. ... We have not seen either of these data structures before. However, much of what we know about working with the standard data structures ... will work with any new class that we encounter. For example, it is usually possible to subset any class

using the standard square bracket syntax. ... Where appropriate, arithmetic and comparisons will also generally work... Furthermore, if necessary, we can offer resort to coercing a class to something more standard and familiar.” (Murrell, 2009)

“Dates are an important example of a special data structure. Representing dates as just text is convenient for humans to view, but other representations are better for computers to work with. ... Having a special class for dates means that we can perform tasks with dates, such as arithmetic and comparisons, in a meaningful way, something we could not do if we stored the date as just a character value.” (Murrell, 2009)

“The Date class stores date values as integer values, representing the number of days since January 1st 1970, and automatically converts the numbers to a readable text value to display the dates on the screen.” (Murrell, 2009)

“When working with anything but tiny data sets, basic features of the data set cannot be determined by just viewing the data values. [There are] a number of functions that are useful for obtaining useful summary features from a data structure. The `summary()` function produces summary information for a data structure... The `length()` function is useful for determining the number of values in a vector or the number of components in a list. ... The `str()` function (short for ‘structure’) is useful when dealing with large objects because it only shows a sample of the values in each part of the object, although the display is very low-level so it may not always make things clearer. ... Another function that is useful for inspecting a large object is the `head()` function. This shows just the first few elements of an object, so we can see the basic structure without seeing all of the values.” (Murrell, 2009)

“Generic functions ... will accept many different data structures as arguments. ... a generic function adapts itself to the data structure it is given. Generic functions do different things when given different data structures.” (Murrell, 2009)

“An example of a generic function is the `summary()` function. The result of a call to `summary()` will depend on what sort of data structure we provide.” (Murrell, 2009)

“Generic functions are another reason why it is easy to work with data in R; a single function will produce a sensible result no matter what data structure we provide. However, generic functions are also another reason why it is so important to be aware of what data structures we are working with. Without knowing what sort of data we are using, we cannot know what sort of result to expect from a generic function.” (Murrell, 2009)

“R has become very popular and is now being used for projects that require substantial software engineering as well as its continued widespread use as an interactive environment for data analysis. This essentially means that there are two masters—*reliability* and *ease of use*. S3 is indeed easy to use, but can be made unreliable through nothing other than bad luck, or a poor choice of names, and hence is not a suitable paradigm for constructing large systems. S4, on the other hand, is better suited for developing large software projects but has an increased complexity of use.” (Gentleman, 2008)

“Object-oriented programming has become a widely used and valuable tool for software engineering. Much of its value derives from the fact that it is often easier to design, write, and maintain software when there is some clear separation of the data representation from the operations that are to be performed on it. In an OOP system, real physical things ... are generally represented by classes, and methods (functions) are written to handle the different manipulations that need to be performed on the objects.” (Gentleman, 2008)

“The views that many people have of OOP have been based largely on exposure to languages like Java, where the system can be described as class-centric. In a class-centric system, classes define objects and are repositories for the methods that act on those objects. In contrast, languages such as ... R separate the class specification from the specification of generic functions, and could be described as function-centric systems.” (Gentleman, 2008)

“The genome of every organism is encoded in chromosomes that consist of either DNA or RNA. High throughput sequencing technology has made it possible to determine the sequence of the genome for virtually any organism, and there are many that are currently available. ... However, in many cases, either the exact nucleotide at any location is unknown, or is variable, and the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) has provided a standard nomenclature suitable for representing such sequences. The alphabet for dealing with protein sequences is based on the 20 amino acids. ... The basic class used to hold strings [in the **Biostrings** package] is the *BString* class, which has been designed to be efficient in its handling of large character strings. Subclasses include *DNABString*, *RNABString*, and *AAString* (for holding amino acid sequences). The *BStringViews* class holds a set of views on a single *BString* instance; each view is essentially a substring of the underlying *BString* instance. Alignments are stored using the *BStringAlign* class.” (Gentleman, 2008) [More on functions that work with these classes on p. 171]

“A number of complete genomes, represented as *DNABString* objects, are provided through the Bioconductor project. They rely on the infrastructure in the **BSgenome** package, and all such packages have names that begin with *BSgenome*. You can find the list of available genomes using the `available.genomes` function.” (Gentleman, 2008)

“Atomic vectors are the most basic of all data structures. An atomic vector contains some number of values of the same type; that number could be zero. Atomic vectors can contain integers, doubles, logicals or character strings. Both complex numbers and raw (pure bytes) have atomic representations ... Character vectors in the S language are vectors of character strings, not the vectors of characters. For example, the string ‘super’ would be represented as a character vector of length one, not of length five...” (Gentleman, 2008)

“Lists can be used to store items that are not all of the same type. ... Lists are also referred to as generic vectors since they share many of the properties of vectors, but the elements are allowed to have different types.” (Gentleman, 2008)

“Lists can be of any length, and the elements of a list can be named, or not. Any R object can be an element of a list, including another list...” (Gentleman, 2008)

“A `data.frame` is a special kind of list. Data frames were created to provide a common structure for storing rectangular data sets and for passing them to

different functions for modeling and visualization. In many cases a data set can be thought of as a rectangular structure with rows corresponding to cases and columns corresponding to the different variables that were measured on each of the cases. One might think that a matrix would be the appropriate representation, but that is only true if all of the variables are of the same type, and this is seldom the case.” (Gentleman, 2008)

“[Data frames] are essentially a list of vectors, with one vector for each variable. It is an error if the vectors are not all of the same length.” (Gentleman, 2008)

“Sometimes it will be helpful to find out about an object. Obvious functions to try are `class` and `typeof`. But many find that both `str` and `object.size` are more useful. ... The functions `head` and `tail` are convenience functions that list the first few, or the last few, rows of a matrix.” (Gentleman, 2008)

“The S language has its roots in the Algol family of languages and has adopted some of the general vector subsetting and subscripting techniques that were available in languages such as APL. This is perhaps one area where programmers more familiar with other languages fail to make appropriate use of the available functionality. ... There are slight differences between subsetting of vectors, arrays, lists, `data.frames`, and environments that can sometimes catch the unwary. But there are also many commonalities. ... Subsetting can be carried out by three different operators: the single square bracket `[]`, the double square bracket `[[`, and the dollar, `$`. We note that each of these three operators are actually generic functions and users can write methods that extend and override them... One way of describing the behavior of the single bracket operator is that the type of the return value matches the type of the value it is applied to. Thus, a single bracket subset of a list is a list itself. ... Both `[[` and `$` extract a single value. There are some differences between the two; `$` does not evaluate its second argument while `[[` does, and hence one can use expressions. The `$` operator uses partial matching when extracting named elements but `[]` and `[[` do not.” (Gentleman, 2008)

“Subsetting plays two roles in the S language. One is an extraction role, where a subset of a vector is identified by a set of supplied indices and the resulting subset is returned as a value. Venables and Ripley (2000) refer to this as *indexing*. The second purpose is subset assignment, where the goal is to identify a subset of values that should have their values changed; we call this subset assignment.” (Gentleman, 2008)

“There are four basic types of subscript indices: positive integers, negative integers, logical vectors, and character vectors. These four types cannot be mixed... For matrix and array subscripting, one can use different types of subscripts for the different dimensions. Not all vectors, or recursive objects, support all types of subscripting indices. For example, atomic vectors cannot be subscripted using `$`, while environments cannot be subscripted using `[]`.” (Gentleman, 2008)

“In bioinformatics, the plain-text data we work with is often encoded in *ASCII*. ASCII is a character encoding scheme that uses 7 bits to represent 128 different values, including letters (upper- and lowercase), numbers, and special nonvisible characters. While ASCII only uses 8 bits, nowadays computers use an 8-bit *byte* (a unit representing 8 bits) to store ASCII characters. More information about ASCII is available in your terminal through `man ascii`.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Some files will have non-ASCII encoding schemes, and may contain special characters. The most common character encoding scheme is UTF-8, which is a superset of ASCII but allows for special characters.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Bioinformatics data is often text—for example, the As, Cs, Ts, and Gs in sequencing read files or reference genomes, or tab-delimited files for gene coordinates. The text data in bioinformatics is often large, too (gigabytes or more that can't fit into your computer's memory at once). This is why Unix's philosophy of handling text streams is useful to bioinformatics: text streams allow us to do processing on a *stream* of data rather than holding it all in memory.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Exploratory data analysis plays an integral role throughout an entire bioinformatics project. Exploratory data analysis skills are just as applicable in analyzing intermediate bioinformatics data (e.g., are fewer reads from this sequencing lane aligning?) as they are in making sense of results from statistical analyses (e.g., what's the distribution of these p-values, and do they correlate with possible confounders like gene length?). These exploratory analyses need not be complex or exceedingly detailed (many patterns are visible with simple analyses and visualization); it's just about wanting to look into the data and having the skill set to do so.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Functions like `table()` are generic—they are designed to work with objects of all kinds of classes. Generic functions are also designed to do the right thing depending on the class of the object they're called on (in programming lingo, we say that the function is *polymorphic*).” (Buffalo, 2015)

“It's quite common to encounter genomics datasets that are difficult to load into R because they're large files. This is either because it takes too long to load the entire dataset into R, or your machine simply doesn't have enough memory. In many cases, the best strategy is to reduce the size of your data somehow: summarizing data in earlier processing steps, omitting unnecessary columns, splitting your data into chunks (e.g., working with a chromosome at a time), or working on a random subset of your data. Many bioinformatics analyses do not require working on an entire genomic dataset at once, so these strategies can work quite well. These approaches are also the only way to work with data that is truly too large to fit in your machine's memory (apart from getting a machine with more memory).” (Buffalo, 2015)

“If your data is larger than the available memory on your machine, you'll need to use a strategy that keeps the bulk of your data out of memory, but still allows for each access from R. A good solution for moderately large data is to use SQLite and query out subsets for computation using the R package RSQLite. ... Finally ... many Unix data tools have versions that work on gzipped files: `zless`, `zcat` (`gzcat` on BSD-derived systems like Mac OS X), and others. Likewise, R's data-reading functions can also read gzipped files directly—there's some slight performance gains in reading in gzipped files, as there are fewer bytes to read off of (slow) hard disks.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Quite often, data we load in to R will be in the wrong *shape* for what we want to do with it. Tabular data can come in two different formats: *long* and *wide*. ... In many cases, data is recorded by humans in wide format, but we need data in long format when working with and plotting statistical modeling functions.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Exploratory data analysis emphasizes visualization as the best tool to understand and explore our data—both to learn what the data says and what its limitations are.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“R vectors require all elements to have the same data type (that is, vectors are *homogeneous*). They only support the six data types discussed earlier (integer, double, character, logical, complex, and raw). In contrast, R’s lists are more versatile: Lists can contain elements of different types (they are *heterogeneous*); Elements can be *any* object in R (vectors with different types, other lists, environments, dataframes, matrices, functions, etc.); Because lists can store other lists, they allow for storing data in a recursive way (in contrast, vectors cannot contain other vectors.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“The versatility of lists make them indispensable in programming and data analysis with R.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“As with R’s vectors, we can extract subsets of a list or change values of specific elements using indexing. However, accessing elements from an R list is slightly different than with vectors. Because R’s list can contain objects with different types, a subset containing multiple list elements could contain objects with different types. Consequently, the only way to return a subset of more than one list element is with another list. As a result, there are two indexing operators for lists: one for accessing a subset of multiple elements as a list (the single bracket...) and one for accessing an element within a list (the double bracket...).” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Because R’s lists can be nested and contain any type of data, list-based data structures can grow to be quite complex. In some cases, it can be difficult to understand the overall structure of some lists. The function `str()` is a convenient R function for inspecting complex data structures. `str()` prints a line for each contained data structure, complete with its type, length (or dimensions), and the first few elements it contains. ... For deeply nested lists, you can simplify `str()`’s output by specifying the maximum depth of nested structure to return with `str()`’s second argument, `max.level`. By default, `max.level` is `NA`, which returns all nested structures.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Understanding R’s data structures and how subsetting works are fundamental to having the freedom in R to explore data any way you like.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Some of Bioconductor’s core packages: **GenomicRanges**: Used to represent and work with genomic ranges; **GenomicFeatures**: used to represent and work with ranges that represent gene models and other features of a genome (genes, exons, UTRs, transcripts, etc.); **Biostrings** and **BSgenome**: Used for manipulating genome sequence data in R... **rtracklayer**: Used for reading in common bioinformatics formats like BED, GTF/GFF, and WIG.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“The **GenomicRanges** package introduces a new class called **GRanges** for storing genomic ranges. The **GRanges** builds off of **IRanges**. **IRanges** objects are used to store ranges of genomic regions on a single sequence, and **GRanges** objects contain the two other pieces of information necessary to specify a genomic location: sequence name (e.g., which chromosome) and strand. **GRanges** objects also have *metadata columns*, which are the data linked to each genomic range.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“All metadata attached to a GRanges object are stored in a DataFrame, which behaves identically to R’s base data.frame but supports a wider variety of column types. For example, DataFrames allow for run-length encoded vectors to save memory ... in practice, we can store any type of data: identifiers and names (e.g., for genes, transcripts, SNPs, or exons), annotation data (e.g., conservation scores, GC content, repeat content, etc.), or experimental data (e.g., if ranges correspond to alignments, data like mapping quality and the number of gaps). ... the union of genomic location with any type of data is what makes GRanges so powerful.” (Buffalo, 2015)

3.5.5 Subsection 2

3.5.6 Applied exercise

- [Example data in a basic list]
- [Example data in a Bioconductor list-based class]
- [Explore each example dataset. What slots do each have? What are the names of each slot? What data structures / data types are in each slot?]
- [Extract certain elements from each dataset by hand. Assign to its own object name so you can use it by itself.]
- [Use biobroom to extract pieces of data in the Bioconductor dataset as tidy dataframes. Try using this with further tidyverse code to create a nice table/visualization.]

3.6 Example: Converting from complex to ‘tidy’ data formats

We will provide a detailed example of a case where data pre-processing in R results in a complex, ‘untidy’ data format. We will walk through an example of applying automated gating to flow cytometry data. We will demonstrate the complex initial format of this pre-processed data and then show trainees how a ‘tidy’ dataset can be extracted and used for further data analysis and visualization using the popular R ‘tidyverse’ tools. This example will use real experimental data from one of our Co-Is research on the immunology of tuberculosis.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Describe how tools like *biobroom* were used in this real research example to convert from the complex data format from pre-processing to a format better for further data analysis and visualization
- Understand how these tools would fit in their own research pipelines

3.6.1 Subsection 1

3.6.2 Subsection 2

3.6.3 Applied exercise

3.7 Introduction to reproducible data pre-processing protocols

Reproducibility tools can be used to create reproducible data pre-processing protocols—documents that combine code and text in a ‘knitted’ document, which can be re-used to ensure data pre-processing is consistent and reproducible across research projects. In this module, we will describe how reproducible data pre-processing protocols can improve reproducibility of pre-processing experimental data, as well as to ensure transparency, consistency, and reproducibility across the research projects conducted by a research team.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Define a ‘reproducible data pre-processing protocol’
- Explain how such protocols improve reproducibility at the data pre-processing phase
- List other benefits, including improving efficiency and consistency of data pre-processing

3.7.1 Introducing reproducible data pre-processing protocols

When you use scripted code to pre-process biomedical data, you will find that the same script can often be easily adapted and re-used in later projects that use the same type of data. You may need to change small elements, like the file names of files with data you want to use, or some details about the methods used for certain pre-processing steps. However, often almost all of the pre-processing steps will repeat over different experiments that you do.

If you have used open-source software tools, like Bioconductor packages, you are likely familiar with the *vignettes* that come with the packages. These provide tutorial guides showing you how to work with the package. They often leverage example data that you can download so that you can try all the example code yourself, before you move on to adapting the code to use with your own data.

You can create your own version of these types of documents. This can use real data from your research group, and you can create customized instructions and code examples showing how to use open-source tools to pre-process a certain type of biomedical data for experiments in your research group. You can use this document the next time you need to pre-process that type of data yourself, and you can also share it with others in your research group. This can help in teaching new laboratory members how to work with this type of data in your research group. It can also help ensure that different members of the research group are all using the same steps to pre-process data, so that there is greater consistency across results from the group.

You may already create something similar to this, using a general word processing program like Google Docs or Word. There are two key differences, however, between how vignettes are created compared to a similar tutorial created in Word or Google Docs. First, the vignettes are created using a document compiling program that ensures that any code uses only ASCII characters. This means that you can copy and paste code from the tutorial into your R session and it will work. By contrast, programs like Word often try to “correct” some of the characters when you paste in or type in code. For example, when you have an apostrophe mark in your code (for example, when you’re quoting to create a character string), the computer code needs to have this character as a very basic ASCII version of an apostrophe. Word, by contrast, will often try to convert the character to use an apostrophe character that looks smoother—and so is nice for a word processed document that humans will read—but that R cannot recognize. Hyphens can have similar problems. [Other examples?]

When you create a reproducible pre-processing protocol using the techniques that are used to create vignettes—which we’ll teach you how to do in the next few sections—you will avoid this autocorrection of characters, and so someone reading the protocol will be able to directly copy and paste example code from the protocol into their own scripts. This will avoid hard-to-diagnose errors that come from this character conversion in programs like Word.

The second difference is that the tools that are used to create vignettes contain code that is not just copied and pasted from a script, but that is actually, in essence, *still in a script*. The code, in other words, is executable and, unless you change the default settings, is re-run every time you compile the document. This means that you will quickly determine if there are any typos or other errors in the code, because the document will not run and render correctly unless the code works. This means that you can guarantee, when you first create the document, that the code runs, and also that you can regularly check to see if the example code still works at later time points. This allows you to, for example, see if changes in the version of R or of specific packages that you’re using has created problems with the code running correctly over time.

Finally, these documents can be separated, allowing you to extract solely the script part of the document, into a classic R script. You can use this directly to run (or adapt) the pre-processing code for further research.

3.7.2 *Technique to create reproducible pre-processing protocols*

The vignettes that come with Bioconductor packages are created using a system for “knitting” documents. These documents “knit” together text with executable code. Once you have written the document, you can render it, which executes the code, adds to the document results from this execution (figures, tables, and code output, for example), and formats all text using the formatting choices you’ve specified. The end result is a nicely format document,

which can be in one of several output formats, including pdf, Word, or HTML. Since the code was executed to create the document, you can ensure that all the code is worked as intended.

There are several techniques and principles that come together to make these knitted documents work. First are the tools that allow you to write text in plain text, include formatting specifications in that plain text, and render this to an attractive output document in pdf, Word, or HTML. This part of the process uses a tool from a set of tools called *Markup languages*. [A bit more on history / development of Markup languages.]

Here, we will use a markup language called *Markdown*. It is one of the easiest markup languages to learn, as it has a fairly small set of formatting indicators that can be used to “markup” the formatting in a document. This small set, however, covers much of the formatting you might want to do, and so this language provides an easy introduction to markup languages while still providing adequate functionality for most purposes.

The Markdown markup languages evolved starting in spaces where people could communicate in plain text only, without point-and-click methods for adding formatting like bold or italic type (Buffalo, 2015). For example, early versions of email only allowed users to write using plain text. These users eventually evolved some conventions for how to “mark-up” this plain text, to serve the purposes served by things like italics and bold in formatted text (e.g., emphasis, highlighting). For example, to emphasize a word, a user could surround it with asterisks, like:

I just read a **really** interesting article!

In this early prototype for a markup language, the reader’s mind was doing the “rendering”, interpreting these markers as a sign that part of the text was emphasized. In Markdown, the text can be rendered into more attractive output documents, like pdf, where the rendering process has actually changed the words between asterisks to print in italics.

The Markdown language has developed a set of these types of marks—like asterisks—that are used to “mark up” the plain text with the formatting that should be applied when the text is rendered. There are marks that you can use for a number of formatting specifications, including: italics, bold, underline, strike-through, bulleted lists, numbered lists, web links, headers of different levels (e.g., to mark off sections and subsections), block quotes, horizontal rules, and block quotes. Details and examples of the Markdown syntax can be found on the Markdown Guide page at <https://www.markdownguide.org/basic-syntax/>. We’ll cover more examples of using Markdown in the next two modules, as we move more specifically into how RMarkdown can be used to create knitted documents with R and provide an example of creating a reproducible protocol with this system.

The other technique that’s needed to create knitted documents is the ability to include executable code within the plain text version of the document, and

to execute that code and incorporate its results before moving on to render the document to its final format.

The idea here is that you can use special markers to indicate in the document where code starts and where it ends. With these markings, a computer program can figure out the lines of the document that it should run as code, and the ones it should ignore when it's looking for executable code. With these markings in place, the document will be run through two separate programs as it is rendered. The first program will look for code to execute and ignore any other lines of the file. It will execute this code and then place any results, like figures, tables, or code output, into the document right after that piece of code. The output from running this program will then be input into a more traditional markup renderer, which will format the document based on any of the mark up indications and will output an attractive document in a format like pdf, Word, or HTML.

This technique comes from an idea that you could include code to be executed in a document that is otherwise easy for humans to read. This is an incredibly powerful idea. It originated with a famous computer scientist named Donald Knuth, who realized that one key to making computer code sound is to make sure that it is clear to humans what the code is doing. Computers will faithfully do exactly what you tell them to do, so they will do what you're hoping they will as long as you provide the correct instructions. The greatest room for error, then, comes from humans not giving the right instructions to computers. To write sound code, and code that is easy for yourself and others to maintain and extend, you must make sure that you and other humans understand what it is asking the computer to do. Donald Knuth came up with a system called *literate programming* that allows programmers to write code in a way that focuses on documenting the code for humans, while also allowing the computer to easily pull out just the parts that it needs to execute, while ignoring all the text meant for humans. This process flips the idea of documenting code by including plain text comments in the code—instead of the code being the heart of the document, the documentation of the code is the heart, with the code provided to illustrate the implementation. When used well, this technique results in beautiful documents that clearly and comprehensively document the intent and the implementation of computer code. The knitted documents that we can build with R or Python through systems like RMarkdown and Jupyter Notebooks build on these literate programming ideas, applying them in ways that complement programming languages that can be run interactively, rather than needing to be compiled before they're run.

You can visualize the full process of creating and rendering a knitted document in the following way. Imagine that you write a document by hand on sheets of paper. There are parts where you need a team member to add their data or to run a calculation, so you include notes in square brackets telling your team member where to do these things. Then, you use traditional editing marks to show where text should be italicized and which text should be section a

header:

```
# Results
```

```
We measured the bacterial load of  
*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* for each  
sample.
```

```
[Kristina: Calculate bacterial loads for  
each sample based on dilutions and  
add table with results here.]
```

This is analogous to writing up a knitted document in plain text with appropriate “executable” sections, designated with special markings, and with other markings used to show how the text should be formatted in its final version.

You send the document to your team member first, and she does his calculations and adds the results at the indicated spot in the paper. She focuses on the notes to her in square brackets and ignores the rest of the document. This is analogous to the first stage of rendering a knitted document, where the document is passed through software that looks for executable code and ignores everything else, executing that code and adding in results in the right place.

Next, your research team member sends the document, with her additions, to an assistant to type up the document. The assistant types the full document, paying attention to any indications that are included for formatting. For example, he sees that “Results” is meant to be a section heading, since it is on a line that starts with “#”, your team’s convention for section headings. He therefore types this on a line by itself in larger font. He also sees that “*Mycobacterium tuberculosis*” is surrounded by asterisks, so he types this in italics. This step is analogous to the second stage of rendering a formatted document, when a software program takes the output of the first stage and formats the full document into an attractive, easy-to-read final document, using any markings you include to format the document.

3.7.3 *Advantages of reproducible pre-processing protocols*

With point-and-click software, even if you are doing the same process from one experiment to another to pre-process your data, you will still have to go through each step of preprocessing, re-selecting each choice along the way. For example, if you are using software to gate flow cytometry data, someone in your research group must typically go through the gating step-by-step, even if they are trying to gate the data using the same rules and approach that they’ve applied to gate data in previous experiments. This approach therefore has two key limitations.

First, it takes a lot of time for someone in the research group to go through the same series of selection / point-and-click steps over and over each time

research data needs to be pre-processed. If steps do indeed need to be customized extensively from one experiment to the next, there may be no way to avoid this time-consuming work. However, if the same choices for pre-processing apply from one experiment to the next, then there's not a good reason for someone in the research group to need to spend a lot of time with this process.

Using a reproducible pre-processing protocol therefore helps make the pre-processing more **efficient**. While developing this type of protocol will take more time the first time or two that you do the pre-processing, as you create, refine, and check the document, this time investment will pay off as you continue to re-use the protocol in later experiments. Since the code can be extracted as a script, you may find that you can often use that script as a direct starting point for later experiments, needing only to change a few areas, like the name of the input data files.

The second issue with a point-and-click approach is that it's hard to be sure that you're being completely consistent from experiment to experiment if you're going through the pre-processing "by hand", going through different steps and selections using point-and-click software. Even if you've written down the choices you plan to make from time to time, there may be subtle small choices that you forget to write down. Further, there are some choices that might not be as easy to make consistent from time to time. For example, when you gate flow cytometry data using point and click software, you are often visual adjusting a threshold or a box to select certain data points in the sample to gate. These visual choices can be subjective from day to day, so you might gate the data slightly different from one day to the next. Even if the same person does the preprocessing from time to time, there will likely be subtle variations in the process; these are likely to expand quite a bit when different people in the research group do the pre-processing from one experiment to another.

By creating a reproducible pre-processing protocol, and starting from the embedded code each time you pre-process data from a new experiment, you can make your pre-processing more **reproducible** and more **consistent** from one experiment to the next. When pre-processing is done using a code script, the choices are based on an algorithm that can be reproduced faithfully from experiment to experiment. For example, coding tools for gating flow cytometry data use consistent algorithms, based on clear rules for fitting gates based on the distribution of the data [?], and so remove the subjectivity of gating the data by hand, and the differences in gating that can result from person to person or even from day to day in gating done by the same person.

In scientific research, there are numerous factors that can affect the measurements that result from an experiment. These include differences across experimental animals or subjects, differences in the set-up of different laboratories, and so on. We often try to control as many extraneous factors as we can, so that we can focus very precisely on how a single element affects an outcome.

By controlling extraneous factors, we can reduce the noise that might obscure a signal in the relationship we care about and are trying to measure. Subjectivity in data pre-processing is a potential source of noise in the experimental process, especially for more complex biomedical data that requires extensive pre-processing. Just as strong control to prevent variation in factors like laboratory conditions and experimental animals can add power and clarity to detect important signals in biomedical research, so can enforced consistency in data pre-processing, including through the explicit use of consistent, objective algorithms for pre-processing steps through the use of scripted code for as much of the pre-processing as possible.

3.7.4 Subsection 1

[Markup language visualization—a boss dictating to secretary in old movie, including speaking punctuation and formatting, like new paragraphs or bold.]

[Anecdote—any stories of famous authors trying to retrieve old manuscripts in outdated file formats?]

[Example of old, plain text emails and the beginnings of Markdown-style notation there? More on history of Markdown and other markup languages? (Readers minds were the first renders for this ancestor of Markdown, but now there are software programs that render the plain-text file into other formats, like Word, PDF, or HTML.)]

[More on the idea of controlling for variation in laboratory experiments to reduce noise and focus on the relationship of interest. Could include example of self-controls in human research—using one eye as control for other eye in research on eye disease, for example.]

[Typesetting marks as an example of “mark up”?]

3.7.5 Subsection 2

“It’s very important to keep a project notebook containing detailed information about the chronology of your computational work, steps you’ve taken, information about why you’ve made decisions, and of course all pertinent information to reproduce your work. Some scientists do this in a handwritten notebook, others in Microsoft Word documents. As with README files, bioinformaticians usually like keeping project notebooks in simple plain-text because these can be read, searched, and edited from the command line and across network connections to servers. Plain text is also a future-proof format: plain-text files written in the 1960s are still readable today, whereas files from word processors only 10 years old can be difficult or impossible to open and edit. Additionally, plain text project notebooks can also be put under version control ... While plain-text is easy to write in your text editor, it can be inconvenient for collaborators unfamiliar with the command line to read. A lightweight markup language called *Markdown* is a plain-text format that is easy to read and painlessly incorporated into typed notes, and can also be rendered to HTML or PDF.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Markdown originates from the simple formatting conventions used in plain-text emails. Long before HTML crept into email, emails were embellished with simple

markup for emphasis, lists, and blocks of text. Over time, this became a defacto plain-text email formatting scheme. This scheme is very intuitive: underscores or asterisks that flank text indicate emphasis, and lists are simply lines of text beginning with dashes.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Markdown is just plain-text, which means that it’s portable and programs to edit and read it will exist. Anyone who’s written notes or papers in old versions of word processors is likely familiar with the hassle of trying to share or update out-of-date proprietary formats. For these reasons, Markdown makes for a simple and elegant notebook format.” (Buffalo, 2015)

“Information, whether data or computer code, should be organized in such a way that there is only one copy of each important unit of information.” (Murrell, 2009)

3.7.6 Discussion questions

3.8 RMarkdown for creating reproducible data pre-processing protocols

The R extension package RMarkdown can be used to create documents that combine code and text in a ‘knitted’ document, and it has become a popular tool for improving the computational reproducibility and efficiency of the data analysis stage of research. This tool can also be used earlier in the research process, however, to improve reproducibility of pre-processing steps. In this module, we will provide detailed instructions on how to use RMarkdown in RStudio to create documents that combine code and text. We will show how an RMarkdown document describing a data pre-processing protocol can be used to efficiently apply the same data pre-processing steps to different sets of raw data.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Define RMarkdown and the documents it can create
- Explain how RMarkdown can be used to improve the reproducibility of research projects at the data pre-processing phase
- Create a document in RStudio using RMarkdown
- Apply it to several different datasets with the same format

3.8.1 RMarkdown

You can easily create and render knitted documents directly in R through RMarkdown documents. While RMarkdown documents can be created and rendered through any implementation of R, there are very nice facilities for creating and working with these documents in the RStudio IDE for R, so in this section we’ll focus on this interface. RStudio is a free IDE that is available for download online. You should download and install R before you install the RStudio IDE, but once you do, the installation of RStudio should be very straightforward. Many of the resources for learning R now focus on use of the

RStudio IDE as an interface for R, so there are lots of available resources for learning more about RStudio if you are new to this IDE.

...

[More about RMarkdown specifically]

3.8.2 *Creating and rendering RMarkdown documents*

In this subsection, we'll cover some of the basics of writing and rendering knitted documents in R using RStudio. We will first provide a quick overview of working with RMarkdown. We will not go into depth on all details of the system, since there are excellent resources for learning these details. However, we will go over several details that are very helpful but that a lot of people learning RMarkdown don't realize are available, so you will know they are available when you're ready to learn and incorporate them.

[How to create an RMarkdown document]

In the previous module, we described how knitted documents use special markers to indicate where sections of executable code start and stop. In RMarkdown, the markers you will use to indicate executable code look like this:

```
```\r{  
my_object <- c(1, 2, 3)
```
```

The first part of this marker (````\r{`) indicates that a section of code is starting. Then end part (`````) indicates that the file is moving back to regular Markdown. In the first marker, you can use the simplest form (````\r{`) to indicate the start of the code. However, you can also include options to customize actions when the code in that section is executed. For example, you can specify that the code should be printed in the document, but not executed, by setting the `eval` parameter to `FALSE` with ````\r{ eval = FALSE}` as the marker to start the code section. Among the other options that can be included here are options to:

- specify the image size and output size of figures created by the code (`fig.width`, `fig.height`, `out.width`, `out.height`)
- suppress messages, warnings, and errors generated when the code is executed (`message`, `warning`, `error`)
- specify whether to print the code or not (for a tutorial, you will want others to be able to see the code as an example, while for a report, you might want the reader to focus on the output) (`echo`)

[How to render to Word]

[How to render to other formats like HTML and pdf]

You can easily render the RMarkdown document to HTML instead of Word by changing the output format for the document before you knit it. There

are a couple of ways you can make this change. First, if you are rendering the document in RMarkdown, click on the down arrow directly to the right of the “Knit” button. In that pull-down menu, you can select the “Knit to HTML” option, and the document will render to HTML.

You can also change the output format to HTML in a special section at the top of the RMarkdown document called the “YAML”. [More about the YAML, including showing an example.]

If you’d like to render the output document to pdf, the process is similar, but it will require you to download an additional piece of (free) software. RStudio uses a program based on a software program called TeX to render RMarkdown documents to pdf. You will need to get different software depending on the operating system (OS) of your computer. If you are using a Mac OS, then you should download [MacTex—more on this], while if you are using a Windows OS, then you should download [MicTex—more on this]. [More info on getting this software—don’t select the lightweight option, etc.] Once you’ve installed this software, restart your RStudio session to help RStudio identify the new program as it starts [is this necessary?].

Once you’ve installed an implementation of TeX, you can use the same process to change the output format for an RMarkdown document to pdf as you can to change to HTML—either change the selected output format in the pull-down menu accessed with the arrow to the right of the “Knit” button, or change the output format in the YAML of the RMarkdown document [to ...?].

3.8.3 *Some helpful additional features in RMarkdown*

The previous subsection gave an overview of the basics of creating and rendering a document with RMarkdown. We gave just an overview, as there are many resources for learning and practicing RMarkdown that are available, including many that are freely available online. In this sections, we’ll point you to some of those resources. Since some are very comprehensive, we’ll also point out and briefly overview several features that you might not be introduced to in introductory RMarkdown tutorials, but we have found can be very useful for researchers who are using RMarkdown to document their code for scientific projects.

[Resources for learning more about RMarkdown]

To learn more about RMarkdown, you can explore a number of excellent resources. The most comprehensive are shared by RStudio, where RMarkdown’s developer and maintainer, Yihui Xie, works. These resources are all freely available online, and some are also available to buy as print books, if you prefer that format.

First, you should check out the online tutorials that are provided by RStudio on RMarkdown. These are available at RStudio’s RMarkdown page: <https://rmarkdown.rstudio.com/>. [More on this]

RStudio’s RMarkdown page also includes a “Gallery” (<https://rmarkdown.com/gallery>).

rstudio.com/gallery.html). This resource allows you to browse through example documents, so you can get a visual idea of what you might want to create and then access the example code for a similar document. This is a great resource for exploring the variety of documents that you can create using RMarkdown.

To go more deeply into RMarkdown, there are two online books from some of the same team that are available online. The first is *R Markdown: The Definitive Guide* by Yihui Xie, J. J. Allaire, and Garrett Golemund [ref for this book]. This book is available at <https://bookdown.org/yihui/rmarkdown/>. It moves from basics through very advanced functionality that you can implement with RMarkdown, including several of the topics we highlight later in this subsection.

The second online book to explore from this team is *R Markdown Cookbook*, by Yihui Xie, Christophe Dervieux, and Emily Riederer. This book is a helpful resource for dipping in to a specific section when you want to learn how to achieve a specific task. Just like a regular cookbook has recipes that you can explore and use one at a time, this book does not require a comprehensive end-to-end read, but instead provides “recipes” with advice and instructions for doing specific things. For example, if you want to figure out how to align a figure that you create in the center of the page, rather than the left, you can find a “recipe” in this book to do that.

[How to extract just the code from the document]

[How to include references using BibTex as a reference manager]

[How to include formulas and math]

[Adding executable code in a different language]

[Caching results]

[Using journal article templates]

[Creating presentations and posters]

[Making fancy tables]

[Using RMarkdown to create online books and websites, including blogs and flexdashboards]

3.8.4 Using RMarkdown to improve reproducibility in data pre-processing

3.8.5 Subsection I

“WordPerfect was always the best word processor. Because it allowed for insight into its very structure. You could hit a certain key combination and suddenly the screen would split and you’d reveal the codes, the bolds and italics, and so forth, that would define your text when it was printed. It was beloved of legal secretaries and journalists alike. Because when you work with words, at the practical, everyday level, the ability to look under the hood is essential. Words are not simple. And WordPerfect acknowledged that. Microsoft Word did not. Microsoft kept insisting that what you saw on your screen was the way things were, and if your fonts just kept sort of randomly changing, well, you must have wanted it that way. Then along came HTML, and what I remember most was that sense of being back inside the file. Sure, HTML was a typographic nightmare,

a bunch of unjustified Times New Roman in 12 pt on screens with chiclet-size pixels, but under the hood you could see all the pieces. Just like WordPerfect. That transparency was a wonderful thing, and it renewed computing for me.” (Ford, 2014)

“TeX was created by Donald E. Knuth, a professor at Stanford University who has achieved international renown as a mathematician and computer scientist. Knuth also has an aesthetic sense uncommon in his field, and his work output is truly phenomenal. TeX is a happy byproduct of Knuth’s mammoth enterprise, *The Art of Computer Programming*. This series of reference books, designed to cover the whole gamut of programming concepts and techniques, is a *sine qua non* for all computer scientists.” (Seroul, 2012)

“Roughly speaking, text processors fall into two categories: (1) WYSIWYG systems: what you see is what you get. You see on the screen at all times what the printed document will look like, and what you type has immediate effect on the appearance of the document. (2) markup systems, where you type your text interspersed with formatting instructions, but don’t see their effect right away. You must run a program to examine the resulting image, whether on paper or on the screen. In computer science jargon, markup systems must compile the source file you type. WYSIWYG systems have the obvious advantage of immediate feedback, but they are not very precise: what is acceptable at a resolution of 300 dots per inch, for an ephemeral publication such as a newsletter or flier, is no longer so for a book that will be phototypeset at high resolution. The human eye is extraordinarily sensitive: you can be bothered by the appearance of a text without being able to pinpoint why, just as you can tell when someone plays the wrong note in an orchestra, without being able to identify the culprit. One quickly learns in typesetting that the beauty, legibility and comfortable reading of a text depend on minute details: each element must be placed exactly right, within thousandths of an inch. For this type of work, the advantage of immediate feedback vanishes: fine details of spacing, alignment, and so on are much too small to be discernible at the screen’s relatively low resolution, and even if it were not the case, it would still be a monumental chore to find the right place for everything by hand. For this reason it is not surprising that in the world of professional typesetting markup systems are preferred. They automate the task of finding the right place for each character with great precision. Naturally, this approach is less attractive for beginners, since one can’t see the results as one types, and must develop a feeling for what the system will do. But nowadays, you can have the best of both worlds by using a markup system with a WYSIWYG *front end*; we’ll talk about such front ends for TEX later on. TEX was developed in the late seventies and early eighties, before WYSIWYG systems were widespread. But were it to be redesigned now, it would still be a markup language. To give you an idea of the precision with which TEX operates: the internal unit it uses for its calculations is about a hundred times smaller than the wavelength of visible light! (That’s right, a hundred times.) In other words, any round-off error introduced in the calculations is invisible to the naked eye.” (Seroul, 2012)

“You should be sure to understand the difference between a text editor and a text processor. A text processor is a text editor together with formatting software that allows you to switch fonts, do double columns, indent, and so on. A text editor puts your text in a file on disk, and displays a portion of it on the screen. It doesn’t format your text at all. We insist on the difference because

those accustomed to WYSIWYG systems are often not aware of it: they only know text processors. Where can you find a text editor? Just about everywhere. Every text processor includes a text editor which you can use. But if you use your text processor as a text editor, be sure to save your file using a 'save ASCII' or 'save text only' option, so that the text processor's own formatting commands are stripped off. If you give TEX a file created without this precaution, you'll get garbage, because TEX cannot digest your text processor's commands." (Seroul, 2012)

"TeX enabled authors to encode their precise intent into their manuscripts: This block of text is a computer program, while this word is a keyword in that program. The language it used, called TeX markup, formalized the slow, error-prone communication that is normally carried out with the printer over repeated galley proofs." (Apte, 2019)

"The idea of writing markup inside text wasn't especially novel; it has been used from 1970's runoff (the UNIX family of printer-preparation utilities) to today's HTML tags. TeX was new in that it captured key concepts necessary for realistic typesetting and formalized them." (Apte, 2019)

"With these higher-level commands, the free TeX engine, and the LaTeX book, the use of TeX exploded. The macro file has since evolved and changed names, but authors still typically run the program called latex or its variants. Hence, most people who write TeX manuscripts know the program as LaTeX and the commands they use as LaTeX commands." (Apte, 2019)

"The effect of LaTeX on scientific and technical publishing has been profound. Precise typesetting is critical, particularly for conveying concepts using chemical and mathematical formulas, algorithms, and similar constructs. The sheer volume of papers, journals, books, and other publications generated in the modern world is far beyond the throughput possible via manual typesetting. And TeX enables automation without losing precision. Thanks to LaTeX, book authors can generate camera-ready copy on their own. Most academic and journal publishers accept article manuscripts written in LaTeX, and there's even an open archive maintained by Cornell University where authors of papers in physics, chemistry, and other disciplines can directly submit their LaTeX manuscripts for open viewing. Over 10,000 manuscripts are submitted to this archive every month from all over the world." (Apte, 2019)

"For many users, a practical difficulty with typesetting using TeX is preparing the manuscripts. When TeX was first developed, technical authors were accustomed to using plain-text editors like WordStar, vi, or Emacs with a computer keyboard. The idea of marking up their text with commands and running the manuscript through a typesetting engine felt natural to them. Today's typesetters, particularly desktop publishers, have a different mental model. They expect to see the output in graphical form and then to visually make edits with a mouse and keyboard, as they would in any WYSIWYG program. They might not be too picky about the quality of the output, but they appreciate design capabilities, such as the ability to flow text around curved outlines. Many print products are now produced with tools like Microsoft Word for this very reason. TeX authors cannot do the same work as easily." (Apte, 2019)

"Poor documentation can lead to irreproducibility and serious errors. There's a vast amount of lurking complexity in bioinformatics work: complex workflows,

multiple files, countless program parameters, and different software versions.

The best way to prevent this complexity from causing problems is to document everything extensively. Documentation also makes your life easier when you need to go back and rerun an analysis, write detailed methods about your steps for a paper, or find the origin of some data in a directory.” (Buffalo, 2015)

3.8.6 Subsection 2

3.8.7 Applied exercise

3.9 Example: Creating a reproducible data pre-processing protocol

We will walk through an example of creating a reproducible protocol for the automated gating of flow cytometry data for a project on the immunology of tuberculosis lead by one of our Co-Is. This data pre-processing protocol was created using RMarkdown and allows the efficient, transparent, and reproducible gating of flow cytometry data for all experiments in the research group. We will walk the trainees through how we developed the protocol initially, the final pre-processing protocol, how we apply this protocol to new experimental data.

Objectives. After this module, the trainee will be able to:

- Explain how a reproducible data pre-processing protocol can be integrated into a real research project
- Understand how to design and implement a data pre-processing protocol to replace manual or point-and-click data pre-processing tools

3.9.1 Subsection 1

[Separating pre-processing by data type / equipment output]

[Splitting pre-processing into “modules” of processes that need to happen—for example, standardizing across samples, transforming data to satisfy distribution requirements for statistical models / tests, removing extraneous data (dead cells in flow cytometry, for example), ...]

[For each module, consider the options you could take in pre-processing. For open-source software, like Bioconductor packages, these options are likely embedded either in your choice of functions (across a package), or even choice of packages in some cases, and then at a finer level through the parameters in a package. You can use vignettes and package manuals to identify the different functions you can choose and then use the helpfile for a function to determine all of its parameters and the choices you can select for each. In the protocol, show the code that you use to implement this choice and also explain clearly in the text why you made this choice and what alternatives should be considered if data characteristics are different. Write this as if you are explaining to a new research group member (or your future self) how to think about this step in the pre-processing, why you’re doing it the way your doing it, and what code is used to do it that way. You should also include references that justify choices

when they are available—include these using BibTex. By doing this, you will make it much easier on yourself when you write the Methods section of papers that report on the data you have pre-processed, as you'll already have draft information on your pre-processing methods in your protocol.]

[Throughout, use an example dataset, preferably from your own research lab. You likely want to select one for project that you have already published or are getting ready to publish, so you won't feel awkward about making the data available for people to practice with. You can include the data and the RMarkdown in its own RStudio Project and post this either publicly or privately on GitHub. This creates a “packet” of everything that a reader needs to use to recreate what you did—they can download the whole GitHub repository and will have a nice project directory on their computer with everything they need to try out the protocol. If you don't have an example dataset from your own laboratory, you can explore example datasets that are already available, either as data included with existing R packages or through open repositories, included those hosted through national research institutions like the NIH. In this case, be sure to cite the source of the data and include any available information about the equipment that was used to collect it and the settings used when the data were collected.]

3.9.2 *Subsection 2*

3.9.3 *Practice quiz*

4

References

5

Bibliography

- AlTarawneh, G. and Thorne, S. (2017). A pilot study exploring spreadsheet risk in scientific research. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.09785*.
- Altman, D. G. and Bland, J. M. (1997). Statistical notes: Units of analysis. *BMJ*, 314:1874.
- Anderson, N. R., Lee, E. S., Brockenbrough, J. S., Minie, M. E., Fuller, S., Brinkley, J., and Tarczy-Hornoch, P. (2007). Issues in biomedical research data management and analysis: needs and barriers. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 14(4):478–488.
- Apte, P. (2019). The lingua franca of latex. *Increment*.
- Arnold, T. (2017). A Tidy Data Model for Natural Language Processing using cleanNLP. *The R Journal*, 9(2):248–267.
- Barga, R., Howe, B., Beck, D., Bowers, S., Dobyns, W., Haynes, W., Higdon, R., Howard, C., Roth, C., Stewart, E., et al. (2011). Bioinformatics and data-intensive scientific discovery in the beginning of the 21st century. *Omics: a journal of integrative biology*, 15(4):199–201.
- Baumer, B. (2015). A data science course for undergraduates: Thinking with data. *The American Statistician*, 69(4):334–342.
- Baumer, B. S., Kaplan, D. T., and Horton, N. J. (2017). *Modern Data Science with R*. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- Birch, D., Lyford-Smith, D., and Guo, Y. (2018). The future of spreadsheets in the big data era. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.10231*.
- Blischak, J. D., Davenport, E. R., and Wilson, G. (2016). A quick introduction to version control with git and github. *PLoS computational biology*, 12(1).
- Brazma, A., Krestyaninova, M., and Sarkans, U. (2006). Standards for systems biology. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 7(8):593.

Broman, K. W. and Woo, K. H. (2018). Data organization in spreadsheets. *The American Statistician*, 72(1):2–10.

Brown, Z. (2018). A git origin story. *Linux Journal*.

Bryan, J. (2018). Excuse me, do you have a moment to talk about version control? *The American Statistician*, 72(1):20–27.

Bryan, J. and Wickham, H. (2017). Data science: A three ring circus or a big tent? *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 26(4):784–785.

Buffalo, V. (2015). *Bioinformatics data skills: Reproducible and robust research with open source tools*. ” O’Reilly Media, Inc.”.

Butler, D. (2005). Electronic notebooks: A new leaf. *Nature*, 436(7047):20–22.

Campbell-Kelly, M. (2007). Number crunching without programming: The evolution of spreadsheet usability. *IEEE Annals of the History of Computing*, 29(3):6–19.

Creeth, R. (1985). Microcomputer spreadsheets: their uses and abuses. *Journal of Accountancy (pre-1986)*, 159(000006):90.

Edwards, P. N., Mayernik, M. S., Batcheller, A. L., Bowker, G. C., and Borgman, C. L. (2011). Science friction: Data, metadata, and collaboration. *Social Studies of Science*, 41(5):667–690.

Ellis, S. E. and Leek, J. T. (2018). How to share data for collaboration. *The American Statistician*, 72(1):53–57.

Ford, P. (2014). On file formats, very briefly. *The Manual*.

Ford, P. (2015). I dreamed of a perfect database. *New Republic*.

Gentleman, R. (2008). *R programming for bioinformatics*. CRC Press.

Ghosh, S., Matsuoka, Y., Asai, Y., Hsin, K.-Y., and Kitano, H. (2011). Software for systems biology: from tools to integrated platforms. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 12(12):821.

Giles, J. (2012). The digital lab: lab-management software and electronic notebooks are here—and this time, it’s more than just talk. *Nature*, 481(7382):430–432.

Goodman, A., Pepe, A., Blocker, A. W., Borgman, C. L., Cranmer, K., Crosas, M., Di Stefano, R., Gil, Y., Groth, P., Hedstrom, M., et al. (2014). Ten simple rules for the care and feeding of scientific data.

Grune, D. (1986). Concurrent versions system, a method for independent cooperation. *Technical Report, Vrije Universiteit*.

- Hermans, F., Jansen, B., Roy, S., Aivaloglou, E., Swidan, A., and Hoepelman, D. (2016). Spreadsheets are code: An overview of software engineering approaches applied to spreadsheets. In *2016 IEEE 23rd International Conference on Software Analysis, Evolution, and Reengineering (SANER)*, volume 5, pages 56–65. IEEE.
- Hermans, F. and Murphy-Hill, E. (2015). Enron's spreadsheets and related emails: A dataset and analysis. In *2015 IEEE/ACM 37th IEEE International Conference on Software Engineering*, volume 2, pages 7–16. IEEE.
- Hicks, S. C. and Irizarry, R. A. (2017). A guide to teaching data science. *The American Statistician*, In Press.
- Hsieh, T., Ma, K., and Chao, A. (2016). iNEXT: an R package for rarefaction and extrapolation of species diversity (Hill numbers). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 7(12):1451–1456.
- Hunt, A., Thomas, D., and Cunningham, W. (2000). *The Pragmatic Programmer: From Journeyman to Master*. Addison-Wesley Professional.
- Irizarry, R. A. and Love, M. I. (2016). *Data Analysis for the Life Sciences with R*. Chapman and Hall.
- Irving, F. (2011). Astonishments, ten, in the history of version control.
- Janssens, J. (2014). *Data Science at the Command Line: Facing the Future with Time-tested Tools*. "O'Reilly Media, Inc."
- Kaplan, D. (2018). Teaching stats for data science. *The American Statistician*, 72(1):89–96.
- Keller, S., Korkmaz, G., Orr, M., Schroeder, A., and Shipp, S. (2017). The evolution of data quality: Understanding the transdisciplinary origins of data quality concepts and approaches. *Annu. Rev. Stat. Appl.*, 4:85–108.
- Kernighan, B. W. (2011). *D is for Digital: What a well-informed person should know about computers and communications*. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.
- Kernighan, B. W. and Pike, R. (1984). *The UNIX programming environment*, volume 270. Prentice-Hall Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Krishnan, S., Haas, D., Franklin, M. J., and Wu, E. (2016). Towards reliable interactive data cleaning: A user survey and recommendations. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Human-In-the-Loop Data Analytics*, page 9. ACM.
- Kwok, R. (2018). Lab notebooks go digital. *Nature*, 560(7717):269–270.
- Leek, J. (2012). Toward tidy data analysis.
- Levy, S. (1984). A spreadsheet way of knowledge. *Harpers*, 269:58–64.

- Lowndes, J. S. S., Best, B. D., Scarborough, C., Afflerbach, J. C., Frazier, M. R., O'Hara, C. C., Jiang, N., and Halpern, B. S. (2017). Our path to better science in less time using open data science tools. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1(6):160.
- Lynch, C. (2008). Big data: How do your data grow? *Nature*, 455(7209):28.
- Marwick, B., Boettiger, C., and Mullen, L. (2018). Packaging data analytical work reproducibly using R (and friends). *The American Statistician*, 72(1):80–88.
- Mascarelli, A. (2014). Research tools: Jump off the page. *Nature*, 507(7493):523–525.
- McCullough, B. (2001). Does microsoft fix errors in excel? In *Proceedings of the 2001 joint statistical meetings*.
- McCullough, B. D. (1999). Assessing the reliability of statistical software: Part ii. *The American Statistician*, 53(2):149–159.
- McCullough, B. D. and Heiser, D. A. (2008). On the accuracy of statistical procedures in microsoft excel 2007. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 52(10):4570–4578.
- McCullough, B. D. and Wilson, B. (1999). On the accuracy of statistical procedures in microsoft excel 97. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 31(1):27–37.
- McCullough, B. D. and Wilson, B. (2002). On the accuracy of statistical procedures in microsoft excel 2000 and excel xp. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 40(4):713–721.
- McCullough, B. D. and Wilson, B. (2005). On the accuracy of statistical procedures in microsoft excel 2003. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 49(4):1244–1252.
- McMurdie, P. J. and Holmes, S. (2013). phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PloS one*, 8(4):e61217.
- McNamara, A. (2016). On the state of computing in statistics education: Tools for learning and for doing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.00984*.
- Mélard, G. (2014). On the accuracy of statistical procedures in microsoft excel 2010. *Computational statistics*, 29(5):1095–1128.
- Metz, C. (2015). How github conquered google, microsoft, and everyone else.
- Michener, W. K. (2015). Ten simple rules for creating a good data management plan. *PLoS computational biology*, 11(10):e1004525.
- Murrell, P. (2009). *Introduction to data technologies*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.

- Myneni, S. and Patel, V. L. (2010). Organization of biomedical data for collaborative scientific research: A research information management system. *International journal of information management*, 30(3):256–264.
- Nardi, B. A. and Miller, J. R. (1990). The spreadsheet interface: A basis for end user programming. In *Proceedings of the IFIP TC13 Third International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*, pages 977–983. North-Holland Publishing Co.
- Nash, J. (2006). Spreadsheets in statistical practice—another look. *The American Statistician*, 60(3):287–289.
- Pawlik, A., van Gelder, C. W., Nenadic, A., Palagi, P. M., Korpelainen, E., Lijnzaad, P., Marek, D., Sansone, S.-A., Hancock, J., and Goble, C. (2017). Developing a strategy for computational lab skills training through Software and Data Carpentry: Experiences from the ELIXIR Pilot action. *F1000Research*, 6:ELIXIR–1040.
- Peng, R. (2018). Teaching r to new users—from tapply to the tidyverse.
- Perez-Riverol, Y., Gatto, L., Wang, R., Sachsenberg, T., Uszkoreit, J., da Veiga Leprevost, F., Fufezan, C., Ternent, T., Eglen, S. J., Katz, D. S., et al. (2016). Ten simple rules for taking advantage of git and github. *PLoS computational biology*, 12(7).
- Perkel, J. (2018). Git: The reproducibility tool scientists love to hate.
- Perkel, J. M. (2011). Coding your way out of a problem.
- Powell, K. (2012). A lab app for that. *Nature*, 484(7395):553–555.
- Powell, S. G., Baker, K. R., and Lawson, B. (2009). Errors in operational spreadsheets: A review of the state of the art. In *2009 42nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, pages 1–8. IEEE.
- Raymond, E. (2009). Understanding version-control systems (draft).
- Raymond, E. S. (2003). *The art of Unix programming*. Addison-Wesley Professional.
- Robinson, D. (2017). Teach the tidyverse to beginners.
- Ross, Z., Wickham, H., and Robinson, D. (2017). Declutter your R workflow with tidy tools. *PeerJ Preprints*, 5:e3180v1.
- Sansone, S.-A., Rocca-Serra, P., Field, D., Maguire, E., Taylor, C., Hofmann, O., Fang, H., Neumann, S., Tong, W., Amaral-Zettler, L., et al. (2012). Toward interoperable bioscience data. *Nature genetics*, 44(2):121.
- Schadt, E. E., Linderman, M. D., Sorenson, J., Lee, L., and Nolan, G. P. (2010). Computational solutions to large-scale data management and analysis. *Nature reviews genetics*, 11(9):647.

- Sedgwick, P. (2014). Unit of observation and unit of analysis. *BMJ*, 348:g3840.
- Seroul, R. (2012). *A Beginner's Book of TEX*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Silge, J. and Robinson, D. (2016). tidytext: Text mining and analysis using tidy data principles in R. *The Journal of Open Source Software*, 1(3).
- Silge, J. and Robinson, D. (2017). *Text Mining with R: A Tidy Approach*. O'Reilly Media, Sebastopol.
- Spraul, V. A. (2012). *Think like a programmer: an introduction to creative problem solving*. no starch press.
- Stander, J. and Dalla Valle, L. (2017). On enthusing students about big data and social media visualization and analysis using R, RStudio, and RMarkdown. *Journal of Statistics Education*, 25(2):60–67.
- Target, S. (2018). Version control before git with cvs.
- Teixeira, R. and Amaral, V. (2016). On the emergence of patterns for spread-sheets data arrangements. In *Federation of International Conferences on Software Technologies: Applications and Foundations*, pages 333–345. Springer.
- Tippmann, S. (2014). My digital toolbox: Nuclear engineer kathy huff on version-control systems. *Nature News*.
- Topaloglou, T., Davidson, S. B., Jagadish, H., Markowitz, V. M., Steeg, E. W., and Tyers, M. (2004). Biological data management: Research, practice and opportunities. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth international conference on Very large data bases-Volume 30*, pages 1233–1236. VLDB Endowment.
- Tyner, S., Briatte, F., and Hofmann, H. (2017). Network Visualization with ggplot2. *The R Journal*, 9(1):27–59.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health (2016). NIH-Wide Strategic Plan, Fiscal Years 2016-2020: Turning Discovery Into Health. Accessed: 2018-06-24.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health (2018). NIH Strategic Plan for Data Science. Accessed: 2018-06-24.
- Walters, D. and Wolkenhauer, O. (2016). How modeling standards, software, and initiatives support reproducibility in systems biology and systems medicine. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 63(10):1999–2006.
- Welsh, E. A., Stewart, P. A., Kuenzi, B. M., and Eschrich, J. A. (2017). Escape excel: A tool for preventing gene symbol and accession conversion errors. *PloS one*, 12(9):e0185207.
- Wickham, H. (2014). Tidy data. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 59(10):1–23.

- Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer, New York, 2nd edition.
- Wickham, H. (2017). The tidy tools manifesto.
- Wickham, H. (2019). *Advanced R, Second Edition*. CRC press.
- Wickham, H. and Gromlund, G. (2016). *R for Data Science: Import, Tidy, Transform, Visualize, and Model Data*. O'Reilly Media.
- Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., Blomberg, N., Boiten, J.-W., da Silva Santos, L. B., Bourne, P. E., et al. (2016). The fair guiding principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific data*, 3.
- Willekens, F. (2013). Chronological objects in demographic research. *Demographic research*, 28:649–680.
- Wilson, G. (2014). Software Carpentry: lessons learned. *F1000Research*, 3:62–62.
- Yin, T., Cook, D., and Lawrence, M. (2012). *ggbio*: an R package for extending the grammar of graphics for genomic data. *Genome Biology*, 13(8):R77.
- Zeeberg, B. R., Riss, J., Kane, D. W., Bussey, K. J., Uchio, E., Linehan, W. M., Barrett, J. C., and Weinstein, J. N. (2004). Mistaken identifiers: gene name errors can be introduced inadvertently when using Excel in bioinformatics. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 5(1):80.
- Ziemann, M., Eren, Y., and El-Osta, A. (2016). Gene name errors are widespread in the scientific literature. *Genome biology*, 17(1):177.