PRESENTATION TITLE: Business Case for Architecture
PRESENTER: Andres Jaramillo and Luis Stolk

Please circle the score (1 == worst and 5 == best)
Quality of Presentation? (speaking, materials, professionalism)
3

Did the presenter understand the intended audience and what that audience cared about?
4

Was the presenter convincing (Would management actually make the requested investment?)
3

Did the presenter appear knowledgeable of the topic (understood the motivation, approach and rationale for the request)?
4

PRESENTATION TITLE: Proposal: Steering Our Software to Success
PRESENTER: Je Hyung Lee, Brice Ngnigha, Amy Reed

Please circle the score (1 == worst and 5 == best)
Quality of Presentation? (speaking, materials, professionalism)
4

Did the presenter understand the intended audience and what that audience cared about?
4

Was the presenter convincing (Would management actually make the requested investment?)
4

Did the presenter appear knowledgeable of the topic (understood the motivation, approach and rationale for the request)?
4

PRESENTATION TITLE: Business Case for Software Architecture
PRESENTER: Alberto Gireud and Lifan Zhang

Please circle the score (1 == worst and 5 == best)
Quality of Presentation? (speaking, materials, professionalism)
3

Did the presenter understand the intended audience and what that audience cared about?
4

Was the presenter convincing (Would management actually make the requested investment?)
4

Did the presenter appear knowledgeable of the topic (understood the motivation, approach and rationale for the request)?
4

PRESENTATION TITLE: Why We Need an Architect
PRESENTER: Beth Richardson and Gabe Eapen

Please circle the score (1 == worst and 5 == best)
Quality of Presentation? (speaking, materials, professionalism)
      1   2   3   4   **5**

Did the presenter understand the intended audience and what that audience cared about?
      1   2   3   4   **5**

Was the presenter convincing (Would management actually make the requested investment?)
      1   2   3   4   **5**

Did the presenter appear knowledgeable of the topic (understood the motivation, approach and rationale for the request)?
      1   2   3   4   **5**

PRESENTATION TITLE: Proposal: Data Mining Corporation
PRESENTER: Subhash Mullapudi, Rohan Khunte, Prateek Burman

Please circle the score (1 == worst and 5 == best)
Quality of Presentation? (speaking, materials, professionalism)
4

Did the presenter understand the intended audience and what that audience cared about?
4

Was the presenter convincing (Would management actually make the requested investment?)
4

Did the presenter appear knowledgeable of the topic (understood the motivation, approach and rationale for the request)?
4

PRESENTATION TITLE: ioT + SW Arch = ROI++
PRESENTER: Guillermo Leon, Jose Luis Loyola

Please circle the score (1 == worst and 5 == best)
Quality of Presentation? (speaking, materials, professionalism)
4

Did the presenter understand the intended audience and what that audience cared about?
4

Was the presenter convincing (Would management actually make the requested investment?)
3

Did the presenter appear knowledgeable of the topic (understood the motivation, approach and rationale for the request)?
4

PRESENTATION TITLE: Business Case for Architect and Architecture
PRESENTER: Andy Yang

Please circle the score (1 == worst and 5 == best)
Quality of Presentation? (speaking, materials, professionalism)
3

Did the presenter understand the intended audience and what that audience cared about?
3

Was the presenter convincing (Would management actually make the requested investment?)
3

Did the presenter appear knowledgeable of the topic (understood the motivation, approach and rationale for the request)?
3

- -

PRESENTATION TITLE: Proposal: Staying Under Budget
PRESENTER: Greg Williams, Robert Pate

Please circle the score (1 == worst and 5 == best)
Quality of Presentation? (speaking, materials, professionalism)
4

Did the presenter understand the intended audience and what that audience cared about?
4

Was the presenter convincing (Would management actually make the requested investment?)
4

Did the presenter appear knowledgeable of the topic (understood the motivation, approach and rationale for the request)?
4

- -

PRESENTATION TITLE: Architectures a necessary step into our high performance culture
PRESENTER: Benjamin Ceils, Gregory Woodward

Please circle the score (1 == worst and 5 == best)
Quality of Presentation? (speaking, materials, professionalism)
4

Did the presenter understand the intended audience and what that audience cared about?
3

Was the presenter convincing (Would management actually make the requested investment?)
3

Did the presenter appear knowledgeable of the topic (understood the motivation, approach and rationale for the request)?
4

PRESENTATION TITLE: The Case for Software Architecture
PRESENTER: Nitsuh Tesfaye, Saanika Kenkare, and Justin Richeson

Please circle the score (1 == worst and 5 == best)
Quality of Presentation? (speaking, materials, professionalism)
3

Did the presenter understand the intended audience and what that audience cared about?
3

Was the presenter convincing (Would management actually make the requested investment?)
3

Did the presenter appear knowledgeable of the topic (understood the motivation, approach and rationale for the request)?
4