# Building a DSL for Music Composition

### Jacob Sonnenberg

May 16, 2016

## Contents

1	$Th\epsilon$	Language
	1.1	Note-Strings in the Language
	1.2	Macros in the Language

## 1 The Language

The language is made up of two major parts, macros and note-strings. Macros provide some convenience facility for writing in Elixir while the note-strings are bit-strings which are parsed to generate the data.

## 1.1 Note-Strings in the Language

In Harpsi the actual playable data is decided primarily by note-strings. The note-strings are parsed into chords and notes and act as below staff level. Transformation from raw string to playable data structure is performed by the lib/parser.ex. The backbone of the Parser is process\_word which serves as a dispatch to the various rules defining how the notes are constructed, each case of the cond serve as the individual rules for note construction. The rules of course can be any elixir expression. Regular expressions serve as the rules for how notes are constructed.

The 'bottom' of the language is process\_note, every word must decompose into single letters naming the notes A through G, or a rest. The language for note-strings is essentially a series of manipulations on 'Note, 'Chord, and 'Rest structs, and so exists in the small domain that currently allows. In the future this domain could be expanded by changing a finite number of (albeit yet undocumented) functions, and adding more fields to

the two structs, and enhancing the engine. But it serves as a simple basis for my purposes that permits some limited amount of expression.

As for rules, a good example is process\_doctave:

```
def process_doctave(word, opts) do
   cap = Regex.named_captures(~r/^(?<up_or_down>[<>])(?<word>.*)/, word)
   process_word(cap["word"], Map.put(opts, :octave,
opts.octave + (case cap["up_or_down"] do
   "<" -> -1
   ">" -> 1
        end)))
end
```

This one is changes the the octave by a shift of

Each rule should work on a certain foundation that could potentially be better enforced as macro. Implementing it is an exercise for the reader, but I'll note patterns that exist. The word arg is the individual note-string note in a staff. This is dissected by the rules via regex into the three basic structs, %Note and %Chord, and %Rest. On the other hand opts is concerned with the rest of the data associated with the notes.

Most rules are built like

with a little imagination, one could construct a macro for defining these rules in a structured way by templating the above snippet and adding an entry to a list of callback functions invoked by process\_word. More generally, the rules should have one of three things in the tail place of the function. That is,

- 1. A recursive call back to process\_word with modified parameters
- 2. A Note struct
- 3. A Rest struct

Chord not included in the list because they're only a structure requiring constituent notes, of course.

### 1.2 Macros in the Language

All the macros exist in in lib/lang.ex. The foremost actor is the piece macro which reflects the %Piece struct. When writing in Harpsi the piece macro provides a manipulable environment for writing Staff's of music and building the whole structure of the playable %Piece.

As stated there are two variable dimensions, the 'buffer' of music and the 'environment' the notes are created in. In Lang you'll find I use two agents to model this behavior in a unhygienic way, requiring a set of functions to handle an ad-hoc, unspecified behaviors for constructing the buffer and maintaining the environment. Agents are "simple abstractions around state", some shared state is kept in it so the state is accessible at different points in macro expansion

The buffer agent simply accumulates the musical structure and returns a list of Staff's, and the environment agent tracks the state of the environment as a stack. Management of the environment is especially straight forward. The environment is initialized with the start\_env function.

```
def start_env(), do: Agent.start_link(fn ->
  [%{bpm: 120, octave: 4, type: 4}] end)
```

This starts an agent with an initial element in the stack which serves as the 'default' environment. The environment is maintained with a set of three functions:

```
def push_env(env, attr_map) do
  new = Map.merge(get_env(env), attr_map)
  Agent.update(env, &[new | &1])
end

def get_env(env), do: Agent.get(env, &(&1)) |> hd

def pop_env(env), do: Agent.update(env, &t1/1)
```

The functional requirements are minimal and the behavior is pretty intuitive. It's a simple stack that implements push, pop, and peek. In this way the macro