Finding the arbitrary parameter L in Renormalization Group Theory

VIA fitting Monte Carlo simulations to Statistical Associating Fluid Theory

Billy Edward Geerhart III

A project presented for the degree of Masters of Science



Department of Physics Oregon State University June 15, 2016

Exploring Phase Equilibrium with Statistical non-Associating Fluid Theory:

A Generalized Renormalization Group Theory Approach.

Ryan M. Scheirer

Abstract

Abstract goes hereeee!

Contents

1	Introduction			
	1.1	Introduction	5	
2	Me		8	
	2.1	Free energy separation	8	
	2.2		9	
	2.3	Monte Carlo	9	
		$2.3.1 S_{exc.\infty} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $	9	
		2.3.2 Normalized Free Energy		
3	GR	G Theory 1	0	
		GRG Theory	0	
4	Dat	za 1	1	
	4.1	Computation	1	
5	Cor	nclusion 1	2	
	5.1	Conclusion	2	

List of Figures

4.1	Caption for this image	11
4.2	Caption for all three	11

Introduction


1.1 Introduction

Outline: Introduction to liquid vapor coexistence Demonstrate the problem Fix the problem what I did

The liquid-vapor phase coexistence can be found by just depositing some liquid into a sealed container. Some of the liquid will evaporate into the jar creating a vapor pressure. Provided there is enough liquid to create the necessary vapor pressure, the system will now contain both a liquid and a vapor at the same time. By sealing the liquid into a jar, we have forced the pressure of the liquid to be the same as the pressure of the gas. By allowing the atoms in the liquid to evaporate into a gas, we have also allowed the chemical potential of the liquid to be the same as the chemical potential of the gas.

Although we can find the phase coexistence by physically sealing a liquid in a jar, another method is to model the liquid using an experimentally derived model. The benefit to this method is a few observations can be used to find the parameters, and the parameters can then be used in simulations to find the rest of the coexistence curve.

To find the coexistence curve computationally, the liquid phase is usually considered to be separate from the vapor phase. This is a perfectly reasonable assumption since the surface tension normally keeps the liquid phase separate from the vapor phase. Unfortunately as the liquid approaches the critical point, the density of the liquid approaches the density of liquid. This means the surface tension decreases towards zero as the liquid approaches the critical point. This is unfortunate since the classical behavior of liquid separate from the vapor is no longer valid (see figure 1).



Although figure 1 shows an extreme example of liquid completely mixing with vapor, even under normal circumstances there exist natural fluctuations in the density of either phase. The most basic theory will deal with interactions at the shortest wavelength simply because fluctuations happen at the shortest wavelength first. The problem is that as we approach the critical point, the fluctuations grow in length scale. Fortunately there exists a method called renormalization group theory to deal with interactions on the longer length scale.

Renormalization group theory deals with the variations in density by finding the energy associated with each wavelength and each amplitude. The idea is the partition function will now sum over wavelengths and amplitudes. Forte et al. simplify the sum, but the problem is RGT contains an arbitrary initial wavelength. This initial wavelength is usually fit to the observables, but this introduces yet another free parameter since we can already change the potential used to characterize the fluid.

This project explores if it is possible fix this initial wavelength by comparing theory to Monte Carlo simulations. A Monte Carlo simulation involves putting atoms within a box and finding the density of states. The idea is that a Monte Carlo simulation at a small box size only incorporates interactions at the shortest wavelength, yet a simulation with a large box size will incorporate interactions at both short wavelengths and long wavelengths. This corresponds with how the most basic theory incorporates only short wavelength interactions, while renormalization group theory incorporates both short and long wavelength interactions. By changing the size of the box in a Monte Carlo simulation, we should be able to transition from SAFT to renormalization group theory; it is this transition that should represent the arbitrary parameter L within renormalization group theory.

Finding the arbitrary parameter L in Renormalization Group Theory by fitting Monte Carlo simulations to Statistical Associating Fluid Theory

Test of fancyhdr page.

Methods

Outline: SAFT

liquid-vapor+run-absolute How comparisons were made

2.1 Free energy separation

The Monte Carlo simulations can be partitioned into high and low temperature regimes. At low temperature the interactions dominate, while at high temperatures the entropy dominates. Both regimes can be partitioned yet again by seperating the kinetic energies into an ideal gas term. In terms of equations, we start with the partition function and seperate the summation into the three partitions:

$$Z = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{i} e^{-\beta \cdot E_i}$$

$$Z = \frac{1}{N!} \int \cdots \int e^{-\beta \cdot [\{P_1^2/2m + P_2^2/2m + \dots\} + V(\vec{R_1}, \vec{R_2}, \dots)]} d\vec{P_1} \cdot d\vec{P_2} \cdot \cdots d\vec{P_N} \cdot d\vec{R_1} \cdot d\vec{R_2} \cdot \cdots d\vec{R_N}$$

The momentum integrals are independent of the position integrals, so we separate the momentum integrals which we then incorporate into an ideal gas term by multiplying by V^N/V^N .

$$Z = \mathbf{Z}_{ideal} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbf{V}^N} \cdot \int \cdots \int e^{-\beta \cdot V(\vec{R_1}, \vec{R_2}, \dots)} d\vec{R_1} \cdot d\vec{R_2} \cdot \cdots d\vec{R_N}$$
where $Z_{ideal} = V^N \cdot \frac{1}{N!} \int \cdots \int e^{-\beta \cdot [P_1^2/2m + P_2^2/2m + \dots]} d\vec{P_1} \cdot d\vec{P_2} \cdot \cdots d\vec{P_N}$

The remaining potential energy term is separated into the low temperature and high temperature regime. At high temperatures, the atoms energy is much higher than the potential well; this means the finite potential well can be considered zero. However; even at infinite temperature the atoms are assumed to still be a hard sphere. This hard sphere behavior is incorporated into the partition function by multiplying by Z_{HS}/Z_{HS} .

$$Z = Z_{ideal} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{HS} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbf{Z}_{HS}} \cdot \frac{1}{V^N} \cdot \int \cdots \int e^{-\beta \cdot V(\vec{R_1}, \vec{R_2}, \dots)} d\vec{R_1} \cdot d\vec{R_2} \cdots d\vec{R_N}$$
where $Z_{HS} = \int \cdots \int e^{-\beta \cdot V_{HS}(\vec{R_1}, \vec{R_2}, \dots)} d\vec{R_1} \cdot d\vec{R_2} \cdots d\vec{R_N}$

The remaining terms are grouped under the dispersion term.

$$Z = Z_{ideal} \cdot Z_{HS} \cdot \mathbf{Z_{disp}}$$
where $Z_{disp} = \frac{1}{Z_{HS}} \cdot \frac{1}{V^N} \cdot \int \cdots \int e^{-\beta \cdot V(\vec{R_1}, \vec{R_2}, \dots)} d\vec{R_1} \cdot d\vec{R_2} \cdot \cdots d\vec{R_N}$

2.2 SAFT

SW.fid+SW.fdisp+SW.fhs Explain.

2.3 Monte Carlo

- **2.3.1** $S_{exc.\infty}$
- 2.3.2 Normalized Free Energy

Chapter 3 GRG Theory

3.1 GRG Theory

Some more splaining.

And also a citation example [1].

And this one too [2]

Data

4.1 Computation

Provide computation methods and data of what I have done so far. This is how you can refer to fig 4.1.




Figure 4.1: Caption for this image.




Figure 4.2: Caption for all three.

Conclusion

5.1 Conclusion

ta-da!