Week 7 - Homework

STAT 420, Summer 2017, Dalpiaz

Directions

- Be sure to remove this section if you use this .Rmd file as a template.
- You may leave the questions in your final document.

Exercise 1 (EPA Emissions Data)

For this exercise, we will use the data stored in epa2015.csv. It contains detailed descriptions of 4,411 vehicles manufactured in 2015 that were used for fuel economy testing as performed by the Environment Protection Agency. The variables in the dataset are:

- Make manufacturer
- Model model of vehicle
- ID manufacturer defined vehicle identification number within EPA's computer system (not a VIN number)
- disp cubic inch displacement of test vehicle
- type car, truck, or both (for vehicles that meet specifications of both car and truck, like smaller SUVs or crossovers)
- horse rated horsepower, in foot-pounds per second
- \bullet cyl number of cylinders
- lockup vehicle has transmission lockup; N or Y
- drive drivetrain system code
 - -A = All-wheel drive
 - F = Front-wheel drive
 - -P = Part-time 4-wheel drive
 - -R = Rear-wheel drive
 - -4 = 4-wheel drive
- weight test weight, in pounds
- axleratio axle ratio
- nvratio n/v ratio (engine speed versus vehicle speed at 50 mph)
- THC total hydrocarbons, in grams per mile (g/mi)
- CO carbon monoxide (a regulated pollutant), in g/mi
- CO2 carbon dioxide (the primary byproduct of all fossil fuel combustion), in g/mi
- mpg fuel economy, in miles per gallon

We will attempt to model CO2 using both horse and type. In practice, we would use many more predictors, but limiting ourselves to these two, one numeric and one factor, will allow us to create a number of plots.

Load the data, and check its structure using str(). Verify that type is a factor; if not, coerce it to be a factor.

(a) Do the following:

- Make a scatterplot of CO2 versus horse. Use a different color point for each vehicle type.
- Fit a simple linear regression model with CO2 as the response and only horse as the predictor.
- Add the fitted regression line to the scatterplot. Comment on how well this line models the data.
- Give an estimate for the average change in CO2 for a one foot-pound per second increase in horse for a vehicle of type car.

• Give a 99% prediction interval using this model for the CO2 of a Subaru Impreza Wagon, which is a vehicle with 148 horsepower and is considered type Both. (Interestingly, the dataset gives the wrong drivetrain for most Subarus in this dataset, as they are almost all listed as F, when they are in fact all-wheel drive.)

(b) Do the following:

- Make a scatterplot of CO2 versus horse. Use a different color point for each vehicle type.
- Fit an additive multiple regression model with CO2 as the response and horse and type as the predictors.
- Add the fitted regression "lines" to the scatterplot with the same colors as their respective points (one line for each vehicle type). Comment on how well this line models the data.
- Give an estimate for the average change in CO2 for a one foot-pound per second increase in horse for a vehicle of type car.
- Give a 99% prediction interval using this model for the CO2 of a Subaru Impreza Wagon, which is a vehicle with 148 horsepower and is considered type Both.

(c) Do the following:

- Make a scatterplot of CO2 versus horse. Use a different color point for each vehicle type.
- Fit an interaction multiple regression model with CO2 as the response and horse and type as the
 predictors.
- Add the fitted regression "lines" to the scatterplot with the same colors as their respective points (one line for each vehicle type). Comment on how well this line models the data.
- Give an estimate for the average change in CO2 for a one foot-pound per second increase in horse for a vehicle of type car.
- Give a 99% prediction interval using this model for the CO2 of a Subaru Impreza Wagon, which is a vehicle with 148 horsepower and is considered type Both.
- (d) Based on the previous plots, you probably already have an opinion on the best model. Now use an ANOVA F-test to compare the additive and interaction models. Based on this test and a significance level of $\alpha = 0.01$, which model is preferred?

Exercise 2 (Hospital SUPPORT Data)

For this exercise, we will use the data stored in hospital.csv. It contains a random sample of 580 seriously ill hospitalized patients from a famous study called "SUPPORT" (Study to Understand Prognoses Preferences Outcomes and Risks of Treatment). As the name suggests, the purpose of the study was to determine what factors affected or predicted outcomes, such as how long a patient remained in the hospital. The variables in the dataset are:

- Days Days to death or hospital discharge
- Age Age on day of hospital admission
- Sex Female or male
- Comorbidity Patient diagnosed with more than one chronic disease
- EdYears Years of education
- Education Education level; high or low
- Income Income level; high or low
- Charges Hospital charges, in dollars
- Care Level of care required; high or low
- Race Non-white or white
- Pressure Blood pressure, in mmHg
- Blood White blood cell count, in gm/dL
- Rate Heart rate, in bpm

For this exercise, we will use Charges, Pressure, Care, and Race to model Days.

- (a) Load the data, and check its structure using str(). Verify that Care and Race are factors; if not, coerce them to be factors. What are the levels of Care and Race?
- (b) Fit an additive multiple regression model with Days as the response using Charges, Pressure, Care, and Race as predictors. What does R choose as the reference level for Care and Race?
- (c) Fit a multiple regression model with Days as the response. Use the main effects of Charges, Pressure, Care, and Race, as well as the interaction of Care with each of the numeric predictors as predictors (that is, the interaction of Care with Charges and the interaction of Care with Pressure). Use a statistical test to compare this model to the additive model using a significance level of $\alpha = 0.01$. Which do you prefer?
- (d) Fit a multiple regression model with Days as the response. Use the predictors from the model in (c) as well as the interaction of Race with each of the numeric predictors (that is, the interaction of Race with Charges and the interaction of Race with Pressure). Use a statistical test to compare this model to the additive model using a significance level of $\alpha = 0.01$. Which do you prefer?
- (e) Using the model in (d), give an estimate of the change in average Days for a one-unit increase in Pressure for a "non-white" patient that required a low level of care.
- (f) Find a model using the four predictors that we have been considering that is more flexible than the model in (d) and that is also statistically significant as compared to the model in (d) at a significance level of $\alpha = 0.01$.

Exercise 3 (Fish Data)

For this exercise, we will use the data stored in fish.csv. It contains data for 158 fish of 7 different species all gathered from the same lake in one season. The variables in the dataset are:

- Species Common name (Latin name)
 - -1 = Bream (Abramis brama)
 - -2 =Whitewish (*Leuciscus idus*)
 - -3 =Roach (Leuciscus rutilus)
 - $-4 = (Abramis\ bjoerkna)$
 - -5 = Smelt (Osmerus eperlanus)
 - -6 = Pike (Esox Lucius)
 - -7 = Perch (Perca fluviatilis)
- Weight Weight of the fish, in grams
- Length 1 Length from the nose to the beginning of the tail, in cm
- Length 2 Length from the nose to the notch of the tail, in cm
- Length3 Length from the nose to the end of the tail, in cm
- HeightPct Maximal height as % of Length3
- WidthPct Maximal width as % of Length3
- Sex 0 = female, 1 = male

We will attempt to predict Weight using Length1, HeightPct, and WidthPct.

Consider the model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_4 x_1 x_2 + \beta_5 x_1 x_3 + \beta_6 x_2 x_3 + \beta_7 x_1 x_2 x_3 + \epsilon,$$

where

- ullet Y is Weight
- x_1 is Length1
- x_2 is HeightPct
- x_3 is WidthPct.

(a) Fit the model above. Also consider fitting a smaller model in R.

```
fish_smaller = lm(Weight ~ Length1 + HeightPct * WidthPct, data = fish)
```

Use a statistical test to compare this model with the previous. Report the following:

- The null and alternative hypotheses in terms of the model given in the exercise description
- The value of the test statistic
- The p-value of the test
- A statistical decision using a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$
- Which model you prefer
- (c) Give an expression based on the model in the exercise description for the true change in average weight for a 1 cm increase in Length1 for a fish with a HeightPct of 25 and a WidthPct of 15. Your answer should be a linear function of the β s.
- (d) Give an expression based on the smaller model in the exercise description for the true change in average weight for a 1 cm increase in Length1 for a fish with a HeightPct of 25 and a WidthPct of 15. Your answer should be a linear function of the β s.

Exercise 4 (t-test Is a Linear Model)

In this exercise, we will try to convince ourselves that a two-sample t-test assuming equal variance is the same as a t-test for the coefficient in front of a single two-level factor variable (dummy variable) in a linear model.

First, we set up the data frame that we will use throughout.

```
n = 20

sim_data = data.frame(
  groups = c(rep("A", n / 2), rep("B", n / 2)),
  values = rep(0, n))
str(sim_data)

## 'data.frame': 20 obs. of 2 variables:
```

```
## $ groups: Factor w/ 2 levels "A", "B": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
## $ values: num 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
```

We will use a total sample size of 20, 10 for each group. The groups variable splits the data into two groups, A and B, which will be the grouping variable for the t-test and a factor variable in a regression. The values variable will store simulated data.

We will repeat the following process a number of times.

```
sim_data$values = rnorm(n, mean = 5, sd = 2.2) # simulate response data
summary(lm(values ~ groups, data = sim_data))
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = values ~ groups, data = sim_data)
## Residuals:
      Min
              1Q Median
                             30
                                   Max
## -4.363 -1.156 -0.076 1.391
                                 3.544
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                  4.736
                             0.636
                                       7.45 6.7e-07 ***
```

```
## groupsB
                -0.215
                             0.899
                                     -0.24
                                              0.81
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 2.01 on 18 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.00317,
                                   Adjusted R-squared:
## F-statistic: 0.0572 on 1 and 18 DF, p-value: 0.814
t.test(values ~ groups, data = sim data, var.equal = TRUE)
##
##
   Two Sample t-test
##
## data: values by groups
## t = 0.24, df = 18, p-value = 0.8
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -1.674 2.104
## sample estimates:
## mean in group A mean in group B
            4.736
We use lm() to test
```

 $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$

for the model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \epsilon$$

where Y are the values of interest, and x_1 is a dummy variable that splits the data in two. We will let R take care of the dummy variable.

We use t.test() to test

$$H_0: \mu_A = \mu_B$$

where μ_A is the mean for the A group, and μ_B is the mean for the B group.

The following code sets up some variables for storage.

```
num_sims = 200
lm_t = rep(0, num_sims)
lm_p = rep(0, num_sims)
tt_t = rep(0, num_sims)
tt_p = rep(0, num_sims)
```

- lm_t will store the test statistic for the test $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$.
- lm_p will store the p-value for the test $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$.
- tt_t will store the test statistic for the test $H_0: \mu_A = \mu_B$.
- tt_p will store the p-value for the test $H_0: \mu_A = \mu_B$.

The variable num_sims controls how many times we will repeat this process, which we have chosen to be 200.

(a) Set a seed equal to your birthday. Then write code that repeats the above process 200 times. Each time, store the appropriate values in lm_t, lm_p, tt_t, and tt_p. Specifically, each time you should use

 sim_data values = rnorm(n, mean = 5, sd = 2.2) to update the data. The grouping will always stay the same.

- (b) Report the value obtained by running mean(lm_t == tt_t), which tells us what proportion of the test statistics are equal. The result may be extremely surprising!
- (c) Report the value obtained by running mean(lm_p == tt_p), which tells us what proportion of the p-values are equal. The result may be extremely surprising!
- (d) If you have done everything correctly so far, your answers to the last two parts won't indicate the equivalence we want to show! What the heck is going on here? The first issue is one of using a computer to do calculations. When a computer checks for equality, it demands equality; nothing can be different. However, when a computer performs calculations, it can only do so with a certain level of precision. So, if we calculate two quantities we know to be analytically equal, they can differ numerically. Instead of mean(lm_p == tt_p) run all.equal(lm_p, tt_p). This will perform a similar calculation, but with a very small error tolerance for each equality. What is the result of running this code? What does it mean?
- (e) Your answer in (d) should now make much more sense. Then what is going on with the test statistics? Look at the values stored in lm_t and tt_t. What do you notice? Is there a relationship between the two? Can you explain why this is happening?