Week 9 - Homework

STAT 420, Summer 2017, Dalpiaz

Directions

- Be sure to remove this section if you use this .Rmd file as a template.
- You may leave the questions in your final document.

Exercise 1 (longley Macroeconomic Data)

The built-in dataset longley contains macroeconomic data for predicting employment. We will attempt to model the Employed variable.

View(longley)
?longley

- (a) What is the largest correlation between any pair of predictors in the dataset?
- (b) Fit a model with Employed as the response and the remaining variables as predictors. Calculate and report the variance inflation factor (VIF) for each of the predictors. Which variable has the largest VIF? Do any of the VIFs suggest multicollinearity?
- (c) What proportion of the observed variation in Population is explained by a linear relationship with the other predictors?
- (d) Calculate the partial correlation coefficient for Population and Employed with the effects of the other predictors removed.
- (e) Fit a new model with Employed as the response and the predictors from the model in (b) that were significant. (Use $\alpha = 0.05$.) Calculate and report the variance inflation factor for each of the predictors. Which variable has the largest VIF? Do any of the VIFs suggest multicollinearity?
- (f) Use an F-test to compare the models in parts (b) and (e). Report the following:
 - The null hypothesis
 - The test statistic
 - The distribution of the test statistic under the null hypothesis
 - The p-value
 - A decision
 - Which model you prefer, (b) or (e)
- (g) Check the assumptions of the model chosen in part (f). Do any assumptions appear to be violated?

Exercise 2 (Boston Housing Data)

(a) Use the Boston data found in the MASS package to find a "good" model for medv. Use any methods seen in class. The model should reach a LOOCV-RMSE below 3.25 and the Breusch-Pagan test should fail to reject at an α of 0.01. Do not use any transformations of the response variable.

Store your model in a variable called <code>good_model</code>. Run the two given chunks to verify your model meets the requested criteria. If you cannot find a model that meets both criteria, partial credit will be given for meeting at least one of the criteria.

```
library(lmtest)

get_bp_decision = function(model, alpha) {
    decide = unname(bptest(model)$p.value < alpha)
    ifelse(decide, "Reject", "Fail to Reject")
}

get_loocv_rmse = function(model) {
    sqrt(mean((resid(model) / (1 - hatvalues(model))) ^ 2))
}

get_bp_decision(good_model, alpha = 0.01)
get_loocv_rmse(good_model)</pre>
```

Exercise 3 (Ball Bearings)

For this exercise we will use the data stored in ballbearings.csv. It contains 210 observations, each of which reports the results of a test on a set of ball bearings. Manufacturers who use bearings in their products have an interest in their reliability. The basic measure of reliability in this context is the rating life, also known in engineering as fatigue failure. The objective is to model L50, the median lifetime of this sample of ball bearings. The variables in the dataset are:

- \bullet L50 median life: the number of revolutions that 50% of a group of identical bearings would be expected to achieve
- P the load on the bearing in operation
- Z the number of balls in the bearing
- D the diameter of the balls
- Company denotes who manufactured the ball bearing (A, B, C)
- Type Company B makes several types of ball bearings (1, 2, 3); 0 otherwise
- (a) Find a model for log(L50) that does not reject the Shapiro-Wilk test at $\alpha = 0.01$ and obtains an adjusted R^2 higher than 0.52. You may not remove any observations, but may consider transformations. Your model should use fewer than 10 β parameters.

Store your model in a variable called <code>good_model_a</code>. Run the two given chunks to verify your model meets the requested criteria. If you cannot find a model that meets all criteria, partial credit will be given for meeting at least some of the criteria.

```
get_sw_decision = function(model, alpha) {
    decide = unname(shapiro.test(resid(model))$p.value < alpha)
    ifelse(decide, "Reject", "Fail to Reject")
}

get_num_params = function(model) {
    length(coef(model))
}

get_adj_r2 = function(model) {
    summary(model)$adj.r.squared
}

get_sw_decision(good_model_a, alpha = 0.01)
get_num_params(good_model_a)
get_adj_r2(good_model_a)</pre>
```

(b) Find a model for log(L50) that does not reject the Shapiro-Wilk test at $\alpha = 0.01$ and obtains an adjusted R^2 higher than 0.60. You may not remove any observations, but may consider transformations. Your model should use fewer than 20 β parameters.

Store your model in a variable called <code>good_model_b</code>. Run the given chunk to verify your model meets the requested criteria. If you cannot find a model that meets all criteria, partial credit will be given for meeting at least some of the criteria.

```
get_sw_decision(good_model_b, alpha = 0.01)
get_num_params(good_model_b)
get_adj_r2(good_model_b)
```

Exercise 4 (Does It Work?)

In this exercise, we will investigate how well backwards AIC and BIC actually perform. For either to be "working" correctly, they should result in a low number of both **false positives** and **false negatives**. In model selection,

- False Positive, FP: Incorrectly including a variable in the model. Including a non-significant variable
- False Negative, FN: Incorrectly excluding a variable in the model. Excluding a significant variable

Consider the **true** model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_4 x_4 + \beta_5 x_5 + \beta_6 x_6 + \beta_7 x_7 + \beta_8 x_8 + \beta_9 x_9 + \beta_{10} x_{10} + \epsilon_8 x_{10} + \beta_1 x_{10} + \beta_1$$

where $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 = 9)$. The true values of the β parameters are given in the R code below.

```
beta_0 = 1
beta_1 = 0
beta_2 = 1
beta_3 = 0
beta_4 = 2
beta_5 = 0
beta_6 = 1
beta_7 = 0
beta_8 = 2
beta_9 = 0
beta_10 = 1
sigma = 3
```

Then, as we have specified them, some variables are significant, and some are not. We store their names in R variables for use later.

```
not_sig = c("x_1", "x_3", "x_5", "x_7", "x_9")
signif = c("x_2", "x_4", "x_6", "x_8", "x_10")
```

We now simulate values for these x variables, which we will use throughout part (a).

```
set.seed(42)
n = 100
x_1 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_2 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_3 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_4 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_5 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_6 = runif(n, 0, 10)
```

```
x_7 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_8 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_9 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_10 = runif(n, 0, 10)
```

We then combine these into a data frame and simulate y according to the true model.

We do a quick check to make sure everything looks correct.

```
head(sim_data_1)
```

```
## 1 9.148 6.262 8.851 4.8377 0.227 1.365 4.982 7.655 9.090 0.9615 37.66
## 2 9.371 2.172 5.171 4.4457 5.132 1.771 2.828 5.050 8.999 0.3690 27.05
## 3 2.861 2.166 8.519 0.6039 6.307 5.196 7.764 2.025 1.923 4.0094 17.62
## 4 8.304 3.889 4.428 3.2751 4.188 8.111 3.039 7.171 5.323 0.1154 34.42
## 5 6.417 9.425 1.579 8.7843 8.793 1.154 5.156 7.681 5.221 5.6789 48.03
## 6 5.191 9.626 4.423 9.3060 1.080 8.934 4.780 7.064 1.603 9.4495 61.16
```

Now, we fit an incorrect model.

```
fit = lm(y \sim x_5 + x_6 + x_7, data = sim_data_1)
coef(fit)
```

```
## (Intercept) x_5 x_6 x_7
## 29.93131 -0.07864 1.22372 0.08668
```

Notice, we have coefficients for x_5 , x_6 , and x_7 . This means that x_5 and x_7 are false positives, while x_2 , x_4 , x_8 , and x_10 are false negatives.

To detect the false negatives, use:

```
# which are false negatives?
!(signif %in% names(coef(fit)))
```

[1] TRUE TRUE FALSE TRUE TRUE

To detect the false positives, use:

```
# which are false positives?
names(coef(fit)) %in% not_sig
```

[1] FALSE TRUE FALSE TRUE

Note that in both cases, you could sum() the result to obtain the number of false negatives or positives.

- (a) Set a seed equal to your birthday; then, using the given data for each x variable above in sim_data_1, simulate the response variable y 200 times. Each time,
 - Fit an additive model using each of the x variables.
 - Perform variable selection using backwards AIC.
 - Perform variable selection using backwards BIC.
 - Calculate and store the number of false negatives for the models chosen by AIC and BIC.
 - Calculate and store the number of false positives for the models chosen by AIC and BIC.

Calculate the rate of false positives and negatives for both AIC and BIC. Compare the rates between the two methods. Arrange your results in a well formatted table.

- (b) Set a seed equal to your birthday; then, using the given data for each x variable below in sim_data_2, simulate the response variable y 200 times. Each time,
 - Fit an additive model using each of the x variables.
 - Perform variable selection using backwards AIC.
 - Perform variable selection using backwards BIC.
 - Calculate and store the number of false negatives for the models chosen by AIC and BIC.
 - Calculate and store the number of false positives for the models chosen by AIC and BIC.

Calculate the rate of false positives and negatives for both AIC and BIC. Compare the rates between the two methods. Arrange your results in a well formatted table. Also compare to your answers in part (a) and suggest a reason for any differences.

```
set.seed(42)
x_1 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_2 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_3 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_4 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_5 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_6 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_7 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x_8 = x_6 + rnorm(n, 0, 0.1)
x_9 = x_6 + rnorm(n, 0, 0.1)
x_10 = x_4 + rnorm(n, 0, 0.1)
sim_data_2 = data_frame(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_10, y = beta_0 + beta_2 * x_2 + beta_4 * x_4 + beta_6 * x_6 + beta_8 * x_8 + beta_10 * x_10 + rnorm(n, 0, sigma)
)
```