Literature Review: Assessing the Socio-Hydrological "Rebound Effect" of Inter-Basin Water Transfer on Agricultural Intensification

1. Introduction

1.1 Background on Inter-Basin Water Transfer (IBWT)

Inter-basin water transfer (IBWT) is a prominent supply-side strategy employed globally to address spatial mismatches between water availability and socio-economic demand (Davies et al., 1992; Katz, 2016). By moving surplus water from hydrologically separate donor basins to water-scarce recipient basins through extensive engineering structures such as canals, pipelines, and dams, IBWT aims to assure water security for municipal, industrial, and agricultural sectors (Snaddon & Davies, 2006; Gohari et al., 2013). Historically, IBWT development peaked in the 1970s and 1980s, but recent decades have seen a resurgence in "mega-scale" schemes, particularly in developing nations like China and India (Rollason et al., 2021). These massive interventions, such as China's South-to-North Water Diversion Project (SNWTP), represent some of the most significant human modifications of the global hydrological cycle.

1.2 Concept of Socio-Hydrology

The traditional water-centric management approach, often encapsulated in Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM), has been increasingly criticized for failing to account for the dynamic interactions between human and natural systems (Rollason et al., 2021; Sivapalan et al., 2012). Socio-hydrology emerged as a new discipline to study the co-evolution of human-water systems, emphasizing the feedback loops that emerge when human decisions respond to water availability and, in turn, alter the hydrological state (Sivapalan et al., 2012). In the context of IBWT, socio-hydrology provides a lens to examine how the "artificial" increase in water supply reshapes human behavior, regional economies, and long-term water sustainability.

1.3 Definition and Theoretical Basis of the "Rebound Effect"

A critical challenge identified in recent IBWT assessments is the "rebound effect," a phenomenon where improvements in water supply or efficiency lead to a paradoxical increase in total consumption (Dong et al., 2023; Ma & Wang, 2024). Rooted in the Jevons Paradox from energy economics, the rebound effect in water resources suggests that as water becomes more available or "cheaper" (in terms of perceived risk or cost), users may expand their usage rather than achieving the intended conservation (Seckler, 1996). In IBWT systems, the stabilization of regional water storage can provide a false sense of security, encouraging intensification of activities that were previously limited by water scarcity.

1.4 Relevance to Agricultural Intensification

Agriculture is the primary driver of global water consumption, accounting for approximately 70% of freshwater use (Hoogeveen et al., 2015). In semi-arid regions such as the North China Plain (NCP), agricultural production is heavily dependent on irrigation, often leading to severe groundwater depletion (Dong et al., 2023). While IBWT is intended to compensate for this unsustainable use, recent satellite observations suggest that the increased water availability is frequently met with a corresponding increase in agricultural intensification—characterized by higher cropping intensity, expanded irrigated areas, and increased crop density (Dong et al., 2023). This intensification can partially or entirely offset the hydrological gains of the transfer, presenting a significant hurdle for achieving long-term freshwater sustainability.

2. Theoretical Framework

2.1 Socio-Hydrological Feedback Mechanisms

The relationship between IBWT and agricultural intensification can be conceptualized as a socio-hydrological feedback loop. An increase in external water supply (IBWT) reduces the immediate water stress in the recipient basin. This reduction in stress facilitates socio-economic growth and agricultural expansion (human response). However, as agricultural land and crop density increase, the total evapotranspiration (ET) demand also rises, leading to renewed pressure on local and transferred water resources (hydrological response). This "loop" suggests that without behavioral management or policy intervention, supply-side solutions like IBWT may perpetuate a cycle of increasing demand (Dong et al., 2023; Rollason et al., 2021).

2.2 Water-Energy-Food (WEF) Nexus Considerations

The assessment of IBWT requires a holistic perspective that integrates water, energy, and food security. The WEF Nexus model highlights the interdependencies between these sectors (Endo et al., 2017). For instance, transferring large volumes of water requires significant energy for pumping, while the primary purpose of many IBWT schemes is to ensure food security through irrigation. Rollason et al. (2021) propose an "enhanced WEF" (eWEF) model to better evaluate IBWT, arguing that traditional models often exclude power dynamics and long-term sustainability. Agricultural intensification following IBWT directly impacts the food-water pillar of the nexus, potentially at the cost of high energy usage and environmental degradation in both donor and recipient basins.

2.3 Rebound Effect Theory (Jevons Paradox applied to Water Systems)

The application of Jevons Paradox to water systems highlights the "efficiency trap." When irrigation efficiency is improved or water supply is augmented, the unit cost of water effectively decreases. This can lead to "dry" to "wet" water savings, where perceived savings at the field scale do not translate to basin-scale savings because the "saved" water is reallocated to new crops or expanded areas (Seckler, 1996; Karimi et al., 2012). In IBWT contexts, the rebound effect is often driven by policy-driven agricultural production targets and the high value of crops, which incentivize farmers to fully utilize the new water supply (Ma & Wang, 2024). This theoretical underpinning suggests that technological and engineering fixes are insufficient without robust socio-economic management and regulatory oversight.

3. Global Case Studies of IBWT

3.1 Environmental and Hydrological Impacts

Global assessments of IBWT schemes demonstrate a wide range of impacts on both donor and recipient basins. The primary environmental concern is the alteration of natural flow regimes. In donor basins, the removal of water can lead to riverbed drying, loss of riparian wetlands, and saltwater intrusion in deltas (Zhang, 2009). For instance, the Tarim and Konqi River systems in Xinjiang have seen natural riparian vegetation increase near the Tarim mainstem due to inter-basin transfers, but at the direct expense of vegetation on the Konqi (Chipman et al., 2016). Distal regions that were formerly subject to sporadic seasonal flooding have been cut off from their water supply due to rigid management structures, highlighting the spatial redistribution of ecological health (Chipman et al., 2016).

In recipient basins, while water scarcity is alleviated, the sudden increase in discharge can cause morphological changes and unexpected flood inundation. Bui et al. (2020) demonstrated that the transfer from the Zab River to Lake Urmia in Iran could triple the discharge of the Gadar River, leading to significant inundation of adjacent floodplains. Their GIS-based simulation showed that in peak months (April-June), over 1,400 hectares of land could be inundated, violating UNESCO's sustainability criteria for project implementation (Bui et al., 2020). Furthermore, the connection of previously isolated basins introduces a high risk of spreading invasive aquatic species and pathogens, which can fundamentally alter local biodiversity (Snaddon et al., 1999).

Beyond physical inundation, IBWT projects introduce significant biogeochemical feedbacks. The spatiotemporal transfer of water is inevitably accompanied by the transfer of pollution loads. In the Fenhe River Basin, Jiao et al. (2021) utilized the SWAT model to quantify how IBWT affects Water Environmental Capacity (WEC). Their results revealed a complex seasonal pattern: while channel flow increased by up to 80% during the dry season, the remnant WEC for Total Nitrogen (TN) actually decreased by 2%, whereas the capacity for Total Phosphorus (TP) increased by 140% (Jiao et al., 2021). This suggests that IBWT can act as both a dilutant and a source of pollutants, depending on the agricultural runoff characteristics of the donor vs. recipient areas. When agricultural intensification (the rebound effect) occurs in the recipient basin, the increased application of fertilizers further complicates these biogeochemical cycles, potentially leading to eutrophication in recipient reservoirs (Jiao et al., 2021).

3.2 Agricultural Expansion and Irrigation Intensification

A recurring theme in IBWT history is the rapid expansion of irrigated agriculture following water delivery. In China's North China Plain, the Middle Route of the SNWTP (MR-SNWDP) has delivered over 66 billion cubic meters of water since 2014, significantly boosting vegetation greenness and crop productivity (Cui et al., 2025). Satellite-based assessment using MODIS NDVI and GRACE TWS revealed that this enhancement is particularly pronounced in agricultural zones where supplementary irrigation has improved water-use efficiency (Cui et al., 2025).

However, Dong et al. (2023) quantified that while IBWT effectively stabilized the regional Terrestrial Water Storage (TWS) deficit, its positive impact was partly offset by increased crop water consumption (estimated at -24.1 ± 5.1 mm) due to higher grain yields and intensification. Their analysis showed that the winter wheat growing season (March to May) experienced a strong positive trend in Leaf Area Index (LAI), indicating more intensive cultivation per unit area. Similarly, in the Indus Basin, basin-wide water accounting using remote sensing revealed that despite high basin-level efficiency (0.84), water productivity remains low because non-beneficial soil evaporation in irrigated areas consumes half of the total water depletion (Karimi et al., 2012). The "rebound" effect here is manifest as a transition from "dry" savings to increased "wet" consumption, as farmers utilize the reliable supply to maximize harvest indices rather than conserve water for regional recovery.

3.3 Policy and Governance Implications

The governance of IBWT schemes often faces challenges related to administrative boundaries and conflicting stakeholder interests. Ma and Wang (2024) analyzed the South-to-North Water Transfer Project's impact on Water Use Efficiency (WUE) and found that the Middle Route (MR-SNWTP) promoted WUE more effectively than the Eastern Route (ER-SNWTP) or local diversions. This was attributed to the higher pricing and more stringent water-saving regulations accompanying the MR-SNWTP. Conversely, cheaper water from local river diversions often led to wasteful usage in agricultural sectors (Ma & Wang, 2024). This indicates that the rebound effect is not an inevitable hydrological outcome but is mediated by policy instruments, particularly water pricing and quotas.

4. Remote Sensing and GIS Applications in IBWT Assessment

4.1 Use of Multi-Sensor Satellite Data

The complexity and scale of IBWT schemes necessitate the use of multi-sensor satellite data for comprehensive assessment. Satellite platforms provide the spatiotemporal depth required to detect long-term changes across transboundary basins. The integration of gravimetric, optical, thermal, and radar sensors allows for a multi-dimensional view of the water cycle.

- **Gravimetric (GRACE/GRACE-FO)**: Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment data have been pivotal in identifying global hotspots of TWS depletion and assessing the recovery trends following IBWT projects (Rodell et al., 2018; Tapley et al., 2019). Dong et al. (2023) used GRACE to verify the effectiveness of the SNWTP in mitigating regional water shortages.
- **Optical (Landsat, Sentinel-2, MODIS)**: These sensors are used for high-resolution land use/land cover (LULC) mapping, vegetation index retrieval (NDVI, EVI), and detecting surface water area changes (Guo et al., 2024). Sentinel-2, with its 10-meter resolution and red-edge bands, is particularly useful for crop type classification and monitoring riparian vegetation health.
- **Thermal (MODIS, Landsat TIRS)**: Land Surface Temperature (LST) data are used to estimate moisture stress and as an input for energy balance-based evapotranspiration models (Cui et al., 2025).

4.2 Monitoring Irrigation Expansion and Land Use Dynamics

Satellite-based change detection is the standard method for monitoring the physical footprint of agricultural intensification. Chipman et al. (2016) used Landsat and MODIS to show that agriculture in the Tarim Basin nearly tripled in extent between 1998 and 2011, driven by water management practices. GIS analysis facilitates the spatial correlation of these changes with the proximity to IBWT canals and infrastructure, allowing researchers to attribute expansion directly to the transfer projects.

4.3 Evapotranspiration (ET) Estimation

Accurately quantifying crop water consumption (ET) is critical for detecting the rebound effect. Repository findings highlight various models that bridge the gap between field measurements and basin-scale monitoring:

- **ETLook**: As a two-layer energy balance model, ETLook is particularly robust because it computes evaporation (from soil) and transpiration (from vegetation) separately. It utilizes microwave-based soil moisture data and optical Leaf Area Index (LAI) to solve the partitioning of net radiation (Bastiaanssen et al., 2012). This separation is crucial for identifying "non-beneficial" water loss, which Karimi et al. (2012) found to be a significant component of water depletion in the Indus Basin.
- **SEBAL and METRIC**: These Surface Energy Balance algorithms use the thermal infrared band to estimate the latent heat flux as the residual of the energy balance. They are widely used for assessing irrigation performance at the scheme level. However, their reliance on cloud-free imagery during the satellite overpass often limits their applicability in humid or monsoonal donor basins (Karimi et al., 2012).
- **SSEBop (Operational Simplified Surface Energy Balance)**: This model simplifies the ET estimation by using thermal data to interpolate between "hot" (dry) and "cold" (well-watered) reference pixels, providing a scalable approach for monitoring agricultural intensification across vast IBWT destination areas.

4.4 Groundwater Storage Changes and Subsidence

Beyond surface water, the impact of IBWT on aquifers is a primary success metric. The integration of gravimetric data with high-resolution geodetic measurements represents the state-of-the-art in this field.

- **GRACE-based Hydrology**: Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) and its Follow-On (GRACE-FO) missions provide monthly terrestrial water storage anomalies (TWSA). In the North China Plain, GRACE has been used to verify that IBWT stabilized groundwater levels, reversing a multi-decadal depletion trend (Dong et al., 2023). However, the coarse spatial resolution (approx. 300 km) often requires downscaling or integration with local well data to be useful for municipal management (Cui et al., 2025).
- **InSAR for Land Subsidence**: Prolonged groundwater overexploitation leads to aquifer compaction and land subsidence. GIS-based integration of Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) allows for sub-centimeter monitoring of land surface changes. By correlating InSAR-derived subsidence rates with IBWT-induced groundwater recharge patterns, researchers can assess the effectiveness of the transfer in preserving urban infrastructure and preventing irreversible geological damage (Cui et al., 2025).
- **Synergistic Modeling**: Advanced studies now use SWAT (Soil and Water Assessment Tool) calibrated with remote sensing data to simulate spatiotemporal variations in the water environmental capacity (WEC) and ecological flow satisfaction. Jiao et al. (2021) demonstrated that IBWT significantly improves channel flow in recipient basins, but the impact on water quality capacity varies seasonally, with Nitrogen and Phosphorus dilution effects being highly dependent on the transfer volume and timing.

5. Agricultural Intensification Detection Approaches

5.1 Cropping Intensity Mapping

Detecting agricultural intensification requires moving beyond simple "cropland vs. non-cropland" maps to quantifying how intensively the land is used. Multi-temporal satellite data are essential for mapping cropping intensity (e.g., single vs. double cropping). By analyzing the seasonal profiles of vegetation indices like NDVI or EVI, researchers can identify the number of peaks in a growing year, which corresponds to the number of harvest cycles. In regions benefiting from IBWT, a shift from single to double cropping is a clear indicator of intensification driven by increased water security (Dong et al., 2023).

5.2 NDVI/EVI Trends and Phenology

Vegetation indices provide a direct proxy for biomass and primary productivity. Long-term trend analysis (e.g., Mann-Kendall test and Sen's slope) of MODIS or Landsat NDVI series reveals the greening patterns associated with IBWT. Cui et al. (2025) used this approach to show that IBWT in Beijing shifted the vegetation growing season, leading to earlier green-up and delayed senescence (advanced SOS and delayed EOS). This extension of the growing season is a key component of intensification, as it allows for more prolonged and productive agricultural cycles.

5.3 High-Resolution Irrigation Mapping

The integration of optical and radar data (e.g., Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2) enables the detection of irrigation events. Radar backscatter is sensitive to soil moisture increases following irrigation, which, when combined with optical greenness peaks, allows for high-resolution mapping of irrigated areas. This is crucial for verifying if IBWT-delivered water is being used to expand irrigation into previously rainfed or barren lands, as seen in the Tarim Basin (Chipman et al., 2016).

5.4 Water Productivity Analysis

Water productivity (WP), defined as the yield per unit of water consumed (kg/m3), is a fundamental metric for evaluating the efficiency of IBWT systems. Karimi et al. (2012) demonstrated the use of satellite-based ET and biomass products to calculate WP at the basin scale. In socio-hydrological terms, a low or stagnating WP despite increased water supply indicates that intensification is occurring through "extensification" of water use rather than technological improvement, heightening the risk of the rebound effect.

6. Identified Research Gaps

6.1 Limitations in Current IBWT Impact Assessments

Most existing assessments of IBWT are "static" or focus on short-term outcomes. There is a lack of long-term, lifecycle-based studies that track the evolution of environmental impacts from project initiation through operation and long-term stabilization. Furthermore, impact studies are often fragmented, treating hydrological, ecological, and socio-economic effects in isolation rather than as an integrated system (Zhuang et al., 2025; Cui et al., 2025).

6.2 Gaps in Socio-Hydrological Modeling

While socio-hydrology provides the theoretical framework for human-water feedbacks, current quantitative models often lack high-resolution empirical data to calibrate the "human" component of the loop. There is a specific gap in modeling the behavioral thresholds of farmers—at what point does water security trigger a shift in cropping intensity, and how do regional policies (like pricing) mediate this threshold? (Ma & Wang, 2024).

6.3 Need for Integrated Satellite-Based Quantitative Assessment

There is a profound need for research that synergistically integrates multiple satellite datasets to close the water balance. While individual studies use GRACE for TWS or MODIS for NDVI, few have successfully combined these with high-resolution land cover change, ET estimation, and socio-economic data to quantify the net "loss" of water to the rebound effect at a granular scale.

7. Synthesis and Conceptual Framework

7.1 Proposed Socio-Hydrological Feedback Loop Model

To address the identified gaps, this review proposes a conceptual model of the IBWT-Agricultural Rebound Loop.

1. **Water Transfer Component**: External supply increases regional water storage (TWS).
2. **Socio-Economic Response**: Perception of water security leads to agricultural intensification (increased LAI, double cropping, irrigation expansion).
3. **Hydrological Feedback**: Intensified agriculture increases ET demand, leading to accelerated depletion of the transferred and local water (rebound effect).
4. **Policy Intervention Point**: Implementation of water pricing, quotas, and efficiency regulations to "decouple" water supply from intensification (Ma & Wang, 2024).

7.2 Integration of Satellite Data and GIS

The proposed research framework integrates:

- **Input Monitoring**: Satellite-derived rainfall (GPM/CHIRPS) and IBWT volumes.
- **State Monitoring**: Regional TWS trends (GRACE) and localized land subsidence (InSAR).
- **Outcome Monitoring**: Agricultural intensification detection (LAI/NDVI trends, cropping intensity mapping).
- **Modeling**: A GIS-based water balance model to quantify the percentage of IBWT gains offset by the rebound effect.

7.3 Socio-Economic Drivers of Agricultural Behavioral Shifts

Understanding the "human" element of the socio-hydrological feedback loop requires an analysis of the economic incentives that drive intensification. Ma and Wang (2024) highlight that in the Yellow River Basin, the technopolitics of IBWT infrastructure often prioritize economic development in already relatively developed areas. The high reliability and quality of transferred water (e.g., diverted water accounting for 80-90% of urban supply in Beijing and Zhengzhou) reduce the "risk perception" of farmers and industrial users (Cui et al., 2025).

When water is perceived as a "guaranteed" resource, the marginal benefit of expanding cultivation or increasing crop density often outweighs the perceived cost of water, especially if pricing mechanisms are not sufficiently progressive. Ma and Wang (2024) found that "cheaper" water from main-stream river diversions often incentivizes flood irrigation and inefficient agricultural structures, whereas the higher costs of SNWTP water are more likely to drive technical efficiency. This socio-economic mediation is a critical "filter" that determines whether an IBWT project will lead to regional recovery or merely a larger-scale rebound effect.

8. Future Scenarios and Freshwater Sustainability

8.1 Impact of Climate Change and Elevated CO2

The long-term sustainability of IBWT projects must be evaluated within the context of global environmental change. Dong et al. (2023) utilized 17 Earth System Models (ESMs) from the CMIP6 project to project future TWS changes in the North China Plain under moderated (SSP245) and high (SSP585) CO2 emission pathways. Their results highlight a critical interaction: while elevated CO2 can increase vegetation water use efficiency (potentially reducing ET), it also triggers a "CO2 fertilization effect" that enhances leaf area and biomass. Without additional agricultural management or IBWT, TWS is projected to decrease at a rate exceeding 100 mm/year by the end of the century (Dong et al., 2023).

8.2 Decoupling Intensification from Water Supply

To achieve a "food-secured and sustainable future," Karimi et al. (2012) argue for a focus on increasing water productivity rather than simply increasing supply. Future scenarios suggest that converting non-beneficial soil evaporation into beneficial crop transpiration could result in significant water savings, easing the pressure on fast-declining groundwater storage. This requires a transition from the current "supply-side" dominance in IBWT thinking to a more nuanced "nexus-aware" approach where agricultural intensification is actively regulated through precision irrigation and crop density management (Dong et al., 2023; Jiao et al., 2021).

8.3 Regional Disparities in Recipient Basin Response

The "rebound" is not uniform across all recipient regions. Cui et al. (2025) observed that in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, the impact of surface water storage (SWS) augmentation was the primary driver of TWS recovery. However, in Hebei and Henan, the recovery of groundwater storage was slower than expected due to the continuous dominance of agricultural water use. Despite the influx of diverted water, heavy reliance on groundwater for irrigation during dry periods continues to offset the replenishment effects (Cui et al., 2025). This highlights a "spatial rebound" where some areas achieve technical efficiency gains while others remain trapped in unsustainable patterns, necessitating region-specific agricultural management policies to ensure the long-term success of inter-basin interventions.

9. Conclusion

The comprehensive review of the repository's literature confirms that Inter-Basin Water Transfer (IBWT) is a quintessentially "wicked problem" characterized by shifting socio-hydrological dynamics and large uncertainties. While IBWT provides a vital technological fix for spatial water scarcity, its implementation frequently triggers a "rebound effect" in the agricultural sector. Repository findings from the South-to-North Water Diversion Project and the Indus Basin provide robust evidence that perceived water security encourages intensification—manifest as increased cropping density and irrigation expansion—which can partially or completely offset the hydrological gains intended for regional recovery.

Multi-sensor satellite data have emerged as indispensable tools for monitoring these complex feedbacks. The integration of gravimetric (GRACE), optical (Sentinel/MODIS), and radar (Sentinel-1) sensors allows for a holistic quantification of the water balance, yet research gaps remain in the integrated modeling of human behavioral responses to artificial supply increases. The proposed research on the socio-hydrological rebound effect addresses these gaps by providing a quantitative, satellite-based framework to disentangle climate-induced changes from anthropogenic intensification. Ultimately, the success of mega-scale IBWT projects in a changing world depends on our ability to "decouple" economic growth and agricultural production from unsustainable water consumption, requiring a paradigm shift from water-centric engineering to holistic socio-ecological management.

10. References

- Bastiaanssen, W. G. M., et al. (2012). The surface energy balance and actual evapotranspiration of the transboundary Indus Basin estimated from satellite measurements and the ETLook model. *Water Resources Research*.
- Bui, D. T., et al. (2020). Effects of Inter-Basin Water Transfer on Water Flow Condition of Destination Basin. *Sustainability*.
- Chipman, J. W., et al. (2016). Impacts of land cover change and water management practices on the Tarim and Konqi river systems, Xinjiang, China. *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*.
- Cui, A., et al. (2025). Satellite-based assessment reveals hydrological and ecological transformations from China's South-to-North Water Diversion Project. *Geo-spatial Information Science*.
- Davies, B. R., et al. (1992). An assessment of the ecological impacts of inter-basin water transfers. *Aquatic Conservation*.
- Dong, J., et al. (2023). Inter-Basin Water Transfer Effectively Compensates for Regional Unsustainable Water Use. *Water Resources Research*.
- Endo, A., et al. (2017). A review of the current state of research on the water, energy and food nexus. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*.
- Guo, T., et al. (2024). The Divergent Changes in Surface Water Area after the South-to-North Water Diversion Project in China. *Remote Sensing*.
- Hoogeveen, J., et al. (2015). GlobWat—A global water balance model to assess water use in irrigated agriculture. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*.
- Jiao, L., et al. (2021). Evaluating Spatiotemporal Variations in the Impact of Inter-basin Water Transfer Projects in Water-receiving Basin. *Water Resources Management*.
- Karimi, P., et al. (2012). Basin-wide water accounting using remote sensing data: the case of transboundary Indus Basin. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*.
- Ma, L., & Wang, Q. (2024). Do Water Transfer Projects Promote Water Use Efficiency? Case Study of South-to-North Water Transfer Project in Yellow River Basin of China. *Water*.

- Rollason, E., et al. (2021). Interbasin water transfer in a changing world: A new conceptual model. *Progress in Physical Geography*.
- Seckler, D. (1996). The new era of water resources management: From "dry" to "wet" water savings. *International Water Management Institute*.
- Sivapalan, M., et al. (2012). Socio-hydrology: A new science of people and water. *Hydrological Processes*.
- Snaddon, C. D., et al. (1999). A Global Overview of Inter-Basin Water Transfer Schemes. *Water Research Commission Report*.
- Zhuang, N., et al. (2025). Assessing the impacts of inter-basin water transfer projects on ecosystem services in water source areas: Evidence from the Hanjiang River Basin. *PLOS One*.