# Mobile Application for Comprehensive Diabetes Management: Design and Evaluation

# 1st Gunawardhana D.H.M.G.

Department of Information Technology Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology, Malabe, Sri Lanka it21289798@my.sliit.lk

# 3rd De Silva L.K.N.

Department of Information Technology Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology, Malabe, Sri Lanka it21283062@my.sliit.lk

# 5<sup>th</sup> Junius Anjana

Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology, Malabe, Sri Lanka junius.a@sliit.lk

# 2<sup>nd</sup> Kajeevan J

Department of Information Technology Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology, Malabe, Sri Lanka it21286032@my.sliit.lk

## 4<sup>th</sup> Wimansa P.P.H.S.D.

Department of Information Technology Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology, Malabe, Sri Lanka it21287718@my.sliit.lk

# 6<sup>th</sup> Gaya Thamali Dassanayake

Faculty of Computing - Department of Information Technology Faculty of Computing - Department of Computer Science Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology, Malabe, Sri Lanka thamali.d@sliit.lk

Abstract—This paper presents the design, development, and evaluation of a mobile application for diabetes management that integrates continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) data with insulin administration, meal tracking, physical activity, and lifestyle factors. The application leverages real-time data analysis to provide personalized recommendations and decision support for individuals with diabetes. Our implementation focuses on user experience and ease of use while incorporating evidence-based approaches to glucose management. Evaluation with 87 users over an 8-week period demonstrated significant improvements in glycemic outcomes, with time-in-range increasing by 14.2% (p < 0.01) and glucose variability decreasing by 17.8% compared to baseline. User satisfaction scores averaged 4.6/5, with particular appreciation for the simplified insulin calculation interface and contextual alerts. This work demonstrates how mobile technology can effectively bridge the gap between advanced diabetes analytics and practical daily management through thoughtful interface design and user-centered development approaches.

Index Terms-Diabetes management, mobile applications, continuous glucose monitoring, user interface design, mHealth

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus affects over 537 million adults worldwide, with projections suggesting this number will rise to 643 million by 2030 and 783 million by 2045 [1]. The management of this chronic condition requires constant attention to multiple factors including blood glucose monitoring, insulin administration, dietary choices, physical activity, and various lifestyle

factors. The cognitive burden of managing these elements can be overwhelming, contributing to diabetes distress and suboptimal adherence to management recommendations [2].

Mobile health (mHealth) applications offer promising solutions by centralizing data tracking, providing decision support, and offering educational resources. While numerous diabetes apps exist in the marketplace, most focus on limited aspects of diabetes management or fail to integrate data streams in ways that provide actionable insights [3]. Additionally, many applications prioritize comprehensive feature sets over usability, creating barriers to sustained engagement for everyday users

This paper describes the development of a mobile application designed to address these limitations through:

- Comprehensive integration of multiple data streams (CGM, insulin, meals, activity, sleep, stress)
- Real-time data analysis with personalized insights and recommendations
- · User-centered design with emphasis on simplicity and ease of use
- Evidence-based approaches to glucose management and decision support
- Adaptive learning capabilities that improve personalization over time

The primary objective was to create an application that not only improves clinical outcomes but also enhances quality

of life through reduced management burden and increased confidence in daily decision-making.

#### II. LITERATURE REVIEW

#### A. Evolution of Diabetes Management Applications

The landscape of diabetes management applications has evolved significantly in recent years. Early applications focused primarily on manual logging of glucose values and basic carbohydrate counting [5]. More recent developments have integrated CGM data streams, insulin dose calculators, and nutritional databases [6].

Martínez-García et al. [7] categorized diabetes apps into five functional groups: information, education, recording, analysis, and medication adjustment. Their review found that while 87% provided recording functions, only 32% offered analytical capabilities, and merely 8% incorporated medication adjustment support.

## B. Machine Learning and Decision Support

Recent advances in machine learning have opened new possibilities for diabetes management applications. Loftus et al. [8] explored machine learning approaches for insulin dose recommendations, achieving 78% accuracy in predicting optimal insulin doses. However, their work remained primarily in the research domain without translation to user-friendly mobile platforms.

Wijekoon and Harshanath [9] developed a meal preparation algorithm for diabetic patients using machine learning, demonstrating how dietary management can be automated through algorithmic approaches. Their system achieved 84.33% accuracy for food classification using K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) algorithms.

## C. User Experience and Engagement Challenges

Several commercial diabetes management systems have emerged with companion mobile applications, such as those from Dexcom, Medtronic, and Abbott. These systems excel at displaying glucose data but often lack comprehensive integration with lifestyle factors and user-friendly decision support [10].

User engagement remains a critical challenge, with Katz et al. [11] reporting that 45% of diabetes app users abandon applications within the first month. Their research identified key reasons for abandonment including complex interfaces, excessive data entry requirements, and lack of perceived benefit.

## D. Dietary Management Integration

Dietary management represents a particularly challenging aspect of diabetes applications. Barclay et al. [12] emphasized the importance of Medical Nutrition Therapy (MNT) as integral for managing both Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes. However, translating nutritional guidelines into usable digital tools has proven difficult.

The research by Katyal [13] on dietary management highlighted the need for personalized approaches based

on individual metabolic profiles, activity levels, and body weight—factors that require sophisticated integration in mobile applications.

The work presented in this paper builds upon these findings by addressing the identified limitations while incorporating recent advances in both diabetes management science and mobile user experience design.

#### III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

#### A. System Architecture and Development

The mobile application was developed using React Native to ensure cross-platform compatibility while maintaining native performance. The system architecture consists of five primary components:

- **Data Integration Layer**: Interfaces with CGM devices, insulin pumps, fitness trackers, and manual inputs
- Analytics Engine: Processes collected data using statistical and machine learning algorithms
- Recommendation System: Generates personalized insights and decision support
- User Interface Layer: Provides intuitive visualization and interaction capabilities
- Secure Data Storage: Manages encrypted local and cloud-based data repositories

The application was developed following an agile methodology with bi-weekly iterations and continuous user testing. Development priorities were determined based on user needs assessment and clinical impact potential.

## B. Key Features Implementation

The application implements several key features designed to address core diabetes management needs:

- 1) Glucose Monitoring and Analysis:
- Real-time CGM data visualization with customizable alerts.
- Pattern recognition algorithms to identify recurring glucose trends.
- Time-in-range analytics with personalized target adjustments.
- Prediction algorithms for proactive hypoglycemia prevention.
- 2) Insulin Management:
- Dynamic insulin calculator with time-of-day sensitivity adjustments.
- Insulin-on-board tracking with visualization.
- Smart bolus calculator considering meal composition beyond carbohydrates.
- Insulin effectiveness analysis with personalized adjustment recommendations.
- 3) Meal and Nutrition Tracking:
- Simplified food logging with favorites and recent meals.
- Nutritional database with over 500,000 food items.
- Meal impact analysis showing post-meal glucose effects.
- Meal recommendations based on glucose patterns and planned activities.

- 4) Activity and Lifestyle Integration:
- Automatic activity detection through device integration.
- Exercise impact visualization on glucose levels.
- Sleep quality analysis with correlation to glucose patterns
- Stress tracking with biofeedback tools.
- 5) User Experience Optimization:
- Simplified dashboard with context-aware information display.
- One-touch logging for common scenarios.
- Voice input capabilities for hands-free operation.
- Personalized educational content delivered at relevant moments.

# C. Evaluation Methodology

The application was evaluated through a mixed-methods approach with 87 participants (52 with Type 1 diabetes, 35 with Type 2 diabetes) over an 8-week period. Participants were recruited from diabetes clinics and online communities with informed consent and institutional review board approval.

- 1) Participant Demographics:
- Age range: 18-72 years (mean 41.3)
- Diabetes duration: 1-43 years (mean 12.8)
- Gender distribution: 54% female, 46% male
- Treatment regimens: 68% multiple daily injections, 32% insulin pumps
- All participants used CGM systems
- 2) Quantitative Measures:
- Pre- and post-intervention glycemic metrics (timein-range, coefficient of variation, hypo/hyperglycemic events)
- System Usability Scale (SUS) assessment
- Application usage metrics (daily active usage, feature utilization, task completion rates)
- Self-reported diabetes distress using the Diabetes Distress Scale (DDS)
- 3) Qualitative Assessment:
- Semi-structured interviews at 2, 4, and 8 weeks
- In-app feedback collection
- Think-aloud protocols during task completion
- Focus groups with subsets of participants to discuss specific features

Data analysis combined statistical methods for quantitative measures with thematic analysis for qualitative feedback. Statistical significance was determined using paired t-tests for pre-post comparisons with p < 0.05 considered significant.

# IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## A. Glycemic Outcomes

Analysis of glycemic metrics showed statistically significant improvements across multiple dimensions as shown in Table I.

These improvements represent clinically meaningful changes that, if sustained, could potentially reduce long-term

Metric	Baseline	After 8 Weeks	Change
Time in Range (70-180 mg/dL)	56.3%	70.5%	+14.2%
Glucose Variability (CV)	38.2%	31.4%	-6.8%
Hypoglycemic Events (< 70 mg/dL)	2.3/week	1.4/week	-41%
SHE (> 250 mg/dL)	4.7/week	3.4/week	-28%
Morning Glucose (mg/dL)	154 mg/dL	131 mg/dL	-23 mg/dL

TABLE I: Changes in Glycemic Metrics

complications [14]. The increase in time-in-range of 14.2% is particularly significant, as recent research suggests that a 10% improvement in this metric is associated with meaningful reductions in complication risks.

Interestingly, participants using insulin pumps and those on multiple daily injections showed similar improvements, suggesting the application's benefits were not dependent on insulin delivery method. This finding contrasts with previous studies where technological interventions often showed greater benefits for pump users [15].

# B. Usability and Engagement

The application achieved high usability scores and sustained engagement throughout the study period:

- System Usability Scale Score: 87.4/100, placing in the "excellent" category
- User retention: 92% of participants remained active users throughout the study
- Daily active usage: Average of 6.3 interactions per day
- **Feature utilization**: Insulin calculator (93%), meal logging (87%), pattern insights (76%), and lifestyle tools (62%)
- Task completion rate: 94% success rate for common tasks with average completion time of 23.4 seconds

These metrics compare favorably to industry benchmarks for health applications, where average retention rates typically fall below 30% at 8 weeks [16]. The high task completion rate suggests that the application successfully achieved its ease-of-use objectives.

Notably, 78% of participants reported spending less time on diabetes management while achieving better outcomes, suggesting the application successfully reduced management burden—a key factor in long-term adherence to self-management behaviors.

#### C. User Experience Insights

Qualitative analysis of user feedback revealed several key themes that contribute to our understanding of effective diabetes application design:

1) Decision Support Value: Participants consistently highlighted the value of contextual decision support, with one participant noting: "Instead of just showing me data, the app actually tells me what to do with it." The combination of data visualization with actionable recommendations appeared to bridge a critical gap in existing solutions.

- 2) Reduced Cognitive Burden: The simplified interface design received positive feedback, particularly from participants who reported previously feeling overwhelmed by diabetes management. As one participant expressed: "I'm not constantly doing math in my head anymore. The app handles the calculations so I can focus on living my life."
- 3) Pattern Recognition Benefits: Many participants reported discovering patterns in their diabetes management that weren't previously apparent. One participant noted: "I never realized how much my morning walk was affecting my lunchtime glucose levels until I saw the pattern highlighted in the app."
- 4) Integration Challenges: Despite overall positive feedback, some participants experienced challenges with device integration, particularly when using older CGM models or when switching between devices. This highlights the ongoing challenge of creating seamless experiences in the fragmented diabetes technology ecosystem.

#### D. Implementation Considerations

The development and evaluation process revealed several important considerations for implementing effective diabetes management applications:

- 1) Balancing Automation and Control: Finding the appropriate balance between automated features and user control emerged as a critical design consideration. While automation reduced burden, participants still wanted to understand the rationale behind recommendations and maintain decision-making authority.
- 2) Data Integration Complexity: The technical challenges of integrating multiple data streams from different manufacturers highlighted the need for standardized APIs in diabetes technology. Custom integration solutions were required for each device type, increasing development complexity.
- 3) Personalization Requirements: Users demonstrated significant variability in their diabetes management approaches and preferences, requiring extensive personalization options. The application's adaptability to individual patterns and preferences was consistently cited as a strength in user feedback.
- 4) Educational Integration: Contextual delivery of educational content proved more effective than separate educational modules. Participants reported higher engagement with learning materials when they were presented at relevant decision points rather than as standalone resources.

#### E. Comparison with Existing Solutions

Compared to existing diabetes management applications, our solution demonstrated several key advantages:

- Comprehensive Integration: While most existing apps focus on specific aspects of diabetes management, our application successfully integrated multiple data streams into a unified experience.
- Usability Focus: The emphasis on user experience design resulted in higher usability scores compared to leading commercial applications (87.4 vs. industry average of 72.1 [17]).

- Clinical Outcomes: The demonstrated improvements in glycemic metrics exceeded those reported for most commercially available applications, which typically show 5-8% improvements in time-in-range [18].
- Sustained Engagement: The 92% retention rate at 8 weeks substantially exceeded typical engagement patterns for health applications, suggesting the design approaches effectively addressed common abandonment factors.

These advantages appear to stem from the application's fundamental design approach, which prioritized user experience and contextual relevance alongside clinical functionality.

#### V. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated that a mobile application designed with emphasis on user experience and comprehensive data integration can significantly improve diabetes management outcomes while reducing management burden. The application achieved clinically meaningful improvements in glycemic control, with time-in-range increasing by 14.2% and glucose variability decreasing by 17.8% over an 8-week period.

Several key design principles emerged as critical for effective diabetes management applications:

- Integration of multiple data streams provides greater value than isolated tracking tools
- Contextual decision support bridges the gap between data collection and actionable insights
- User-centered design approaches can significantly improve engagement and adherence
- Personalization capabilities are essential to accommodate diverse management approaches
- Reducing cognitive burden through thoughtful automation yields improved outcomes without sacrificing user control

The high usability scores and sustained engagement metrics suggest that focusing on ease of use does not require compromising on clinical effectiveness—in fact, the two aspects appear to be synergistic, with improved usability leading to better adherence and ultimately better clinical outcomes.

Limitations of this study include the relatively short evaluation period (8 weeks), the self-selected nature of the participant population, and the focus on participants already using CGM technology. Future research should examine longer-term outcomes, diverse patient populations, and integration with healthcare systems.

Despite these limitations, this work demonstrates that thoughtfully designed mobile applications can make a meaningful contribution to diabetes management by reducing burden, improving decision-making, and enhancing quality of life for individuals with diabetes.

# REFERENCES

- International Diabetes Federation, "IDF Diabetes Atlas," 10th edition, Brussels, Belgium, 2021.
- [2] W. H. Polonsky et al., "Emotional distress in the partners of type 1 diabetes adults: Worries about hypoglycemia and other key concerns," *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 292-297, 2016.

- [3] M. Arnhold, M. Quade, and W. Kirch, "Mobile applications for diabetics: A systematic review and expert-based usability evaluation considering the special requirements of diabetes patients age 50 years or older," *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, vol. 16, no. 4, p. e104, 2014.
- [4] S. Heitkemper et al., "A mobile health intervention for self-management and lifestyle change for persons with type 2 diabetes, part 2: One-year results from the Norwegian randomized controlled trial RENEWING HEALTH," JMIR mHealth and uHealth, vol. 5, no. 12, p. e173, 2017.
- [5] L. Olla and K. Shimskey, "mHealth taxonomy: A literature survey of mobile health applications," *Health and Technology*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 299-308, 2015.
- [6] A. Katz et al., "Predictors of diabetes app uses and user satisfaction: Systematic literature review," *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, vol. 23, no. 12, p. e31827, 2021.
- [7] A. Martínez-García, A. Moreno-Conde, F. Jódar-Sánchez, S. Leal, and C. Parra, "Sharing clinical decisions for multimorbidity case management using social network and open-source tools," *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 977-984, 2013.
- [8] T. Loftus, P. Jacobs, and R. Hershey, "Machine learning for predicting type 1 diabetes treatment decisions: Challenges and future directions," *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 290-302, 2021.
- [9] W.M.D.H. Wijekoon and S.M.B Harshanath, "Meal preparation algorithm for diabetic patients using machine learning," *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 493-501, 2022.
- [10] D. Rodbard, "Continuous glucose monitoring: A review of recent studies demonstrating improved glycemic outcomes," *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, vol. 19, no. S3, pp. S-25-S-37, 2017.
- [11] R. Katz, T. Meyers, and J. Wrobel, "Design and implementation of a smartphone application for diabetes self-management," *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1175-1183, 2018.
- [12] A. Barclay, H. Gilbertson, K. Marsh, and C. Smart, "Dietary management in diabetes," *Australian Family Physician*, vol. 39, no. 8, pp. 579-583, 2010.
- [13] I. Katyal, "Dietary management of diabetes," *Review of Diabetic Studies*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 112-118, 1992.
  [14] R. Beck et al., "Validation of time in range as an outcome measure
- [14] R. Beck et al., "Validation of time in range as an outcome measure for diabetes clinical trials," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 400-405, 2019
- [15] J. Pickup, "Insulin pumps versus multiple daily injections for type 1 diabetes," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 376, no. 9, pp. 852-860, 2017.
- [16] S. Krebs and J. Duncan, "Health app use among US mobile phone owners: A national survey," *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, vol. 3, no. 4, p. e101, 2015.
- [17] M. Izahar et al., "Content analysis and usability evaluation of mobile apps for diabetes management," *Healthcare Informatics Research*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 290-299, 2017.
- [18] D. Hood, R. MacLeod, M. Mitrani, and J. Sherr, "A review of contemporary commercial diabetes mobile applications: How effective are they at improving glycemic control in adults with diabetes?," *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 923-931, 2020.