## WEEK 03

## 1. Preparation for Assignment

If, and *only if* you can truthfully assert the truthfulness of each statement below are you ready to start the assignment.

# 1.1. Reading Comprehension Self-Check.

- I know that *decrease-and-conquer* is a general algorithm design technique based on exploiting a relationship between a solution to a given instance of a problem and a solution to a smaller instance of the same problem.
- I can give a common example of each of the three major variations of the decrease-and-conquer technique.
- I know that *insertion-sort*'s notable advantage is good performance on almost-sorted arrays.
- I know that the *topological sorting problem* for a directed graph has a solution if and only if the graph has no directed cycles.
- I know that an application of topological sorting is resolving symbol dependencies in linkers.
- I know that a dag is a directed acyclic graph.
- I know that the *decrease-by-one* technique is a natural approach to developing algorithms for generating elementary combinatorial objects.
- I know that binary search is the most important and well-known example of a decrease-by-a-constant-factor algorithm.
- I know why the Euclidean GCD algorithm is an excellent example of a decrease-by-a-variable-size algorithm.
- I know how to order and have ordered the seven Big- $\mathcal{O}$  efficiency classes shown below from fastest growing reference function (first) to slowest growing reference function (last):
  - (1)  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
  - (2)  $\mathcal{O}(n!)$
  - (3)  $\mathcal{O}(n)$
  - (4)  $\mathcal{O}(1)$
  - (5)  $\mathcal{O}(2^n)$
  - (6)  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$
  - (7)  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

Date: October 18, 2018.

2 WEEK 03

- I know given a  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$  algorithm whose running time for a problem of size 100,000 is 10 seconds what its running time would be for a problem of size 150,000.
- I know given a  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  algorithm whose running time for a problem of size 100,000 is 10 seconds what its running time would be for a problem of size 200,000.

# 1.2. Memory Self-Check.

- 1.2.1. Algorithm Efficiency Calculation.
  - (1) Code and understand a reduce-by-variable-size algorithm, without looking at pseudocode, that is an implementation of Euclid's GCD algorithm.
  - (2) Write a non-bruite-force algorithm to generate all subsets of a set (A power set).
  - (3) Write a non-bruite-force algorithm that solves the Josephus problem.

.

#### 2. Week 04 Exercises

- 2.1. Exercise 7 on page 137.
- 2.2. Exercise 1 on page 142.
- 2.3. Exercise 2 on page 148.
- 2.4. Exercise 1 on page 156.
- 2.5. **Exercise 1 on page 166.** \*a.\* Since the algorithm uses the formula  $/\gcd(m, n) = \gcd(n, m \mod n)/$ , the size of the new pair will be  $/m \mod n/$ . Hence it can be any integer between 0 and /n 1/. Thus, the size /n/ can decrease by any number between 1 and /n/.
- \*b.\* Two consecutive iterations of Euclid's algorithm are performed according to the following formulas:

```
/\gcd(m, n) = \gcd(n, r) = \gcd(r, n \text{ mod } r) / \text{ where } /r = m \text{ mod } n / r
```

Need to show that  $n \mod r \le n/2$ . Consider two cases:  $r \le n/2$  and n/2 < r < n. If  $r \le n/2$ , then

$$n \mod r < r \le n/2$$
.

If 
$$n/2 < r < n$$
, then

$$n \bmod r = n - r \le n/2,$$

too.

WEEK 03 3

 $2.6. \ \ \textbf{Exercise 12 on page 167.} \ + \ BEGIN_SRCemacs-lisp: results silent (defunpancake-sort (seq) (defunflip (lstindex) (setf (subseqlst0index) (reverse (subseqlst0index)))) (loop with lst = (coerceseq'list) for if rom (length lst) down to 2 for index = (position (apply'max(subseqlst0i)) lst) do (unleindex0) (flip lst (1+index))) (flip lst i) finally (return (coercelst (type-ofseq))))) + END_SRC (source: https://rosettacode.org/wiki/Sorting_algorithms/Pancake_sort) + RESULTS:: pancake-sort$ 

- $+BEGIN_SRCemacs lisp(pancake sort'(678925341)) + END_SRC$
- +RESULTS: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
- Place spatula under largest pancake. Flip the stack over (the largest pancake will now be on top). Place the spatula under the bottom of the stack and flip over the whole stack again (the largest pancake will now be on the bottom). After flipping over the whole stack, increment a counter (/flips/). Search for the next biggest pancake. Reduce the search to /number of pancakes/ minus the number of times the stack has been flipped to place the next largest pancake on the bottom (/flips/), starting from the top of the stack. After finding the next biggest pancake, place the spatula under the /flips+1/ pancake. Flip the stack over and continue.

#### 3. Week 04 Problems

- 3.1. Exercise 6 on page 137.
- 3.2. Exercise 10 on page 143. Make sure you write out all the mathematical steps to get the result.
- 3.3. Exercise 12 on page 149.
- 3.4. Exercise 8 on page 156.