

## First-Come, First-Served (FCFS) Scheduling


Process Burst Time

$P_1$  24

$P_2$  3

$P_3$  3

- Suppose that the processes arrive in the order:  $P_1, P_2, P_3$   
The Gantt Chart for the schedule is:




- Waiting time for  $P_1 = 0$ ;  $P_2 = 24$ ;  $P_3 = 27$
- Average waiting time:  $(0 + 24 + 27)/3 = 17$

Suppose that the processes arrive in the order

$P_2, P_3, P_1$

- The Gantt chart for the schedule is:



- Waiting time for  $P_1 = 6$ ;  $P_2 = 0$ ;  $P_3 = 3$
- Average waiting time:  $(6 + 0 + 3)/3 = 3$
- Much better than previous case
- *Convoy effect* short process behind long process

## Shortest-Job-First (SJF) Scheduling

- ❖ Associate with each process the length of its next CPU burst. Use these lengths to schedule the process with the shortest time
- ❖ Two schemes:
  - nonpreemptive – once CPU given to the process it cannot be preempted until completes its CPU burst
  - preemptive – if a new process arrives with CPU burst length less than remaining time of current executing process, preempt. This scheme is known as the Shortest-Remaining-Time-First (SRTF)
- ❖ SJF is optimal – gives minimum average waiting time for a given set of processes

### Example of Non-Preemptive SJF

Process    Arrival Time    Burst Time


$P_1$       0.0                  7

$P_2$       2.0                  4

$P_3$       4.0                  1

$P_4$       5.0                  4

- ❖ SJF (non-preemptive)



- ❖ Average waiting time =  $(0 + 6 + 3 + 7)/4 = 4$

### Example of Preemptive SJF

Process                  Arrival Time    Burst Time


$P_1$                   0.0                  7

$P_2$       2.0              4

$P_3$       4.0              1

$P_4$       5.0              4

➤ SJF (preemptive)



➤ Average waiting time =  $(9 + 1 + 0 + 2)/4 = 3$

### Determining Length of Next CPU Burst

- Can only estimate the length
- Can be done by using the length of previous CPU bursts, using exponential averaging

1.  $t_n$  = actual length of  $n^{\text{th}}$  CPU burst
2.  $\tau_{n+1}$  = predicted value for the next CPU burst
3.  $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$
4. Define :

$$\tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha)\tau_n.$$

### Priority Scheduling

- ❖ A priority number (integer) is associated with each process
- ❖ The CPU is allocated to the process with the highest priority (smallest integer  $\equiv$  highest priority)
  - Preemptive
  - nonpreemptive
- ❖ SJF is a priority scheduling where priority is the predicted next CPU burst time

- ❖ Problem  $\equiv$  Starvation – low priority processes may never execute
- ❖ Solution  $\equiv$  Aging – as time progresses increase the priority of the process


## Round Robin (RR)

- ❖ Each process gets a small unit of CPU time (*time quantum*), usually 10-100 milliseconds. After this time has elapsed, the process is preempted and added to the end of the ready queue.
- ❖ If there are  $n$  processes in the ready queue and the time quantum is  $q$ , then each process gets  $1/n$  of the CPU time in chunks of at most  $q$  time units at once. No process waits more than  $(n-1)q$  time units.
- ❖ Performance
  - $q$  large  $\Rightarrow$  FIFO
  - $q$  small  $\Rightarrow q$  must be large with respect to context switch, otherwise overhead is too high

Example of RR with Time Quantum = 20

<u>Process</u>	<u>Burst Time</u>
$P_1$	53
$P_2$	17
$P_3$	68
$P_4$	24

- The Gantt chart is:



Typically, higher average turnaround than SJF, but better *response*