EE364b Prof. S. Boyd

## EE364b Homework 1

1. For each of the following convex functions, explain how to calculate a subgradient at a given x.

- (a)  $f(x) = \max_{i=1,...,m} (a_i^T x + b_i).$
- (b)  $f(x) = \max_{i=1,...,m} |a_i^T x + b_i|$ .
- (c)  $f(x) = \sup_{0 \le t \le 1} p(t)$ , where  $p(t) = x_1 + x_2 t + \dots + x_n t^{n-1}$ .
- (d)  $f(x) = x_{[1]} + \cdots + x_{[k]}$ , where  $x_{[i]}$  denotes the *i*th largest element of the vector x.
- (e)  $f(x) = \inf_{Ay \leq b} ||x y||_2^2$ , i.e., the square of the Euclidean distance of x to the polyhedron defined by  $Ay \leq b$ . You may assume that the inequalities  $Ay \leq b$  are strictly feasible.
- (f)  $f(x) = \sup_{Ay \leq b} y^T x$ . (You can assume that the polyhedron defined by  $Ay \leq b$  is bounded.)

## Solution.

- (a) Find  $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$  for which  $f(x) = a_k^T x + b_k$ . Then  $g = a_k$  is a subgradient at x.
- (b) Find  $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$  for which  $f(x) = |a_k^T x + b_k|$ . If  $a_k^T x + b_k \ge 0$ , then  $g = a_k$  is a subgradient. If  $a_k^T x + b_k \le 0$ , then  $g = -a_k$  is a subgradient.
- (c) Find  $\hat{t} \in [0,1]$  such that  $f(x) = p(\hat{t})$  (for example, by plotting p(t), or by computing all roots of the polynomial p'(t), and selecting the real root at which p(t) is maximum). Then  $g = (1, \hat{t}, \dots, \hat{t}^{n-1})$  is a subgradient at x.
- (d) Sort the entries of x to find  $x_{[1]}, \ldots, x_{[n]}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}$  be the set of indices associated with the k largest elements in x. Then take g to be a vector with  $g_i = 1$  if  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , and  $g_i = 0$  otherwise.
- (e) We evaluate f(x) by solving the optimization problem

with variable y. The dual of this problem is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & -\frac{1}{4}z^TAA^Tz + z^TAx - b^Tz \\ \text{subject to} & z \succeq 0. \end{array}$$

By Slater's condition, we have strong duality and the dual optimum is attained. (In fact we don't even need strict feasibility, because the constraints are linear.)

Let  $z^*$  be the optimal dual solution for the value of x at which we want a subgradient, *i.e.*,  $z^* \succeq 0$  and

$$f(x) = -\frac{1}{4}z^{\star T}AA^Tz^{\star} + z^{\star T}Ax - b^Tz^{\star}.$$

By weak duality we have, for any  $\hat{x}$ ,

$$f(\hat{x}) \geq -\frac{1}{4}z^{*T}AA^{T}z^{*} + z^{*T}A\hat{x} - b^{T}z^{*}$$
  
=  $f(x) + (A^{T}z^{*})^{T}(\hat{x} - x)$ .

By definition of the subgradient, this means that  $A^Tz^*$  is a subgradient at x. The KKT conditions for  $y^*$  to be an optimal point of the primal problem give:

$$A^T z^* = 2(x - y^*).$$

Therefore  $(x - y^*)$  is a subgradient at x.

- (f) The set  $\{y \mid Ay \leq b\}$  is closed and bounded, hence compact. This means that the supremum in the definition of f(x) is attained. Let  $\hat{y} \in \{y \mid Ay \leq b\}$  be the value of y for which  $f(x) = \hat{y}^T x$ . Then  $\hat{y}$  is a subgradient of f at x.
- 2. A convex function that is not subdifferentiable. Verify that the following function  $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  is convex, but not subdifferentiable at x = 0:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x = 0 \\ 0 & x > 0, \end{cases}$$

with dom  $f = \mathbf{R}_{+}$ .

**Solution.** The function f(x) = 0 for x > 0, f(x) = 1 for x = 0, with domain  $[0, \infty)$  is not subdifferentiable at x = 0.

We are going to prove this by contradiction. Suppose that f is subdifferentiable at x = 0 and let  $g \neq 0$  be a subgradient of f at 0. The following must hold for all  $x \geq 0$ 

$$f(x) \ge f(0) + gx.$$

This inequality holds for x = 0 (regardless of what g is). For x > 0, the inequality becomes

$$0 \ge 1 + gx$$
.

In other words, we need to find a g such that  $g \le -1/x$  for all x > 0. This is obviously impossible—with arbitrarily small positive x, we have an arbitrarily large bound on the size of g.