



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Fachbereich Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik
Bioinspired Communication Systems

Bayesian Inference of Information Transfer in Graph-Based Continuous-Time Multi-Agent Systems

Master- Thesis

Elektro- und Informationstechnik

Eingereicht von

Gizem Ekinici

am

07.07.2020

1. Gutachten: Prof. Dr. techn. Heinz Koeppel
2. Gutachten: Dominik Linzner

Erklärung zur Abschlussarbeit gemäß §22 Abs. 7 und §23 Abs. 7 APB TU Darmstadt

Hiermit versichere ich, Gizem Ekinici, die vorliegende Arbeit gemäß §22 Abs. 7 APB der TU Darmstadt ohne Hilfe Dritter und nur mit den angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmitteln angefertigt zu haben. Alle Stellen, die Quellen entnommen wurden, sind als solche kenntlich gemacht worden. Diese Arbeit hat in gleicher oder ähnlicher Form noch keiner Prüfungsbehörde vorgelegen. Mir ist bekannt, dass im Falle eines Plagiats (§38 Abs.2 APB) ein Täuschungsversuch vorliegt, der dazu führt, dass die Arbeit mit 5,0 bewertet und damit ein Prüfungsversuch verbraucht wird. Abschlussarbeiten dürfen nur einmal wiederholt werden. Bei der abgegebenen Arbeit stimmen die schriftliche und die zur Archivierung eingereichte elektronische Fassung gemäß §23 Abs. 7 APB überein.

English translation for information purposes only:

Thesis statement pursuant to §22 paragraph 7 and §23 paragraph 7 of APB TU Darmstadt: I herewith formally declare that I, Gizem Ekinici, have written the submitted thesis independently pursuant to §22 paragraph 7 of APB TU Darmstadt. I did not use any outside support except for the quoted literature and other sources mentioned in the paper. I clearly marked and separately listed all of the literature and all of the other sources which I employed when producing this academic work, either literally or in content. This thesis has not been handed in or published before in the same or similar form. I am aware, that in case of an attempt at deception based on plagiarism (§38 Abs. 2 APB), the thesis would be graded with 5,0 and counted as one failed examination attempt. The thesis may only be repeated once. In the submitted thesis the written copies and the electronic version for archiving are pursuant to § 23 paragraph 7 of APB identical in content.

Darmstadt, den 07.07.2020

(Gizem Ekinici)

Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Problem Statement	1
1.2	Related Work	1
1.3	Contributions	1
2	Theoretical Background	2
2.1	Continuous Time Bayesian Networks	2
2.1.1	Time-homogenous continuous-time Markov Processes	2
2.1.2	Time-inhomogeneous continuous-time Markov Processes	4
2.2	Partially observable Markov Decision Processes	4
3	Methodology	6
3.1	Data Generation - Simulation	6
3.2	Inference of Deterministic Observation Model	6
4	Experimental Results and Evaluation	7
4.1	Bayesian Nonparametrics	7
5	Conclusion	8
5.1	Discussion	8
5.2	Future Work	8

1 Introduction

1.1 Problem Statement

1.2 Related Work

1.3 Contributions

2 Theoretical Background

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

2.1 Continuous Time Bayesian Networks

The entire communication is defined in the framework of continuous-time Bayesian network (CTBN).

2.1.1 Time-homogenous continuous-time Markov Processes

The messages that are emitted by the cells are modelled as independent time-homogeneous continuous-time Markov processes (CTMP). These processes are defined by transition intensity matrices Q_X and Q_Y , whose intensities does not depend on time. In this matrix, the intensity q_i represents the instantaneous probability of leaving state i and $q_{i,j}$ represents the instantaneous probability of switching from state i to j .

Infinitesimal transition probabilities in terms of the entries of transition matrices q_{ij} can be written as [1]:

$$p_{i,j}(h) = \delta_{ij} + q_{i,j}h + o(h) \quad (2.1)$$

where $p_{i,j}(t) \equiv Pr(X^{(t+s)} = j \mid X^{(s)} = i)$ are Markov transition functions and $o(\cdot)$ is a function decaying to zero faster than its argument.

The *forward* or *master equation* is then derived as follows:

$$p_j(t) = \sum_{\forall i} p_{i,j}(h)p_i(t-h) \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} p_j(t) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\forall i} [\delta_{ij} + q_{i,j}h + o(h)] p_i(t-h) \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} p_j(t-h) + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h \sum_{\forall i} q_{i,j} p_i(t-h)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{p_j(t) - p_j(t-h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\forall i} q_{i,j} p_i(t-h) \tag{2.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} p_j(t) &= \sum_{\forall i} q_{i,j} p_i(t) \\
&= \sum_{\forall i \neq j} [q_{i,j} p_i(t) - q_{j,i} p_j(t)]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Eq.2.5 can be written in matrix form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} p = p \mathbf{Q} \tag{2.6}$$

The solution to ODE, the time-dependent probability distribution $p(t)$ is,

$$p(t) = p(0) \exp(t \mathbf{Q}) \tag{2.7}$$

with initial distribution $p(0)$.

The amount of time staying in a state i is exponentially distributed with parameter q_i . The probability density function f for staying in the state i :

$$f(t) = q_i \exp(-q_i t), t \geq 0 \tag{2.8}$$

2.1.1.1 The Likelihood Function

To write down the likelihood of a trajectory sampled from a homogenous CTMC X , first let us consider one transition $d = \langle i, j, t \rangle$, where transition happens after time t from state i to j . The likelihood of this transition is:

$$L_X(d \mid \mathbf{Q}) = (q_i \exp(-q_i t)) \left(\frac{q_{i,j}}{q_i} \right) \tag{2.9}$$

We define sufficient statistics over a dataset D as $T[i]$, total amount of time spent in state i , $M[i, j]$ total number of transitions from state i to j , we can write down the likelihood of a trajectory $X^{[0, T]}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_X(\mathbf{Q} : D) &= \prod_{d \in D} L(d \mid \mathbf{Q}) \\
&= \left(\prod_{\forall i} q_i^{M[i]} \exp(-q_i T[i]) \right) \left(\prod_{\forall i} \prod_{\forall j \neq i} \left(\frac{q_{i,j}}{q_i} \right)^{M[i,j]} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

with $M[i] = \sum_{\forall j} M[i, j]$.

2.1.2 Time-inhomogeneous continuous-time Markov Processes

In an conventional CTBN, while every node is a Markov process itself, the leaf nodes are *conditional* Markov processes, a type of inhomogeneous Markov process, where the intensities change over time, but not as a function of time rather as a function of parent states. [2]

For inhomogeneous Markov processes, Eq.2.8 becomes:

$$f(t) = q_i(t) \exp \left(- \int_0^t q_i(u) du \right) \quad (2.11)$$

2.1.2.1 The Likelihood Function

Let X be an inhomogeneous Markov process, and $X^{[0,T]}$ is a trajectory sampled from this process. We define m number of transitions, with $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m$ are the times where transition occurred, and x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m are the observed states. The likelihood of trajectory $X^{[0,T]}$ is as follows:

$$L(\mathbf{Q}_X : X^{[0,T]}) = \prod_{k=1}^m \left[q_{x_{k-1}}(t_k) \exp \left(- \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} q_{x_{k-1}}(u) du \right) \frac{q_{x_{k-1}, x_k}(t_k)}{q_{x_{k-1}}(t_k)} \right] \quad (2.12)$$

We can formulate our graphical model as $S = [X, Y, Z]$, where $Par(Z) = X, Y$ and $Par(X) = Par(Y) = \emptyset$. We can then write the conditional probability:

$$\begin{aligned} P \left(S^{(t+h)} = s' | S^{(t)} = s \right) &= P \left(X^{(t+h)} = x' | X^{(t)} = x, Par(X)^{(t)} = y, z \right) \\ &\quad P \left(Y^{(t+h)} = y' | Y^{(t)} = y \right) P \left(Z^{(t+h)} = z' | Z^{(t)} = z \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

2.2 Partially observable Markov Decision Processes

In our problem, cell Z does not have a direct access to its parents' states, rather it observes a summary of them. This is different than observing the states through noisy binary channels, and presents a POMDP problem. Even though it is assumed that the policy of Z is optimal given the true states of parents, the problem of inferring the observational model remains unsolved and to be addressed.

In a conventional POMDP, given the *transition function*, $T(s, a, s')$ and *observation function*,

$O(s', a, o)$, the belief state update is computed as follows [3]¹:

$$\begin{aligned}
b'(s') &= \Pr(s'|o, a, b) = \Pr(s'|o, b) \\
&= \frac{\Pr(o|s', b) \Pr(s'|b)}{\Pr(o|b)} \\
&= \frac{\Pr(o|s') \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \Pr(s'|b, s) \Pr(s|b)}{\Pr(o|b)} \\
&= \frac{O(s', o) \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} T(s, s') b(s)}{\Pr(o|b)}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

In Eq.2.14, the transition function is time-independent. However, in our setting, the parent nodes X and Y are modelled as CTMCs with time-dependent transition matrices. To derive *continuous-time belief state*, $b(t)$, first we need to get the joint transition matrix of X and Y, two independent processes. This operation called *amalgamation* is described in detail by Nodelman *et al* [2]. Let us denote this matrix by Q_{XY} . Now we can derive the belief state as follows:

$$b_{s'}(t) = \frac{\Pr(o|s') \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \Pr(S(t) = s' | S(t-h) = s) b_s(t-h)}{\Pr(o|b)} \tag{2.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} b_{s'}(t) &= C \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p_{s,s'}(t) b_s(t-h) \right] \\
&= C \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} [\delta_{ss'} + q_{s,s'}h + o(h)] b_s(t-h) \right] \\
&= C \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[b_{s'}(t-h) + \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} q_{s,s'}h b_s(t-h) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Due to the scaling factor $C = \frac{\Pr(o|s')}{\Pr(o|b)}$, cannot derive $\frac{d}{dt}b = \mathbf{Q}b$, .

However, we can use the conditional distribution of Markov processes as the transition function $T(s'|s)$ to derive the continuous-time belief state. The conditional distribution over the value of X, a homogenous Markov process, at time t given its value at an earlier time s can be written as

$$\Pr(X(t) | X(s)) = \exp(\mathbf{Q}(t-s)) \tag{2.17}$$

Then the continuous-time belief state becomes:

$$b_{s'}(t) = \frac{\Pr(o|s') \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} [\exp(\mathbf{Q}(h))]_{s,s'} b_s(t-h)}{\Pr(o|b)} \tag{2.18}$$

¹Since for now, we assume there is no affect of Z's action on the observation or transition function, a is omitted from the equation.

3 Methodology

3.1 Data Generation - Simulation

3.2 Inference of Deterministic Observation Model

Our dataset contains a number of trajectories from all the nodes involved in the communication. $\mathbf{D} = \{D_1, \dots, D_N\}$. Every trajectory comprises of state transitions in time interval $[0, T]$, and the times of these transitions.

4 Experimental Results and Evaluation

4.1 Bayesian Nonparametrics

5 Conclusion

5.1 Discussion

5.2 Future Work

Bibliography

- [1] I. Cohn, T. El-Hay, N. Friedman, and R. Kupferman, “Mean Field Variational Approximation for Continuous-Time Bayesian Networks,” vol. 11, pp. 1–39, 2010.
- [2] U. Nodelman, C. R. Shelton, and D. Koller, “Continuous Time Bayesian Networks,” 1995.
- [3] L. P. Kaelbling, M. L. Littman, and A. R. Cassandra, “Planning and acting in partially observable stochastic domains,” *Amino Acids*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 443–451, 2011.