AE1MCS: Mathematics for Computer Scientists

Huan Jin, Heshan Du University of Nottingham Ningbo China

Aim and Learning Objectives

- To gain a good understanding of the definitions of proposition, propositional variable and logical operators;
- To gain a good understanding of other definitions: tautology, contradiction, contingency, logical equivalence, converse, contrapositive and inverse.
- To be able to draw truth tables and use them as a tool to solve logical problems;
- To be able to apply important logical equivalences to solve logical problems.

Proposition

Definition

A proposition is a statement that is either true or false.

The area of logic that deals with propositions is called the *propositional logic* or *propositional calculus*.

Is it a proposition?

- 1 Beijing is the capital of China.
- 21+1=2.
- 3 2 + 2 = 3.
- 4 What time is it?
- 5 Read this sentence carefully.
- 6 x + 1 = 2.
- 7 x + y = z.
- 8 If x > 0, then x > 1.

Unfortunately, it is not always easy to decide if a claimed proposition is true or false.

1 For every nonnegative integer n, the value of $n^2 + n + 41$ is prime.

- 1 For every nonnegative integer n, the value of $n^2 + n + 41$ is prime.
- The equation $a^4 + b^4 + c^4 = d^4$ has no positive integer solutions [Euler's conjecture, 1769].

- 1 For every nonnegative integer n, the value of $n^2 + n + 41$ is prime.
- The equation $a^4 + b^4 + c^4 = d^4$ has no positive integer solutions [Euler's conjecture, 1769].
- 3 313($x^3 + y^3$) = z^3 has no positive integer solutions.

- 1 For every nonnegative integer n, the value of $n^2 + n + 41$ is prime.
- The equation $a^4 + b^4 + c^4 = d^4$ has no positive integer solutions [Euler's conjecture, 1769].
- 3 313($x^3 + y^3$) = z^3 has no positive integer solutions.
- 4 Every map can be colored with 4 colors so that adjacent regions have different colors [Four Color Theorem].

- 11 For every nonnegative integer n, the value of $n^2 + n + 41$ is prime.
- The equation $a^4 + b^4 + c^4 = d^4$ has no positive integer solutions [Euler's conjecture, 1769].
- 3 313($x^3 + y^3$) = z^3 has no positive integer solutions.
- 4 Every map can be colored with 4 colors so that adjacent regions have different colors [Four Color Theorem].
- Every even integer greater than 2 is the sum of two primes [Goldbach's conjecture, 1742].

Propositional Variable

- a variable that represents a proposition
- \blacksquare denoted using a letter p, q, r, s, \dots
- truth value: T (true); F (false)

Logical Operators

- Compound Proposition: formed from existing propositions using logical operators
- Logical Operators
 - Negation
 - Conjunction
 - Disjunction
 - Implication
 - ...

Negation

Definition (Negation)

Let p be a proposition. The *negation* of p, denoted by $\neg p$, is the statement

'It is not the case that p'.

The proposition $\neg p$ is read 'not p'. The truth value of $\neg p$ is the opposite of the truth value of p.

p	$\neg p$
T	F
F	Т

Conjunction

Definition (Conjunction)

Let p and q be propositions. The *conjunction* of p and q, denoted by $p \wedge q$, is the proposition

'p and q'.

The proposition $p \land q$ is true when both p and q are true and is false otherwise.

р	q	$p \wedge q$
T	Т	Т
T	F	F
F	Τ	F
F	F	F

Disjunction

Definition (Disjunction)

Let p and q be propositions. The *disjunction* of p and q, denoted by $p \lor q$, is the proposition

The proposition $p \lor q$ is false when both p and q are false and is true otherwise.

р	q	$p \lor q$
Т	Т	Т
Т	F	Т
F	Т	Т
F	F	F

Exclusive Or

Definition (Exclusive Or)

Let p and q be propositions. The *exclusive* or of p and q, denoted by $p \oplus q$, is the proposition that is true when *exactly* one of p and q is true and is false otherwise.

р	q	$p \oplus q$
Т	Т	F
Т	F	T
F	Τ	T
F	F	F

Implication

Definition (Implication)

Let p and q be propositions. The *implication* $p \rightarrow q$ is the proposition

'if p, then q'.

The proposition $p \to q$ is false when p is true and q is false, and true otherwise. p is called the hypothesis or premise and q is called the conclusion or consequence.

р	q	$p \rightarrow q$
Т	Т	Т
Т	F	F
F	Τ	T
F	F	Т

The proposition $p \rightarrow q$ is true, if p is false or q is true,

Examples

- If Goldbach's Conjecture is true, then $x^2 \ge 0$ for every real number x.
- If pigs fly, then your account will not get hacked.

Bi-Implication

Definition (Bi-Implication)

Let p and q be propositions. The *bi-implication* $p \leftrightarrow q$ is the proposition

'p if and only if q'.

The bi-implication $p \leftrightarrow q$ is true when p and q have the same truth values, and is false otherwise.

The words 'if and only if' are sometimes abbreviated 'iff'.

р	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$
Т	Т	T
Т	F	F
F	Τ	F
F	F	Т

 $p \leftrightarrow q$ is true when both $p \to q$ and $q \to p$ are true, and is false otherwise.

More Definitions

- Tautology, Contradiction and Contingency
- Logical Equivalence
- Converse, Contrapositive and Inverse

Tautology, Contradiction and Contingency

Definition (Tautology, Contradiction and Contingency)

A compound proposition that is *always true*, no matter what the truth values of the propositions that occur in it, is called a **tautology**. A compound proposition that is *always false* is called a **contradiction**. A compound proposition that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction is called a **contingency**.

Exercise

How to construct a tautology, a contradiction and a contingency using just one propositional variable?

Logical Equivalence

Definition (Equivalence)

The compound propositions p and q are logically equivalent, if they always have the same truth value (i.e. $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology). The notation $p \equiv q$ denotes that p and q are logically equivalent.

Exercise

- Are $\neg(p \lor q)$ and $\neg p \land \neg q$ logically equivalent? Why?
- Are $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg p \lor q$ are logically equivalent? Why?

[Hint: construct truth tables]

Converse, Contrapositive and Inverse

- The **converse** of $p \rightarrow q$ is the proposition $q \rightarrow p$.
- The **contrapositive** of $p \rightarrow q$ is the proposition $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$.
- The **inverse** of $p \rightarrow q$ is the proposition $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$.

Which pairs of the following propositions are equivalent? Why?

- a conditional statement and its converse
- a conditional statement and its contrapositive
- a conditional statement and its inverse

Some Important Logical Equivalences

	Equivalence	Name
1	$p \wedge T \equiv p$	Identity laws
2	$ oldsymbol{ ho} ee \mathcal{F} \equiv \mathcal{p}$	
3	$p \lor T \equiv T$	Domination laws
4	$p \wedge F \equiv F$	
5	$p \lor p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws
6	$p \wedge p \equiv p$	
7	$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Double negation law
8	$p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$	Commutative laws
9	$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	

Some Important Logical Equivalences

	Equivalence	Name
10	$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$	Associative laws
11	$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$	
12	$p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$	Distributive laws
13	$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	
14	$ eg(p \wedge q) \equiv eg p \vee eg q$	De Morgan's laws
15	$ eg(p \lor q) \equiv eg p \land eg q$	
16	$oldsymbol{p}ee(oldsymbol{p}\wedgeoldsymbol{q})\equivoldsymbol{p}$	Absorption laws
17	$\rho \wedge (\rho \vee q) \equiv \rho$	
18	$oldsymbol{ ho}ee eg ho\equiv \overline{T}$	Negation laws
19	$\boldsymbol{\rho} \wedge \neg \boldsymbol{\rho} \equiv \boldsymbol{\digamma}$	

Logical Equivalences involving Implications

20	$ extcolor{black}{p} ightarrow extcolor{black}{q} \equiv eg extcolor{black}{p} ee extcolor{black}{q}$
21	$ extstyle p o q \equiv eg q o eg p$
22	$ extcolor{black}{p}ee q\equiv eg p ightarrow q$
23	$p \wedge q \equiv \lnot (p o \lnot q)$
24	$ eg(p o q)\equiv p\wedge eg q$
25	$(p \rightarrow q) \land (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \land r)$
26	$(p \rightarrow r) \land (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \lor q) \rightarrow r$
27	$(p \rightarrow q) \lor (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
28	$(p \to r) \lor (q \to r) \equiv (p \land q) \to r$

Logical Equivalences involving Bi-Implications

$$\begin{array}{c|c} 29 & p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p) \\ 30 & p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q \\ 31 & p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q) \\ 32 & \neg (p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q \\ \end{array}$$

Using De Morgan's Laws

Use De Morgan's laws to express the negations of the following sentences.

- Tony has a cellphone and he has a laptop computer.
- Heather will go to the concert or Steve will go to the concert.

Constructing New Logical Equivalences

- A proposition in a compound proposition can be replaced by a compound proposition that is logically equivalent to it without changing the truth value of the original compound proposition.
- Prove two propositions are logically equivalent by developing a series of logical equivalences.

Exercise

- Show that $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$ and $p \land \neg q$ are logically equivalent.
- Show that $\neg(p \lor (\neg p \land q))$ and $\neg p \land \neg q$ are logically equivalent.
- Show that $(p \land q) \rightarrow (p \lor q)$ is a tautology.

List of Symbols

SYMBOL	MEANING	PAGE
$\neg p$	negation of p	3
$p \wedge q$	conjunction of p and q	4
$p \vee q$	disjunction of p and q	4
$p \oplus q$	exclusive or of p and q	6
$p \rightarrow q$	the implication p implies q	6
$p \leftrightarrow q$	biconditional of p and q	9
$p \equiv q$	equivalence of p and q	23
ceiling talkening	tautology	23
Fw (w) to meat	contradiction	23
$P(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$	propositional function	36
$\forall x P(x)$	universal quantification of $P(x)$	38
$\exists x P(x)$	existential quantification of $P(x)$	40
	uniqueness quantification of $P(x)$	41
$\exists ! x P(x)$	therefore	64
$p\{S\}q$	partial correctness of S	364

Expected Learning Outcomes

- To gain a good understanding of the definitions of proposition, propositional variable and logical operators;
- To gain a good understanding of other definitions: tautology, contradiction, contingency, logical equivalence, converse, contrapositive and inverse.
- To be able to draw truth tables and use them as a tool to solve logical problems;
- To be able to apply important logical equivalences to solve logical problems.

33 / 34

Reading

Kenneth H. Rosen, *Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications*, 7th Edition, 2013.

■ Sections 1.1-1.3.