## AE1MCS: Mathematics for Computer Scientists

Huan Jin University of Nottingham Ningbo China

Dec 2021

1/32

### Assessment

- 25% coursework
  - coursework 1: 10%
  - coursework 2: 15%
- 75% final exam:
  - 2 hours written exam
  - No calculator (Permutations and combinations below 10 should be calculated.)
  - Cover all the topics in lectures and tutorials

# Topics Covered by AE1MCS

- Propositional Logic
- 2 Predicate Logic
- 3 Inference Rules
- Proof Techniques
- 5 Sets
- 6 Functions
- 7 Relations
- 8 Counting
- 9 Probability

# **Propositional Logic**

- To gain a good understanding of the definitions of proposition, propositional variable and logical operators;
- To gain a good understanding of other definitions: tautology, contradiction, contingency, logical equivalence, converse, contrapositive and inverse.
- To be able to draw **truth tables** and use them as a tool to solve logical problems;
- To be able to apply important logical equivalences to solve logical problems.

# **Propositional Logic**

- Propositions
- Logical Operators
- Tautology, Contradiction and Contingency
- Logical Equivalence
- Converse, Contrapositive and Inverse
- 32 Rules

# Some Important Logical Equivalences

	Equivalence	Name
1	$p \wedge T \equiv p$	Identity laws
2	$p \lor F \equiv p$	
3	$p \lor T \equiv T$	Domination laws
4	$p \wedge F \equiv F$	
5	$p \lor p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws
6	$p \wedge p \equiv p$	
7	$ eg( eg p) \equiv p$	Double negation law
8	$p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$	Commutative laws
9	$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	

6/32

# Some Important Logical Equivalences

	Equivalence	Name
10	$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$	Associative laws
11	$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$	
12	$p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$	Distributive laws
13	$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	
14	$ eg(p \wedge q) \equiv  eg p \vee  eg q$	De Morgan's laws
15	$ eg(p \lor q) \equiv  eg p \land  eg q$	
16	$oldsymbol{p}ee(oldsymbol{p}\wedgeoldsymbol{q})\equivoldsymbol{p}$	Absorption laws
17	$\rho \wedge (\rho \vee q) \equiv \rho$	
18	$ ho ee  eg  ho \equiv \overline{T}$	Negation laws
19	$oldsymbol{ ho} \wedge  eg oldsymbol{ ho} \equiv oldsymbol{F}$	

 Huan Jin
 AE1MCS
 Dec 2021
 7 / 32

# Logical Equivalences involving Implications

20	$oldsymbol{ ho}  ightarrow oldsymbol{q} \equiv  eg oldsymbol{ ho} ee oldsymbol{q}$
21	$ extstyle p  ightarrow q \equiv  eg q  ightarrow  eg p$
22	$ extcolor{black}{p}ee q\equiv  eg p ightarrow q$
23	$p \wedge q \equiv \lnot (p  o \lnot q)$
24	$ eg(p o q)\equiv p\wedge  eg q$
25	$(p  ightarrow q) \wedge (p  ightarrow r) \equiv p  ightarrow (q \wedge r)$
26	$(p \rightarrow r) \land (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \lor q) \rightarrow r$
27	$(p \rightarrow q) \lor (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
28	$(p \to r) \lor (q \to r) \equiv (p \land q) \to r$



8/32

# Logical Equivalences involving Bi-Implications

$$\begin{array}{c|c} 29 & p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p) \\ 30 & p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q \\ 31 & p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q) \\ 32 & \neg (p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q \\ \end{array}$$

9/32

# **Predicate Logic**

- To gain a good understanding of the definitions of universal quantification, existential quantification and logical equivalence involving quantifiers;
- To be able to translate between English expressions and quantified expressions.
- To be able to apply De Morgan's laws to negate quantified expressions.
- To be able to apply important logical equivalences to solve logical problems.
- To be able to use predicate logic as a tool to solve problems.

Huan Jin AE1MCS Dec 2021 10 / 32

# **Predicate Logic**

- Predicates
- Universal and Existential Quantification
- Logical Equivalences involving Quantifiers
- De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers

11/32

Let P(x), Q(x), and R(x) be the statements "x is a professor", "x is ignorant," and "x is vain," respectively. Express each of these statements using quantifiers; logical connectives; and P(x), Q(x), and R(x), where the domain consists of all people.

- No professors are ignorant.
- All ignorant people are vain.
- No professors are vain.

A common but not universal pattern:

- The universal quantifier is very often followed by an implication, because a universal statement is most often of the form 'given any x, if it has property A then it also has property B'.
- The existential quantifier is very often followed by a conjunction, because an existing statement is most often of the from 'there exists an x with property A, which also has property B'.

### Inference Rules

- To be able to understand inference rules.
- To be able to use inference rules to solve logical problems.

13/32

### Inference Rules

- Modus Ponens and Modus Tollens
- Hypothetical syllogism
- Disjunctive syllogism
- Addition
- Simplification
- Conjunction
- Resolution

Dec 2021

### Inference Rules for Quantified Statements

- Universal instantiation
- Universal generalization
- Existential instantiation
- Existential generalization

15/32

# **Proof Techniques**

- To be able to understand different methods of proving theorems.
- To be able to apply different methods to construct proofs.

Dec 2021

# **Proof Techniques**

- Direct Proof
- Proof by Contraposition
- Proof by Contradiction
- Proof by Cases
- Proof by Induction

Huan Jin AE1MCS Dec 2021 17 / 32

# Proof Format (1)

- 1. A sequence of logical equivalences with reasons for each step
  - Propositional logic (For example, page 35 in slides of "Propositional logic", for the laws without a name, please define it yourself.)
  - Predicate logic (For example, page 33 in slides of "predicate logic")
  - Set (for example, p30 in slides of ?Set?)

Consequently  $\neg (p \lor (\neg p \land q))$  and  $\neg p \land \neg q$  are logically equivalent.

# Proof Format(1)

Show that  $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$  and  $p \land \neg q$  are logically equivalent.

#### Answer:

$$\neg(p \to q)$$

$$\equiv \neg(\neg p \lor q)$$

$$\equiv \neg(\neg p) \land \neg q$$

$$\equiv p \land \neg q.$$

Show that  $\neg \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$  and  $\exists x (P(x) \land \neg Q(x))$  are logically equivalent.

#### Answer:

$$\neg \forall x (P(x) \to Q(x)) 
\equiv \exists x \neg (P(x) \to Q(x)) 
\equiv \exists x \neg (\neg P(x) \lor Q(x)) 
\equiv \exists x (P(x) \land \neg Q(x))$$

Huan Jin AE1MCS Dec 2021 19 / 32

# Proof Format(1)

Let A, B, and C be sets. Show that

$$\overline{A \cup (B \cap C)} = (\overline{C} \cup \overline{B}) \cap \overline{A}.$$

Solution: We have

$$\overline{A \cup (B \cap C)} = \overline{A} \cap (\overline{B} \cap \overline{C})$$
 by the first De Morgan law 
$$= \overline{A} \cap (\overline{B} \cup \overline{C})$$
 by the second De Morgan law 
$$= (\overline{B} \cup \overline{C}) \cap \overline{A}$$
 by the commutative law for intersections 
$$= (\overline{C} \cup \overline{B}) \cap \overline{A}$$
 by the commutative law for unions.

# Proof Format (2)

# 2. Steps and reasons: Rules of Inference(for example, page 15, 23, 24 in slides of ?Rule of inferences?)

Show that the premises "If you send me an e-mail message, then I will finish writing the program," "If you do not send me an e-mail message, then I will go to sleep early," and "If I go to sleep early, then I will wake up feeling refreshed" lead to the conclusion "If I do not finish writing the program, then I will wake up feeling refreshed."

**Solution:** Let p be the proposition "You send me an e-mail message," q the proposition "I will finish writing the program," r the proposition "I will go to sleep early," and s the proposition "I will wake up feeling refreshed." Then the premises are  $p \to q$ ,  $\neg p \to r$ , and  $r \to s$ . The desired conclusion is  $\neg q \to s$ . We need to give a valid argument with premises  $p \to q$ ,  $\neg p \to r$ , and  $r \to s$  and conclusion  $\neg q \to s$ .

This argument form shows that the premises lead to the desired conclusion.

Step	Reason
1. $p \rightarrow q$	Premise
2. $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	Contrapositive of (1)
3. $\neg p \rightarrow r$	Premise
4. $\neg q \rightarrow r$	Hypothetical syllogism using (2) and (3)
5. $r \rightarrow s$	Premise
6. $\neg q \rightarrow s$	Hypothetical syllogism using (4) and (5)

# Proof Format (3)

### 3. Natural Language

- Propositional logic
- Predicate logic
- Set (tutorial examples, please do not use Venn diagram to prove.)
- Function (tutorial examples)
- Counting (tutorial examples)
- Probability (tutorial examples)
- Relations

22 / 32

# Proof Format (3)

Claim proof methods in the beginning, say direct proof, contraposition, contradiction, equivalence, counterexamples, cases, induction, etc.

Prove that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

Proof.

Suppose  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational. Then there exist integers p and q with  $q \neq 0$  such that  $\sqrt{2} = p/q$  and p and q do not have any common factor. Thus,  $2 = p^2/q^2$ .  $p^2 = 2q^2$ . Thus,  $p^2$  is even. Since if n is odd, then  $n^2$  is odd (proved in previous slides), p is even. Hence there exists an integer k such that p = 2k. Then  $p^2 = (2k)^2 = 2q^2$ .  $q^2 = 2k^2$ . Thus  $q^2$  is even, hence q is even. Thus, p and q are both even, which contradicts the fact that p and q do not have any common factor.  $\Box$ 

## Set

- Set Notations
- Empty Set and Singleton Set
- Venn Diagram
- Subset, Proper Subset, and Equal Sets
- Power Sets, Cartesian products
- Set Operations
- Set Identities



# Set Identities

	Identity	Name
1	$A \cap U = A$	Identity laws
2	$A \cup \emptyset = A$	
3	$A \cup U = U$	Domination laws
4	$A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$	
5	$A \cup A = A$	Idempotent laws
6	$A \cap A = A$	
7	$\overline{(\overline{A})} = A$	Complementation law
8	$A \cup B = B \cup A$	Commutative laws
9	$A \cap B = B \cap A$	



# Set Identities

	Identity	Name
10	$A \cup (B \cup C) = (A \cup B) \cup C$	Associative laws
11	$A\cap (B\cap C)=(A\cap B)\cap C$	
12	$A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$	Distributive laws
13	$A\cap (B\cup C)=(A\cap B)\cup (A\cap C)$	
14	$\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$	De Morgan's laws
15	$\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$	
16	$A \cup (A \cap B) = A$	Absorption laws
17	$A \cap (A \cup B) = A$	
18	$A \cup \overline{A} = U$	Complement laws
19	${\it A} \cap \overline{\it A} = \emptyset$	

### **Function**

- What is a function?
- One-to-One Function, Onto Functions, One-to-one Correspondence
- Inverse Function, Invertible Function
- Compositions of Functions

 Huan Jin
 AE1MCS
 Dec 2021
 27 / 32

# Counting

- Basic Counting Principles
  - Product Rule
  - Sum Rule
  - Subtraction Rule (Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion)
  - Division Rule
- The Pigeonhole Principle
- Permutations and Combinations

### Relation

- Relations on a Set
- Reflexive, Symmetric, Antisymmetric, Transitive Relations
- Combining Relations
- Composite of Relations
- Equivalence Relations
- Partial Orderings

Dec 2021

29/32

Huan Jin AE1MCS

# Probability

- Probability of an event
- Conditional probability
- Bayes' theorem
- Expected value and variance
- Many discrete probabilities (Uniform, Bernoulli, Binomial, Geometric, Poisson)

# Some suggestions

- Be well-prepared!
- Understand the concepts and definitions.
- Practice
  - Try to solve some problems given in the textbook.
  - Express your answers **clearly** in English.
  - Use simple words.
  - Write less but to the point!
- Sleep well! :-)

# Good Luck!

Huan Jin AE1MCS Dec 2021 32 / 32