# State Synchronization and Verification of Committed Information in a System with Reconfigurations

#### Abstract

In this document we describe the current approach for state synchronization and verification of committed transactions in case the active set of validators and their signatures can change periodically.

#### 1 Introduction

Below we give a brief overview of the actors and their verification operations that are relevant in light of reconfigurations in the system.




Figure 1: Main participants during verification and state sync

- Clients learn about the state of the blockchain via the UpdateToLatestLedgerRequest and Update-ToLatestLedgerResponse messages. The response includes the LedgerInfo signed by the validator set of a given epoch (a client should have an ability to verify this validator set, see below). All the items in the response have merkle tree proofs relative to the version of the signed LedgerInfo.
- StateSynchronizer module is used by both the validators and full nodes: it is responsible to sync up the local storage to a given remote via the GetChunkRequest and GetChunkResponse messages. The GetChunkResponse includes the LedgerInfo signed by the validator set of a given epoch and a chunk of transactions with a merkle tree range proof relative to the version of that ledger info. Upon receiving a chunk response, StateSynchronizer verifies the signatures of the ledger info, verifies the range tree proof, applies the transactions locally and adds the LedgerInfo to the local storage in case it matches the local accumulator state. There is exactly one (latest) LedgerInfo per epoch kept in storage.

• Consensus module is run by the validators: it is using the state synchronizer to sync up the local storage in case one of the received consensus messages indicates that the peer's committed version is higher than the information stored in the local consensus speculative tree. In this case Consensus requests StateSynchronizer to sync up to a specific version, for which it has received a valid LedgerInfo.

## 2 Verification through epochs




Figure 2: Latest LedgerInfo of an epoch keeps a validator set for the next epoch, forming a EpochChangeProof chain.

Fundamentally, each change in the validator set is part of the state managed by the ledger. In order to simplify the protocol the new validator set is also included in the last LedgerInfo of each epoch in a special field next\_validator\_set. As shown in Figure 2, storage keeps last LedgerInfo for every epoch, forming a EpochChangeProof chain, in which each new validator set is verified using the public keys of the previous validator set.


The EpochChangeProof chain is included in the UpdateToLatestLedgerResponse, hence every participant in the system can maintain the uptodate validator set (given a trusted validator set  $VS_i$  one can verify a LedgerInfo with a non-empty next\_validator\_set and thus update its trusted validator set to  $VS_{i+1}$ ). Note that this approach assumes **some initial** trusted validator set, a challenge thoroughly described in Section 3.

### 2.1 State Synchronization through epochs

StateSynchronizer could verify the latest LedgerInfo similarly to the way it is done by the clients described above. However, this verification might not be enough: in order for a node to be able to serve state-synchronization to its downstream peers it should store all the end-of-epoch LedgerInfos as well.

Thus, the sync up protocol of StateSynchronizer makes sure that both the transactions and the EpochChangeProof are properly synchronized. The downstream peer is managing the known epoch and version; the upstream peer makes sure to deliver all the end-of-epoch LedgerInfos (see Figure 3):

- GetChunkRequest carries the information about the known version and epoch of the requester.
- GetChunkResponse carries chunks that never cross epoch boundaries. In case a chunk terminates the epoch it belongs to, the LedgerInfo in the chunk also includes the next\_validator\_set, which helps the receiver to move to the next epoch (Figure 3a).
- One interesting aspect worth noting here is that in case a state synchronization request has a predefined target, the chunk response carries either the LedgerInfo of the given target, or the last LedgerInfo of the request epoch (the smaller between the two), to make sure that the receiver can still verify the response as depicted in Figure 3. In these cases the chunk can be smaller than the max allowed chunk size, just to make sure that the chunks do not cross epoch boundaries.



(a) The LedgerInfo in response is determined by the last (b) The LedgerInfo in response is determined by the target version of the request epoch.

LedgerInfo of the request.

Figure 3: Response chunks do not cross epoch boundaries and carry proofs relative to the LedgerInfo of the chunk's epoch.

- The receiver of the GetChunkResponse executes the following verification steps:
  - Verify the signatures of the response LedgerInfo using the current validator set (chunk response must belong to the epoch of the request).
  - Verify the merkle range proof of the chunk transactions relative to the LedgerInfo of the response.
  - Apply the transactions to the local accumulator.
  - Verify that the local accumulator state matches the metadata of the response LedgerInfo and add this LedgerInfo to the local storage.

## 3 Waypoints

#### 3.1 Motivation

A *cold* node (the one that comes online for the first time, or after a long period of being disconnected), faces a problem of the initial syncup because it might no longer trust the old public keys of the historical validators it is aware of, which could have been compromised since then (the so called "long range attack").

Waypoints can be used as an off-chain mechanism for selecting the initial trusted set of validators and specific points in the accumulator history. Waypoints can be published by anyone. The Libra Association is also expected to publish waypoints on a regular basis (e.g., on https://developers.libra.org). Any waypoint selected by clients can be embedded within the nodes (clients / full nodes / validators) as a trusted "starting point".

The trusted points in history determined by the waypoints can be used in the following situations:

- Long range attacks: trusted recovery relative to a recent point in time for a cold node that does not have an up-to-date information locally.
- Genesis configuration: a genesis waypoint certifies the genesis LedgerInfo with its initial validator set.
- Emergency reconfigurations: consider a disastrous scenario, in which a reconfiguration must be applied without an ability to generate a LedgerInfo with a valid set of signatures (e.g., private keys

are lost, or the system needs to be forcefully upgraded to a previous point in time). The LedgerInfo of this reconfiguration cannot be verified via the standard signature checks, and it's going to be verified using waypoints (see more in Section ??).

Obviously, being an off-chain mechanism there is no way to "prove" a waypoint, the nodes choose to trust waypoints for their initialization.

#### 3.2 Waypoint structure

In order to provide a client with the initial trusted root hash and validators, it is enough for the waypoint to include the information about a LedgerInfo on the epoch boundary (the one that must include the validator set of the next epoch).

The waypoint representation remains a short string that can be easily included in a config or copied from an association website.

```
Waypoint

// The version of the reconfiguration transaction that is being approved by this waypoint.

version: u64

// The hash of the chosen fields of LedgerInfo (including the next epoch info).

value: HashValue
```

The fields of a LedgerInfo that are included in the waypoint value are epoch, root\_hash, version, timestamp, and next\_validator\_set. Note that we cannot include all the fields because they might not be deterministic: different clients might observe different values of e.g., consensus\_block\_id of the latest LedgerInfo in an epoch.

The textual representation of a Waypoint is "version:hex encoding of the root hash". For example, the current testnet genesis waypoint is

"0:a17035961b97359bcc22b628c1e269f543b265220d22a17e4a5b4e3aed86855b".

#### 3.3 Verifying the latest LedgerInfo with waypoints

As described in Section 2, an UpdateToLatestLedgerResponse message carries a data structure for verifying validator changes, EpochChangeProof, which contains a list of all the epoch change LedgerInfos. A client verifies this list in an iterative fashion starting with its initial trusted ValidatorSet.




Figure 4: The first LedgerInfo in the EpochChangeProof is verified via a local waypoint.

Waypoint verification is working slightly differently as shown in Figure 4. A waypoint version corresponds to the last version of an epoch (version 0 being somewhat special and corresponding to the last and only version of epoch 0). Hence, the EpochChangeProof in the response starts with the end-of-epoch LedgerInfo corresponding to the waypoint: this very first LedgerInfo is verified using the waypoint. The rest of the epoch changes is verified in a regular manner.

#### 3.4 State synchronization with waypoints

As described in Section 2.1, a node should possess the intermediate end-of-epoch LedgerInfos in order to be able to serve its downstream peers. Consider a following scenario:

- Full node A has reached epoch 100.
- Full node B is a downstream peer of A that is restarted with waypoint  $W_{80}$  corresponding to the last version of epoch 80.
- Full node C is a downstream peer of B that is restarted with waypoint  $W_{60}$  corresponding to the last version of epoch 60.

Waypoints are not transferable: the fact that B has decided to trust a waypoint  $W_{80}$  does not mean it can send this waypoint to C, which might still trust  $W_{60}$  only. Hence, B needs to be able to prove validator changes to C in the epoch range 60-80, therefore it must bring the intermediate end-of-epoch LedgerInfos in a verifiable manner.

Unlike the protocol described in Section 2.1, the verification of intermediate end-of-epoch LedgerInfos prior to the waypoint (epochs below 80 in our example) cannot be based on signature verification, because B does not trust the old signatures. The verification of pre-waypoint items is relative to this waypoint:




Figure 5: ChunkResponse carries the LI of a waypoint and an optional intermediate end-of-epoch LI.

• GetChunkRequest specifies the target type to be a waypoint with the version corresponding of the version of the local waypoint.

- Each chunk response carries a LedgerInfo determined by the target waypoint version. This Ledger-Info is verified using a waypoint.
- The range of transactions in the response chunk carries a merkle tree proof relative to the version of the waypoint as well.
- The chunks that are sent back never cross epoch boundaries.
- In case the chunk terminates an intermediate epoch (e.g., a last chunk of epoch 62 in Figure 5), the chunk response carries an intermediate end-of-epoch LedgerInfo.
- The receiver of such a chunk first executes the transactions and then verifies that the root hash and the metadata of the intermediate end-of-epoch LedgerInfo indeed correspond to its local accumulator state. In such case this end-of-epoch LedgerInfo is added to the local ledger store and can later be used for providing state synchronization to the downstream nodes.

#### 3.5 Consensus and TCB initialization with waypoints

In this section we briefly describe the way consensus and TCB components utilize the waypoint mechanism during startup.

Consensus component trusts its local State Synchronizer. The startup order makes sure that Consensus starts only after State Synchronizer is fully initialized. In case a validator starts with a waypoint that is higher than the latest version stored in its local storage, the following chain of events occurs:

- State Synchronizer retrieves all the committed information up to the waypoint, as described in Section 3.4, and then considers itself initialized.
- Consensus starts after the initialization of State Synchronizer is complete and looks for the new root.
- Consensus detects that 1) the highest LedgerInfo in its local storage is higher than the previously stored consensus root, and 2) this LedgerInfo keeps the validator set for the next epoch (waypoint is set at the epoch change boundary). In this case, Consensus generates a new genesis block and starts a new epoch.

Unlike Consensus, TCB does not trust any other component in the system, hence, it keeps the waypoint of its own (in its local TCB config). Upon startup TCB verifies the latest LedgerInfo in the local store using its own waypoint (one should be careful to maintain the TCB and State Synchronizer waypoint copies identical because otherwise the startup verification might fail). TCB does not need to verify all the previous end-of-epoch LedgerInfos prior to its waypoint because it is not responsible for the synchronization services.