stsci4520_lab7

Nick Gembs

3/8/2023

```
# let's look at the exp function for an example
# we'll compare it to using exponentiation
n <- 1000
x <- rnorm(n)
v \leftarrow 10*rnorm(n)
e \leftarrow exp(1)
library("bench")
# spend a little time reading the documentation
# this can be alternatively called with the "cute" syntax bench::mark(exp(x))
result <- mark( exp(x), e^x )
# this contains a table with information about how long it takes
\# exp(x) is a little bit faster than e^x
result
## # A tibble: 2 x 6
     expression
                     min
                           median 'itr/sec' mem_alloc 'gc/sec'
     <bch:expr> <bch:tm> <bch:tm>
                                       <dbl> <bch:byt>
                                                           <dbl>
## 1 exp(x)
                  75.8us
                             121us
                                       7275.
                                                7.86KB
                                                               0
## 2 e^x
                 177.1us
                             288us
                                       3063.
                                                7.86KB
                                                               0
# run this block of code a few times to get a sense of whether the results are consistent
# let's do y and compare
result <- mark( exp(y), e^y )</pre>
result
## # A tibble: 2 x 6
     expression
                           median 'itr/sec' mem_alloc 'gc/sec'
                     min
     <bch:expr> <bch:tm> <bch:tm>
                                       <dbl> <bch:byt>
                                                           <dbl>
                           92.6us
                                       8176.
                                                7.86KB
## 1 \exp(y)
                  47.6us
                                                               0
## 2 e^y
                 201.5us 321.6us
                                       2191.
                                                7.86KB
                                                               0
# Exercise: read the documentation for "press" and use it to
# benchmark exp(x) against e^x for a range of values of n,
# such as n = 10, n = 100, n = 1000, n = 10000
```

```
# the exponential function has a series expansion:
\# exp(x) = 1 + x/1! + x^2/2! + x^3/3! + \dots
# let's try to beat the exp function using the series expansion
my exp1 <- function(x){</pre>
 z <- rep(1,length(x))
 y <- x
 for(j in 1:3){
   z <- z + y/factorial(j)
    y <- y*x
  return(z)
# this only works for small x
x \leftarrow 0.01*rnorm(n)
mark( exp(x), e^x, my_exp1(x) )
## # A tibble: 3 x 6
   expression min median 'itr/sec' mem_alloc 'gc/sec'
    <br/>
<br/>
<br/>
dh:expr> <bch:tm> <bch:tm>
                                       <dbl> <bch:byt>
                                                           <dbl>
## 1 exp(x)
                  68.4us 126us
                                       7212.
                                                7.86KB
                                                            2.06
                                                7.86KB
## 2 e^x
                 145.9us
                             232us
                                       3802.
                                                            Ω
## 3 my_exp1(x) 11.6us
                              42us
                                      15242. 101.94KB
                                                           14.5
n <- 1000
# Exercise: see if you can write your own version of my_exp that beats exp
my exp2 <- function(x){</pre>
 # fill in your code here
 z \leftarrow 1 + x + x*x*((3+x)/6)
  return(z)
x \leftarrow 0.01*rnorm(n)
mark( exp(x), e^x, my_exp1(x), my_exp2(x) )
## # A tibble: 4 x 6
   expression min median 'itr/sec' mem_alloc 'gc/sec'
    <br/>
<br/>
dch:expr> <bch:tm> <bch:tm>
                                       <dbl> <bch:byt>
                                                           <dbl>
## 1 exp(x)
                   75us 108.7us
                                       8043.
                                                7.86KB
                                                            2.06
## 2 e^x
                   156us 222.8us
                                      3738.
                                                7.86KB
                                                            0
                  15.6us 42.3us 15268.
                                                           14.7
## 3 my_exp1(x)
                                               55.02KB
## 4 my_exp2(x)
                  5.5us 16.4us
                                    35579.
                                               23.58KB
                                                           17.8
n <- 999999
x \leftarrow 0.01*rnorm(n)
t1 <- proc.time()</pre>
ans = exp(x)
t2 <- proc.time()
print("exp(x) time:")
```

```
## [1] "exp(x) time:"
print(t2-t1)
      user system elapsed
##
             0.02
                      0.12
      0.11
t1 <- proc.time()</pre>
ans1 = my_exp1(x)
t2 <- proc.time()
print("my_exp1(x) time:")
## [1] "my_exp1(x) time:"
print(t2-t1)
##
      user system elapsed
##
      0.03
           0.01
                     0.05
t1 <- proc.time()</pre>
ans2 = my_exp2(x)
t2 <- proc.time()
print("my_exp2(x) time:")
## [1] "my_exp2(x) time:"
print(t2-t1)
##
      user system elapsed
            0.02
                      0.03
      0.01
# sorting strings vs factors vs numbers
hot <- read.csv("C:/Users/Nick/Downloads/Hot_100.csv")</pre>
# pay attention to the units!
mark(
  order( hot$chart_date ),
 order( as.Date( hot$chart_date ) ),
  order( as.factor(hot$chart_date) ),
  order( as.numeric(as.factor(hot$chart_date) ) )
## Warning: Some expressions had a GC in every iteration; so filtering is
## disabled.
## # A tibble: 4 x 6
##
   expression
                                                        min
                                                              median itr/s~1 mem_a~2
     <bch:expr>
                                                   <bch:tm> <bch:tm> <dbl> <bch:b>
                                                      8.07s 8.07s 0.124 1.28MB
## 1 order(hot$chart_date)
```

factors and numbers return total time in milliseconds, while string and Date return in seconds. numbers were the quickest to sort, followed by factors, then dates, and finally, strings.

```
# how much time is spent on the actual ordering?
hot_string <- hot$chart_date</pre>
hot_date <- as.Date( hot$chart_date )</pre>
hot_fact <- as.factor( hot$chart_date )</pre>
hot_number <- as.numeric( as.factor( hot$chart_date ) )</pre>
mark( order(hot_string), order(hot_date), order(hot_fact), order(hot_number) )
## # A tibble: 4 x 6
##
     expression
                                    median 'itr/sec' mem_alloc 'gc/sec'
                             min
     <bch:expr>
                        <bch:tm> <bch:tm>
                                                <dbl> <bch:byt>
## 1 order(hot_string)
                            9.5m
                                      9.5m
                                             0.00175
                                                         1.28MB
                                                                     0
## 2 order(hot_date)
                                   24.82ms
                                            39.0
                                                         3.85MB
                                                                     2.17
                         19.85ms
## 3 order(hot_fact)
                                    3.27ms 273.
                          2.72 ms
                                                         2.59MB
                                                                    12.3
## 4 order(hot number)
                        12.31ms 13.25ms 66.7
                                                         1.28MB
                                                                     2.08
```

The results were different for the actual ordering. factors, dates, and numbers return total time in milliseconds, while string returns in seconds. factors were the quickest to order, followed by dates, then numbers, and finally, strings.