


GD10

Learn. Network. Inspire.


www.GDConf.com



Go With The Flow: Fluid and Particle Physics in PixelJunk Shooter




Jaymin Kessler
Q-Games
Technology Team
jaymin@gdc@q-games.com





Shooter overview

- ➊ Game designed around mixing of various solids, liquids, and gasses
 - ➊ Magma meets water, cools, and forms rock
 - ➊ Ice meets magma and melts
 - ➊ Magnetic liquid meets water to form a toxic gas, just like in real life
 - ➊ Lasers melt ice and rock into water and magma
 - ➊ Other cool effects like explosion chain reactions, and water turbulence




Video (for those who haven't played it yet)





Video (for those who haven't played it yet)

PIXEL JUNK®



Overview

- SPU based fluid simulation
 - Parallel particle sim algorithms
 - Game design built around mixing of different fluids
 - Universal collision detection mechanism
 - Particle flow rendering


- Collision detection by distance field
 - Real-time SPU and GPU algorithms

- Level editing via stage editor
 - Topographical design via templates
 - Particle placement




Episode 1
Fluid Simulation

1-4
PIXEL JUNK®




Existing fluid simulation algorithms

- ➊ Smoothed particle hydrodynamics
 - ➊ Divide the fluid into particles, where each has a smoothing length
 - ➊ Particle properties are smoothed over smoothing length by a kernel function
 - ➊ Particles affected by other particles close by
 - ➊ SPH formulation derived by spatially discretizing Navier-Stokes equations
 - ➊ Used in astrophysics!



What we actually used

- Goal: practical application in-game
 - Ease of implementation
 - Rapid control response
 - Physical accuracy
 - Cater to the strengths of the SPUs
 - No SIGGRAPH framerates
- Fluid system developed for Shooter
 - 2D particle collision simulation
 - 32,768 particles running @ 60fps on 5 SPUs (could have done way more if needed ;))



Verlet integration

The good

- 4th order accurate (Euler is 1st)

- Greater stability than Euler


- Time-reversibility

The bad

- Bad handling of varying time steps

- Needs 2 steps to start, start conditions are crucial

Time-corrected verlet helps




The version we used

- Applied to elemental particle sim
- location $p(t)$ as a function of time t against velocity $v(t)$ and ext force $F(t)$
- For mass m and sim interval Δt


$$p(t+\Delta t) = p(t) + v(t)\Delta t + F(t)\Delta t^2 / 2m$$


$$v(t) = (p(t) - p(t-\Delta t)) / \Delta t$$



Incompressibility of liquid


- ❶ Liquids don't compress or expand to fill volumes, but...
- ❷ In our model, mass and gravity can compress lower particles
- ❸ Don't worry! We have a fix







Maintaining the incompressibility of liquid

- ➊ Must maintain constant distance between particles
- ➋ Particles have an adjustable radius bias
- ➌ Each frame:
 - ➍ Calculate the desired radius bias
 - ➎ Based on max ingestion of surrounding particles
 - ➏ Lerp from current bias to desired bias
 - ➐ Two different rates for expanding and contracting
 - ➑ Contraction ~4x faster than expansion



Maintaining the incompressibility of liquid







Keeping particles apart

- 🐟 Add repulsive force in the space between colliding particles
- 🐟 Force of repulsion proportional to the number of colliding particles
- 🐟 Increasing number of particles creates fluid-like behavior

Keeping particles apart

- Simple-ish computation model
 - All particles perfectly spherical, but with varying radius size
 - Helped with ease of implementation







Not all particles created equal

- ➊ Different particle combinations have different force of repulsion values
- ➋ Different chemical reactions simulated when fluids mix
- ➌ Different mass
- ➍ Some have rigid bodies, others don't
- ➎ Particle types propagate heat differently
 - ➏ i.e. magma cools to form a rock-like solid

Heat propagation

- Each particle carried thermal data
- When particles collide, heat is propagated
 - Warmer particle to cooler one
 - Same algorithm we use for force of repulsion
 - Particle types have different thermal transfer values







One other (mis)use of the particle system

- ➊ In-game collision detection
- ➋ Characters, missiles, etc. are surrounded by special dummy particles (interactors)


- ➌ Some benefits include
 - ➍ No need to write lots of different collision detection systems
 - ➎ Depending on the location of the interactor particle, pretty much any collision can be simply detected and tracked





SPURS jobchain (in words)


- ⌚ Yes, we really used SPURS
- ⌚ SPU jobchain:
 - 1) Collision detection and repulsive force calc
 - 2) Force unification (for multi-cell particles)
 - 3) Particle update (verlet)
 - 4) Particle deletion, only 1 SPU used
 - 5) Grid calc for the next frame
- ⌚ PPU processing:
 - ⌚ Particle generation
 - ⌚ Jobchain building



SPURS jobchain (in words)


- ⌚ Yes, we really used SPURS
- ⌚ SPU jobchain:
 - 1) Collision detection and repulsive force calc
 - 2) Force unification (for multi-cell particles)
 - 3) Particle update (verlet)
 - 4) Particle deletion, only 1 SPU used
 - 5) Grid calc for the next frame
- ⌚ PPU processing:
 - ⌚ Particle generation
 - ⌚ Jobchain building






Collision job

- ➊ Collision detection between every particle in a cell
- ➋ Several cells pooled together to make one job
- ➌ Jobs are divided to help with load balancing
- ➍ Output
 - ➎ Particle number
 - ➏ Force of repulsion







Force unification job

- If a particle is processed in more than one cell, we have to unify the results
- Output: Unified force of repulsion, acceleration, and other info by particle number


Output for job11 thru job14
{(particle no.; power of repulsion)}
Particle numbers can be duplicated






Update job


- ⌚ This is the BIG one (in terms of code size)
- ⌚ Particle physics calculations, including verlet integration
- ⌚ PPU notification of interesting events
 - Like abrupt changes in fluid direction, triggering effects
- ⌚ Output
 - Updated particle data
 - Particle deletion info
 - Various other flags



Particle delete job


- Only run on one SPU
- Very few particles deleted, around 10 per frame
- Take valid particles off the end, and overwrite deleted particles as we find them






Particle grid division


- Used to parallelize workload
- $O((n/k)^2)$ for $k \approx 1232$ cells, or a 44×28 grid (better than $O(n^2)$)
- Multiple cells per job
- But what if a particle is on the border between two cells?






Particle grid division


- Particles are processed (hit test) with every cell they touch
- In the next phase, we unify all forces acting on a particle
- After merge, the particle belongs to the upper left most cell it touches




SPURS jobchain (in pictures)



PPU






SPU



SPURS jobchain (in-profiler)

~9000 particles





Painfully obvious optimizations

- ➊ Heavy use of SoA
 - ➊ big win even when converting to and from in the same job
- ➋ Avoid scalars (especially multiple writes) like the plague
 - ➊ Or don't read/write the same buffer in the same loop
- ➌ As branch-free as possible
- ➍ Software pipelining and unrolling
 - ➊ But less LS left for particles
- ➎ Favor intrinsics over asm :(
 - ➊ Dylan's inline asm site
 - ➊ Possible through compiler communication


Game Developers Conference®

March 9-13, 2010

Moscone Center

San Francisco, CA

www.GDConf.com





You have earned a trophy.



Elicit an uncomfortable groan


Christer's© algorithm






Episode 2 Rendering

1-4
PIXEL JUNK®




Fluid rendering

- ➊ Render particles in a vertex array
 - ➌ Three basic particle types: solid, liquid, and gas
 - ➌ Each is rendered to a different offscreen buffer
 - ➌ A vertex array is required for each particle type
- ➋ Upper particle limit is approx 30,000
 - ➌ three different vertex arrays for three particle types with 30,000 particles each is a waste
 - ➌ One vertex array can be used for all three types




Fluid rendering


- ➊ Vertex array built on the SPUs
 - ➊ 1~5 SPUs used depending on the num particles
 - ➋ Lists built in LS and DMA'd to main memory
- ➋ The vertex array is 64 sectors
 - ➊ Each sector contains one particle type
 - ➋ Max 512 particles per sector
 - ➌ Atomic DMA to coordinate shared list updates



Fluid rendering



- ➊ Grouped particles rendered as a smooth flowing fluid
- ➋ Existing example: marching square/cube
 - ➌ Related particles depicted as a polygon mesh
 - ➍ The grid has to be fine, or liquid movement isn't smooth
- ➎ Currently patented
 - ➏ Not by us






Fluid rendering


- Render particles to a low-res offscreen buffer with a luminance texture
- Blur the offscreen buffer
- Scale up with bi-linear filter
- Use the resulting brightness to color the liquid






Cohesiveness

- ➊ Free falling liquid causes particle distances to increase
 - ➊ Liquid mass loses cohesiveness
 - ➋ Opposite problem as compression
- ➋ Solution: don't fully clear the buffer
 - ➊ Image lag effect maintains cohesiveness, even in motion





Water surface AA



- When rendering liquid to offscreen buffer, use a smooth step function
- Two thresholds used for water surface and for tinting

SPU update job detects sudden changes in liquid speed and direction, and notifies the PPU to add foam effects




Depicting movement

- ➊ Create a flow pattern to show movement
 - ➊ Each particle gets a fixed random UV value [0..1]
 - ➊ UV value converts to RG value
 - ➊ Use a different color where RG is 0.5f, 0.5f




Refraction

- From water and from magma heat
- Ping-pong between offscreen buffers (tex feedback processing)
- Degree and direction depends on particles fixed UV





Episode 3 Distance Transform



Distance field

➊ How does it work?

➊ Binary input image

- ➊ Walls are white
- ➊ Space is black

➊ Output image

- ➊ Wall core is bright
- ➊ Wall boundary is 0.5f
- ➊ Gets darker as you move away from wall
- ➊ 2 distance transforms: static and dynamic

➋ Sample uses

- ➊ Wall collision detection
- ➊ Making enlarged fonts look better



March 9-13, 2010


Moscone Center

San Francisco, CA

www.GDConf.com

Distance transform








Using distance transform for wall collision

- ➊ Look up character's pos in the distance field
 - ➌ $> 0.5f \Rightarrow$ collision
 - ➌ $\leq 0.5f \Rightarrow$ no collision
- ➋ Moving away from a collision
 - ➌ Get 4 distance field values near collision
 - ➌ Look at gradient to move away from the wall


Using distance transform for wall collision







Using distance transform for wall collision

- When detecting collision with the ground
 - The force of repulsion is applied in line with the collision surface
 - Proportional to the collision force




Distance transform in-game





Distance transform algorithms



- ➊ $O(n^2)$ Chamfer distance
 - ➊ Used with Manhattan distance
 - ➊ 1ms for 256x256 on one SPU
 - ➊ Also had a 512x512 version
- ➋ Dead reckoning
 - ➊ A little more accurate
- ➌ Jump flooding
 - ➊ Implementable on GPU
 - ➊ 6ms *GASP*
- ➍ Parallel versions exist, but...




Chamfer distance algorithm

- ➊ Two passes (forward and back)
- ➋ Propagate distance to closest wall
 - ➌ Forward pass looks at upper and left neighbors
 - ➍ Backwards pass looks at lower and right neighbors
- ➎ The larger the window, the more accurate
- ➏ We went with a 3x3 window

Chamfer distance algorithm (unsigned)







Jump flooding


- ➊ Unlike DRA and CDA*, parallel processing is possible
- ➋ Works on GPU
- ➌ $\log_2(n)$ passes
- ➍ $O(n^2 \log_2(n))$ calculation
- ➎ Rough idea
 - ➏ Compute an approximation to the Voronoi diagram of a given set of seeds in a 2D grid

Jump flooding in action



In every pass, the distance is cut in half. These images show all 8 passes on the GPU

Platform comparison




- ➊ PS3
 - ➊ CDA: 1ms for 256x256 on one SPU
- ➋ PC
 - ➊ Jump flooding: 8 passes required
 - ➋ 5~6ms was too much time, so we split it up and did 4 passes per frame
- ➌ SPU vs GPU
 - ➊ SPU: more complex processing possible
 - ➋ GPU: awkward to program, and is already so busy with rendering



Episode 4
Editor

1-4
PIXEL JUNK®



Editor overview

- ⌚ Functions
- ⌚ Wall editing
 - ⌚ Based on templates
 - ⌚ Had procedural generation, but didn't use it
 - ⌚ Placing items, characters, etc
 - ⌚ Turning things on and off
 - ⌚ Wall, rock, fluid, enemies, gimmicks, items, survivors, verlet update, particles
- ⌚ Fluid editor
 - ⌚ Flow simulation
 - ⌚ Execution/cancellation
 - ⌚ Various debugging visualizations



March 9-13, 2010

Moscone Center

San Francisco, CA



www.GDConf.com


Editor overview



Topographical design


- ➊ Different patterns for different things
 - ➊ Different sized rocks, walls, ice, snow
- ➋ Each stage had unified design concepts
- ➌ Designers still have to hand-draw their levels
- ➍ One of the reasons it would be hard to release a level editor on PS3






Pattern templates

- Designers create patterns for wall decorations
- The level creator uses the templates to design the walls
- Templates broken into several parts
- Using randomized loops and reverses, joints are automatically made seamless
- Vector format for nice scaling





Conclusion

- ➊ Fluid simulation system
- ➋ 32,768+ fluid particles @ 5SPU, 60FPS
 - ➌ Heat transmission, constant distance maintenance, etc
- ➌ Universal collision detection system
- ➍ Real-time distance field
- ➎ CDA, 256×256, Manhattan@1SPU, 1ms
 - ➏ Used for collision detection
 - ➐ Also abused for ??? in Shooter 2
- ➑ Note to self: if time left over, have that “only on PS3” discussion I promised everyone on Twitter