## HW#1

## 2023-20200 박건도

**Theorem 1.6.8** Suppose  $X_n \to X$  a.s. Let g, h be continuous functions with (i)  $g \ge 0$  and  $g(x) \to \infty$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ , (ii)  $|h(x)|/g(x) \to 0$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ , and (iii)  $Eg(X_n) \le K < \infty$  for all n. Then  $Eh(X_n) \to Eh(X)$ .

Proof By subtracting a constant from h, we can suppose without loss of generality that h(0)=0. Pick M large so that P(|X|=M)=0 and g(x)>0 when  $|x|\geq M$ . Given a random variable Y, let  $\bar{Y}=Y1_{(|Y|\leq M)}$ . Since P(|X|=M)=0,  $\bar{X}_n\to\bar{X}$  a.s. Since  $h(\bar{X}_n)$  is bounded and h is continuous, it follows from the bounded convergence theorem that

(a) 
$$E(h(\bar{X}_n) \to Eh(\bar{X})$$

To control the effect of the truncation, we use the following:

(b) 
$$|Eh(\bar{Y}) - Eh(Y)| \le E|h(\bar{Y}) - h(Y)| \le E(|h(Y)|; |Y| > M) \le \epsilon_M Eg(Y)$$

where  $\epsilon_M = \sup\{|h(x)|/g(x) : |x| \geq M\}$ . To check the second inequality, note that when  $|Y| \leq M$ ,  $\bar{Y} = Y$ , and we have supposed h(0) = 0. The third inequality follows from the definition of  $\epsilon_M$ .

Taking  $Y = X_n$  in (b) and using (iii), it follows that

(c) 
$$|Eh(\bar{X_n}) - Eh(X_n)| \le K\epsilon_M$$

To estimate  $|Eh(\bar{X}) - Eh(X)|$ , we observe that  $g \ge 0$  and g is continuous, so Fatou's lemma implies

$$Eg(X) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} Eg(X_n) \le K$$

Taking Y = X in (b) gives

(d) 
$$|Eh(\bar{X}) - Eh(X)| \le K\epsilon_M$$

The triangle inequality implies

$$|Eh(X_n) - Eh(X)| \le |Eh(X_n) - Eh(\bar{X}_n)|$$
$$+ |Eh(\bar{X}_n) - Eh(\bar{X})| + |Eh(\bar{X}) - Eh(X)|$$

Taking limits and using (a), (c), (d), we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} |Eh(X_n) - Eh(X)| \le 2K\epsilon_M$$

which proves the desired result since  $K < \infty$  and  $\epsilon_M \to 0$  as  $M \to \infty$ .