## Assignment #1

real analysis

April 4, 2022

2017-11362 통계학과 박건도

**Problem 1.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space, let Y be a non-empty measurable subset of X, and let  $\mathfrak{M}_Y$  be the collection of subsets of Y belonging to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Prove that  $\mathfrak{M}_Y$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and the measure  $\mu$  restricted to  $\mathfrak{M}_Y$  is also a measure on  $\mathfrak{M}_Y$  (and thus  $(Y, \mathfrak{M}_Y, \mu)$  consists a measure space).

**Solution**. First of all, let's show that  $\mathfrak{M}_Y$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

- ①  $Y \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_Y$  is trivial.
- ②  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_Y \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathfrak{M}_Y$ Let  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_Y$ . Then  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$  and so does  $A^c$ . Since  $Y \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $Y \setminus A = Y \cap A^c \in \mathfrak{M}$ .  $\therefore Y \setminus A \in \mathfrak{M}_Y$ .  $(\because Y \cap A^c \subseteq Y)$
- ③  $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{M}_Y \Rightarrow \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathfrak{M}_Y$   $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{M}_Y \text{ means } A_k \subseteq Y \text{ for all } k, \text{ i.e., } \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \subseteq Y.$ Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathfrak{M}$  for  $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Therefore,

$$A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{M}_Y \Rightarrow \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathfrak{M} \text{ and } \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \subseteq Y$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathfrak{M}_Y$$

Therefore,  $\mathfrak{M}_Y$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Then we have to show that the measure  $\mu$  restricted to  $\mathfrak{M}_Y$  is a measure on  $\mathfrak{M}_Y$ . Since  $\mu(\varnothing) = 0 < \infty$ , countable additivity remains only to prove.

Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{M}_Y$  be disjoint sets. Since  $\mathfrak{M}_Y$  belonging to  $\mathfrak{M}, A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mu(\bigcup A_k) = \sum \mu(A_k)$ .

Therefore,  $(Y, \mathfrak{M}_Y, \mu)$  is a measure space.

**Problem 2.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space such that  $\mu(X) < \infty$ . Define

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 = \{ A \in \mathfrak{M} : \mu(A) = 0 \text{ or } \mu(A) = \mu(X) \}.$$
 (1)

- (1) Prove that  $(X, \mathfrak{M}_1, \mu)$  is a measure space.
- (2) Let  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Prove that f is measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  if and only if there exists  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that f(x) = c a.e.  $[\mu]$ .

**Solution**. (1) To show that  $(X, \mathfrak{M}_1, \mu)$  is a measure space, we have to prove following statements:

- (i)  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- (ii)  $\mu$  restricted to  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  is a measure on  $(X,\mathfrak{M}_1)$

First of all, let's show that  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. It is clear that  $X \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ . For  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ ,  $\mu(A)$  is either 0 or  $\mu(X)$ . Then,  $\mu(A^c) = \mu(X) - \mu(A) = \mu(X)$  or 0, so  $A^c \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ . And for  $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ , there are two cases:

$$\begin{cases} \mu(A_1) = \mu(A_2) = \dots = 0 \\ \exists k \text{ s.t. } \mu(A_k) = \mu(X) \end{cases}$$

In first case,  $\mu(\bigcup A_i) \leq \sum \mu(A_i) = 0$  means  $\bigcup A_i \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ . We can also lead the same conclusion from the other case since

$$\mu(X) = \mu(A_k) \le \mu(\bigcup A_i) \le \mu(X).$$

Therefore,  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Next, to show that  $\mu$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathfrak{M}_1)$ , we have to show the countable additivity  $(\mu(\emptyset) = 0 < \infty$  is easy to show). Likewise the **Solution** of **Problem 1**., it is clear that  $(X, \mathfrak{M}_1, \mu)$  is a measure space.

- (2) To show iff condition, we have to show following 2 statements:
- (i) f(x) = c a.e.  $[\mu] \Rightarrow f$  is measurable on  $\mathfrak{M}_1$ . For open  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exists N$  s.t.  $\mu(N) = 0$ , f(x) = c where  $x \in X \setminus N$ . Let's show  $\mu(f^{-1}(V)) = 0$  or  $\mu(X)$ , so that  $f^{-1}(V) \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ .

If  $c \in V$ ,

$$f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(\{c\} \cup V \setminus \{c\})$$
$$= f^{-1}(\{c\}) \cup f^{-1}(V \setminus \{c\})$$
$$\supseteq X \setminus N$$

$$\therefore \mu(X \setminus N) = \mu(X) - \mu(N) = \mu(X) \implies \mu(f^{-1}(V)) = \mu(X)$$
  
If  $c \notin V$ ,  $f(x) = c$  where  $x \in X \setminus N$  and it means  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq N$ .  
$$\therefore \mu(f^{-1}(V)) = 0 \ (\because \mu(N) = 0).$$
  
$$\therefore f^{-1}(V) \in \mathfrak{M}_1.$$

(ii) f is measurable on  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \Rightarrow f(x) = c$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ . Let  $c = \sup\{a \in \mathbb{R} : \mu(f^{-1}((a,\infty)) = \mu(X)\}$ . Then for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\mu(f^{-1}((c - \epsilon, \infty)) = \mu(X)$  and  $\mu(f^{-1}((c + \epsilon, \infty)) = 0$  by the definition of supremum. Then,

$$\mu(X) = \mu(f^{-1}((c - \epsilon, \infty)) - \mu(f^{-1}((c + \epsilon, \infty)))$$
$$= \mu(f^{-1}(c - \epsilon, c + \epsilon]))$$
$$\to \mu(f^{-1}(\{c\}) \quad (\text{as } \epsilon \to 0).$$

$$\therefore f(x) = c \text{ a.e. } [\mu]. \ (\because \mu(f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{c\})) = 0).$$

**Problem 3.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{M})$  be a measureable space and let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k$  be positive measures on this space. Let  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k \geq 0$  be non-negative reals and define  $\mu : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathbb{R}$  as

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \mu_i(E) \text{ for } E \in \mathfrak{M}$$

Prove that  $\mu$  is a measure. (This measure is denoted by  $c_1\mu_1 + \cdots + c_k\mu_k$ ).

Solution.  $\mu(\varnothing) = \sum c_i \mu_i(\varnothing) = 0 < \infty$ .

Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{M}$  be disjoint sets. Since each  $\mu_i$  is a measure,  $\mu_i(\bigcup A_j) = \sum_j \mu_i(A_j)$ .

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \mu_i \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_i(A_j)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \mu_i(A_j)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$$

 $\therefore \mu$  is a measure.

**Problem 4.** Suppose that  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is the standard topological space, i.e.,

 $\tau = \{A: A \text{ is a countable union of open intervals in } \mathbb{R}\}\,.$ 

Denote by  $\mathfrak{B}$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra associated to this topological space. Prove that the following set belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

$$A = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : x = q_1 \sqrt{n_1} + \dots + q_k \sqrt{n_k} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}, \ q_1, \dots, q_k \in \mathbb{Q},$$
and  $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N} \}$ 

**Solution**. For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $[a, \infty) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(a - \frac{1}{n}, \infty\right)$  and  $(-\infty, a] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(-\infty, a + \frac{1}{n}\right)$ , so  $[a, \infty)$ ,  $(-\infty, a] \in \tau$  and therefore  $\{a\} \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Let

$$A_k = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : x = q_1 \sqrt{n_1} + \dots + q_k \sqrt{n_k} \text{ for some } q_i \in \mathbb{Q}, \ n_i \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, \dots, k \}$$

Since there exists an injection s.t.  $A_k \to \mathbb{Q}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$  are countable,  $A_k$  is at most countable and  $A_k = \bigcup_{x \in A_k} \{x\} \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

$$\therefore A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

**Problem 5.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space such that  $\mu(X) < \infty$  and let  $f, g, h \in L^1(\mu)$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$B_n = \{x \in X : |f(x)| + |g(x)| \le n\} \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Prove that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{B_n} h \ d\mu = \int_X h \ d\mu.$$

**Solution**. Since  $f, g \in L^1(\mu), \int_X |f| d\mu, \int_X |g| d\mu < \infty$  and so  $\int_X |f| + |g| d\mu < \infty$ . Then,

$$\infty > \int_{X} |f| + |g| \ d\mu = \int_{X \cap B_{n}} |f| + |g| \ d\mu + \int_{X \cap B_{n}^{c}} |f| + |g| \ d\mu$$
$$> \int_{X \cap B_{n}} |f| + |g| \ d\mu + n \cdot \mu(X \cap B_{n}^{c})$$

 $\therefore \mu(X \setminus B_n) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$ Then,

$$\int_{X} |h - h\chi_{B_{n}}| d\mu = \int_{X} |h|(1 - \chi_{B_{n}}) d\mu$$

$$= \int_{X} |h| d\mu - \int_{X} |h|\chi_{B_{n}}| d\mu$$

$$= \int_{B_{n}} |h| d\mu + \int_{X\backslash B_{n}} |h| d\mu - \int_{X} |h|\chi_{B_{n}}| d\mu$$

$$= \int_{X\backslash B_{n}} |h| d\mu \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad (\because h \in L^{1}(\mu))$$

Since  $0 \le \left| \int_X h - h \chi_{B_n} d\mu \right| \le \int_X |h - h \chi_{B_n}| d\mu \to 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X h - h \chi_{B_n} d\mu = 0$ .

$$\therefore \int_X h \ d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X h \chi_{B_n} \ d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{B_n} h \ d\mu.$$

**Problem 6.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  be a complete measure space and let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be sequences of measurable functions such that

$$f_m \leq g_n$$
 a.e.  $[\mu]$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Prove that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} f_n \le \int_{n\in\mathbb{N}} g_n \quad \text{a.e. } [\mu].$$

**Solution**. There exists  $N \in \mathfrak{M}$  s.t.  $\mu(N) = 0$ , and  $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $f_m(x) > g_n(x)$  for all  $x \in N$ . Then,  $f_m(x) \leq g_n(x)$  for  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\forall x \in X \setminus N$ . It suffices to show that  $\sup f_n(x) \leq \inf g_n(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in X \setminus N$ . Let  $x \in X \setminus N$  is given and assume that  $\sup f_n(x) > \inf g_n(x)$ . Then,  $\exists M \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying followings:

$$\begin{cases} f_M(x) > \sup f_n(x) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sup f_n(x) - \inf g_n(x) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sup f_n(x) + \inf g_n(x) \right) \\ g_M(x) < \inf g_n(x) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sup f_n(x) - \inf g_n(x) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sup f_n(x) + \inf g_n(x) \right) \end{cases}$$

It concludes that  $g_M(x) < f_M(x)$ , which contradicts to  $f_m \leq g_n$ ,  $\forall m, n$ .

$$\therefore \sup f_n(x) \le \inf g_n(x), \, \forall x \in X \setminus N, \, \mu(N) = 0.$$

$$\therefore \sup f_n(x) \leq \inf g_n(x)$$
 a.e.  $[\mu]$ .

**Problem 7.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and let  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ . Prove that, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a simple measurable function s such that

$$\int_X |f - s| \ d\mu < \epsilon.$$

**Solution**. If above statment holds on all non-negative lebesgue measurable functions, then since  $f = f^+ - f^-$  and  $s = s^+ - s^-$ ,

$$0 \le \int_X |f - s| \ d\mu \le \int_X |f^+ - s^+| + |f^- - s^-| \ d\mu < 2\epsilon$$

WLOG, it suffices to show above statement where  $f \geq 0$ .

Thm  $1.17 \Rightarrow \exists$  simple  $(s_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}: X \to [0, \infty)$  s.t.  $s_n \nearrow f$ . Then  $s_n \in L^1(\mu)$ . Then, we can see following holds:

$$\begin{cases} (s_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, f: X \to \mathbb{R} \text{ MFs.} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n(x) = f(x), \ \forall x \in X. \\ \exists f \in L^1(\mu) \text{ s.t. } |s_n(x)| \le f(x), \ \forall x \in X, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

DCT  $\Rightarrow \int_X |f - s_n| \ d\mu \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$  $\therefore \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \int_X |f - s_N| \ d\mu < \epsilon \text{ and take } s = s_N.$ 

**Problem 8.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, ..., 3n\}$  for some positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{M} = \{A \subset X : |\{3k-2, 3k-1, 3k\} \cap A| = 0 \text{ or } 3 \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq n\}.$ 

- (1) Prove that  $(X, \mathfrak{M})$  is a measurable space.
- (2) Let  $(a_k)_{k=1}^{3n}$  be a sequence of non-negative reals. Define  $\mu: \mathfrak{M} \to [0, \infty)$  as

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{i \in A} a_i.$$

Prove that  $\mu$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathfrak{M})$  and hence  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  is a measure space. (3) Suppose that the sequence  $(a_k)_{k=1}^{3n}$  given above satisfies  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$  and  $a_k > 0$  for all  $k \geq 4$ . Prove that the measure space  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  is not complete and derive the completion of the measure space  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$ .

**Solution**. (1) To show that  $(X, \mathfrak{M})$  is a measurable space, we have to show three things:

① 
$$|\{3k-2,3k-1,3k\} \cap X| = 3 \text{ for all } k = 1,2,\ldots,n \implies X \in \mathfrak{M}$$

② Assume  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ . For  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ ,

$$\begin{cases} |\{3k-2,3k-1,3k\} \cap A| = 3 & \Rightarrow & \{3k-2,3k-1,3k\} \subseteq A \\ & \Rightarrow & |\{3k-2,3k-1,3k\} \cap A^c| = 0 \\ |\{3k-2,3k-1,3k\} \cap A| = 0 & \Rightarrow & |\{3k-2,3k-1,3k\} \cap A^c| = 3 \end{cases}$$

 $A^c \in \mathfrak{M}$ .

 $\mathfrak{J}(A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{M})$  is given.

For k = 1, 2, ..., n,

i) 
$$|\{3k-2,3k-1,3k\} \cap A_i| = 0, \forall i$$

$$\emptyset = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\{3k - 2, 3k - 1, 3k\} \cap A_i)$$
$$= \{3k - 2, 3k - 1, 3k\} \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right)$$

:. 
$$|\{3k-2, 3k-1, 3k\} \cap \bigcup A_i| = 0$$
  
ii)  $\exists A_i \text{ s.t. } |\{3k-2, 3k-1, 3k\} \cap A| = 3$ 

$$\{3k - 2, 3k - 1, 3k\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\{3k - 2, 3k - 1, 3k\} \cap A)$$
$$= \{3k - 2, 3k - 1, 3k\} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$$
$$\subseteq \{3k - 2, 3k - 1, 3k\}$$

$$\therefore |\{3k-2, 3k-1, 3k\} \cap \bigcup A_i| = 3$$
$$\therefore \bigcup A_i \in \mathfrak{M}$$

 $\therefore (X, \mathfrak{M})$  is a measurable space.

(2) Since  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0 < \infty$ , it suffices to show countable additivity of  $\mu$ . Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{M}$  be disjoint sets.

Since  $|X| < \infty$  and  $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq 2^X$ ,  $|\mathfrak{M}| < \infty$  and  $\exists N$  s.t.  $A_{N+1} = A_{N+2} = \cdots = \varnothing$ . Then, when we show that  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathfrak{M}$  disjoint  $\Rightarrow \mu(A_1 \cup A_2) = \mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2)$ , it can be easily expanded to conclusion by induction.

$$\mu(A_1 \cup A_2) = \sum_{i \in A_1 \cup A_2} a_i = \sum_{i \in A_1} a_i + \sum_{i \in A_2} a_i = \mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2).$$

 $\therefore (X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  is a measure space.

(3) First of all, let's show that given measure space  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  is not complete.

Let  $N = \{1, 2, 3\} \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $A = \{1\} \subseteq N$ .

Then  $\mu(N) = 0$  but  $A \notin \mathfrak{M}$  (::  $|\{1, 2, 3\} \cap A| = 1$ ), so we can conclude that  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  is not compelete.

The completion of above measure space is,

$$\mathfrak{M}^* = \{ E \subseteq X : {}^{\exists} A, B \in \mathfrak{M} \text{ s.t. } A \subseteq E \subseteq B, \ \mu(B \setminus A) = 0 \}$$

Let's find largest  $N \subseteq X$  s.t.  $\mu(N) = 0$ .

$$\mu(N) = \sum_{i \in N} a_i = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad N = \emptyset \text{ or } a_i = 0, \ \forall i \in N$$

 $N \in 2^{\{1,2,3\}}$ 

 $\therefore \mathfrak{M}^* = \{ N \cup E : E \in \mathfrak{M}, N \in 2^{\{1,2,3\}}.$ 

Extend  $\mu$  so that satisfies  $\mu(N \cup E) = \mu(E)$ .

Then,  $(X, \mathfrak{M}^*, \mu)$  is a complete measure space.