Problem Set 2. Qn. 2

Algorithm.

else

$$A_1 = A \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & D \\ 2 & \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_2 = A \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] + 1, \dots, m$$

$$x_1 = \text{Maj-Element}(A_1)$$

 $x_2 = \text{Maj-Element}(A_2)$

if $x_1 \neq null$ $m_1 = count \text{ of } x_1 \text{ in } A$ if $m_1 > \frac{n}{2}$,

return nif $x_2 \neq null$ $m_2 = count \text{ of } x_2 \text{ in } A$ if $m_2 > \frac{n}{2}$,

return n_2

Running Time:

The algorithm involves 2 recursive calls on imputs of half the size. Further, computing the value of n_1, n_2 takes O(n) time.

.. Total running time can be expressed as

$$T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n$$

which solves to $T(n) = \theta(n \log n)$ using Master Theorem

Proof of correctness:

If the algorithm returns a non-null value x, it is easy to see that x appears more than $\frac{\pi}{2}$ times in A, as it is explicitly checked by the algorithm.

Now, we will show that it is not possible that there exists $x \in A$ that appears more than $\frac{n}{2}$ times and algorithm returns nell.

We will prove by induction on n.

It is easy to prove the base case for m=1. Now, assume the claim holds for smaller values of m. If x appears more than n times in A, and n n times in n and n n times in n and n n n times in n and n n n times in n and n n times in n and n n times in n and n appears more than n times in n n. This implies that n in the only such element in n n in n in