

PS-2

Q. To show  $\Rightarrow$  Sample mean is consistent and unbiased estimate of population mean.  
unbiased  $\Rightarrow$  mean = population mean.  
Consistent  $\Rightarrow$  converges

Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a random sample of size  $n$ .

Sample mean  $\bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n}$ .

Sampling distribution  $\bar{X} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n}$ .

We know that  ~~$\bar{X}$  is asymptotically normal ( $n, \sigma$ )~~.

By law of large numbers with equal components.

$$\bar{X} \xrightarrow{\text{imp}} m,$$

where  $m$  is mean of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

but since each  $x_i$  has

distribution identical to  $X$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{X} \xrightarrow{\text{imp}} \text{Population Mean } m$$

$$\text{Also } E(\bar{x}) = E\left(\frac{x_1+x_2+\dots+x_n}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot [E(x_1) + E(x_2) + \dots + E(x_n)] \\ = \frac{1}{n} \cdot (nm) = \underline{\underline{m}}.$$

- $\therefore$  It is unbiased ( $E(\bar{x}) = m$ ) & consistent ( $\bar{x} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} m$ ).  
 $\therefore$  Hence Proved.

Q4. To show  $\Rightarrow$  Sample Variance is consistent but biased estimate of population variance.

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2.$$

Sampling Distribution

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$$E(S^2) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \cdot E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \{(x_i - m) - (\bar{x} - m)\}^2\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \cdot E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \{(x_i - m)^2 - 2(x_i - m)(\bar{x} - m) + (\bar{x} - m)^2\}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \cdot E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m)^2 - 2(\bar{x} - m) \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m) + n(\bar{x} - m)^2\right]$$

$$+ (\bar{x} - m)^2\right].$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left[n\sigma^2 - n[(\bar{x} - m)^2] - 2(\bar{x} - m) \cdot E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m)\right)\right]$$

as  $E(x_i - m) = 0$

$$= \sigma^2 - \cancel{2(\bar{x} - m)} E[(\bar{x} - m)^2]$$

$$\text{Now } E[(\bar{x} - m)^2] = E\left[\left(\frac{x_1+x_2+\dots+x_n}{n} - m\right)^2\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot E[(x_1 - m)^2 + (x_2 - m)^2 + \dots + (x_n - m)^2]$$

$\Downarrow$  Proven previously

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot (Var x_1 + Var x_2 + \dots + Var x_n)$$

$$= \boxed{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}$$

$$\therefore E(S^2) = \left[ \sigma^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \sigma^2 \right].$$

$$\therefore [E(S^2) \neq \sigma^2] . \quad \therefore \text{Biased estimate.}$$

with bias  $\left[ \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right]$ .

\* We define  $S^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} S^2$  then  $S^2$  is the unbiased estimate of  $\sigma^2$ .

$$\text{as } E(S^2) = \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot E(S^2) = \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \right) \sigma^2$$

$$\Rightarrow E(S^2) = \sigma^2.$$

~~Now, to show it is a consistent estimate~~

~~$E(S^2) = \sigma^2 \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \right)$~~

~~$\text{Var}(S^2) = \sigma^2 \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \right)$~~

~~$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(S^2) = \sigma^2 \quad \text{As } n \rightarrow \infty, E(S^2) \text{ converges to } \sigma^2.$~~

To show  $\rightarrow S^2 \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$ , we can show that  $\text{Var}(S_n) \rightarrow 0$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then by Chebyshev's Thm we can conclude.

~~$X_n - \mu_n \xrightarrow{P} 0 \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{P} \mu_n.$~~

~~$\text{Var}(S_n) \rightarrow \text{Var}(S^2) = E(S^2) \text{ let } Y = S^2, \text{ then } E(Y) = E(S^2).$~~

To show that  $S^2 \xrightarrow{D} \sigma^2$ , we will show the following.

$\bar{x}_n$ ,  $A_n = \text{moment}$   $\alpha_n = \text{moment}$   
of sample. of population.

then  $A_n \xrightarrow{D} \alpha_n$ .

$$\bar{x}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^n, \quad A_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_k \quad \alpha_n = E(x^n)$$

$= E(x_i^n)$

$\downarrow$  Statistic       $\downarrow$  R.V.

(as  $X$  &  $X_i$  have same distribution).

Using Chebyshev's Inequality

$$P(|A_n - E(A_n)| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{Var(A_n)}{\epsilon^2}, \text{ for any } \epsilon > 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} Var(A_n) &= E\{(A_n - E(A_n))^2\}. \\ &= E\left\{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^n - d_n\right)^2\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$= E\left\{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^n - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^n\right)^2\right\} \quad \text{Now?} \\ \text{as } A_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^n.$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} E\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^n - x_n)\right)^2\right\}. \quad \Rightarrow E(A_n) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n E(x_i^n). \\ \Rightarrow E(A_n) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot (x_n^n).$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E\{(x_i^n - d_n)^2\}.$$

$$\Rightarrow E(A_n) = d_n.$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} E\{(x_i^n - d_n)(x_j^n - d_n)\}$$

(as  $x_i^n$  and  $x_j^n$  are independent).

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n Var(x_i^n) + \frac{n}{n^2} Var(x_n) = \frac{1}{n} Var(x^n).$$

Since  $Var(x^n)$  is finite,  $\Rightarrow Var(A_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|A_n - E(A_n)| \geq \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$$

$\Rightarrow A_n$  converges to  $E(A_n)$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{A_n \xrightarrow{P} d_n}$$

Now since  $A_1 \xrightarrow{P} \alpha_1 = m_x$

$$A_2 \xrightarrow{P} \alpha_2 = \sigma^2$$

$$\Rightarrow A_2 - A_1^2 \xrightarrow{P} \alpha_2 - m_x^2$$

Sample parameter,  $\Rightarrow \boxed{S^2 \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2}$  Population parameter.

Hence  $S^2$  is consistent estimate of  $\sigma^2$ . Hence Proved.

PS-2

Q2. Shown in the proof for showing that  $S^2$  is consistent estimate of  $\sigma^2$ .

(Q3)  $m_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^k$  central moment of sample.

$M_k \Rightarrow$  R.V. corresponding to  $m_n$ .

$$m_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^k \Rightarrow M_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^k.$$

$$\text{Now } E(m_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E((x_i - \bar{x})^k) = \frac{n}{n} \mu_k = \boxed{\mu_k}.$$

Using Chebycheff's inequality

$$P(|M_k - E(M_k)| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(M_k)}{\varepsilon^2}, \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(M_k) &= E\left\{ (M_k - E(M_k))^2 \right\} \\ &= E\left( \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^k - \mu_k \right]^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot E\left( \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^k - \mu_k \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot E\left( \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) - \mu_k \right]^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot E\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} (x_i - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x}) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot E\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x} - \mu_k)^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} (x_i - \bar{x} - \mu_k)(x_j - \bar{x} - \mu_k) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

~~Q3~~ Q2

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n E((x_i - \bar{x} - \mu_k)^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot n \cdot \text{Var}(x_i - \bar{x}) = \boxed{\frac{\text{Var}((x_i - \bar{x})^k)}{n}}$$

$\therefore \text{Var}(\bar{M}_n) = \text{Var}(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$

$$\therefore \text{Q6} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(M_n - E(M_n) \geq \epsilon) = 0 \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow M_n - E(M_n) \xrightarrow{\text{imp}} 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{M_n \rightarrow \mu_n} \quad \text{Hence Proved.}$$

Q4. Done in Theory.

Q5.  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot S^2 \Rightarrow$  we have shown it is unbiased.

$$S^2 = \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \right) \cdot [A_2 - A_1^2].$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \right) \cdot [A_2 - A_1^2]$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cancel{\left( \frac{1}{n-1} \right)} \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [A_2 - A_1^2] \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^2 = \sigma^2$$

$\therefore \boxed{S^2 \text{ is } \text{in} \sigma^2} \Rightarrow$  It is consistent.

Q6. To find  $\Rightarrow$  MLE of  $p$  of Binomial( $n, p$ ).

$$P(X=x) = \binom{n}{x} \cdot p^x \cdot (1-p)^{n-x}, \quad x=0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Let  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from the population of  $X$ .

Likelihood  $f^n$

$$L = L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; p).$$

$$= \binom{n}{x_1} p^{x_1} (1-p)^{n-x_1} \cdot \binom{n}{x_2} p^{x_2} (1-p)^{n-x_2} \cdots \binom{n}{x_n} p^{x_n} (1-p)^{n-x_n}$$

$$= \binom{n}{x_1} \cdots \binom{n}{x_n} p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-p)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

$$= \binom{n}{x_1} \cdots \binom{n}{x_n} p^{n\bar{x}} (1-p)^{n[n-\bar{x}]}$$



$$\therefore \log_e L = \log_e \left\{ \binom{N}{\bar{n}} - \binom{N}{n} \right\} + \bar{n} \bar{n} \log p + (nN - \bar{n}\bar{n}) \log \frac{1-p}{p}$$

↓  
const. for N.

( U.L.P.C. 1 ) }  
variable p.

$\therefore \log_e L$  is a fn of p.

For local maximum.

$$\frac{d}{dp} (\log_e L) = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\bar{n}\bar{n}}{p} - \frac{(nN - \bar{n}\bar{n})}{(1-p)} = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{n}\bar{n}(1-p)^2 = \bar{n}(N-\bar{n}) \cdot p$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{n} - \bar{n}^2 p = Np - \bar{n}^2 p \Rightarrow p = \frac{\bar{n}}{N}$$

and  $\frac{d^2}{dp^2} (\log_e L) = -\frac{\bar{n}\bar{n}}{p^2} - \frac{n(N-\bar{n})}{(1-p)^2} < 0$

(  $p = \frac{\bar{n}}{N}$  ) is a local maximum

~~Pathway~~ Now  $\frac{d}{dp} (\log_e L) < 0 \forall p < \frac{\bar{n}}{N}$ .

$$\frac{d}{dp} (\log_e L) > 0 \forall p > \frac{\bar{n}}{N}$$

∴  $p = \frac{\bar{n}}{N}$  is a global maximum

$$\boxed{M.L.E = \hat{p} = \frac{\bar{x}}{N}}$$

Now ①  $E(\hat{p}) = E\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{N}\right) = E\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{nN}\right) = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot E(x_i)$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \cdot Np = \boxed{p}.$$

$$\therefore \boxed{E(\hat{p}) = p}.$$

②  $E\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{nN}\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Np. \quad (x_n \text{ converges to } \text{M.M., law of large numbers})$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{\hat{p}}{N} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} p}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{p} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} p}$$

$\hat{p}$  is an unbiased & consistent estimate of  $p$ .  
Nence Proved.

Q8 To find  $\Rightarrow$  MLE of  $\mu$  in Poisson( $\mu$ ) Distribution.  
 Let it be  $\hat{\mu}$ .

$$P(X=n) = \frac{e^{-\mu} \cdot \mu^n}{n!}, n=0, 1, \dots, \infty.$$

Let  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be a random sample of size  $n$ .  
 Likelihood  $f^n =$

$$\begin{aligned} L &= L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \mu), \\ &= e^{-n\mu} \cdot \frac{\mu^{x_1}}{1!} \cdot \frac{\mu^{x_2}}{2!} \cdots \frac{\mu^{x_n}}{n!} = e^{-n\mu} \underbrace{\mu^{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}}_{1^{x_1} 2^{x_2} \cdots n^{x_n}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \log_e L &= \log_e(e^{-n\mu}) + (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) \cdot \log_e(\mu) \\ &\quad - \log_e(1^{x_1} 2^{x_2} \cdots n^{x_n}). \\ &= -n\mu + (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) \cdot \log_e(\mu) \\ &\quad - \log_e(1^{x_1} 2^{x_2} \cdots n^{x_n}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d(\log_e L)}{d\mu} = -n + (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) = 0;$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n} = \mu \Rightarrow \boxed{\mu = \bar{x}}$$

$$\frac{d^2(\log_e L)}{d\mu^2} = -\frac{1}{\mu^2} \cdot (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\mu^2} \cdot (n\bar{x}) = -\frac{1}{(\bar{x})^2} \cdot (n\bar{x}) = -\frac{n}{\bar{x}} < 0$$

$\therefore \boxed{\mu = \bar{x}}$  is local maxima

$$\frac{d(\log_e L)}{d\mu} = -n + \cancel{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}$$

$$\Rightarrow -n + \cancel{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n} \quad (\text{when } \mu \leq \bar{x})$$

$$> 0 \quad (\text{when } \mu < \bar{x})$$

and

$$\frac{d \log L}{d \mu} = n - \bar{n} + \frac{n\bar{n}}{\mu}$$

$$< -n + \frac{n\bar{n}}{\mu} \quad (\text{when } \mu > \bar{n}).$$

< 0. when ( $\mu > \bar{n}$ ).

$$\therefore \boxed{\text{It is a global maxima}} \rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\mu} = \bar{n}}$$

Q8. Finally, ①  $E(\hat{\mu}) = E(\bar{x}) = E\left(\frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{n}\right)$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \cdot E(X_1) \rightarrow \boxed{\mu}$$

and ②  $\frac{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{imp}} \mu$  (using LN)   
  $\Rightarrow \bar{n} \xrightarrow{\text{imp}} \mu \rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\mu} \xrightarrow{\text{imp}} \mu}$ .

$\therefore \boxed{\hat{\mu} \text{ is a consistent unbiased estimate of } \mu}$

Q9.  $f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}}$  ( $\theta > 0, x > 0$ ).  $= P(X=x)$ .

To find  $\rightarrow$  MLE of  $\theta$ , denoted by  $\hat{\theta}$ .

let  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be a sample of size  $n$ .

Likelihood fn

$$L = L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta).$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot e^{-\frac{x_1}{\theta}} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot e^{-\frac{x_2}{\theta}} \cdots \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot e^{-\frac{x_n}{\theta}}.$$

$$L = \frac{1}{(\theta)^n} e^{-\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{\theta}\right)}.$$

①  $\therefore \log L = -n \cdot \log(\theta) - \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{\theta}\right)$

$$\frac{d \log L}{d \theta} = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta^2} = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\theta} = \bar{x}}.$$

$$\frac{d^2 \log L}{d \theta^2} = \frac{n}{\theta^2} - \frac{2n\bar{x}}{\theta^3} \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\theta} = \bar{x}} \frac{n}{\theta^2} - \frac{2n}{\theta^2} = \boxed{-\frac{n}{\theta^2} < 0}.$$

$\therefore \bar{x} = \theta$  is a local maxima.

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ Also. } \frac{d \log L}{d\theta} = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta^2}$$

When  $\bar{x} > 0$ ,

$$\left< \textcircled{2} \right> -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta^2} \Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{d \log L}{d\theta} < 0}$$

When  $\bar{x} \leq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d \log L}{d\theta} > -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta^2} \Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{d \log L}{d\theta} > 0}$$

$\therefore \hat{\theta} = \bar{x}$  is a loco global maxima.

$$\boxed{\hat{\theta} = \bar{x}}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \text{ Finally } E(\hat{\theta}) = E\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \cdot E(x_1)$$

$$= \boxed{E(x_1)}$$

$$f_{x(n)} = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{n}{\theta}}$$

$$E(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} dx \quad \text{let } \frac{x}{\theta} = u \rightarrow dx = \theta du$$

$$= \theta \int_0^{\infty} u e^{-u} du = \theta \cdot \left[ e^{-u} (1+u) \right]_0^{\infty}$$

$$= \boxed{\theta}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{E(\hat{\theta}) = E(x) = \theta}$$

and.  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{imp}} \theta$  (using LN).

$$\Rightarrow \bar{x} \xrightarrow{\text{imp}} \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{\text{imp}} \theta}$$

$\therefore \hat{\theta} = \bar{x}$  is a consistent & unbiased estimate of  $\theta$ .

$$\boxed{\text{MLE}(\theta) = \hat{\theta} = \bar{x}}$$

$$\textcircled{10}. f_{x(n; \alpha)} = \frac{2(\alpha - n)}{\alpha^2} \quad (0 < n < \alpha)$$

We are taking a sample of size 1.  $\boxed{x_1}$

Likelihood  $f^n$

$$L = L(n_1; \alpha)$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2} (\alpha - n_1) \quad (0 < n_1 < \alpha)$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \log_e L = \log_e \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2} \right) + \log_e (\alpha - n_1)$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{Differentiate} \Rightarrow \log_e L = \log_e \alpha - 2 \log_e \alpha + \log_e (\alpha - n_1)$$

$$\frac{d(\log_e L)}{d\alpha} = -\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha - n_1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha - n_1} \Rightarrow 2\alpha - 2n_1 = \alpha \Rightarrow \boxed{\alpha = 2n_1}$$

$$\frac{d^2(\log_e L)}{d\alpha^2} = \frac{2}{\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{(\alpha - n_1)^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{2}{\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{(\frac{\alpha}{2})^2} = \frac{-2}{\alpha^2} < 0$$

$\therefore$  It is a local maximum.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{also } \frac{d(\log_e L)}{d\alpha} = -\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha - n_1}$$

$$\text{when } \alpha < 2n_1 \Rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{2} < n_1 \Rightarrow \frac{\alpha - \alpha}{2} > (\alpha - n_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\alpha} < \frac{1}{\alpha - n_1} \Rightarrow \boxed{-\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha - n_1} < 0}$$

$$\text{when } \alpha > 2n_1 \Rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{2} > n_1 \Rightarrow \boxed{-\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha - n_1} < 0}$$

$\therefore \alpha = 2n_1$  is a global maximum.

$$\therefore \boxed{\hat{\alpha} = 2n_1}$$

(3) Finally, ~~E(2n\_1)~~  $E(\hat{\alpha}) = E(2n_1) = 2E(n_1)$ .

$$\text{Now } E(n_1) = \int_0^\alpha \frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2} (\alpha - n_1) \cdot n_1 dn = \frac{2}{\alpha^2} \int_0^\alpha (\alpha n_1 - n_1^2) dn$$

$$= \frac{2}{\alpha^2} \left[ \alpha \cdot \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \right) - \frac{\alpha^3}{3} \right] = \frac{2}{\alpha^2} \cdot \left( \frac{\alpha^3}{6} \right) = \boxed{\frac{\alpha}{3}}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{E(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{2 \cdot \alpha}{3} \neq \alpha}$$

$\therefore$  It is a biased estimate.

Hence Proved.

Q11.  $f(y; \theta) = \frac{2\theta^2}{y^3}, 0 < \theta \leq y < \infty.$

$(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  = Sample of size n.

To find  $\hat{\theta}$

① Likelihood -  $L$

$$L = L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n; \theta)$$

$$= \frac{2\theta^2}{y_1^3} \cdot \frac{2\theta^2}{y_2^3} \cdots \frac{2\theta^2}{y_n^3}$$

$$L = [2\theta^2]^n$$

$$[y_1 y_2 \cdots y_n]^3$$

~~$$\begin{aligned} \text{log } L &\rightarrow n \log(2\theta^2) - 3 \log[y_1 y_2 \cdots y_n] \\ &= n \log 2 + 2n \log \theta - 3 \log(y_1 \cdots y_n) \\ \frac{d \log L}{d \theta} &= \frac{2n}{\theta} \end{aligned}$$~~

We can clearly see that L is maximised at boundary condn:  $\theta \uparrow, L \uparrow$ .  $\therefore$  Calculus cannot be applied here.

Hence  $\hat{\theta}$  MLE of  $\theta$  is the max available value of  $\theta$ .

P.S.-2

$$X \sim \text{Normal}(m, \sigma^2), f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-m}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$

Likelihood fn  $L =$ 

$$L = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^n} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}\right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_i - m}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$

$$\log L = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - n \log(\sigma) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_i - m}{\sigma}\right)^2.$$

①

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial m} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \sigma} = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow f'_x \left( \frac{x + \bar{x}}{\sigma^2} \right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{x_i - m}{\sigma} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n\bar{x} - nm}{\sigma} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{n(\bar{x} - m)}{\sigma} \Rightarrow \boxed{\bar{x} = m}.$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \sigma} = 0 \Rightarrow -\frac{n}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot (-2) \cdot \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m)^2 \right] \frac{1}{\sigma^3} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n}{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m)^2 \Rightarrow$$

$$\boxed{\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m)^2}.$$

For local maximum.

 $D_1$  $D_2$ 

$$N_f(a, b) = \begin{bmatrix} f_{xx}(a, b) & f_{xy}(a, b) \\ f_{yx}(a, b) & f_{yy}(a, b) \end{bmatrix}$$

from  
maths

$$D_1 = f_{xx}(a, b)$$

$$D_2 = \det(N_f(a, b)).$$

①  $f_x(a, b) = f_y(a, b) = 0 \Rightarrow (a, b)$  is a critical pt of  $f$ .② If  $D_1 < 0, D_2 > 0$  : Maximum. $D_1 > 0, D_2 > 0$  : Minimum. $D_2 < 0$  ! Saddle pt.

$$\frac{\partial \text{LogeL}}{\partial m} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 \text{LogeL}}{\partial m^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (-1) = \boxed{-\frac{n}{\sigma^2}} \quad \therefore D < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \text{LogeL}}{\partial m \partial \sigma} = -\frac{2}{\sigma^3} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m)$$

~~$\frac{\partial^2 \text{LogeL}}{\partial \sigma^2}$~~

$$\frac{\partial \text{LogeL}}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{n}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 \text{LogeL}}{\partial \sigma^2} = \frac{n}{\sigma^2} - \frac{3}{\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m)^2$$

putting  $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m)^2$

$$Hf(a, b) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{n}{\sigma^2} & -\frac{2n(\bar{x} - m)}{\sigma^3} \\ -\frac{2n(\bar{x} - m)}{\sigma^3} & \frac{n - 3(n\bar{x}^2)}{\sigma^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{n}{\sigma^2} & -\frac{2n(\bar{x} - m)}{\sigma^3} \\ -\frac{2n(\bar{x} - m)}{\sigma^3} & \frac{-2n}{\sigma^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2n^2}{\sigma^4} - \frac{4n^2(\bar{x} - m)^2}{\sigma^6} \quad 4 \boxed{\bar{x} = m}$$

$$\Rightarrow Hf(a, b) = \frac{2n^2}{\sigma^4} > 0$$

$$\therefore m_1 \sigma^2 = \left( \bar{x}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m)^2 \right)$$

$\boxed{\text{is a local maxima.}}$

Since it is a local maxima, and is the only critical pt.

$\Rightarrow \left( m = \bar{x}, \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m)^2 \right)$  is a global maxima

$$\boxed{m = \bar{x}}$$

$$\boxed{\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - m)^2}$$