# Currying, Function Application and Partial Application

Doh Young Mo Seoul National University

July 2, 2014

## What is Functional Programming?

- Function as 1st class value
  - Function as
    - argument
    - return
    - data type
- ► Function can be placed in anywhere, e.g., the position of the variable.

## Higher order function

#### a function of a function of a $\dots$ = Higher order function

This concept is used in the currying.

Let the f(x, y) = x + y: multivariable function.

Evaluation procedure is

$$f(x,y) = x + y$$
  
 $g(y) \equiv f(x_0, y)$   
 $f(x_0, y_0) = g(y_0) = x_0 + y_0$   
 $x \mapsto (y \mapsto (x + y)).$ 

## Higher order function

#### a function of a function of a $\dots$ = Higher order function

This concept is used in the currying.

Let the f(x, y) = x + y: multivariable function.

This evaluation procedure can be generalized into

$$f :: a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c).$$

This technique is called currying.

Because -> is right associative, we can drop the parenthesis.

## Currying and Uncurrying

$$f(x,y) = x + y$$

Currying:

Uncurrying:

$$f :: (Num a) = >a - >a - >a$$
  
 $f x y = x + y$ 

f :: 
$$(Num a) = > (a,a) - > a$$
  
f  $(x,y) = x + y$ 

Uncurrying is a dual transformation of currying:

$$f \times y \sim f(x,y)$$

#### Mathematical View

Tuple is the cartesian product :

$$X \times Y = \{(x, y) | x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

We define the following set

$$B^A \equiv \{f|f :: A \to B\}.$$

This notation is good because it satisfies the properties of the exponential function. It can be proved formally, but I want to give some heuristic justification.

#### Mathematical View

Let 
$$A = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$$
 and  $B = \{0, 1\}$ .

$$\{f|f::A\to B\}=B^A=\{(x_1,x_2,...,x_n)|x_i=0 \text{ or } 1,i=[1..n]\}$$

In  $B^A$ , |B| represents possible values of each element of the tuple, |A| means the length of the tuple.

$${f|f:: B \to A} = A^B \sim A^2 = A \times A = {(a_1, a_2)|a_i \in A}$$

It can be easily generalized to  $B = \{b_1, b_2, ..., b_m\}$ .

#### Mathematical View

(uncurrying 
$$f :: (A, B) \rightarrow C) = C^{(A \times B)} = (C^B)^A$$
  
=  $A \rightarrow C^B = A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$   
 $f \times y \sim f(x, y)$ 

More stuff...

 $A \times B = \{(a, b)\}$  is like a and b. Then what is A + B? The answer is a or b. Then by the previous notation,

 $A+B \rightarrow C = C^{(A+B)} = C^A \times C^B = (A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow C)$  This is implemented in Haskell as Either.

either :: 
$$(a\rightarrow c) \rightarrow (b\rightarrow c) \rightarrow$$
 Either a b  $\rightarrow$  c

## What is the virtue of the currying?

#### Function application in haskell

$$f x y = ((f x) y)$$

This means function application is done by infix operator  $_{\sqcup}$ , and  $_{\sqcup}$  is left associative.

- currying is automatically done.
- defining a new function naturally.
- From now we think the function add.

add :: 
$$(Num a)=>a->a->a$$
  
add x y = x + y

## Currying the add function

## We can make a function with fewer arguments by just giving some arguments.

```
(add 3) :: (Num a)=>a->a
(add 3) x = 3 + x
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map (add 3) [1,2,3,4]
= [4,5,6,7]
```

## How the currying can be useful?

#### The key is the type system.

- Type system provides two advantages:
  - Safety
  - Convenience

## How the currying can be useful?

#### The key is the type system.

Safety:

print :: Show a=>a->IO()

print add 3 4 (X) print (add 1) (X)

print (add 1 2 3) (X)

Convenience:

add :: a -> a -> a (add 3) :: a -> a

-- You have to put

-- this in your code

## Currying is good, but is it good enough?

#### Let's think about following example.

```
add3 = add 3
add3 (add3 (add3 (add3 3)))
= 15
```

What I did is just 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3.

Many parantheses, so we adopt function application operator (\$).

It makes the function application right associtative.

## Function Application Operator

```
add3 add3 add3 3 = (((add3 add3) add3) 3 (X) add3 $ add3 $ add3 $ add3 $ 3 = add3 (add3 (add3 (add3 3)))
```

Second expression is much more readable because there's no parantheses and it's similar to our notation for math!

## Function composition operator (.)

Let's think about f(g(h(x))).

$$f(g(h(x))) = (f \circ g \circ h)(x)$$

x can be drop out because we know types of functions f,g,h.

For this purpose, we adopt (.).

$$(.)$$
 ::  $(b\rightarrow c)$   $\rightarrow$   $(a\rightarrow b)$   $\rightarrow$   $(a\rightarrow c)$ 

add3 (add3 (add3 3)))

= add3 \$ add3 \$ add3 \$ 3

= add3 . add3 . add3 \$ 3

= (add3 . add3 . add3) 3

In haskell, we use ++ operator to combine lists.

In haskell, we use ++ operator to combine lists.

It's  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  operaton, which is expensive.

In haskell, we use ++ operator to combine lists.

```
DList :: [a] -> [a]
(cons a) = (cons a) .
(snoc a lst) = lst . (cons x)
```

Function composition is stored as a thunk due to lazy evaluation. It's  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  operation.

In haskell, we use ++ operator to combine lists.

```
DList :: [a] -> [a]
cons a lst = a . lst
snoc lst b = lst . b
(DList [a]) [] = [a]
```

Function composition is stored as a thunk due to lazy evaluation. It's  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  operation.

If we want to know the value of the list, we should apply this function to the empty list.

#### Conclusion

- ► We have learned (->), (\$) and (.).
- (->) is right associative. It naturally gives currying.
- ▶ (\$) makes the function application right associative.
- (.) makes the point-free expression possible.

End

Thank you for your attention!