Homework 1

Geneva Porter MATH-693B Numerical Partial DIfferential Equations

February 10, 2020

1.1.1

Consider the initial value problem for the equation

$$u_t + au_x = f(t, x)$$

with
$$u(0,x) = 0$$
 and $f(t,x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \ge 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Assume that a is positive. Show that the solution is given by

$$u(t,x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \le 0\\ x/a & \text{if } x \ge 0 \text{ and } x - at \le 0\\ t & \text{if } x \ge 0 \text{ and } x - at \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

Solution

When f(t,x) = 0, we have the unique solution $u(t,x) = u_0(x-at)$. This gives the answer $u_0(x-at) = u(0,x-at) = 0$, which is the indicated solution for all x < 0.

For f(t,x) = 1 and x-at < 0, we can show that the solution u(t,x) = x/a is valid. Observe:

$$u(t,x) = \frac{x}{a} \longrightarrow u_t = 0 \text{ and } u_x = \frac{1}{a}$$

Plugging this into our problem, we see that the result is 0 + a(1/a) = 1, which is true. So this solution is correct.

For f(t,x) = 1 and $x - at \ge 0$, let's change variables so that

$$\tau = t$$
 and $\xi = x - at \longrightarrow x = \xi + a\tau$.

Now we have $\tilde{u}(\tau,\xi) = u(t,x)$, and it follows that

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau} u_t + \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tau} u_x$$
$$= u_t + a u_x = f(\tau, \xi + a \tau).$$

With $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tau} = f(\tau, \xi + a\tau)$, we can solve this as an ordinary differential equation, which has the following solution:

$$\tilde{u}(\tau,\xi) = u_0(\xi) + \int_0^{\tau} f(\sigma,\xi + a\sigma)d\sigma \longrightarrow$$

$$u(t,x) = u_0(x - at) + \int_0^t f(s,x - a(t-s))ds$$

$$= 0 + \int_0^t ds = s \Big|_0^t = t$$

And we see that this is indeed the solution we were seeking.

1.3.1

For values of x in the interval [-1,3] and t in [0,2.4], solve the one-way wave equation $u_t + u_x = 0$ with the initial data

$$u(0,x) = \begin{cases} \cos^2 \pi x & \text{if } |x| \le \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and the boundary data u(t,-1) = 0. Use the following four schemes for h = 1/10, 1/20, and 1/40:

- (a) Forward-time backward-space scheme (1.3.2) with $\lambda = 0.8$
- (b) Forward-time central-space scheme (1.3.3) with $\lambda = 0.8$
- (c) Lax-Friedrichs scheme (1.3.5) with $\lambda = 0.8$ and 1.6
- (d) Leapfrog scheme (1.3.4) with $\lambda = 0.8$.

For schemes (b). (c), and (d), at the right boundary use the condition $v_M^{n+1} = v_{M-1}^{n+1}$, where $x_M = 3$. For scheme (d) use scheme (b) to compute the solution at n = 1 For each scheme determine whether the scheme is a useful or useless scheme. For the purposes of this exercise only, a scheme will be useless if $|v_m^n|$ is greater than 5 for any value of m and n. It will be regarded as a useful scheme if the solution looks like a reasonable approximation to the solution of the differential equations. Graph or plot several solutions at the last time they were computed. What do you notice about the "blow-up time" for the useless schemes as the mesh size decreases? Is there a pattern to these solutions? For the useful cases, how does the error decrease as the mesh decreases; i.e., as h decreases by one-half, by how much does the error decrease?

Solution

1.4.2

Solution

1.5.1

Solution

MATLAB Command Comments