

# CS269 Final Project Proposal: Building a Framework for Explainability and Accountability for Albania's AI Minister, "Diella"

Chenyang Zhao  
UCLA  
zhaochen yang20@gmail.com

Genglin Liu  
UCLA  
genglinliu@gmail.com

Mingqi Zhao  
UCLA  
mizhao34@g.ucla.edu

Jaelyn Fan  
UCLA  
jfan981@ucla.edu

## Abstract

Using Albania's AI Minister, "Diella," as a case study, this project addresses the risks of opaque "black box" models in high-stakes public governance. We argue that such systems must be inherently interpretable, not merely post-hoc explainable. We propose a framework mandating both technical interpretability and democratic governance principles. To demonstrate feasibility, we will build a prototype interpretable model for public procurement that provides transparent, human-readable justifications for its decisions, enabling true accountability.

## 1 Motivation

Albania's AI Minister, "Diella," who oversees public procurement, exemplifies the risks of using "black box" models for high-stakes governance. Such opaque systems lack accountability and can perpetuate hidden biases. Inspired by Cynthia Rudin's work (Rudin, 2019), we argue that post-hoc explanations are insufficient for decisions with major political and economic consequences. This project's goal is to design a framework mandating the use of **inherently interpretable models**, ensuring that AI in public service is transparent, fair, and subject to democratic scrutiny.

## 2 Data

Our prototype will use public government procurement data, such as from the **World Bank's Open Data platform**, or a **simulated dataset** if real-world data is inaccessible. The dataset will include key features like bid price, company qualifications, financial history, and past performance records.

## 3 Methodology

Our research combines policy analysis with technical prototype development.

**1. Policy Framework Analysis:** Based on Rudin's theory, we will propose a governance framework with two core principles:

- **Technical Principle:** Mandating the use of inherently interpretable models (e.g., decision trees, EBMs).
- **Governance Principle:** Ensuring democratic oversight through public audits, participation, and disclosure of decision logic.

**2. Technical Prototype Development:** We will build an interpretable model to classify procurement bids. To demonstrate our framework's feasibility, the model's output will not be just a prediction, but a **clear, human-readable reason** for its decision (e.g., "Reject: bid price is too low [weight: -25] and company history is too short [weight: -15]").

## 4 Expected Timeline

| Weeks     | Task                                     |
|-----------|--|
| Week 1-2  | Literature review                        |
|           | Dataset identification and acquisition   |
| Week 3-4  | Draft initial policy framework           |
|           | Data preprocessing and analysis          |
| Week 5-6  | Build interpretable model prototype;     |
| Week 7-8  | Evaluate model performance               |
| Week 9-10 | Integrate and revise full paper          |
|           | Final review, formatting, and submission |
| Week 11   | Finalize & Submit Report                 |

Table 1: Project Timeline

## References

Cynthia Rudin. 2019. Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead. *Preprint*, arXiv:1811.10154.