

Algorithm CompSci 260P Project 2

Student 1: Wei Lan

UCI id #: 26324230

Student 2: Yen kai Wang

UCI id #: 14161906

Algorithm:

We find the length of the LCS strings and the distinct strings at the same time by using dynamic programming.

We define a 2D $(n+1) * (n+1)$ dp array. For each position of $dp[i+1][j+1]$, it represents the length of the LCS between $x[0:i]$ and $y[0:j]$.

We also define similar 2D $(n+1)*(n+1)$ `set<string> setStr` array. It keeps the distinct strings in corresponding dp bucket.

We can observe the following relations:

if $x[i] == y[j]$, then $dp[i][j] = dp[i-1][j-1] + 1$.

The equality between $x[i]$ and $y[j]$ ensures they can form one character in LCS.

We put all of the distinct LCS appending the matched char from $setStr[i-1][j-1]$ into $setStr[i][j]$

if $x[i] != y[j]$, then $dp[i][j] = \max(dp[i-1][j], dp[i][j-1])$

If $x[i]$ and $y[j]$ mismatches, then we have to remove either $x[i]$ or $y[j]$ to find out the recursive LCS length. We pick up the larger one which can generate the longest LCS. We put this set strings into $setStr[i][j]$ without adding any characters.

We do bottom up from $i=0$ to n and $j=0$ to n . The length of LCS is saved in $dp[n][n]$. The distinct set strings are saved in $setStr[n][n]$

Analysis:

Because we do from bottom up, the total operations are N^2 . Another complexity comes from inserting into set. Since the set size doesn't very large, we can treat it as nearly constant. The runtime complexity is almost $O(N^2)$.