

# Chapter 4 Linear Models for Classification

Yue Yu

## 4.1 Discriminant Functions

Skipped reading.

## 4.2 Probabilistic Generative Models

(4.57)

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{\sum_{k=1}^K p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_k)} \\ &= \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}. \end{aligned}$$

(4.65) – (4.67)

We can readily derive (4.65) by noticing that all terms will be canceled out except for those containing  $\mu_k$ , provided (4.66) and (4.67).

(4.73)

As given by (4.72), the terms in the log likelihood depending on  $\pi$  are

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \{t_n \ln \pi + (1 - t_n) \ln(1 - \pi)\}.$$

Setting the derivative of the log likelihood function with respect to  $\pi$  to 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \ell(\pi, \mu_1, \mu_2, \Sigma) &= \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \frac{1}{\pi} - \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - t_n) \frac{1}{1 - \pi} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $\pi$  while denoting the total number of data points in class  $\mathcal{C}_1$  by  $N_1$ , we obtain

$$\pi = \frac{N_1}{N},$$

which is the fraction of points in class  $\mathcal{C}_1$ .

This can be generalized to  $K > 2$  classes where  $\mathbf{t}_n$  is a one hot vector of length  $K$  such that  $t_{nj} = I_{jk}$ . Then, the likelihood function can be written as

$$p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T} | \pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^K (\pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}))^{t_{nk}}.$$

The corresponding log likelihood function is

$$\ell(\pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} (\ln \pi_k + \ln \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})).$$

Here, we are only interested in the terms depending on  $\pi_k$ , namely,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \ln \pi_k.$$

To find  $\pi_k$ , we construct the Lagrangian using the constraint  $\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k = 1$ , given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\pi_k, \lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \ln \pi_k + \lambda \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k - 1 \right).$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $\pi_k$  to 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_k} \mathcal{L}(\pi_k, \lambda) &= \sum_{n=1}^N t_{nk} \frac{1}{\pi_k} + \lambda \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $\pi_k$ , we obtain

$$\pi_k = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^N t_{nk} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} N_k. \quad (*)$$

Summing over  $k$  on both sides, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k = -\frac{N}{\lambda} = 1,$$

which implies that

$$\lambda = -N.$$

Substituting back into (\*), we obtain

$$\pi_k = \frac{N_k}{N},$$

which is the fraction of points in class  $\mathcal{C}_k$ .

#### (4.75) – (4.76)

To find  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$ , we set the derivative of the log likelihood with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$  to  $\mathbf{0}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_1} \ell(\pi, \boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_1} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \ln \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_1} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N t_n (-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n) \\ &= \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$ , we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \mathbf{x}_n,$$

where we denote  $N_1 = \sum_{n=1}^N t_n$  as the number of data points assigned to class  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . Similarly,

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_2 = \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - t_n) \mathbf{x}_n,$$

where we denote  $N_2 = \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - t_n)$  as the number of data points assigned to class  $\mathcal{C}_2$ .

This can be generalized to  $K > 2$  classes with the same settings as the derivation of (4.73). The log likelihood function is

$$\ell(\pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} (\ln \pi_k + \ln \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})).$$

Here we are only interested in  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ . Setting the derivative with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_k} \ell(\pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N t_{nk} (-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_k + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n) \\ &= \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ , we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^N t_{nk} \mathbf{x}_n,$$

where  $N_k = \sum_{n=1}^N t_{nk}$ , representing the number of data points that are assigned to class  $\mathcal{C}_k$ .

(4.77) – (4.80)

To find  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ , we set the derivative of the log likelihood function with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$  to  $\mathbf{0}$ . Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}} \ell(\pi, \boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \ln |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - t_n) \ln |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - t_n) (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}} \left( -\frac{N}{2} \ln |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \text{Tr}\{(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - t_n) \text{Tr}\{(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)\} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}} \left( \frac{N}{2} \ln |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \text{Tr}\{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - t_n) \text{Tr}\{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^T\} \right) \\ &= \frac{N}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - t_n) (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^T \\ &= \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the following properties

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(\mathbf{ABC}) &= \text{Tr}(\mathbf{BCA}) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{XA}) &= \mathbf{A}^T \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \ln |\mathbf{X}| &= \mathbf{X}^{-T}.\end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ , we obtain

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \{t_n(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T + (1 - t_n)(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^T\},$$

which is equivalent to (4.78) to (4.80).

A generalization to  $K > 2$  classes can be derived using the same techniques. Consider the log likelihood function

$$\ell(\pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \mathbf{\Sigma}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} (\ln \pi_k + \ln \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \mathbf{\Sigma})).$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$  to  $\mathbf{0}$  while taking advantage of the above properties, that is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}} \ell(\pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \mathbf{\Sigma}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \ln |\mathbf{\Sigma}| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \ln |\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \text{Tr}\{\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T\} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \mathbf{\Sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \\ &= \frac{N}{2} \mathbf{\Sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \\ &= \mathbf{0},\end{aligned}$$

where in the second last step we used the fact

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} = N.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T,$$

which is a weighted average of the covariances of the data points assigned to each class.

### 4.3 Probabilistic Discriminative Models

(4.88)

This is easy to be verified using the chain rule.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\sigma}{da} &= \frac{d}{da} \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{(1 + \exp(-a))^2} \cdot 1 \cdot \exp(-a) \cdot (-1) \\
 &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)} \right) \\
 &= \sigma(1 - \sigma).
 \end{aligned}$$

(4.89)

This can be interpreted as *under the assumption that the probability of  $\phi_n$  belonging to class  $C_1$  is  $y_n$ , what is the chance of the given dataset coming into existence.*

(4.91)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} y_n &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \phi_n) \\
 &= y_n(1 - y_n) \phi_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using this conclusion, we can compute the gradient of the error function with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$ , giving

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} E(\mathbf{w}) &= -\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{n=1}^N \{t_n \ln y_n + (1 - t_n) \ln(1 - y_n)\} \\
 &= -\sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ t_n \frac{1}{y_n} y_n(1 - y_n) \phi_n - (1 - t_n) \frac{1}{1 - y_n} y_n(1 - y_n) \phi_n \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - t_n) \phi_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

(4.97)

For any vector  $\mathbf{u}$  that is not perpendicular to all the feature vectors, since  $0 < y_n < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{u}^T \left( \sum_{n=1}^N y_n(1 - y_n) \phi_n \phi_n^T \right) \mathbf{u} \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^N y_n(1 - y_n) (\mathbf{u}^T \phi_n \phi_n^T \mathbf{u}) \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^N y_n(1 - y_n) (\phi_n^T \mathbf{u})^2 \\
 &> 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Hessian is positive definite, which implies that the error function is convex and has a unique minimum.

(4.106)

When  $j = k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial a_j} y_k &= \frac{\exp(a_k) \sum_j \exp(a_j) - \exp(a_k)^2}{(\sum_j \exp(a_j))^2} \\ &= \frac{\exp(a_k)}{\sum_j \exp(a_j)} \frac{\sum_j \exp(a_j) - \exp(a_k)}{\sum_j \exp(a_j)} \\ &= y_k(1 - y_k).\end{aligned}$$

When  $j \neq k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial a_j} y_k &= -\frac{\exp(a_j) \exp(a_k)}{(\sum_i \exp(a_i))^2} \\ &= -y_k y_j \\ &= y_k(0 - y_j).\end{aligned}$$

Combining the two cases, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_j} y_k = y_k(I_{kj} - y_j),$$

where  $I_{kj}$  are the elements of the identity matrix.

(4.109)

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\mathbf{w}_j} E(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_K) &= \nabla_{\mathbf{w}_j} \left( -\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \ln y_{nk} \right) \\ &= -\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \frac{1}{y_{nk}} \frac{\partial y_{nk}}{\partial a_j} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial w_j} \\ &= -\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} (I_{kj} - y_{nj}) \phi_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \right) y_{nj} \phi_n - \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} I_{kj} \right) \phi_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N y_{nj} \phi_n - \sum_{n=1}^N t_{nj} \phi_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N (y_{nj} - t_{nj}) \phi_n.\end{aligned}$$

(4.110)

Using the result of (4.109), this equation should be

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}_k} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}_j} E(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_K) = \nabla_{\mathbf{w}_k} \sum_{n=1}^N y_{nj} (I_{kj} - y_{nk}) \phi_n \phi_n^T.$$