

## Chapter 9 Mixture Models and EM

Yue Yu

### 9.1 K-means Clustering

(9.5)

Recall the distortion function

$$J = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K r_{nk} \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|^2.$$

Setting the derivative of  $J$  with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$  to  $\mathbf{0}$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_k} J = -2 \sum_{n=1}^N r_{nk} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) = \mathbf{0},$$

which implies that

$$-\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N r_{nk} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) = \mathbb{E}[-r_{nk} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)] = \mathbf{0}.$$

Applying the Robbins-Monro algorithm while setting  $a^{\text{old}} r_{nk} = \eta_n$ , we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{\text{new}} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{\text{old}} + \eta_n (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{\text{old}}).$$

### 9.2 Mixtures of Gaussians

(9.12)

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{z}} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{z}} p(\mathbf{z}) p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K p(z_k = 1) p(\mathbf{x}|z_k = 1) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k). \end{aligned}$$

(9.22)

As (9.21) indicates, by setting the derivative of the Lagrangian with respect to  $\pi_k$  to 0, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_k} L = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)}{\sum_j \pi_j \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j)} + \lambda = 0.$$

Multiplying both sides by  $\pi_k$  and sum over  $k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)}{\sum_j \pi_j \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j)} + \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda \pi_k \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\sum_k \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)}{\sum_j \pi_j \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j)} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \\
&= N + \lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\lambda = -N.$$

Plugging it into

$$\underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)}{\sum_j \pi_j \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j)}}_{N_k} + \lambda \pi_k = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\pi_k = \frac{N_k}{N}.$$

### 9.3 An Alternative View of EM

#### (9.33)

As is stated in the book, the EM algorithm can also be used to find MAP solutions. In this case, the log likelihood function becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{X}) &= \ln \{p(\mathbf{X} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\boldsymbol{\theta})\} + \text{const} \\
&= \ln p(\mathbf{X} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \ln p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \text{const} \\
&= \ln \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right\} + \ln p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \text{const}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the corresponding expectation is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}) \ln \{p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\boldsymbol{\theta})\} \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}) \ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \ln p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}) \\
&= \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}) + \ln p(\boldsymbol{\theta})
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}})$  is defined by (9.30).

#### (9.39)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[z_{nk}] &= \sum_{\mathbf{z}_n} z_{nk} p(\mathbf{z}_n | \mathbf{x}_n) \\
&= 1 \cdot p(z_{nk} = 1 | \mathbf{x}_n) \\
&= p(z_{nk} = 1 | \mathbf{x}_n) \\
&= \gamma(z_{nk}).
\end{aligned}$$

(9.40)

According to (9.36) and (9.39),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\pi})] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K z_{nk} \{\ln \pi_k + \ln \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)\}\right] \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}[z_{nk}] \{\ln \pi_k + \ln \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)\} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma(z_{nk}) \{\ln \pi_k + \ln \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

(9.46)

Because the variables  $x_i$ 's are independent, the covariance matrix must be diagonal, with each diagonal element being  $\mu_i(1 - \mu_i)$ .

(9.47)

We can recover (9.47) by introducing a 1-of- $K$  binary latent variable  $\mathbf{z} = [z_1, \dots, z_K]^T$ . To be more specific,

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{z}} p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\pi}) p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K p(z_k = 1|\boldsymbol{\pi}) p(\mathbf{x}|z_k = 1, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k).
\end{aligned}$$

(9.49)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathbb{E}_k[\mathbf{x}] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k.
\end{aligned}$$

(9.50)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T] &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathbb{E}_k[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k (\text{cov}_k[\mathbf{x}] + \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^T) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k + \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^T\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{cov}[\mathbf{x}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]^T \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k + \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^T\} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]^T.
\end{aligned}$$

(9.59)

Setting the derivative of the expectation of the log likelihood with respect to  $\mu_{ki}$  to 0, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{ki}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\pi})] = \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) \left\{ x_{ni} \frac{1}{\mu_{ki}} - (1 - x_{ni}) \frac{1}{1 - x_{ni}} \right\} = 0.$$

Solving for  $\mu_{ki}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{ki} &= \frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk})} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) x_{ni} \\
&= \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) x_{ni}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\mu}_k &= \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) \mathbf{x}_n \\
&= \bar{\mathbf{x}}_k.
\end{aligned}$$

(9.60)

Making use of the constraint  $\sum_k \pi_k = 1$ , the Lagrangian of the expectation of log likelihood is given by

$$L(\pi_k, \lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\pi})] + \lambda \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k - 1 \right).$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $\pi_k$  to 0, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_k} L(\pi_k, \lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) \frac{1}{\pi_k} + \lambda = 0. \quad (*)$$

By multiplying  $\pi_k$  on both sides and summing over  $k$ , the equation can be reduced by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K N_k + \lambda \\ &= N + \lambda \end{aligned}$$

where in the second step we used the constraint  $\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k = 1$ . Hence,

$$\lambda = -N.$$

Plugging back into (\*) and solving for  $\pi_k$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) \\ &= \frac{N_k}{N}. \end{aligned}$$

**(9.63)**

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \arg_{\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \mathbb{E}[\ln p(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{w} | \alpha, \beta)] = 0 \right) \\ &= \arg_{\alpha} \left( \frac{M}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}] = 0 \right) \\ &= \frac{M}{\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}]} \\ &= \frac{M}{\mathbf{m}_N^T \mathbf{m}_N + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{S}_N)} \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the property that if  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{m}, \Sigma)$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}] = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{A} \Sigma) + \mathbf{m}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{m}$ .

## 9.4 The EM Algorithm in General

N/A