CS2505: Transport Layer

Outline

Our goals:

- understand principles behind transport layer services:
  - Multiplexing & demultiplexing
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - congestion control
- learn about transport layer protocols in the Internet:
  - UDP: connectionless transport
  - TCP: connection-oriented transport
  - TCP congestion control

Outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
- 3.6 TCP congestion control

Transport services and protocols

- provide *logical communication* between app processes running on different hosts
- transport protocols run in end systems
  - send side: breaks app messages into segments, passes to network layer
  - rcv side: reassembles segments into messages, passes to app layer
- more than one transport protocol available to apps
  - Internet: TCP and UDP



Transport vs. network layer

- *network layer:* logical communication between hosts
- *transport layer:* logical communication between processes
  - relies on, enhances, network layer services

Household analogy:

3 kids sending letters to 3 other kids

- processes = kids
- app messages = letters in envelopes
- hosts = houses
- transport protocol = parents
- network-layer protocol = postal service

Internet transport-layer protocols

- reliable, in-order delivery (TCP)
  - congestion control
  - flow control
  - connection setup
- unreliable, unordered delivery: UDP
  - no-frills extension of "best-effort" IP
- services not available:
  - delay guarantees
  - bandwidth guarantees



Outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
- 3.6 TCP congestion control

Multiplexing/demultiplexing

Demultiplexing at rcv host:
delivering received segments to correct socket

Multiplexing at send host:
gathering data from multiple sockets, enveloping data with header (later used for demultiplexing)

= socket = process



How demultiplexing works

- host receives IP datagrams
  - each datagram has source IP address, destination IP address
  - each datagram carries 1 transport-layer segment
  - each segment has source, destination port number
- host uses IP addresses & port numbers to direct segment to appropriate socket



TCP/UDP segment format

Connectionless demultiplexing

- Create sockets with port numbers:

```
DatagramSocket mySocket1 = new
   DatagramSocket(12534);
DatagramSocket mySocket2 = new
   DatagramSocket(12535);
```

- UDP socket identified by two-tuple:

(dest IP address, dest port number)

- When host receives UDP segment:
  - checks destination port number in segment
  - directs UDP segment to socket with that port number
- IP datagrams with different source IP addresses and/or source port numbers can be directed to same socket

Connectionless demux (cont)

```
DatagramSocket serverSocket = new DatagramSocket(6428);
```



SP provides "return address"

Connection-oriented demux

- TCP socket identified by 4-tuple:
  - source IP address
  - source port number
  - dest IP address
  - dest port number
- receiving host uses all four values to direct segment to appropriate socket
- Server host may support many simultaneous TCP sockets:
  - each socket identified by its own 4-tuple
- Web servers have different sockets for each connecting client
  - non-persistent HTTP will have different socket for each request

Connection-oriented demux (cont)



Connection-oriented demux: Threaded Web Server



Outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
- 3.6 TCP congestion control

UDP: User Datagram Protocol [RFC 768]

- "no frills," "bare bones" Internet transport protocol
- "best effort" service, UDP segments may be:
  - lost
  - delivered out of order to app
- *connectionless:*
  - no handshaking between UDP sender, receiver
  - each UDP segment handled independently of others

Why is there a UDP?

- no connection establishment (which can add delay)
- no (delay for) recovering lost segments as in TCP
- simple: no connection state at sender, receiver
- small segment header
- no congestion control: UDP can blast away as fast as desired

UDP: more

- often used for streaming multimedia apps
  - loss tolerant
  - rate sensitive
- other UDP uses
  - DNS
  - SNMP
- reliable transfer over UDP: add reliability at application layer
  - application-specific error recovery!



UDP segment format

UDP checksum

Goal: detect "errors" (e.g., flipped bits) in transmitted segment

Sender:
- treat segment contents as sequence of 16-bit integers
- checksum: addition (1's complement sum) of segment contents
- sender puts checksum value into UDP checksum field

Receiver:
- compute checksum of received segment
- check if computed checksum equals checksum field value:
  - NO - error detected
  - YES - no error detected. *But maybe errors nonetheless?* ....

Internet Checksum Example

- Note
  - When adding numbers, a carryout from the most significant bit needs to be added to the result
- Example: add two 16-bit integers

```
                1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0
                1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1
              ---------------------------------
wraparound    1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1
              ---------------------------------
       sum      1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0
  checksum      0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1
```


Outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
- 3.6 TCP congestion control

Principles of Reliable data transfer

- important in app., transport, link layers
- top-10 list of important networking topics!



(a) provided service

- characteristics of unreliable channel will determine complexity of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)

Principles of Reliable data transfer

- important in app., transport, link layers
- top-10 list of important networking topics!



(a) provided service (b) service implementation

- characteristics of unreliable channel will determine complexity of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)

Principles of Reliable data transfer

- important in app., transport, link layers
- top-10 list of important networking topics!



- characteristics of unreliable channel will determine complexity of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)

Reliable data transfer: getting started



Reliable data transfer: getting started

In this section we will:

- incrementally develop sender, receiver sides of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)
- For simplicity assume consider “data” in one direction only and (initially) no out-of-order delivery
- use finite state machines (FSM) to specify sender, receiver

state: when in this “state” next state uniquely determined by next event



Rdt1.0: reliable transfer over a reliable channel

- underlying channel perfectly reliable
  - no bit errors
  - no loss of packets
- separate FSMs for sender, receiver:
  - sender sends data into underlying channel
  - receiver read data from underlying channel



sender



receiver

Rdt2.0: channel with bit errors

- underlying channel may flip bits in packet
  - checksum to detect bit errors
- *the* question: how to recover from errors:
  - *acknowledgements (ACKs):* receiver explicitly tells sender that pkt received OK
  - *negative acknowledgements (NAKs):* receiver explicitly tells sender that pkt had errors
  - sender retransmits pkt on receipt of NAK
- new mechanisms in `rdt2.0` (beyond `rdt1.0`):
  - error detection
  - receiver feedback: control msgs (ACK,NAK) rcvr->sender

rdt2.0: FSM specification



rdt2.0: operation with no errors



rdt2.0: error scenario



rdt2.0 has a fatal flaw!

What happens if ACK/NAK corrupted?

- sender doesn't know what happened at receiver!
- can't just retransmit: possible duplicate

Handling duplicates:

- sender retransmits current pkt if ACK/NAK garbled
- sender adds *sequence number* to each pkt
- receiver discards (doesn't deliver up) duplicate pkt

stop and wait

Sender sends one packet, then waits for receiver Response before sending anything

rdt2.1: sender, handles garbled ACK/NAKs



rdt2.1: receiver, handles garbled ACK/NAKs



rdt2.1: discussion

Sender:

- seq # added to pkt
- two seq. #'s (0,1) will suffice. Why?
- must check if received ACK/NAK corrupted
- twice as many states
  - state must "remember" whether "current" pkt has 0 or 1 seq. #

Receiver:

- must check if received packet is duplicate
  - state indicates whether 0 or 1 is expected pkt seq #
- note: receiver can *not* know if its last ACK/NAK received OK at sender

rdt2.2: a NAK-free protocol

- same functionality as rdt2.1, using ACKs only
- instead of NAK, receiver sends ACK for last pkt received OK
  - receiver must *explicitly* include seq # of pkt being ACKed
  - sender then knows that the current packet was not received correctly
- duplicate ACK at sender results in same action as NAK: *retransmit current pkt*
- This is a simpler protocol because it does away with NAKs

rdt2.2: sender, receiver fragments



rdt3.0: channels with errors *and* loss

New assumption: underlying channel can also lose packets (data or ACKs)

- checksum, seq. #, ACKs, retransmissions will be of help, but not enough

Approach: sender waits "reasonable" amount of time for ACK

- retransmits if no ACK received in this time
- if pkt (or ACK) just delayed (not lost):
  - retransmission will be duplicate, but use of seq. #'s already handles this
  - receiver must specify seq # of pkt being ACKed
- requires countdown timer

rdt3.0 sender



rdt3.0 in action



(a) operation with no loss



(b) lost packet

rdt3.0 in action



(c) lost ACK



(d) premature timeout

Performance of rdt3.0

- rdt3.0 works, but performance stinks
- eg: 1 Gb/s link, 15 ms propagation delay, 8000 bit packet:

$$d_{trans} = \frac{L}{R} = \frac{8000\text{bits}}{10^9\ \text{b/s}} = 8\,\text{microseconds}$$

- U_{sender}: utilization – fraction of time sender busy sending

$$U_{sender} = \frac{L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.008}{30.008} = 0.00027$$

- 1KB pkt every 30 msec -> 33KB/sec throughput over 1 Gb/s link
- network protocol limits use of physical resources!

rdt3.0: stop-and-wait operation



$$U_{sender} = \frac{L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.008}{30.008} = 0.00027$$

Pipelined protocols

Pipelining: sender allows multiple, "in-flight", yet-to-be-acknowledged pkts

- range of sequence numbers must be increased
- buffering at sender and/or receiver



(a) a stop-and-wait protocol in operation (b) a pipelined protocol in operation

- Two generic forms of pipelined protocols: *go-Back-N, selective repeat*

Pipelining: increased utilization



Increase utilization by a factor of 3!

$$U_{sender} = \frac{3 * L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.024}{30.008} = 0.0008$$

Pipelining Protocols

Go-back-N: overview

- *sender:* up to N unACKed pkts in pipeline
- *receiver:* only sends cumulative ACKs
  - doesn't ACK pkt if there's a gap
- *sender:* has timer for oldest unACKed pkt
  - if timer expires: retransmit all unACKed packets

Selective Repeat: overview

- *sender:* up to N unACKed packets in pipeline
- *receiver:* ACKs individual pkts
- *sender:* maintains timer for each unACKed pkt
  - if timer expires: retransmit only unACKed packet

Go-Back-N

Sender:

- k-bit seq # in pkt header
- "sliding window" of up to N, consecutive unACKed pkts allowed



- ACK(n): ACKs all pkts up to, including seq # n - "cumulative ACK"
  - may receive duplicate ACKs (see receiver)
- *timeout(n):* retransmit pkt n and all higher seq # pkts in window

GBN: sender extended FSM



GBN: receiver extended FSM



- ACK-only: always send ACK for correctly-received pkt with highest *in-order* seq #
  - may generate duplicate ACKs
  - need only remember **expectedseqnum**
- out-of-order pkt:
  - discard (don't buffer) -> no receiver buffering!
  - Re-ACK pkt with highest in-order seq #

GBN in action



Selective Repeat

- Go-back-N can be inefficient if there can be many pkts in pipeline and an error occurs
  - All these packets will be retransmitted unnecessarily
- With selective repeat receiver *individually* acknowledges all correctly received pkts
  - buffers pkts, as needed, for eventual in-order delivery to upper layer
  - sender only resends pkts for which ACK not received
    - sender timer for each unACKed pkt
  - sender window
    - N consecutive seq #s
    - again limits seq #s of sent, unACKed pkts

Selective repeat: sender, receiver windows



Selective repeat

sender

data from above :
- if next available seq # in window, send pkt

timeout(n):
- resend pkt n, restart timer

ACK(n) in [sendbase,sendbase+N]:
- mark pkt n as received
- if n smallest unACKed pkt, advance window base to next unACKed seq #

receiver

pkt n in [rcvbase, rcvbase+N-1]
- send ACK(n)
- out-of-order: buffer
- in-order: deliver (also deliver buffered, in-order pkts), advance window to next not-yet-received pkt

pkt n in [rcvbase-N,rcvbase-1]
- ACK(n)

otherwise:
- ignore

Selective repeat in action



3-53

Selective repeat: dilemma

Example:

- seq #'s: 0, 1, 2, 3
- window size=3

- receiver sees no difference in two scenarios!
- incorrectly passes duplicate data as new in (a)

Q: what relationship between seq # size and window size?



(a)



(b)

3-54

Outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - basics
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
- 3.6 TCP congestion control

TCP: Overview

RFCs: 793, 1122, 1323, 2018, 2581

- point-to-point:
  - one sender, one receiver
- reliable, in-order *byte steam:*
  - no "message boundaries"
- pipelined:
  - TCP congestion and flow control set window size
- *send & receive buffers*



- full duplex data:
  - bi-directional data flow in same connection
  - MSS: maximum segment size
- connection-oriented:
  - handshaking (exchange of control msgs) init's sender, receiver state before data exchange
- flow controlled:
  - sender will not overwhelm receiver

TCP segment structure



TCP Connection Management

Recall: TCP sender, receiver establish "connection" before exchanging data segments

- initialize TCP variables:
  - seq. #s
  - buffers, flow control info (e.g. **`RcvWindow`**)
- *client:* connection initiator

```
Socket clientSocket = new
 Socket("hostname","port

 number");
```

- *server:* contacted by client

```
Socket connectionSocket =
 welcomeSocket.accept();
```

Three way handshake:

Step 1: client host sends TCP SYN segment to server
- specifies initial seq #
- no data

Step 2: server host receives SYN, replies with SYNACK segment
- server allocates buffers
- specifies server initial seq. #

Step 3: client receives SYNACK, replies with ACK segment, which may contain data

TCP Connection Management (cont.)

Closing a connection:

client closes socket:
`clientSocket.close();`

Step 1: client end system sends TCP FIN control segment to server

Step 2: server receives FIN, replies with ACK. Closes connection, sends FIN.



TCP Connection Management (cont.)

Step 3: client receives FIN, replies with ACK.

- Enters "timed wait" - will respond with ACK to received FINs

Step 4: server, receives ACK. Connection closed.

Note: with small modification, can handle simultaneous FINs.



TCP Connection Management (cont)



TCP seq. #'s and ACKs

Seq. #'s:

- byte stream "number" of first byte in segment's data

ACKs:

- seq # of next byte expected from other side
- cumulative ACK



Example TCP Session

- Starting sequence numbers:
  - increase by one during connection opening
  - chosen randomly (shown as zero in figure)
  - No increase in seqno for empty ACK



TCP reliable data transfer

- TCP creates rdt service on top of IP's unreliable service
- pipelined segments
- cumulative ACKs
- TCP uses single retransmission timer
- retransmissions are triggered by:
  - timeout events
  - duplicate ACKs
- initially consider simplified TCP sender:
  - ignore duplicate ACKs
  - ignore flow control, congestion control

TCP sender events:

data rcvd from app:

- create segment with seq #
- seq # is byte-stream number of first data byte in segment
- start timer if not already running (think of timer as for oldest unACKed segment)
- expiration interval: `TimeOutInterval`

timeout:

- retransmit segment that caused timeout
- restart timer

ACK rcvd:

- if acknowledges previously unACKed segments
  - update what is known to be ACKed
  - start timer if there are outstanding segments

TCP sender (simplified)

```
NextSeqNum = InitialSeqNum
SendBase = InitialSeqNum

loop (forever) {
  switch(event)

  event: data received from application above
      create TCP segment with sequence number NextSeqNum
      if (timer currently not running)
          start timer
      pass segment to IP
      NextSeqNum = NextSeqNum + length(data)

  event: timer timeout
      retransmit not-yet-acknowledged segment with
           smallest sequence number
      start timer

  event: ACK received, with ACK field value of y
      if (y > SendBase) {
          SendBase = y
          if (there are currently not-yet-acknowledged segments)
               start timer
         }

} /* end of loop forever */
```

Comment:
• SendBase-1: last cumulatively ACKed byte

Example:
• SendBase-1 = 71; y= 73, so the rcvr wants 73+ ; y > SendBase, so that new data is ACKed

TCP: retransmission scenarios





TCP retransmission scenarios (more)



TCP ACK generation [RFC 1122, RFC 2581]

Event at Receiver	TCP Receiver action
Arrival of in-order segment with expected seq #. All data up to expected seq # already ACKed	Delayed ACK. Wait up to 500ms for next segment. If no next segment, send ACK
Arrival of in-order segment with expected seq #. One other segment has ACK pending	Immediately send single cumulative ACK, ACKing both in-order segments
Arrival of out-of-order segment higher-than-expect seq. # . Gap detected	Immediately send *duplicate ACK*, indicating seq. # of next expected byte
Arrival of segment that partially or completely fills gap	Immediate send ACK, provided that segment starts at lower end of gap

TCP Selective ACKs [RFC 2018]

- A non-mandatory extension to TCP cumulative ACKs that is widely used
- Selective ACK (SACK) allows receiver to ACK a sequence of bytes in addition to number of next expected byte
- Use of SACK is negotiated during TCP connection opening
  - uses TCP options field to convey sequence number ranges

Fast Retransmit

- time-out period often relatively long:
  - long delay before resending lost packet
- detect lost segments via duplicate ACKs.
  - sender often sends many segments back-to-back
  - if segment is lost, there will likely be many duplicate ACKs for that segment
- If sender receives 3 ACKs for same data, it assumes that segment after ACKed data was lost:
  - fast retransmit: resend segment before timer expires



Fast retransmit algorithm:

```
event: ACK received, with ACK field value of y
          if (y > SendBase) {
              SendBase = y
              if (there are currently not-yet-acknowledged segments)
                  start timer
            }
          else {
               increment count of dup ACKs received for y
               if (count of dup ACKs received for y = 3) {
                   resend segment with sequence number y
               }
```

a duplicate ACK for already ACKed segment

fast retransmit

TCP Round Trip Time and Timeout

Q: how to set TCP timeout value?

- longer than RTT
  - but RTT varies
- too short: premature timeout
  - unnecessary retransmissions
- too long: slow reaction to segment loss

Q: how to estimate RTT?

- `SampleRTT`: measured time from segment transmission until ACK receipt
  - ignore retransmissions
- `SampleRTT` will vary, want estimated RTT "smoother"
  - average several recent measurements, not just current `SampleRTT`

TCP Round Trip Time and Timeout

EstimatedRTT = (1- α)*EstimatedRTT + α*SampleRTT

- Exponential weighted moving average
- influence of past sample decreases exponentially fast
- typical value: α = 0.125

Example RTT estimation:



TCP Round Trip Time and Timeout

Setting the timeout

- `EstimatedRTT` plus "safety margin"
  - large variation in `EstimatedRTT` -> larger safety margin
- first estimate of how much SampleRTT deviates from EstimatedRTT:

```
DevRTT = (1-β)*DevRTT +
             β*|SampleRTT-EstimatedRTT|

(typically, β = 0.25)
```

Then set timeout interval:

```
TimeoutInterval = EstimatedRTT + 4*DevRTT
```


TCP Flow Control

- receive side of TCP connection has a receive buffer:



- app process may be slow at reading from buffer

flow control
sender won't overflow receiver's buffer by transmitting too much, too fast

- *speed-matching service:* matching send rate to receiving application's drain rate

TCP Flow control: how it works



(suppose TCP receiver discards out-of-order segments)

- unused buffer space:

= **rwnd**

= **RcvBuffer-[LastByteRcvd - LastByteRead]**

- receiver: advertises unused buffer space by including `rwnd` value in segment header
- sender: limits # of unACKed bytes to `rwnd`
  - guarantees receiver's buffer doesn't overflow

TCP Flow Control Example

- Example: slow receiver
  - Recv buffer fills up and window shrinks to 0
  - Send TCP learns of empty window and stops
  - Send buffer fills up with bytes from appl process
  - Send TCP asks OS to block sender appl process
- Once receiver catches up
  - Window opens, Send TCP learns new window size
  - Send TCP resumes transmission
  - Send TCP buffer frees up
  - Send TCP asks OS to unblock sender process

Outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
- 3.6 TCP congestion control

TCP congestion control:

- *goal:* TCP sender should transmit as fast as possible, but without congesting network
  - Q: how to find rate *just* below congestion level
- decentralized: each TCP sender sets its own rate, based on *implicit* feedback:
  - *ACK:* segment received (a good thing!), network not congested, so increase sending rate
  - *lost segment:* assume loss due to congested network, so decrease sending rate

TCP congestion control: bandwidth probing

- "probing for bandwidth": increase transmission rate on receipt of ACK, until eventually loss occurs, then decrease transmission rate
  - continue to increase on ACK, decrease on loss (since available bandwidth is changing, depending on other connections in network)



- Q: how fast to increase/decrease?
  - details to follow

TCP Congestion Control: details

- sender limits rate by limiting number of unACKed bytes "in pipeline":

  LastByteSent-LastByteAcked ≤ cwnd
  - **cwnd:** differs from **rwnd** (how, why?)
  - sender limited by **min(cwnd,rwnd)**
- roughly,

$$\boxed{\text{rate} = \frac{\text{cwnd}}{\text{RTT}} \text{ bytes/sec}}$$

- **cwnd** is dynamic, function of perceived network congestion



TCP Congestion Control: more details

segment loss event: reducing `cwnd`

- timeout: no response from receiver
  - cut **cwnd** to 1
- 3 duplicate ACKs: at least some segments getting through (recall fast retransmit)
  - cut **cwnd** in half, less aggressively than on timeout

ACK received: increase `cwnd`

- slowstart phase:
  - increase exponentially fast (despite name) at connection start, or following timeout
- congestion avoidance:
  - increase linearly

TCP Slow Start

- when connection begins, **cwnd** = 1 MSS
  - example: MSS = 500 bytes & RTT = 200 msec
  - initial rate = 20 kbps
- available bandwidth may be >> MSS/RTT
  - desirable to quickly ramp up to respectable rate
- increase rate exponentially until first loss event or when threshold reached
  - double **cwnd** every RTT
  - done by incrementing **cwnd** by 1 for every ACK received



Transitioning into/out of slowstart

`ssthresh`: `cwnd` threshold maintained by TCP

- on loss event: set `ssthresh` to `cwnd/2`
  - remember (half of) TCP rate when congestion last occurred
- when `cwnd` >= `ssthresh`: transition from slowstart to congestion avoidance phase



TCP: congestion avoidance

- when `cwnd > ssthresh` grow `cwnd` linearly
  - increase `cwnd` by 1 MSS per RTT
  - approach possible congestion slower than in slowstart
  - implementation: `cwnd = cwnd + MSS/cwnd` for each ACK received

AIMD

- ACKs: increase `cwnd` by 1 MSS per RTT: additive increase
- loss: cut `cwnd` in half (non-timeout-detected loss): multiplicative decrease

AIMD: Additive Increase Multiplicative Decrease

TCP congestion control FSM: overview



TCP congestion control FSM: details



Popular "flavours" of TCP



Summary: TCP Congestion Control

- when **cwnd < ssthresh**, sender in slow-start phase, window grows exponentially.
- when **cwnd >= ssthresh**, sender is in congestion-avoidance phase, window grows linearly.
- when triple duplicate ACK occurs, **ssthresh** set to **cwnd/2**, **cwnd** set to ~ **ssthresh**
- when timeout occurs, **ssthresh** set to **cwnd/2**, **cwnd** set to 1 MSS.

Summary

- principles behind transport layer services:
  - multiplexing, demultiplexing
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - congestion control
- instantiation and implementation in the Internet
  - UDP
  - TCP