

Catalysis for fluorination and trifluoromethylation


Takeru Furuya^{1†*}, Adam S. Kamlet^{1*} & Tobias Ritter¹

Recent advances in catalysis have made the incorporation of fluorine into complex organic molecules easier than ever before, but selective, general and practical fluorination reactions remain sought after. Fluorination of molecules often imparts desirable properties, such as metabolic and thermal stability, and fluorinated molecules are therefore frequently used as pharmaceuticals or materials. But the formation of carbon–fluorine bonds in complex molecules is a significant challenge. Here we discuss reactions to make organofluorides that have emerged within the past few years and which exemplify how to overcome some of the intricate challenges associated with fluorination.

Carbon–fluorine bonds have an integral role in pharmaceuticals^{1,2}, agrochemicals³, materials⁴ and tracers for positron emission tomography⁵. Fluorine uniquely affects the properties of organic molecules through strong polar interactions due to the atom's high electronegativity and small size⁶. For example, the introduction of fluorine into pharmaceuticals can make them more bioavailable, lipophilic and metabolically stable, and can increase the strength of a compound's interactions with a target protein¹. Approximately 30% of all agrochemicals and 20% of all pharmaceuticals contain fluorine¹, including drugs such as Lipitor, Lexapro and Prozac.

Turning to materials, the polymer polytetrafluoroethylene, also known as Teflon, is perfluorinated (that is, all the hydrogen atoms have been replaced by fluorine atoms). The fluorine atoms are responsible for polytetrafluoroethylene's low coefficient of friction and hydrophobicity, which are properties that have made it invaluable as a non-stick coating for household cookware. Perfluorinated solvents are used as unique media for chemical reactions—when mixed with organic solvents or water, they form an immiscible 'fluorous phase' that can be useful for recovering catalysts or in purification procedures⁷. Finally, the non-natural isotope ¹⁸F is the most commonly used positron-emitting isotope for molecular positron emission tomography (PET) imaging in oncology. Millions of PET scans using 2-[¹⁸F]fluoro-2-deoxyglucose ([¹⁸F]FDG) are performed every year⁸.

Given the utility of fluorine, it is not a surprise that chemists have given the element special recognition. Yet, despite fluorine's importance and more than 100 years of organofluorine chemistry, carbon–fluorine bond formation is still challenging^{9–12}. Conventional fluorination reactions that were developed in the early twentieth century are generally limited to very simple molecules¹³. Reliable fluorination of more complex molecules at specific positions is difficult. Arguably, even nature has not been able to develop a diverse set of fluorination reactions. Despite fluorine being the thirteenth most abundant element in the Earth's crust, only 21 biosynthesized natural molecules containing fluorine are known, compared to thousands with the heavier halogen homologues, chlorine and bromine^{14,15}. In nature, chlorination and bromination reactions are often catalysed by haloperoxidase enzymes, but no fluoroperoxidase is known; this is likely to be a consequence of the high oxidation potential of fluorine. Additionally, the high solvation energy of the fluoride ion in aqueous media results in a tightly



2011: YEAR OF CHEMISTRY
Celebrating the central science
nature.com/chemistry2011

bound hydration shell of water molecules around the ion that lowers its nucleophilicity and therefore its reactivity. The first recognized natural fluorinating enzyme, 5'-fluoro-5'-deoxyadenosine synthase, probably dehydrates solvated fluoride in the active site, and thereby increases fluoride's nucleophilicity for the ensuing substitution reaction^{16,17}.

During the past five years, chemists have developed new methods to incorporate fluorine into organic molecules by making carbon–fluorine (C–F) and carbon–trifluoromethyl (C–CF₃) bonds on both aromatic rings and aliphatic chains. These new bond-forming reactions can be efficient means to access desired organic molecules that are not readily synthesized using traditional fluorination chemistry. In particular, the development of suitable catalysts for these reactions has beneficially influenced the progress of modern fluorination. In this Review, we present fundamental challenges of organofluorine chemistry and novel transition-metal-catalysed and organocatalysed C–F and C–CF₃ bond-forming reactions.

Challenges associated with C–F bond formation

Difficulties in C–F bond formation arise from the facts that fluorine is the most oxidizing and most electronegative element (Pauling electronegativity, 4.0), and that fluoride has a small ionic radius (1.33 Å; ref. 18). Owing to its electronegativity and anionic radius, fluoride, the most abundant form of the element on Earth, can form strong hydrogen bonds with, for example, water, alcohols, amines and amides¹⁹, and therefore is typically only weakly nucleophilic in the presence of hydrogen-bond donors. Weakly nucleophilic fluoride limits access to C–F bonds via nucleophilic substitution reactions, which are a conventional and still common way to make C–F bonds²⁰. When hydrogen-bond donors are meticulously excluded, fluoride is a better nucleophile, but also basic, which can lead to undesired side reactions.

Conventional fluorination reactions that afford aryl fluorides, like the Balz–Schiemann reaction (in which anilines are converted into aryl fluorides) and the Halex process (in which halogen atoms are exchanged for fluorine atoms), generally require harsh conditions and consequently have limited substrate scopes. High temperatures or highly reactive intermediates or reagents have been the only means by which to incorporate fluorine into arenes. Reactions performed in the presence of catalysts, on the other hand, can often result in milder reaction conditions by selectively reducing the activation barriers from starting

¹Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, 12 Oxford Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA. [†]Present address: SciFluor Life Sciences LLC, 33 Arch Street, Suite 3201, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, USA.


*These authors contributed equally to this work.

BOX 1

Metal-catalysed cross-coupling reactions

Metal-catalysed cross-coupling reactions are reactions that join two molecular fragments using a metal as catalyst. The 2010 Nobel Prize in Chemistry was awarded to pioneers of palladium-catalysed carbon–carbon cross-coupling reactions first disclosed over 40 years ago⁹⁶. Since then, cross-coupling reactions have become a staple of modern organic synthesis and have been developed for virtually every element in the first and second row of the *p*-block of the periodic table^{97–100}.

Common examples of transition metals used in cross-coupling catalysis include palladium, copper, nickel and iron. In general, when cross-coupling reactions unite two fragments, one fragment serves as the electrophile and the other fragment serves as the nucleophile. As shown in panel a of the Box Figure below, the elementary organometallic chemistry steps of a catalysis cycle are: (1) Oxidative addition. A metal inserts into a σ -bond of the electrophile. This step increases the formal oxidation state of the metal and increases the number of ligands bound to the metal. (2) Transmetalation (ligand exchange). The nucleophile replaces a ligand on the metal. After transmetalation, both molecular fragments to be coupled are bound to the metal. (3) Reductive elimination, the actual bond-forming event that makes the organic product. Reductive elimination extrudes the new organic molecule with both molecular fragments united by a new σ -bond, leaving the metal in its original oxidation state and ready to start the catalysis cycle again. The difficulty of reductive elimination to form C–C, C–N, C–O and C–F bonds increases across the series (panel b of the Box Figure below). This trend parallels the electronegativity of the elements as well as the metal–ligand bond strength. Historically, palladium-catalysed cross-coupling reactions were developed in this order.



material to product. Catalysis has been applied to transition-metal-catalysed cross-coupling reactions with utmost success (Box 1). But until recently, fluorination reactions were notably absent from the metal-catalysed cross-coupling reaction repertoire. Carbon–fluorine bonds are

strong; in fact, no other element makes stronger single bonds to carbon than fluorine does²¹, and therefore, most C–F bond-forming reactions are thermodynamically feasible. A thermodynamically feasible but kinetically challenging reaction can be addressed ideally by catalysis. Conceptually, transition metal complexes have the potential to selectively reduce the barrier of activation for C–F bond formation and thus to render the thermodynamically favourable fluorination process kinetically more accessible. However, overcoming the activation barrier to C–F bond formation is challenging because metal–fluorine bonds are also strong, and thus design of appropriate catalysts is difficult.

The most challenging step in C–F bond formation via a cross-coupling approach is reductive elimination¹¹; this is the step in the catalytic cycle in which both carbon and fluorine, initially bound to the metal, expel the catalyst and form a new C–F bond. For reductive elimination of two ligands to occur, there must be sufficient orbital overlap between both metal–ligand σ -bonds¹². In general, because metal–fluorine bonds are significantly polarized towards fluorine owing to fluorine's high electronegativity and small size, electron density is lacking in the region where it is required for C–F bond formation. The high polarization of the metal–fluorine bond results in a significant ionic contribution to the bond, which strengthens it and increases the energy barrier to C–F reductive elimination. Furthermore, such reductive elimination must be faster than competing non-productive side reactions, such as hydrolysis of the metal–fluorine bond. Moreover, C–F reductive elimination is just one step in the catalysis cycle; metal–fluorine bond formation can be challenging, but is also vital to success. Methods to form the metal–fluorine bond include ligand exchange with nucleophilic fluoride and oxidative addition with electrophilic fluorination reagents. Strong, polarized and hydrolysable metal–fluorine bonds make C–F bond formation via transition metal catalysis a demanding chemical endeavour.

Metal-catalysed Ar–F bond formation

Conceptually, two fundamentally different classes of fluorination can be distinguished: nucleophilic and electrophilic fluorination. Fluoride anion (F^-) or a derivative thereof, such as tetrafluoroborate (BF_4^-), is the fluorine source in nucleophilic fluorination reactions, and an electrophilic fluorination reagent, such as XeF_2 , is the source of fluorine in electrophilic fluorination reactions. Transition metals, *a priori*, are not biased to either nucleophilic or electrophilic fluorination, and the same metal may be successfully employed in both reaction classes. Selection of appropriate transition metals for C–F bond formation can be guided by evaluation of metal–fluorine bond strength: early transition metal fluorides generally have stronger metal–fluorine bonds compared to late transition metals owing to π -donation from the fluoride ligand into the empty *d* orbitals on the metal, and also have more polarized metal–fluorine σ -bonds. Consequently, research towards C–F bond-formation catalysis has largely focused on late transition metal complexes.

In 2002, the late transition metal copper, in the form of the electrophilic fluorination reagent CuF_2 , was used in the oxidation of benzene (C_6H_6) to fluorobenzene (C_6H_5F) at 450–550 °C (ref. 22). The copper reagent can be regenerated after fluorination, and this reaction approach has the potential to lead to a practical copper-catalysed synthesis of simple fluorinated arenes. Currently, only structurally simple arenes, such as fluorobenzene, fluorotoluenes and difluorobenzenes, can be synthesized with the CuF_2 -mediated process, and the reaction is characterized by low regioselectivity when substituents are present on the arene.

Regioselective functionalization of $C_{aryl}-H$ (Ar–H) bonds by transition metals under less harsh conditions has been achieved through the use of directing groups²³. Covalently attached to the aryl ring, directing groups coordinate to a transition metal and lower the activation energy for C–H bond cleavage preferentially, by positioning the transition metal in proximity to specific C–H bonds. The direct transformation of a C–H bond to a C–F bond is an attractive feature of directed electrophilic fluorination in terms of efficiency. Application of the directing group strategy to arene fluorination was first reported in 2006²⁴. Phenylpyridine derivatives (**1**) were fluorinated at the *ortho* positions




Figure 1 | Directed electrophilic palladium-catalysed Ar–F bond-forming reactions. **a**, Palladium-catalysed fluorination of organic molecules.

Phenylpyridine derivatives (**1**) were fluorinated in the presence of 10 mol% of Pd(OAc)₂ and the electrophilic fluorination reagent *N*-fluoropyridinium tetrafluoroborate (**2**) under microwave irradiation. **b**, A palladium-catalysed directed electrophilic fluorination of C–H bonds of *N*-benzyltriflamide derivatives (**3**) with the catalyst Pd(OTf)₂·2H₂O and the electrophilic fluorination reagent *N*-fluoro-2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium triflate (**4**). Ac, acetyl; Me, methyl; Et, ethyl; Tf, trifluoromethanesulphonyl.

in the presence of Pd(OAc)₂ and an electrophilic fluorination reagent (Fig. 1a). A similar palladium-catalysed directed electrophilic fluorination of Ar–H bonds of *N*-benzyltriflamide derivatives (**3**) was reported in 2009 (Fig. 1b)²⁵. The triflamide directing group (–NHTf, where Tf is trifluoromethanesulphonyl) can be easily converted into a variety of other functional groups. Current limitations of the directing group approach include the restriction that fluorine can only be incorporated at the position *ortho* to the directing group, the requirement for blocking groups to prevent *ortho,ortho'*-difluorination, and the need for a directing group itself. If the directing group is part of the desired molecule, the approach is efficient, but directing groups and functional groups that are derived from directing groups are often not desired in the final molecule, and easily removable directing groups are rare.

The mechanisms of the directed electrophilic fluorination reactions shown in Fig. 1 are still unknown. After cyclopalladation, the key C–F bond-forming event could occur either from a Pd(II) centre without change in the oxidation state of the metal (as in the electrophilic fluorination of an aryl Grignard reagent^{26,27}), or from a higher oxidation state

palladium complex (such as a dinuclear Pd(III)²⁸ or a Pd(IV)^{29,30} complex) via C–F reductive elimination. Reductive elimination from transition metal complexes to form C–F bonds was long unknown. Only in 2008 was an isolated aryltransition metal fluoride complex reported to undergo C–F reductive elimination^{31,32}.

Transition-metal-catalysed cross coupling between an electrophile and a nucleophile is currently a more general approach for C–F bond formation, because it does not rely on directing groups. Studies of the use of palladium-, rhodium- and copper-based cross-coupling reactions for C–F bond formation have been documented since the late 1990s^{33–35}, but only recently has successful fluorination by catalysis been achieved, in large part owing to the development of metal complexes that can undergo C–F reductive elimination.

Theoretical studies of the fundamental difficulties associated with C–F reductive elimination from arylpalladium(II) fluoride complexes were reported in 2007³⁶. Reductive elimination should occur most readily from a mononuclear, three-coordinate, ‘T’-shaped palladium complex, with the aryl ligand and the fluoride ligand oriented *cis* to each other. However, ‘T’-shaped arylpalladium(II) fluoride complexes are often less stable than their corresponding dimeric form, in which two ‘T’-shaped palladium complexes come together, with both fluorine ligands bound to both palladium atoms. Reductive elimination from such a bis-μ-fluoride dimer is significantly more difficult than from the T-shaped monomer; in fact, to date, it has not been observed. Large ligands on palladium destabilize the dimer relative to the monomer and therefore increase the concentration of the mononuclear three-coordinate arylpalladium(II) fluoride complex for subsequent C–F reductive elimination. In line with this reasoning, the use of the bulky monodentate phosphine ligand *t*-BuXPhos resulted in C–F bond formation from an arylpalladium(II) fluoride complex, albeit in only 10% yield³⁶. This was a significant and promising result, but conclusive evidence for concerted C–F reductive elimination was not obtained and other mechanisms of C–F bond formation are possible³⁷.

The first palladium(0)-catalysed Ar–F bond-forming cross-coupling reaction was reported in 2009 using aryl triflates (ArOTf; **5**) and CsF as a nucleophilic fluorine source (Fig. 2a)³⁸. As predicted by theory, the use of a bulky monodentate phosphine ligand, *t*-BuBrettPhos (**6**)³⁹, to access three-coordinate arylpalladium(II) fluoride complexes was the key to success (Fig. 2b). An arylpalladium(II) fluoride complex supported by **6** was shown to be effective for C–F reductive elimination³⁸. Arenes with a wide range of electronic properties and a variety of heterocycles could be fluorinated with this method. Sterically congested arenes and arenes bearing electrophilic and nucleophilic functional groups could be fluorinated as well. For a few substrates, undesired constitutional isomers were formed as by-products when *para*-electron-donating or *meta*-electron-withdrawing groups were present. Although the mechanism for the




Figure 2 | Nucleophilic palladium-catalysed Ar–F bond-forming reaction. **a**, The nucleophilic palladium-catalysed Ar–F bond-forming reaction of aryl triflates (**5**), with CsF as the fluorine source, the palladium(0) catalyst precursor [(cinnamyl)PdCl]₂, and the sterically demanding ligand *t*-BuBrettPhos

(**6**). **b**, The proposed mechanism for **a** comprises three elementary steps: oxidative addition, ligand exchange, and C–F reductive elimination. L, ligand; *t*-Bu, *tert*-butyl; *i*-Pr, *iso*-propyl; Boc, *tert*-butoxycarbonyl; Ph, phenyl.

formation of the constitutional isomers has not yet been elucidated, the isomers could arise from a competing benzyne pathway, owing to high reaction temperatures and dried, basic fluoride. The reaction must be performed under anhydrous conditions, and substrates with protic functional groups were not demonstrated to undergo fluorination, possibly owing to the tendency of fluoride to form strong hydrogen bonds. Hydrogen-bond formation between protic functional groups or water with arylpalladium(II) fluorides could stabilize the ground state of the arylpalladium(II) fluoride complex, which increases the activation barrier to C–F reductive elimination³⁶. Water could also result in hydrolysis of the Pd–F bond at a rate faster than the rate of C–F reductive elimination.

In nucleophilic fluorination, as shown in Fig. 2, fluoride serves as the nucleophile and the aryl reaction component (for example, an aryl triflate or an aryl bromide) serves as the electrophile. In 2008, C–F bond formation by a complementary approach—using a nucleophilic aryl group and an electrophilic fluorination reagent—was reported⁴⁰. A variety of functionalized arylboronic acids are suitable substrates for transmetalation onto a palladium(II) complex; subsequent treatment with the electrophilic fluorination reagent F-TEDA-BF₄ (Selectfluor; see below) afforded the corresponding fluoroarenes. C–F bond formation occurred via fluorination of the transition metal, followed by C–F reductive elimination, which established the viability of Ar–F reductive elimination from a transition metal complex^{31,32}.

Reductive elimination of C–F bonds from transition metal fluorides need not be limited to palladium. The late transition metal silver has been shown to mediate the electrophilic fluorination of arylboronic acids⁴¹ and aryl stannanes⁴². Following the initial discovery of general silver-mediated fluorination of arenes, a silver-catalysed electrophilic Ar–F bond-forming reaction for aryl stannanes (**7**) using Ag₂O and the electrophilic fluorination reagent F-TEDA-PF₆ (**8**) was developed (Fig. 3a)⁴³. Several functional groups are tolerated under the reaction conditions. The reaction is applicable to late-stage fluorination of complex small molecules, including taxol (**9**), strychnine (**10**) and rifamycin (**11**) derivatives. Few nucleophilic functional groups—including certain amines and sulphides that are generally compatible with nucleophilic fluorination reactions—are incompatible with the electrophilic fluorination reaction. Current challenges associated with the silver-catalysed electrophilic fluorination include the use of toxic aryl stannane starting materials and the additional synthetic steps required for their preparation from Ar–OH or Ar–H bonds, typically via aryl triflates or aryl halides⁴⁴.

The proposed mechanism of the silver-catalysed electrophilic Ar–F bond-forming reaction consists of three elementary steps: transmetalation, silver-based oxidation by an electrophilic fluorination reagent, and C–F

reductive elimination (Fig. 3b). Aryl transmetalation from tin to silver(I) affords arylsilver(I) species, which are possibly aggregated with additional silver(I) under conditions of catalysis. It was suggested that subsequent silver-based fluorination affords a multinuclear high-valent arylsilver fluoride complex, such as the dinuclear Ag^{II}–Ag^{II} complex depicted in Fig. 3b. The proposed mechanism for the silver-catalysed fluorination reaction is distinct from most conventional cross-coupling reactions owing to the redox participation of multiple metal centres. The facile C–F bond formation by silver, which enabled fluorination of complex molecules, may be due to metal–metal redox interactions that lower the barrier to C–F reductive elimination compared to mononuclear complexes⁴⁵. Silver-catalysed carbon–heteroatom cross-coupling reactions had not been reported previously.

Metal-catalysed Ar–CF₃ bond formation

Similarly to the incorporation of fluorine, the introduction of trifluoromethyl (CF₃) groups into organic molecules can substantially alter their properties, such as metabolic stability, lipophilicity and ability to penetrate the blood–brain barrier^{1–4,46,47}. Trifluoromethyl groups are distinct from other alkyl groups such as the methyl (CH₃) group, both in terms of electronic structure and reactivity; the CF₃ group has the same electronegativity as chlorine (3.2), and is similar in size to an isopropyl (*i*-Pr) group (van der Waals radius 2.2 Å)⁴⁸. Trifluoromethyl groups, when bound to transition metals, can undergo side reactions, such as fluoride elimination^{49,50}, that other alkyl groups cannot. Therefore, the trifluoromethyl group should be considered more appropriately as a distinct functional group rather than as a substituted methyl group. A conventional synthesis of benzotrifluorides, arenes with a CF₃ group, involves radical chlorination of toluene derivatives followed by chlorine–fluorine exchange⁵¹. Only structurally simple benzotrifluorides that can tolerate such harsh reaction conditions can be accessed in this manner. Like C–F bond formation, C–CF₃ bond formation has its own challenges: the high group electronegativity of 3.2 of a trifluoromethyl group increases the activation barrier of C–CF₃ reductive elimination; only few nucleophilic and electrophilic trifluoromethylating reagents are commercially available; and the strong metal–CF₃ bonding, in part due to bonding interactions between metal *d* orbitals and the σ*_{C–F} orbitals, make transition-metal-catalysed C–CF₃ bond formation difficult⁵².

Ar–CF₃ reductive elimination from the palladium(II) complex XantphosPd(Ph)CF₃ on heating to 80 °C for 3 h was reported in 2006⁵³ (Xantphos is a large bidentate phosphine ligand). Whereas Ar–CF₃ reductive elimination is challenging^{54–57}, this result suggested that C–CF₃ bond formation by transition metal catalysis should be




Figure 3 | Electrophilic silver-catalysed Ar–F bond-forming reaction.

a, The silver-catalysed Ar–F bond-forming reaction. Aryl stannane derivatives (**7**) were fluorinated using 5 mol% of Ag₂O as catalyst and the electrophilic fluorination reagent F-TEDA-PF₆ (**8**). The reaction was applied to late-stage

fluorination of complex small molecules, including taxol (**9**), strychnine (**10**) and rifamycin (**11**) derivatives. **b**, The proposed mechanism for **a** includes three elementary steps: transmetalation, oxidation by an electrophilic fluorination reagent, and C–F reductive elimination. Bu, butyl.

more straightforward than C–F bond formation, because Ar–F reductive elimination from the corresponding fluoride complex has not been observed. In fact, all elementary steps required for a catalysis cycle for C–CF₃ bond formation have been shown to work independently on isolated complexes⁵³. The challenge for developing a palladium-catalysed aryl trifluoromethylation reaction was to develop reaction conditions that allowed all elementary steps—oxidative addition, transmetalation to make a Pd–CF₃ bond, and Ar–CF₃ reductive elimination—to proceed in the same reaction vessel, as required for catalysis.

The first Ar–CF₃ bond-forming cross-coupling reaction was reported in 1969⁵⁸. Benzotrifluoride was obtained in 45% yield by heating iodobenzene and trifluoroiodomethane in dimethylformamide with activated copper bronze at 150 °C. Since this initial report, several modifications to the reaction conditions and reagents have been reported^{59,60}. However, only in 2009 was a copper-catalysed Ar–CF₃ bond-forming reaction achieved⁶¹. Electron-poor aryl iodides (**12**) were converted to benzotrifluorides (**13**) with catalytic CuI and 1,10-phenanthroline (Fig. 4a). The reaction may proceed through generation of a copper-trifluoromethyl complex^{62–64} followed by oxidative addition to form an arylcopper(III) intermediate^{65–68}, but details of the reaction mechanism remain unclear. More recently, several copper-mediated trifluoromethylation reactions have been reported^{69–73}.

The first palladium-catalysed Ar–CF₃ bond-forming reaction was reported in 2010 (Fig. 4b)⁷⁴. The reaction employs aryl chlorides and

(trifluoromethyl)triethylsilane (TESCF₃) as the CF₃ source. A large substrate scope was shown, but substrates with protic functional groups were not demonstrated to undergo trifluoromethylation, possibly because such functional groups accelerate decomposition of TESCF₃ or aryl(trifluoromethyl)palladium(II) and arylpalladium(II) fluoride complexes. In both the copper- and palladium-catalysed trifluoromethylation reaction, a nucleophilic trifluoromethyl unit is slowly generated *in situ* from TESCF₃ and KF, thus reducing the potential for side reactions to occur; the use of reagents that would generate the trifluoromethyl anion equivalent more quickly, such as (trifluoromethyl)trimethylsilane (TMSCF₃), result in lower trifluoromethylation yields.

Using a directing group strategy, Ar–CF₃ bond formation directly from C–H bonds can be performed with Pd(OAc)₂ and an electrophilic trifluoromethylation reagent (Fig. 4c)⁷⁵. Heterocycles including pyridine, pyrimidine, imidazole and thiazole can be used as directing groups. Limitations of the reaction include the need for a directing group and the current functional group tolerance; only methoxy, chloro and methyl groups were shown to be compatible with the reaction conditions.

Catalysed C_{sp3}–F and C_{sp3}–CF₃ bond formation

Organic molecules with fluorine atoms or trifluoromethyl groups bonded to sp³-hybridized carbon (C_{sp3}) atoms are present in pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, dyes and materials^{1–4,76–78}. Reactions using fluoride as a nucleophile for aliphatic fluorination have been known for more than 100 years⁷⁹,




Figure 4 | Transition-metal-catalysed Ar–CF₃ bond-forming reactions.

a, The copper-catalysed Ar–CF₃ bond-forming reaction of aryl iodides (**12**) with 10 mol% of CuI and 1,10-phenanthroline. **b**, The palladium-catalysed nucleophilic Ar–CF₃ bond-forming reaction of aryl chlorides (**14**), with TESCF₃ as the CF₃ source, 6 mol% of a palladium(0) precursor complex (**15** or **16**), 9 mol% of the sterically demanding ligand BrettPhos (**17**), and KF. **c**, The

palladium-catalysed directed electrophilic Ar–CF₃ bond-forming reaction with 10 mol% of Pd(OAc)₂ and the electrophilic trifluoromethylation reagent S-(trifluoromethyl)dibenzothiophenium tetrafluoroborate (**18**). TES, triethylsilyl; dba, dibenzylideneacetone; Cy, cyclohexyl; Hex, hexyl; Bn, benzyl; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid.

and racemic syntheses of α -fluoro or α -trifluoromethyl carbonyl compounds were devised shortly after the development of electrophilic fluorination and trifluoromethylation reagents, respectively^{10,80}. Yet, until recently, enantioselective construction of C_{sp^3} -F and C_{sp^3} -CF₃ bonds mainly relied on substitution reactions at existing stereogenic centres with fluoride as a nucleophile, or enantioselective addition reactions of trifluoromethyl anion equivalents to carbonyl groups^{76,78} or imines⁸¹. In contrast to aromatic fluorination, most of the recent advances in aliphatic fluorination did not require the development of new reactivity, but rather the development of enantioselective reactions, which employed established reactivity^{76–78}. Like aromatic fluorination, aliphatic fluorination benefited from developments in catalysis when compared to conventional fluorination reactions.

The electrophilic fluorination of metal enolates is a well-known process¹⁰, but discrimination of the two enantiotopic faces of the electron-rich π -system for reaction with the electrophilic fluorinating reagent has only been achieved recently. In 2000, a titanium complex (**19**) was demonstrated to control facial selectivity in the reaction of branched α -ketoesters with Selectfluor (**20**) (Fig. 5a, top)⁸². This method was the first example of enantioselective metal-catalysed C_{sp^3} -F bond formation. Two years later, an improved catalysis system was reported using a palladium catalyst (**21**) (Fig. 5a, bottom)⁸³. Following these two successful examples, fluorination of the α -position of carbonyls using organometallic complexes has been investigated intensively, leading to the development of α -fluorination of malonates, α -carbamoyl esters, α -ketophosphates and α -cyanophosphates^{76,77}.

Similarly to the electron-rich π -systems of metal enolates, enamines can undergo electrophilic fluorination. Starting in 2005, advances in the field of organocatalytic enantioselective fluorination were reported. Fluorination reactions of cyclohexanone with Selectfluor (**20**) and proline derivatives as catalysts were investigated⁸⁴. Immediately thereafter, three other research reports^{85–87} independently disclosed enantioselective α -fluorination of aldehydes using electrophilic fluorination reagents and chiral secondary amine catalysts derived from amino acids such as **23** and **24** (Fig. 5b). The organocatalyst forms transient chiral, nucleophilic enamine intermediates, which in turn react with the electrophilic fluorinating reagent diastereoselectively. Subsequent hydrolysis of the iminium intermediate forms the chiral fluoroaldehyde and regenerates the organocatalyst. More recently, electrophilic, enantioselective fluorination of enol ethers, allyl silanes, oxindoles and cyclic ketones were reported using cinchona alkaloids as catalysts^{88,89}. Nucleophilic, aliphatic fluorination by chiral organocatalysis has not yet been established, but transition metal catalysis based on chiral palladium allyl complexes can be used to make allylic fluorides⁹⁰ enantioselectively⁹¹.

Enantioselective α -trifluoromethylation of carbonyls can proceed analogously to organocatalysed fluorination, with appropriate electrophilic trifluoromethylation reagents. Two such reactions of aldehydes have been reported. Aldehydes were trifluoromethylated enantioselectively with the hypervalent iodine reagent **27**⁹² as the electrophilic reagent, and using the chiral imidazolidinone catalyst **26** (Fig. 5c, top)⁹³.

In contrast to the other organocatalysed reactions discussed here, organocatalysed trifluoromethylation of aldehydes via photoredox catalysis proceeds by a mechanism distinct from conventional fluorination and trifluoromethylation reactivity. Photoredox catalysis operates via one-electron pathways⁹⁴, whereas the other presented organocatalysed reactions probably proceed via two-electron pathways. Using the trifluoromethylation reagent iodo-trifluoromethane, the chiral organocatalyst **28**, the iridium catalyst **29**, and light from a fluorescent light bulb, aldehydes were transformed into the corresponding α -trifluoromethyl aldehydes with high enantioselectivity (Fig. 5c, bottom)⁹⁵. Under the reaction conditions, trifluoromethyl radicals are generated by single electron transfer from the photolytically activated Ir catalyst, and these radicals in turn oxidize *in situ* generated enamines to form C-CF₃ bonds.

Conclusions

Fluorinated organic molecules are often valuable but are generally challenging to synthesize efficiently. Fluorination reactions developed in the




Figure 5 | Catalytic enantioselective C_{sp^3} -F and C_{sp^3} -CF₃ bond-forming reactions. **a**, Metal-catalysed enantioselective C_{sp^3} -F bond-forming reactions. Branched β -ketoesters were fluorinated using 5 mol% of a Ti-TADDOL catalyst (**19**) and Selectfluor (**20**) or 2.5 mol% of μ -hydroxo-palladium-BINAP complex (**21**) and *N*-fluorobenzenesulphonimide (**22**). **b**, Examples of organocatalytic enantioselective C_{sp^3} -F bond-forming reactions. Amino acid-derived organocatalysts (**23** and **24**) and *N*-fluorobenzenesulphonimide (**22**) were used to fluorinate α -unbranched aldehydes. Owing to the potentially facile racemization of α -fluoroaldehydes, the corresponding fluorohydrins (**25**) were isolated after reduction with NaBH₄ in 54–96% yield and 91–99% enantiomeric excess (e.e.). **c**, Enantioselective C_{sp^3} -CF₃ bond-forming reactions. The mechanism of the two presented reactions differ conceptually, but both afford α -trifluoromethylated aldehydes in good yield and high enantioselectivity either using hypervalent iodine **27** as the CF₃ source and amine catalyst **26**, or using trifluoriodomethane as the CF₃ source, 20 mol% amine catalyst **28**, 0.5 mol% Ir catalyst **29** and light (from a fluorescent household light bulb). TMS, trimethylsilyl.

past five years now give access to complex fluorinated molecules that were not readily available before. The recent success in fluorine incorporation can be attributed to the design of previously unavailable transition metal complexes, and the merger of modern synthesis techniques, such as organocatalysis, with fluorination chemistry. Catalysis has played a major part in the recent development of organofluorine chemistry. For

example, new catalysts can selectively lower the activation barrier of C—F and C—CF₃ bond formation in aromatic fluorination and trifluoromethylation, respectively, and new chiral catalysts can distinguish between the enantiotopic faces of nucleophiles for aliphatic C—F and C—CF₃ bond formation.

Better prediction of the reactivity of well-defined transition metal complexes has supported the advances in nucleophilic aromatic fluorination. For example, rational ligand development was crucial for the palladium-catalysed C—F cross-coupling reaction described in Fig. 2. Readily available starting materials such as aryl triflates and fluoride, arguably the simplest and most desirable source of fluorine, can be employed in this reaction. Yet, whenever fluoride is used, its basicity and the basicity of the transition metal complexes derived from it are often problematic, because water and protic functional groups inhibit the desired reactivity. Future nucleophilic fluorination reactions will benefit from the availability of transition metal complexes of lower basicity, which, most probably, will be achieved through further design of transition metal complexes and ligands. Moreover, reaction methods based on other transition metals may be suitable for more widely applicable nucleophilic fluorination. In particular, the coinage metals (copper, silver and gold) have shown intriguing reactivity and merit further study.

Current electrophilic fluorination reactions have different challenges. Silver-catalysed electrophilic fluorination of aryl stannanes has the largest demonstrated substrate scope and is amenable to the fluorination of complex molecules. However, aryl stannanes are toxic and more difficult to synthesize than aryl triflates or halides, which reduces the method's practicality. Practical cross-coupling reactions should employ readily accessible starting materials, such as aryl chlorides and phenols. Ideally, regioselective electrophilic fluorination reactions would transform C—H bonds into C—F bonds directly. C—H bond functionalization reactions would be the most efficient means of incorporating fluorine into complex molecules, but are currently limited to very simple arenes, such as benzene, and arenes with directing groups. Future advances in the field of selective C—H functionalization combined with modern fluorination chemistry will probably result in practical fluorination reactions. For example, a general, regioselective fluorination of C—H bonds using fluoride and an economically viable oxidant would significantly advance the field.

The reactions presented in this Review have begun to address some of the unmet needs in organofluorine chemistry. In medicinal chemistry, milligram to gram quantities of functionalized fluorinated molecules are more readily accessible now than before. On the other hand, current methods still lack practicality and cost efficiency for general use in large-scale manufacturing. And although fluorination to prepare tracer molecules for positron emission tomography (PET) with the isotope ¹⁸F only requires small amounts of material, the recent advances in fluorination technology have not given access to general ¹⁸F-tracer synthesis, because the stringent reaction requirements for practical and general ¹⁸F-fluorination are not met. Future research in fluorination chemistry will need to focus on the development of more general and practical fluorination reactions.

Received 15 December 2010; accepted 7 April 2011.

- Müller, K., Faeh, C. & Diederich, F. Fluorine in pharmaceuticals: looking beyond intuition. *Science* **317**, 1881–1886 (2007).
- Purser, S., Moore, P. R., Swallow, S. & Gouverneur, V. Fluorine in medicinal chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **37**, 320–330 (2008).
- Jeschke, P. The unique role of fluorine in the design of active ingredients for modern crop production. *ChemBioChem* **5**, 570–589 (2004).
- Hung, M. H., Farnham, W. B., Feiring, A. E. & Rozen, S. In *Fluoropolymers: Synthesis* Vol. 1 (eds Hougham, G., Cassidy, P. E., Johns, K. & Davidson, T.) 51–66 (Plenum, 1999).
- Ametamey, S. M., Honer, M. & Schubiger, P. A. Molecular imaging with PET. *Chem. Rev.* **108**, 1501–1516 (2008).
- O'Hagan, D. Understanding organofluorine chemistry. An introduction to the C—F bond. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **37**, 308–319 (2008).
- Curran, D. P. Strategy-level separations in organic synthesis: from planning to practice. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **37**, 1174–1196 (1998).
- Patterson, J. C. II & Mosley, M. L. How available is positron emission tomography in the United States? *Mol. Imaging Biol.* **7**, 197–200 (2005).
- Kirk, K. L. Fluorination in medicinal chemistry: methods, strategies, and recent developments. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **12**, 305–321 (2008).
- Furuya, T., Kuttruff, C. A. & Ritter, T. Carbon–fluorine bond formation. *Curr. Opin. Drug Discov. Dev.* **11**, 803–819 (2008).
- Grushin, V. V. The organometallic fluorine chemistry of palladium and rhodium: studies toward aromatic fluorination. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **43**, 160–171 (2010).
- Furuya, T., Klein, J. E. M. N. & Ritter, T. C—F bond formation for the synthesis of aryl fluorides. *Synthesis* 1804–1821 (2010).
- Kirsch, P. *Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications* (Wiley, 2004).
- Gribble, G. W. in *Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products* Vol. 68 (eds Herz, W., Kirby, G. W., Moore, R. E., Steglich, W. & Tamm, C.) 1–498 (Springer, 1996).
- Gribble, G. W. in *Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products* Vol. 91 (eds Kinghorn, A. D., Falk, H. & Kobayashi, J.) 1–613 (Springer, 2009).
- O'Hagan, D., Schafrath, C., Cobb, S. L., Hamilton, J. T. G. & Murphy, C. D. Biochemistry: Biosynthesis of an organofluorine molecule. *Nature* **416**, 279 (2002).
- Dong, C. *et al.* Crystal structure and mechanism of a bacterial fluorinating enzyme. *Nature* **427**, 561–565 (2004).
- Shannon, R. D. Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and chalcogenides. *Acta Crystallogr. A* **32**, 751–767 (1976).
- Emsley, J. Very strong hydrogen bonds. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **9**, 91–124 (1980).
- Adams, D. J. & Clark, J. H. Nucleophilic routes to selectively fluorinated aromatics. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **28**, 225–231 (1999).
- Luo, Y.-R. *Handbook of Bond Dissociation Energies in Organic Compounds* (CRC Press, 2002).
- Subramanian, M. A. & Manzer, L. E. A “greener” synthetic route for fluoroaromatics via copper(II) fluoride. *Science* **297**, 1665 (2002).
- Cope, A. C. & Siekman, R. W. Formation of covalent bonds from platinum or palladium to carbon by direct substitution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **87**, 3272–3273 (1965).
- Hull, K. L., Anani, W. Q. & Sanford, M. S. Palladium-catalyzed fluorination of carbon–hydrogen bonds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 7134–7135 (2006).
Describes the first Pd-catalysed C—F bond formation.
- Wang, X., Mei, T.-S. & Yu, J.-Q. Versatile Pd(OTf)₂·2H₂O-catalyzed *ortho*-fluorination using NMP as a promoter. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 7520–7521 (2009).
- Yamada, S., Gavryushin, A. & Knochel, P. Convenient electrophilic fluorination of functionalized aryl and heteroaryl magnesium reagents. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **49**, 2215–2218 (2010).
- Anbarasan, P., Neumann, H. & Beller, M. Efficient synthesis of aryl fluorides. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **49**, 2219–2222 (2010).
- Powers, D. C. & Ritter, T. Bimetallic Pd(III) complexes in palladium-catalysed carbon–heteroatom bond formation. *Nature Chem.* **1**, 302–309 (2009).
- Kaspi, A. W., Yahav-Levi, A., Goldberg, I. & Vigalok, A. Xenon difluoride induced aryl iodide reductive elimination: a simple access to difluoropalladium(II) complexes. *Inorg. Chem.* **47**, 5–7 (2008).
- Ball, N. D. & Sanford, M. S. Synthesis and reactivity of a mono- σ -aryl palladium(IV) fluoride complex. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 3796–3797 (2009).
- Furuya, T. & Ritter, T. Carbon–fluorine reductive elimination from a high-valent palladium fluoride. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 10060–10061 (2008).
Describes the first confirmed Ar—F reductive elimination from a transition metal complex.
- Furuya, T. *et al.* Mechanism of C—F reductive elimination from palladium(IV) fluorides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 3793–3807 (2010).
- Fraser, S. L., Antipin Yu, M., Khroustalyov, V. N. & Grushin, V. V. Molecular fluoro palladium complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **119**, 4769–4770 (1997).
- Pilon, M. C. & Grushin, V. V. Synthesis and characterization of organopalladium complexes containing a fluoro ligand. *Organometallics* **17**, 1774–1781 (1998).
- Grushin, V. V. Palladium fluoride complexes: one more step toward metal-mediated C—F bond formation. *Chem. Eur. J.* **8**, 1006–1014 (2002).
- Yandulov, D. V. & Tran, N. T. Aryl-fluoride reductive elimination from Pd(II): feasibility assessment from theory and experiment. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 1342–1358 (2007).
- Grushin, V. V. & Marshall, W. J. Ar—F reductive elimination from palladium(II) revisited. *Organometallics* **26**, 4997–5002 (2007).
- Watson, D. A. *et al.* Formation of ArF from LPdAr(F): catalytic conversion of aryl triflates to aryl fluorides. *Science* **325**, 1661–1664 (2009).
Reports the first functional-group-tolerant Pd-catalysed Ar—F bond formation using aryl triflates and fluoride.
- Fors, B. P., Watson, D. A., Biscoe, M. R. & Buchwald, S. L. A highly active catalyst for Pd-catalyzed amination reactions: cross-coupling reactions using aryl mesylates and the highly selective monoarylation of primary amines using aryl chlorides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 13552–13554 (2008).
- Furuya, T., Kaiser, H. M. & Ritter, T. Palladium-mediated fluorination of arylboronic acids. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **47**, 5993–5996 (2008).
- Furuya, T. & Ritter, T. Fluorination of boronic acids mediated by silver(I) triflate. *Org. Lett.* **11**, 2860–2863 (2009).
- Furuya, T., Strom, A. E. & Ritter, T. Silver-mediated fluorination of functionalized aryl stannanes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 1662–1663 (2009).
- Tang, P., Furuya, T. & Ritter, T. Silver-catalyzed late-stage fluorination. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 12150–12154 (2010).
Reports the first functional-group-tolerant Ag-catalysed Ar—F bond formation using aryl stannanes and an electrophilic fluorinating reagent.

44. Azizian, H., Eaborn, C. & Pidcock, A. Synthesis of organotrialkylstannanes. The reaction between organic halides and hexaalkyldistannanes in the presence of palladium complexes. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **215**, 49–58 (1981).
 45. Powers, D. C., Benitez, D., Tkatchouk, E., Goddard, W. A. III & Ritter, T. Bimetallic reductive elimination from dinuclear Pd(III) complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 14092–14103 (2010).
 46. Ma, J.-A. & Cahard, D. Strategies for nucleophilic, electrophilic, and radical trifluoromethylations. *J. Fluor. Chem.* **128**, 975–996 (2007).
 47. Shimizu, M. & Hiyama, T. Modern synthetic methods for fluorine-substituted target molecules. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **44**, 214–231 (2005).
 48. Bott, G., Field, L. D. & Sternhell, S. Steric effects. A study of a rationally designed system. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **102**, 5618–5626 (1980).
 49. Jensen, M. B. *et al.* Reactivity and structure of CF₃I on Ru(001). *J. Phys. Chem.* **99**, 8736–8744 (1995).
 50. Liu, Z.-M., Zhou, X.-L., Kiss, J. & White, J. M. Interaction of CF₃I with Pt(111). *Surf. Sci.* **286**, 233–245 (1993).
 51. Yagupolskii, L. M. in *Houben-Weyl: Methods of Organic Chemistry* Vol. E10a, *Organofluorine Compounds* (eds Baasner, B., Hagemann, H. & Tatlow, J.-C.) 509–534 (Thieme, 2000).
 52. Clark, H. C. & Tsai, J. H. Bonding in fluorinated organometallic compounds. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **7**, 515–517 (1967).
 53. Grushin, V. V. & Marshall, W. J. Facile Ar–CF₃ bond formation at Pd. Strikingly different outcomes of reductive elimination from [(Ph₃P)₂Pd(CF₃)Ph] and [(Xantphos)Pd(CF₃)Ph]. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 12644–12645 (2006).
 54. Culkin, D. A. & Hartwig, J. F. Carbon–carbon bond-forming reductive elimination from arylpalladium complexes containing functionalized alkyl groups. Influence of ligand steric and electronic properties on structure, stability, and reactivity. *Organometallics* **23**, 3398–3416 (2004).
 55. Grushin, V. V. & Marshall, W. J. Unexpected H₂O-induced Ar–X activation with trifluoromethylpalladium(II) aryls. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 4632–4641 (2006).
 56. Ball, N. D., Kampf, J. W. & Sanford, M. S. Aryl–CF₃ bond-forming reductive elimination from palladium(IV). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 2878–2879 (2010).
 57. Ye, Y., Ball, N. D., Kampf, J. W. & Sanford, M. S. Oxidation of a cyclometalated Pd(II) dimer with “CF₃”⁺: formation and reactivity of a catalytically competent monomeric Pd(IV) aquo complex. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 14682–14687 (2010).
 58. McLoughlin, V. C. R. & Thrower, J. A route to fluoroalkyl-substituted aromatic compounds involving fluoroalkylcopper intermediates. *Tetrahedron* **25**, 5921–5940 (1969).
 59. Kobayashi, Y. & Kumadaki, I. Trifluoromethylation of aromatic compounds. *Tetrahedr. Lett.* **10**, 4095–4096 (1969).
 60. Carr, G. E., Chambers, R. D., Holmes, T. F. & Parker, D. G. Sodium perfluoroalkane carboxylates as sources of perfluoroalkyl groups. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I* 921–926 (1988).
 61. Oishi, M., Kondo, H. & Amii, H. Aromatic trifluoromethylation catalytic in copper. *Chem. Commun.* 1909–1911 (2009).
 62. Wiemers, D. A. & Burton, D. J. Pregeneration, spectroscopic detection, and chemical reactivity of (trifluoromethyl)copper, an elusive and complex species. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **108**, 832–834 (1986).
 63. Dubinina, G. G., Furutachi, H. & Vicić, D. A. Active trifluoromethylating agents from well-defined copper(I)–CF₃ complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 8600–8601 (2008).
 64. Dubinina, G. G., Ogikubo, J. & Vicić, D. A. Structure of bis(trifluoromethyl)cuprate and its role in trifluoromethylation reactions. *Organometallics* **27**, 6233–6235 (2008).
 65. Monnier, F. & Taillefer, M. Catalytic C–C, C–N, and C–O Ullmann-type coupling reactions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **48**, 6954–6971 (2009).
 66. Altman, R. A., Hyde, A. M., Huang, X. & Buchwald, S. L. Orthogonal Pd- and Cu-based catalyst systems for C- and N-arylation of oxindoles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 9613–9620 (2008).
 67. Tye, J. W., Weng, Z., Johns, A. M., Incarvito, C. D. & Hartwig, J. F. Copper complexes of anionic nitrogen ligands in the amidation and imidation of aryl halides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 9971–9983 (2008).
 68. Huffman, L. M. & Stahl, S. S. Carbon–nitrogen bond formation involving well-defined aryl–copper(III) complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 9196–9197 (2008).
 69. Knauber, T., Arian, F., Röschenhaler, G.-V. & Gooßen, L. J. Copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl iodides with potassium (trifluoromethyl) trimethoxyborate. *Chem. Eur. J.* **17**, 2689–2697 (2011).
 70. Chu, L. & Qing, F.-L. Copper-mediated oxidative trifluoromethylation of boronic acids. *Org. Lett.* **12**, 5060–5063 (2010).
 71. Zhang, C.-P. *et al.* Copper-mediated trifluoromethylation of heteroaromatic compounds by trifluoromethyl sulfonium salts. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **50**, 1896–1900 (2011).
 72. Senecal, T. D., Parsons, A. T. & Buchwald, S. L. Room temperature aryl trifluoromethylation via copper-mediated oxidative cross-coupling. *J. Org. Chem.* **76**, 1174–1176 (2011).
 73. Morimoto, H., Tsubogo, T., Litvinas, N. D. & Hartwig, J. F. A broadly applicable copper reagent for trifluoromethylations and perfluoroalkylations of aryl iodides and bromides. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **50**, 3793–3798 (2011); published online 25 March 2011.
 74. Cho, E. J. *et al.* The palladium-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl chlorides. *Science* **328**, 1679–1681 (2010).
 75. Wang, X., Truesdale, L. & Yu, J.-Q. Pd(II)-catalyzed *ortho*-trifluoromethylation of arenes using TFA as a promoter. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 3648–3649 (2010).
 76. Ma, J.-A. & Cahard, D. Asymmetric fluorination, trifluoromethylation, and perfluoroalkylation reactions. *Chem. Rev.* **108**, PR1–PR43 (2008).
 77. Lectard, S., Hamashima, Y. & Sodeoka, M. Recent advances in catalytic enantioselective fluorination reactions. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **352**, 2708–2732 (2010).
 78. Shibata, N., Mizuta, S. & Kawai, H. Recent advances in enantioselective trifluoromethylation reactions. *Tetrahedr. Asymm.* **19**, 2633–2644 (2008).
 79. Young, S. Note on the formation of an alcoholic fluoride. *J. Chem. Soc.* **39**, 489–497 (1881).
 80. Umemoto, T. & Adachi, K. New method for trifluoromethylation of enolate anions and applications to regio-, diastereo- and enantioselective trifluoromethylation. *J. Org. Chem.* **59**, 5692–5699 (1994).
 81. Kawai, H., Kusuda, A., Nakamura, S., Shiro, M. & Shibata, N. Catalytic enantioselective trifluoromethylation of azomethine imines with trimethyl(trifluoromethyl)silane. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **48**, 6324–6327 (2009).
 82. Hintermann, L. & Togni, A. Catalytic enantioselective fluorination of β -ketoesters. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **39**, 4359–4362 (2000).
 83. Hamashima, Y., Yagi, K., Takano, H., Tamás, L. & Sodeoka, M. An efficient enantioselective fluorination of various α -ketoesters catalyzed by chiral palladium complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **124**, 14530–14531 (2002).
 84. Enders, D. & Hüttl, M. R. M. Direct organocatalytic α -fluorination of aldehydes and ketones. *Synlett* 991–993 (2005).
 85. Marigo, M., Fielenbach, D., Braunton, A., Kjærsgaard, A. & Jørgensen, K. A. Enantioselective formation of stereogenic carbon–fluorine centers by a simple catalytic method. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **44**, 3703–3706 (2005).
 86. Steiner, D. D., Mase, N. & Barbas, C. F. III. Direct asymmetric α -fluorination of aldehydes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **44**, 3706–3710 (2005).
 87. Beeson, T. D. & MacMillan, D. W. C. Enantioselective organocatalytic α -fluorination of aldehydes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 8826–8828 (2005).
 88. Ishimaru, T. *et al.* Cinchona alkaloid catalyzed enantioselective fluorination of allyl silanes, silyl enol ethers, and oxindoles. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **47**, 4157–4161 (2008).
 89. Kwiatkowski, P., Beeson, T. D., Conrad, J. C. & MacMillan, D. W. C. Enantioselective organocatalytic α -fluorination of cyclic ketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 1738–1741 (2011).
 90. Hollingworth, C. *et al.* Palladium-catalyzed allylic fluorination. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **50**, 2613–2617 (2011).
 91. Katcher, M. H. & Doyle, A. G. Palladium-catalyzed asymmetric synthesis of allylic fluorides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 17402–17404 (2010).
 92. Eisenberger, P., Gischig, S. & Togni, A. Novel 10-I-3 hypervalent iodine-based compounds for electrophilic trifluoromethylation. *Chem. Eur. J.* **12**, 2579–2586 (2006).
 93. Allen, A. E. & MacMillan, D. W. C. The productive merger of iodonium salts and organocatalysis: a non-photolytic approach to the enantioselective α -trifluoromethylation of aldehydes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 4986–4987 (2010).
 94. Beeson, T. D., Mastracchio, A., Hong, J., Ashton, K. & MacMillan, D. W. C. Enantioselective organocatalysis using SOMO activation. *Science* **316**, 582–585 (2007).
 95. Nagib, D. A., Scott, M. E. & MacMillan, D. W. C. Enantioselective α -trifluoromethylation of aldehydes via photoredox organocatalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 10875–10877 (2009).
- Reports the first example of enantioselective, photoredox/organocatalytic α -trifluoromethylation of aldehydes.**
96. Wu, X.-F., Anbarasan, P., Neumann, H. & Beller, M. From noble metal to Nobel Prize: palladium-catalyzed coupling reactions as key methods in organic synthesis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **49**, 9047–9050 (2010).
 97. de Meijere, A. & Diederich, F. (eds) *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions* (Wiley, 2004).
 98. Hartwig, J. F. Carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reductive elimination of amines, ethers, and sulfides. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **31**, 852–860 (1998).
 99. Hartwig, J. F. Carbon–heteroatom bond formation catalysed by organometallic complexes. *Nature* **455**, 314–322 (2008).
 100. Muci, A. R. & Buchwald, S. L. in *Topics in Current Chemistry* Vol. 219 (ed. Miyaura, N.) 131–209 (Springer, 2001).

Acknowledgements We thank the NSF (CHE-0952753) and the NIH-NIGMS (GM088237) for financial support.

Author Contributions T.R. developed the framework for the Review; all authors contributed sections.

Author Information Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are welcome to comment on the online version of this article at www.nature.com/nature. Correspondence should be addressed to T.R. (ritter@chemistry.harvard.edu).