

# 1 Multivariable Calc

Okay, so multivariable calc has always been a weak point for me. That means I'm going to have to write some nice notes for this bit.

## 1.1 Divergence

First of all, what is divergence? The first description is for vector fields in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Given  $\mathbf{F}$  a continuously differentiable vector field in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we have a function

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}(p)$  measures how much "stuff" is coming out of the point  $p$ . Specifically, you can get it via flow.

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}(p) = \lim_{V \rightarrow \{p\}} \int \int_{S(V)} \frac{\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n}}{|V|} dS.$$

Okay. So here  $V$  is a volume containing  $p$  that shrinks to exactly  $p$ . So a source will have positive divergence, and a sink will have negative.

The much more common version: write  $\mathbf{F} = U\mathbf{i} + V\mathbf{j} + W\mathbf{k}$ .

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial z}.$$

But of course, there's the similar thing for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## 1.2 Laplacian from Divergence

This is actually kind of neat. So if  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function, then  $\nabla f$  is a vector field. We then define the laplacian as  $\operatorname{div} \nabla f$ . So we get that

$$\Delta f = \nabla \cdot \nabla f.$$

Here's my heuristic.  $f(p)$  keeps track of amount of stuff at point  $p$ .  $\nabla f$  tells us if we get more stuff or less stuff by moving in some direction starting from  $p$ . I still don't get what  $\Delta f$  really means, but I've been looking at it for a while and I think I have to move on. I do at least have some things to say about it. Think of back in harmonic functions, where we were so interested in  $\Delta u = 0$ . This gives the function the mean value property, which we always thought was so cool. Now think about the discretization of the 2D laplacian, with the 4 on the diagonal and the -1's on the banded parts.

$$\text{DiscreteLaplacian} \cdot \text{vector} = 0$$

means that my vector has this discrete average property.

# 2 Total Variation Norm

Here's the situation. We're working in graph land.  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\mathbb{R}^{\text{num vertices}}$ .  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $\mathbb{R}^{\text{num edges}}$ . We're defining the norm we're going to minimize over. They call it the TV norm but it looks like  $L^1$ ? I should check again to see if I understand.

$$TV_w(u) = \max \{ \langle \operatorname{div}_w \phi, u \rangle : \phi \in \mathcal{E}, \|\phi\|_\infty \leq 1 \} \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) |u(x) - u(y)|. \quad (2)$$

### 3 Ratio Cut

Recall Ratio cut is

$$\min_{S \subseteq V} \text{cut}(S, S^c)^2 \left( \frac{1}{|S|} + \frac{1}{|S^c|} \right).$$

Note that if  $\chi_S$  is an indicator function for  $S$ , then we have

$$TV_w(\chi_S) = \text{cut}(S, S^c).$$

So then the square root of the ratio cut is the same as

$$\min_{u: u(x) \in \{0,1\}} \frac{TV_w(u)}{\|u - \text{mean}(u)\|_{L^2}}.$$

Theorem from the paper: Even when we allow  $u$  to be arbitrary real-valued, the exact answer to the problem is still a binary partition. This is pretty cool. It means that we can relax to  $u : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  without changing final results.

From here, we claim that the ginsburg landau thing approximates  $TV$ , and we go on to minimize

$$\min_u \frac{GL_\epsilon(u)}{\|u - \text{mean}(u)\|_{L^2}}.$$

Use gradient descent on this thing to get the answer.