## MBO Details

## Nystrom 1

[1-3] Let X be the set of graph nodes, and W the weight matrix. Let  $A \subseteq X$  such that  $|A| \ll |X|$ , and let  $B = X \setminus A$ . Then up to a rearrangement of nodes, we can write

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} W_{AA} & W_{AB} \\ W_{BA} & W_{BB} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where the matrix  $W_{AB} = W_{BA}^T$  consists of weights between nodes in A and nodes in B,  $W_{AA}$ consists of weights between pairs of nodes in A, and  $W_{BB}$  consists of weights between pairs of nodes in B. Nyström's extension approximates W as

$$W \approx \begin{pmatrix} W_{AA} \\ W_{BA} \end{pmatrix} W_{AA}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} W_{AA} & W_{AB} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

In particular, this approximates

$$W_{BB} \approx W_{BA} W_{AA}^{-1} W_{AB}.$$

A few words on the error of approximation. Suppose W is symmetric positive semidefinite (as it is in our example), then we can write  $W = V^T V$  for some matrix V. It turns out that the Nystrom extension approximates the unknown part of V (corresponding to  $W_{BB}$ by projecting it orthogonally onto the known part (corresponding to  $W_{AB}$ ). This explained much more in [1].

Here we use the normalized graph Laplacian

$$L = I - D^{-1/2}WD^{-1/2},$$

where D is the degree matrix. So to solve the eigenproblem on L, we solve it on the normalized version of W, which we calculate as follows.

$$d_X = \begin{bmatrix} W_{AA} & W_{AB} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (3)

$$d_X = \begin{bmatrix} W_{AA} & W_{AB} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$d_Y = \begin{bmatrix} W_{BA} & W_{BA} W_{AA}^{-1} W_{AB} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(4)$$

Then define the normalized weights

$$\hat{W}_{AA} = W_{AA} / \left(\sqrt{d_X}\sqrt{d_X}^T\right),\tag{5}$$

$$\hat{W}_{AB} = W_{AB} / \left(\sqrt{d_X}\sqrt{d_Y}^T\right),\tag{6}$$

where ./ signifies componentwise division.

This is when I usually leave off. It turns out this is enough to calculate the eigenvectors of the approximate  $\hat{W}$ . Very exciting. In particular, we compute and store matrices of size at most  $|A| \times |X|$  the entire time.

## 2 MBO algorithm

[2,4,5] Notation: let N = |X|, m = number of classes. We'll keep track of our classification via an  $N \times m$  assignment matrix u. Entry (i,j) of u stores the probability that element  $x_i \in X$  belongs in class j. The final output matrix will contain exactly one 1 in each row (the rest are zero), but in the intermediate steps it can be anything that sums to one. Also, we like to label the i-th row of u as  $u_i$  for notational convenience.

Here we are minimizing the energy

$$E(u) = \epsilon \langle u, L_s u \rangle + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{i} W(u_i) + \sum_{i} \frac{\mu}{2} \lambda(x_i) \|u_i - \hat{u}_i\|_{L_2}^2.$$
 (7)

The first term gives the graph-cut energy. The second term is the multiwell potential

$$W(u_i) = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{4} \|u_i - e_k\|_{L_1}^2.$$
 (8)

I actually don't know why they use this exact potential. The main idea is clear though. The term encourages each  $u_i$  to be close to one of the simplex vertices  $e_k$ , i.e. close to completely classified. The last term is the fidelity.  $\mu$  is an input parameter (generally as big as you can while maintaining stability),  $\lambda$  is 1 or 0 depending on if that  $x_i$  is supervised or not.

If we were to minimize by gradient descent, our update would be given by

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\epsilon L_s u - \frac{1}{\epsilon} W'(u) - \mu \lambda(x)(u - \hat{u}) \tag{9}$$

Instead we propose to minimize this via an MBO algorithm. Specifically, diffuse then threshold until we reach some stopping point. The diffuse step is given by

$$\frac{u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - u^n}{dt} = -L_s u^n - \mu \lambda(x)(u^n - \hat{u}). \tag{10}$$

Then for thresholding you just let  $u_i = e_r$  where r is the index of the biggest value in  $u_i$ . I.e. you threshold one number of  $u_i$  up to 1 and the rest to 0.

The important thing here is that we can use the eigenvectors of  $L_s$  (calculated earlier) to quickly do the diffuse step. Just change coords, so that the  $L_s u^n$  step instead because multiplication by a diagonal matrix.

## References

- [1] Charless Fowlkes, Serge Belongie, Fan Chung, and Jitendra Malik. Spectral grouping using the nystrom method. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 26(2), February 2004. 1, 1
- [2] Ekaterina Merkurjev, Tijana Kostic, and Andrea L Bertozzi. An mbo scheme on graphs for classification and image processing. SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences, 6:1903–1930, October 2013. 1, 2
- [3] J. T. Woodworth, G. O. Mohler, A. L. Bertozzi, and P. J. Brantingham. Non-local crime density estimation incorporating housing information. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 372(2028), 2014. 1
- [4] Zhaoyi Meng, Ekaterina Merkurjev, Alice Koniges, and Andrea L Bertozzi. Hyperspectral video analysis using graph clustering methods. Note: This is a preprint, 2017. 2
- [5] Huiyi Hu, Justin Sunu, and Andrea L. Bertozzi. Multi-class Graph Mumford-Shah Model for Plume Detection Using the MBO scheme, pages 209–222. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015. 2