In [1]: import torch import numpy as np import os, json import pandas as pd import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns import importlib from evaluation based sampling import evaluate, evaluate program from daphne import daphne from graph based sampling import sample from joint Problem 5 I approach this problem with approximate Baysean computation. Relaxing the dirac into a normal. This is inspired by the definition of the dirac as a normal pdf in the limit of the variance going to zero. • Khuri, A. I. (2004). Applications of Dirac's delta function in statistics. International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 35(2), 185-195. http://doi.org/10.1080/00207390310001638313 I set the variance of the normal to 0.1^2 more detailed study could be done showing the behaviour as a function of the variance. At $\sigma^2 <= 0.03^2$ I encoutered errors in HMC, likely caused by things going to infinity (log_prob scoring was out of distribution, and it is normal...?) Comments on ABC for this problem There are really only one degree of freedom for this problem, since when one value is fixed, the other must be seven minus it, to be compatible with the observe statement (observe (dirac (+ x y)) 7). So there is only one variance, and no covariance. In the ABC approximation however, we only strictly enforce that x + y = 7 and thus we get a covariance of x and y. Any x + y = 7 is compatible with the observe, and this "manifold" is the line. The ABC makes this line have non-zero measure. Another way to do this problem, would be to incorporate the observe into the program, and replace y with 7-x somehow to enforce the constraint. Comparison of IS, MH Gibbs & HMC • IS. has high variance, but gets reasonable means of near 3.5 • Gibbs is still and so can't update very well. It is exploring along a very narrow ridge, instead of along the line. x and y should be updated together as a block. The problem is very stiff (the dicar makes it initifely stiff). • HMC has variance near IS. We can see from the joint that it is converging with iterations gradually. **Error trace** for normal that is too narrow (intentionally put below) ValueError Traceback (most recent call last) /var/folders/bg/cb0cr7ls61352lhy50167r0c0000gn/T/ipykernel_58709/4110678344.py in <module> 1 num_samples=28000//10 ----> 2 return_list, samples_whole_graph = hmc_wrapper(graph,num_samples,T=40,epsilon=0.1) ~/repos/prob_prog/hw/hw3/hmc.py in hmc_wrapper(graph, num_samples, T, epsilon, M) # include kinetic and potential energy functions 33 34 # MC acceptance criteria **->** 35 samples_whole_graph = hmc_algo20(X0,num_samples,T,epsilon,M,Y,P,X_vertex_names_to_idx_d) # evaluate samples (on whatever function, here the return of the program) as needed 37 ~/repos/prob_prog/hw/hw3/hmc.py in hmc_algo20(X0, num_samples, T, epsilon, M, Y, P, X vertex names to idx d) for s in range(num samples): 56 57 R_s = normal_R_reuse.sample() **--->** 58 $R_p, X_p =$ leapfrog(copy.deepcopy(X_s),copy.deepcopy(R_s),T,epsilon,Y,P,X_vertex_names_to_idx_d) 59 $\# X_p$, $R_p = leapfrog(X_s, R_s, T, epsilon, X_vertex_names_to_idx_d)$ 60 ~/repos/prob_prog/hw/hw3/hmc.py in leapfrog(X0, R0, T, epsilon, Y, P, X_vertex_names_to_idx_d) 83 # TODO: save all in loop instead of overwriting to visualize 84 X_t = add_dict_to_tensor(X_t,epsilon*R_t,X_vertex_names_to_idx_d) **-->** 85 $R_t = R_t - epsilon*grad_U(X_t,Y,P,X_vertex_names_to_idx_d)$ 86 X_T = add_dict_to_tensor(X_t,epsilon*R_t,X_vertex_names_to_idx_d) $R_T = R_t - epsilon_2*grad_U(X_T,Y,P,X_vertex_names_to_idx_d)$ 87 ~/repos/prob_prog/hw/hw3/hmc.py in grad_U(X, Y, P, X_vertex_names_to_idx_d) return vector of gradients 113 --> 114 energy_U = compute_U(X,Y,P) 115 116 # Zero the gradients. ~/repos/prob_prog/hw/hw3/hmc.py in compute_U(X, Y, P) 177 178 def compute_U(X,Y,P): energy_U = -compute_log_joint_prob(X,Y,P) --> 179 180 return energy_U 181 ~/repos/prob_prog/hw/hw3/hmc.py in compute_log_joint_prob(X, Y, P) 167 $e = P[X_vertex][1]$ 168 distribution = evaluate(e,local_env=X)[0] --> 169 log_prob += score(distribution,X[X_vertex]) for Y_vertex in Y.keys(): 170 171 $e = P[Y_vertex][1]$ ~/repos/prob_prog/hw/hw3/evaluation_based_sampling.py in score(distribution, c) 55 log_w = distribution.log_prob(c.double()) 56 ---> 57 log_w = distribution.log_prob(c) 58 return log_w 59 ~/miniconda2/envs/prob_prog/lib/python3.9/site-packages/torch/distributions/normal.py in log_prob(self, value) 71 def log_prob(self, value): if self._validate_args: 72 **--->** 73 self._validate_sample(value) 74 # compute the variance var = (self.scale ** 2) ~/miniconda2/envs/prob_prog/lib/python3.9/site-packages/torch/distributions/distribution.py in _validate_sample(self, value) 275 assert support is not None 276 if not support.check(value).all(): **-->** 277 raise ValueError('The value argument must be within the support') 278 279 def _get_checked_instance(self, cls, _instance=None): ValueError: The value argument must be within the support In [2]: from load helper import ast helper, graph helper Importance sampling 30k in 10s implies 1.698 million In [3]: import parse import importance sampling import importlib importlib.reload(parse) <module 'parse' from '/Users/gw/repos/prob prog/hw/hw3/parse.py'> Out[3]: In [4]: fname = '5 abc.daphne' ast = ast helper(fname) [['let', Out[4]: ['x', ['sample', ['normal', 0, 10]]], ['let', ['y', ['sample', ['normal', 0, 10]]], ['let', ['dontcare0', ['observe', ['normal', ['+', 'x', 'y'], 0.09], 7]], ['vector', 'x', 'y']]]] In [5]: %%time num samples=int(1.698e6) samples, sigmas = parse.take samples(num samples,ast=ast) samples = np.array([sample.tolist() for sample in samples]) CPU times: user 9min 37s, sys: 2.48 s, total: 9min 40s Wall time: 9min 40s In [6]: posterior_mean, probs = importance_sampling.weighted_average(samples, sigmas, reshape_probs=(-1,1), axis=0) posterior mean array([3.53386895, 3.46580443]) Out[6]: In [7]: counts_bins = np.histogram(samples[:,0], weights=probs[:,0], bins=500) counts, bins = counts_bins[0], counts_bins[1] idx = (counts > counts.max()*0.005)plt.bar(bins[1:][idx],counts[idx]) plt.title('Problem {} \n Importance sampling \n importance sampling weighted counts from proposal'.format(fname plt.ylabel('Counts') plt.xlabel('slope') Text(0.5, 0, 'slope') Out[7]: Problem 5_abc.daphne Importance sampling importance sampling weighted counts from proposal 0.014 0.012 0.010 0.008 0.006 0.004 0.002 0.000 -100 10 20 slope In [8]: counts_bins = np.histogram(samples[:,1], weights=probs[:,0], bins=500) counts, bins = counts bins[0], counts bins[1] idx = (counts > counts.max()*0.005)plt.bar(bins[1:][idx],counts[idx]) plt.title('Problem {} \n Importance sampling \n importance sampling weighted counts from proposal'.format(fname plt.ylabel('Counts') plt.xlabel('bias') Text(0.5, 0, 'bias') Out[8]: Problem 5_abc.daphne Importance sampling importance sampling weighted counts from proposal 0.012 0.010 0.008 0.006 0.004 0.002 0.000 0 bias In [9]: expectation_samples_2, probs = importance_sampling.weighted_average(samples**2, sigmas, reshape_probs=(-1,1), axis posterior_variance = expectation_samples_2 - posterior_mean**2 posterior_variance array([49.98343282, 49.98004001]) Out[9]: In [10]: expectation samplex sampley, probs = importance sampling.weighted average(samples[:,0]*samples[:,1],sigmas) covariance = expectation samplex sampley - posterior mean[0]*posterior mean[1] covariance -49.9777814630405 Out[10]: In [11]: for result in ["{} Importance sampling: posterior mean slope {:1.3f} | variance slope {:1.3e}".format(fname,posterior_mean "{} Importance sampling: posterior mean bias {:1.3f} | variance bias {:1.3e}".format(fname,posterior mean[] "{} Importance sampling: posterior covariance of slope and bias variance bias {:1.3e}".format(fname,covariance)]: print(result) 5 abc.daphne Importance sampling: posterior mean slope 3.534 | variance slope 4.998e+01 5 abc.daphne Importance sampling: posterior mean bias 3.466 | variance bias 4.998e+01 5 abc.daphne Importance sampling: posterior covariance of slope and bias variance bias -4.998e+01 Numpy contains it's own method for computing this, and we can check it agrees with our results (where things are spelt out a bit more for learning purposes). In [12]: np.cov(samples.T,aweights=probs,ddof=0) array([[49.98343282, -49.97778146], Out[12]: [-49.97778146, 49.98004001]]) MH Gibbs 14k in 22.8s implies 368k in 10 min In [13]: import mh gibbs from hmc import hmc wrapper, compute log joint prob importlib.reload(mh gibbs) <module 'mh gibbs' from '/Users/gw/repos/prob prog/hw/hw3/mh gibbs.py'> Out[13]: In [14]: fname = '5 abc.daphne' graph = graph helper(fname) graph Out[14]: [{}, {'V': ['observe3', 'sample2', 'sample1'], 'A': {'sample2': ['observe3'], 'sample1': ['observe3']}, 'P': {'sample1': ['sample*', ['normal', 0, 10]], 'sample2': ['sample*', ['normal', 0, 10]], 'observe3': ['observe*', ['normal', ['+', 'sample1', 'sample2'], 0.09], 7]}, 'Y': {'observe3': 7}}, ['vector', 'sample1', 'sample2']] In [15]: %%time num steps=368000 return list, samples whole graph = mh gibbs.mh gibbs wrapper(graph, num steps) samples = np.array([sample.tolist() for sample in return list]) CPU times: user 9min 48s, sys: 1.51 s, total: 9min 49s Wall time: 9min 50s In [16]: samples = np.array([sample.tolist() for sample in return list]) In [17]: burn in = int(0.01*num steps) df = pd.DataFrame(samples[burn in:]) df.columns = ['x', 'y']df['iteration'] = df.index tall = pd.melt(df, id vars='iteration') g = sns.FacetGrid(tall, col="variable") g.map(sns.histplot, "value") plt.suptitle('MH Gibbs | {}'.format(fname)) plt.subplots adjust(top=0.8) MH Gibbs | 5_abc.daphne variable = xvariable = y 10000 7500 5000 2500 value In [18]: posterior mean = samples[burn in:].mean(0) cov matrix = np.cov(samples[burn in:].T,ddof=0) posterior variance = samples[burn in:].var(0) covariance = cov_matrix[0,1] assert np.isclose(cov_matrix[0,0],posterior_variance[0]) assert np.isclose(cov_matrix[1,1],posterior_variance[1]) In [19]: for result in ["{} MH Gibbs: posterior mean slope {:1.3f} | variance slope {:1.3e}".format(fname,posterior mean[0],posteri "{} MH Gibbs: posterior mean bias {:1.3f} | variance bias {:1.3e}".format(fname,posterior mean[1],posterior "{} MH Gibbs: posterior covariance of slope and bias variance bias {:1.3e}".format(fname,covariance),]: print(result) 5 abc.daphne MH Gibbs: posterior mean slope -2.343 | variance slope 3.465e+00 5 abc.daphne MH Gibbs: posterior mean bias 9.342 | variance bias 3.465e+00 5 abc.daphne MH Gibbs: posterior covariance of slope and bias variance bias -3.461e+00 In [20]: g = sns.FacetGrid(tall, col="variable") g.map(plt.plot, "iteration", "value") plt.suptitle('MH Gibbs | {}'.format(fname)) plt.subplots adjust(top=0.75) plt.suptitle('{} | MH Gibbs \n Sample trace'.format(fname)) Text(0.5, 0.98, '5 abc.daphne | MH Gibbs \n Sample trace') Out[20]: 5_abc.daphne | MH Gibbs Sample trace variable = xvariable = y 10 value 0 100000 200000 300000 100000 200000 300000 iteration iteration In [21]: G = graph[1]Y = G['Y']Y = {key:evaluate([value])[0] for key, value in Y.items()} P = G['P']In [22]: size = len(samples whole graph) jll = np.zeros(size)for idx in range(size): jll[idx] = compute log joint prob(samples whole graph[idx],Y,P) In [23]: pd.Series(jll).plot() plt.xlabel('Iteration (t)') plt.ylabel(r'\$-\log p(X=x t,Y=y t)\$') plt.title('{} | MH Gibbs \n Joint density'.format(fname)) Text(0.5, 1.0, '5_abc.daphne | MH Gibbs \n Joint density') Out [23]: 5_abc.daphne | MH Gibbs Joint density 0 -5000 $-\log p(X = x_t, Y = y_t)$ -10000 -15000-20000 50000 100000 150000 200000 250000 300000 350000 Iteration (t) **HMC** • 0.56k in 16.2s implies 20.7k in 10 min In [24]: fname = '5 abc.daphne' graph = graph helper(fname) graph Out[24]: 'sample2', 'sample1'], v: ['observes', 'A': {'sample2': ['observe3'], 'sample1': ['observe3']}, 'P': {'sample1': ['sample*', ['normal', 0, 10]], 'sample2': ['sample*', ['normal', 0, 10]], 'observe3': ['observe*', ['normal', ['+', 'sample1', 'sample2'], 0.09], 7]}, 'Y': {'observe3': 7}}, ['vector', 'sample1', 'sample2']] In [25]: import hmc importlib.reload(hmc) from hmc import hmc wrapper In [26]: num samples=20700 return_list, samples_whole_graph = hmc_wrapper(graph,num_samples,T=40,epsilon=0.1) CPU times: user 9min 40s, sys: 1.46 s, total: 9min 42s Wall time: 9min 43s In [27]: samples = np.array([sample.tolist() for sample in return list]) In [28]: burn_in = int(0.01*num_samples) df = pd.DataFrame(samples[burn in:]) df.columns = ['x', 'y']df['iteration'] = df.index tall = pd.melt(df, id_vars='iteration') g = sns.FacetGrid(tall, col="variable") g.map(sns.histplot, "value") plt.suptitle('HMC | {}'.format(fname)) plt.subplots_adjust(top=0.8) HMC | 5_abc.daphne variable = xvariable = y 800 600 400 200 -2020 -20 20 value value In [29]: posterior mean = samples[burn in:].mean(0) cov matrix = np.cov(samples[burn in:].T,ddof=0) posterior variance = samples[burn in:].var(0) covariance = cov matrix[0,1] assert np.isclose(cov matrix[0,0],posterior variance[0]) assert np.isclose(cov_matrix[1,1],posterior_variance[1]) In [30]: for result in ["{} HMC: posterior mean slope {:1.3f} | variance slope {:1.3e}".format(fname,posterior_mean[0],posterior_variance "{} HMC: posterior mean bias {:1.3f} | variance bias {:1.3e}".format(fname,posterior_mean[1],posterior_vari "{} HMC: posterior covariance of slope and bias variance bias $\{:1.3e\}$ ".format(fname,covariance), print(result) $5_abc.daphne$ HMC: posterior mean slope 3.279 | variance slope 4.787e+01 5 abc.daphne HMC: posterior mean bias 3.721 | variance bias 4.788e+01 $5_abc.daphne$ HMC: posterior covariance of slope and bias variance bias -4.739e+01In [31]: g = sns.FacetGrid(tall, col="variable") g.map(plt.plot, "iteration","value") plt.suptitle('HMC | {}'.format(fname)) plt.subplots adjust(top=0.75) plt.suptitle('{} | HMC \n Sample trace'.format(fname)) Text(0.5, 0.98, '5_abc.daphne | HMC \n Sample trace') Out[31]: 5_abc.daphne | HMC Sample trace variable = xvariable = y5000 10000 15000 20000 5000 10000 15000 20000 iteration iteration In [32]: G = graph[1]Y = G['Y']Y = {key:evaluate([value])[0] for key, value in Y.items()} P = G['P']In [33]: size = len(samples_whole_graph) jll = np.zeros(size) for idx in range(size): jll[idx] = compute log joint prob(samples whole graph[idx], Y, P) In [34]: pd.Series(jll).plot() plt.xlabel('Iteration (t)') plt.ylabel(r'\$-\log p($X=x_t, Y=y_t$)\$') plt.title('{} | HMC \n Joint density'.format(fname)) Text(0.5, 1.0, '5_abc.daphne | HMC \n Joint density') Out[34]: 5_abc.daphne | HMC Joint density 0 -5000 $-\log p(X = x_t, Y = y_t)$ -10000 -15000

-20000

5000

10000

Iteration (t)

15000

20000