

```
(define (reverse l)
  (if (null? l)
      null
      (append (reverse (rest l)) (cons (first l) null))))
```

1. (list? L) \rightarrow (list? (reverse L))

- a. Assume (list? L) = #t
- b. Base Case: L = '()
 - i. (reverse '()) = (append (reverse (rest '())) (cons (first '()) null)) = (append (reverse '()) (cons '() null)) = (append '() '()) = '()
 - ii. (list? '()) = #t
- c. Inductive Hypothesis: Assume (list? B) = #t, (list? (reverse B)) = #t
- d. Inductive Proof: Prove that (list? (reverse (cons a B))) = #t
 - i. (reverse (cons a B)) = (append (reverse (rest (cons a B))) (cons (first (cons a B)) null)) = (append (reverse B) (cons a null)) = (append (reverse B) '(a))
 - ii. (list? (reverse B)) = #t by Inductive Hypothesis
 - iii. (list? '(a)) = #t
 - iv. (and (list? (reverse B)) (list? '(a))) \rightarrow (list? (append (reverse B) '(a))) by Property 1 of append
 - v. (list? (reverse (cons a B))) = #t
- e. Proof complete by induction

2. (length (reverse x)) = (length x)

- a. Base Case: x = '()
 - i. (length '()) = 0
 - ii. (reverse '()) = '()
 - iii. (length (reverse '())) = (length '()) = 0
- b. Inductive Hypothesis: assume (list? B) = #t, (length B) = (length (reverse B)) = n
- c. Inductive Proof: Prove that (length (reverse (cons a B))) = (length (cons a B))
 - i. (length (cons a B)) = (+ 1 (length (rest (cons a B)))) = (+ 1 (length B)) = (+ 1 n)
 - ii. (length (append (reverse B) '(a))) = (+ (+ n (+ 1 0))) = (+ n 1) because:
 1. (reverse (cons a B)) = (append (reverse (rest (cons a B))) (cons (first (cons a B)) null)) = (append (reverse B) (cons a null)) = (append (reverse B) '(a))
 2. (length (append (reverse B) '(a))) = (+ (length (reverse B)) (length '(a))) by Property 5 of append
 3. (+ (length (reverse B)) (length '(a))) = (+ n (length '(a))) by Inductive Hypothesis
 4. (length '(a)) = (+ 1 (length (rest '(a)))) = (+ 1 0) = 1
 - iii. (length (reverse (cons a B))) = (length (cons a B)) = (+ n 1)

```
(define (append x y)
  (if (null? x)
      y
      (cons (first x) (append (rest x) y))))
```

3. (reverse (append x y)) = (append (reverse y) (reverse x))

- a. Base Case: $x = '()$
 - i. $(\text{reverse} (\text{append} '() y)) = y$
- b. Inductive Hypothesis: $(\text{reverse} (\text{append} B y)) = (\text{append} (\text{reverse} y) (\text{reverse} B))$
- c. Inductive Proof: $(\text{reverse} (\text{append} (\text{cons} a B) y)) = (\text{append} (\text{reverse} y) (\text{reverse} (\text{cons} a B)))$
 - i. $(\text{append} (\text{cons} a B) y) = (\text{append} (\text{append} '(a) B) y) = (\text{append} '(a) (\text{append} B y))$
 1. $(\text{append} '(a) B) = (\text{cons} a B)$
 - ii. $(\text{reverse} (\text{append} '(a) (\text{append} B y))) = (\text{append} (\text{reverse} (\text{append} B y)) '(a))$ because:
 1. $(\text{rest} (\text{append} '(a) (\text{append} B y))) = (\text{append} B y)$
 2. $(\text{first} (\text{append} '(a) (\text{append} B y))) = '(a)$
 - iii. $(\text{append} (\text{reverse} (\text{append} B y)) '(a)) = (\text{append} (\text{append} (\text{reverse} y) (\text{reverse} B)) '(a))$ because:
 1. $(\text{reverse} (\text{append} B y)) = (\text{append} (\text{reverse} y) (\text{reverse} B))$ by Inductive Hypothesis
 - iv. $(\text{append} (\text{append} (\text{reverse} y) (\text{reverse} B)) '(a)) = (\text{append} (\text{reverse} y) (\text{append} (\text{reverse} B) '(a)))$ by Property 5 of append
 - v. $(\text{append} (\text{reverse} y) (\text{append} (\text{reverse} B) '(a))) = (\text{append} (\text{reverse} y) (\text{reverse} (\text{cons} a B)))$ because:
 1. $(\text{reverse} (\text{cons} a B)) = (\text{append} (\text{reverse} (\text{rest} (\text{cons} a B))) (\text{cons} (\text{first} (\text{cons} a B)) \text{null})) = (\text{append} (\text{reverse} B) (\text{cons} a \text{null})) = (\text{append} (\text{reverse} B) '(a))$
 - vi. $(\text{append} (\text{cons} a B) y) = (\text{append} (\text{reverse} y) (\text{reverse} (\text{cons} a B)))$

4. (reverse (reverse x)) = x

- a. Base Case: $x = '()$
 - i. $(\text{reverse} '()) = '()$
 - ii. $(\text{reverse} (\text{reverse} '())) = '()$
- b. Inductive Hypothesis: $(\text{reverse} (\text{reverse} B)) = B$

- c. Inductive Proof: Prove that $(\text{reverse } (\text{reverse } (\text{cons } a \ B))) = (\text{cons } a \ B)$
- i. $(\text{reverse } (\text{reverse } (\text{cons } a \ B))) = (\text{reverse } (\text{append } (\text{reverse } B) \ '(a)))$ because:
 - 1. $(\text{reverse } (\text{cons } a \ B)) = (\text{append } (\text{reverse } (\text{rest } (\text{cons } a \ B))) (\text{cons } (\text{first } (\text{cons } a \ B)) \ \text{null})) = (\text{append } (\text{reverse } B) (\text{cons } a \ \text{null})) = (\text{append } (\text{reverse } B) \ '(a))$
 - ii. $(\text{reverse } (\text{append } (\text{reverse } B) \ '(a))) = (\text{append } (\text{reverse } \ '(a)) (\text{reverse } (\text{reverse } B)))$ by Property 3 of append
 - iii. $(\text{append } (\text{reverse } \ '(a)) (\text{reverse } (\text{reverse } B))) = (\text{append } \ '(a) \ B) = (\text{cons } a \ B)$
 - 1. $(\text{reverse } (\text{reverse } B)) = B$ by Inductive Hypothesis
 - 2. $(\text{append } \ '(a) \ B) = (\text{cons } a \ B)$

5. $(\text{list? } L) \rightarrow (\text{ and } (\text{length } (\text{reverse } L))$ $= (\text{length } L)) \ ((\text{nth } n \ (\text{reverse } L)) ==$ $(\text{nth } (- \ (+ \ (\text{length } L) \ 1) \ n) \ L)))$

- a. $(\text{nth } n \ (\text{reverse } L)) == (\text{nth } (- \ (+ \ (\text{length } L) \ 1) \ n) \ L))$ means that $L'_n \ (\ L' = (\text{reverse } L) \) = L_1 + (\text{length } L) - n$
- i. This was proved in Assignment 4