CSCI 301, Lab # 7

Fall 2018

Goal: This is the fifth in a series of labs that will build an interpreter for Scheme. In this lab we will add the letrec special form.

Due: Your program, named lab07.rkt, must be submitted to Canvas before midnight, Monday, November 26 (after Thanksgiving).

Unit tests: At a minimum, your program must pass the unit tests found in the file lab07-test.rkt. Place this file in the same folder as your program, and run it; there should be no output. Include your unit tests in your submission.

Letrec creates closures that include their own definitions. Consider a typical application of letrec:

```
(letrec ((plus (lambda (a b) (if (= a 0) b (+ 1 (plus (- a 1) b)))))
            (even? (lambda (n) (if (= n 0) true (odd? (- n 1)))))
            (odd? (lambda (n) (if (= n 0) false (even? (- n 1))))))
            (even? (plus 4 5)))
```

plus is a straightforward recursive function. even? and odd? are mutually recursive functions, each one requires the other.

If we use let instead of letrec, we will evaluate the lambda forms in the current environment, and none of the three functions will be defined in that environment. Each of the closures will contain a pointer to an environment in which the recursive functions are *not* defined. Thus, we cannot simply use let.

We want the closures to close over an environment in which plus, even? and odd? are defined. To do this, we will follow this strategy.

- 1. To evaluate a letrec special form, we first run through the variable-value pairs in the letrec expression as if it was a simple let. In other words, we go ahead and create the closures with the *wrong* environment. We will fix this later.
- 2. Anything else in a letrec is also handled in the let fashion, for example

will just return 8. You can reuse your old let code from previous labs for this part.

3. In the course of evaluating a let expression, you created a mini-environment, and appended that to the current environment, to get a new environment. We will need pointers to all three of these in what follows. For this writeup, I'm going to call them the OldEnvironment, the MiniEnvironment, and the NewEnvironment. They are illustrated as follows:

```
      ((x 5) (y 10) ...)
      <= OldEnvironment</td>

      ((plus ...) (even? ...) (odd? ...))
      <= MiniEnvironment</td>

      ((plus ...) (even? ...) (odd? ...) (x 5) (y 10) ...)
      <= NewEnvironment</td>
```

4. At this point, the closures in MiniEnvironment contain pointers to OldEnvironment. We need to change these to point to NewEnvironment.

- 5. If there are any closures in OldEnvironment, however, they are already correct, so we don't want to change them!
- 6. So, we need to loop through just the variable-value pairs in MiniEnvironment. If any variables are bound to *closures*, we change the *saved* environment pointer inside the closure to point to NewEnvironment.
 - Make sure you loop through only the variable-value pairs in MiniEnvironment. Note that NewEnvironment includes both MiniEnvironment and OldEnvironment. So we don't want to loop through all the closures in NewEnvironment.
- 7. Since we need to change a data structure that already exists, this is definitely *not* functional style programming. In fact, lists in **Racket** are immutable! So we *cannot* use lists any more to represent closures.

Look up the documentation in **Racket** on mutable lists. You'll find procedures such as mcar, mcdr, and mcons, which handle mutable lists just the way car, cdr and cons handle immutable lists.

But you will also find procedures such as set-mcar! and set-mcdr! for changing existing lists into new ones.

Using mutable lists allows us to change the implementation of closures so that we can change the environment inside:

```
(define closure
  (lambda (vars body env)
        (mcons 'closure (mcons env (mcons vars body)))))
(define closure?
        (lambda (clos) (and (mpair? clos) (eq? (mcar clos) 'closure))))
(define closure-vars
        (lambda (clos) (mcar (mcdr (mcdr clos)))))
(define closure-body
        (lambda (clos) (mcdr (mcdr clos)))))
(define closure-env
        (lambda (clos) (mcar (mcdr clos))))
(define set-closure-env!
        (lambda (clos new-env) (set-mcar! (mcdr clos) new-env)))
```

If you take the previous lab and replace the old closure implementation with this one, everything should work as before. Now aren't you glad you respected the interface in the last lab?

If your previous lab doesn't work with this new implementation of closures, fix it!

- 8. After we replace all the closures in MiniEnvironment with pointers to NewEnvironment, we can now evaluate the body of the letrec form, using NewEnvironment. Yay! Recursive functions!
- 9. Check the unit test file for some tricky examples!

Can you believe you've written an interpreter for such a complex language?