Probabilistic Analysis and Randomized Algorithms

Geoffrey Matthews

April 25, 2018

Goals

- Present difference between probabilistic analysis and randomized algorithms.
- Present technique of indicator random variables.
- Analysis of randomized algorithm.

The Hiring Problem

- You are using an employment agency to hire a new office assistant.
- The agency sends you one candidate each day.
- You interview the candidate and must immediately decide whether or not to hire that person and fire the current one.
- Cost to interview is c_i per candidate Cost to hire is c_h per candidate.
- Assume that $c_h > c_i$.
- You are committed to always have the best candidate seen so far.
- ▶ **Goal:** Determine what the price of this strategy will be.

Hire-Assistant

```
HIRE-ASSISTANT (n)

best = 0 // candidate 0 is a least-qualified dummy candidate for i = 1 to n

interview candidate i

if candidate i is better than candidate best

best = i

hire candidate i
```

Costs

If there are n candidates and we hire m of them, cost is

$$O(nc_i + mc_h)$$

- ▶ Have to pay *nc_i* no matter what.
- ▶ Focus on mc_h.
- mc_h depends on the order of candidates.
- ► This is a common scenario.

Worst-case analysis

Worst-case analysis

- Candidates are sorted worst to best.
- We hire all candidates.
- Cost is

$$O(nc_i + nc_h) = O(nc_h)$$

Probabilistic analysis

- In general we have no control over the order.
- ▶ We could assume candidates come in random order.
- Assign a rank to reach candidate: $rank(i) \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. No ties.
- ► The list (rank(1), rank(2), ... rank(n)) is a permutation of (1, 2, ..., n).
- ► The list of ranks is equally likely to be any one of the *n*! permutations.
- ▶ The ranks form a uniform random permutation.

Problem of probabilistic analysis

- We must use knowledge of the distribution of inputs, or make assumptions about it.
- ▶ The expectation is over this distribution.
- ► The technique requires that we can make reasonable assumptions about the input.
- Also that we can successfully model the presumed input distribution.

Randomized algorithms

- We might not know the input distribution, or be able to model it.
- Instead, we randomize within the algorithm to impose a distribution.

Randomized-Hire-Assistant

Change the scenario:

- ► The employment agency sends us a list of all candidates in advance.
- ▶ On each day, we randomly choose a candidate from the list.
- ▶ Instead of relying on the input distribution, we impose a uniform random one.

What makes an algorithm randomized

- ► An algorithm is **randomized** if its behavior is determined in part by values produced by a **random-number generator**.
- ▶ RANDOM(a,b) returns an integer r, where $a \le r \le b$ and each of the b-a+1 possible values of r is equally likely.
- In practice, RANDOM is implemented by a pseudorandom-number generator, which is a deterministic method returning numbers that "look" random and pass statistical tests.

Indicator random variables

- ▶ A simple yet powerful technique for computing the expected value of a random variable.
- ▶ Helpful in situations in which there may be dependence.
- ► Given a sample space and an event *A*, we define the indicator random variable

$$I\{A\} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \text{ occurs} \\ 0 & \text{if } A \text{ does not occur} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **Lemma** For an event A, let $X_A = I\{A\}$. Then $E[X_A] = Pr\{A\}$.
- ▶ **Proof** Letting \overline{A} be the complement of A, we have

$$E[X_A] = E[I \{A\}]$$

$$= 1 \cdot \Pr\{A\} + 0 \cdot \Pr\{\overline{A}\}$$

$$= \Pr\{A\}$$



Simple example

- ▶ Determine expected number of heads if we flip a fair coin.
- ► Sample space: {*H*, *T*}
- ▶ $Pr\{H\} = Pr\{T\} = 1/2$
- ► $X_H = I\{H\}$.
- X_H counts number of heads in one flip.
- ► Since $Pr\{H\} = 1/2$, lemma says $E[X_H] = 1/2$.

More complicated example

Expected number of heads in n flips. Let X be a random variable for number of heads in n flips.

$$E[X] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k \cdot \Pr\{X = k\}$$

- ▶ Instead, define $X_i = I$ {the ith flip is H}, so $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$
- ▶ Lemma says $E[X_i] = \Pr\{H\} = 1/2$.

$$E[X] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[X_i]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1/2 = n/2$$

The hiring problem analysis

- Assume canddiates arrive in random order.
- ▶ Let X be the RV that is the number of times we hire someone.
- ▶ Define X_i = I {candidate i is hired}
- ▶ Candidate *i* is hired iff *i* is better than 1, 2, ..., i 1.
- ▶ $Pr\{candidate i \text{ is best so far}\} = 1/i$
- ► $E[X_i] = 1/i$.

$$E[X] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[X_{i}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1/i$$

$$= O(\lg n)$$

The hiring problem

- The algorithm is deterministic:
 For any given input, the number we hire is always the same.
- ► The number of times we hire a new office assistant depends only on the input.
- ▶ In fact, it depends only on the ordering of the candidates ranks that it is given.
- Some rank orderings will always produce a high hiring cost. (Sorted by increasing quality.)
- Some will always produce a low hiring cost.
 (Any where the best candidate is first.)
- Some may be in between.

Randomizing the hiring problem

RANDOMIZED-HIRE-ASSISTANT (n) randomly permute the list of candidates HIRE-ASSISTANT (n)

- ► The randomization is now in the algorithm, not in the input distribution.
- Given a particular input, we can no longer say what its hiring cost will be. Each time we run the algorithm, we can get a different hiring cost.
- ▶ In other words, each time we run the algorithm, the execution depends on the random choices made.
- No particular input always elicits worst-case behavior.
- ▶ Bad behavior occurs only if we get "unlucky" numbers from the randomnumber generator.

Randomizing the hiring problem

RANDOMIZED-HIRE-ASSISTANT(*n*) randomly permute the list of candidates HIRE-ASSISTANT(*n*)

▶ The expected hiring cost is $O(c_h \lg n)$, regardless of input.

Randomly permuting an array

```
RANDOMIZE-IN-PLACE (A, n)

for i = 1 to n

swap A[i] with A[RANDOM(i, n)]
```

- ► **Goal:** Produce a uniform random permutation. (Each of the *n*! permutations is equally likely.)
- ▶ In iteration i, choose A[i] randomly from A[i..n].
- ▶ Will never alter A[i] after iteration i.
- ▶ O(1) per iteration, so O(n).

k-permutations

- ▶ Given a set of *n* elements, a **k-permuation** is a sequence containing *k* of the *n* elements.
- ▶ There are n!/(n-k)! possible k-permutations.

```
RANDOMIZE-IN-PLACE (A, n)

for i = 1 to n

swap A[i] with A[RANDOM(i, n)]
```

▶ **Loop invariant:** Just prior to the *i*th iteration, for each possible (i-1)-permutation, A[1..i-1] contains this (i-1)-permutation with probability (n-i+1)!/n!.

```
RANDOMIZE-IN-PLACE (A, n)

for i = 1 to n

swap A[i] with A[RANDOM(i, n)]
```

▶ **Loop invariant:** Just prior to the *i*th iteration, for each possible (i-1)-permutation, A[1..i-1] contains this (i-1)-permutation with probability (n-i+1)!/n!.

▶ **Initialization:** Just before iteration 1, *A*[1..0] contains the 0-permutation with probability 1.

RANDOMIZE-IN-PLACE (A, n)

```
for i = 1 to n
swap A[i] with A[RANDOM(i, n)]
```

- ▶ **Loop invariant:** Just prior to the *i*th iteration, for each possible (i-1)-permutation, A[1..i-1] contains this (i-1)-permutation with probability (n-i+1)!/n!.
- Maintenance:

RANDOMIZE-IN-PLACE (A, n)

for i = 1 to nswap A[i] with A[RANDOM(i, n)]

- ▶ **Loop invariant:** Just prior to the *i*th iteration, for each possible (i-1)-permutation, A[1..i-1] contains this (i-1)-permutation with probability (n-i+1)!/n!.
- Maintenance:
 - ▶ Consider a *i*-permutation $\pi = (x_1, x_x, ...x_i)$.
 - ▶ It consists of $\pi' = (x_1, x_x, ... x_{i-1})$ followed by x_1 .
 - ▶ Let E_1 be the event that π' is in A[1..i-1].
 - ▶ Let E_2 be the event that x_i is put into A[i].

$$\Pr\{E_2 \cap E_1\} = \Pr\{E_2 | E_1\} \Pr\{E_1\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-i+1} \cdot \frac{(n-i+1)!}{n!}$$

$$= \frac{(n-i)!}{n!}$$

```
RANDOMIZE-IN-PLACE (A, n)

for i = 1 to n

swap A[i] with A[RANDOM(i, n)]
```

- ▶ **Loop invariant:** Just prior to the *i*th iteration, for each possible (i-1)-permutation, A[1..i-1] contains this (i-1)-permutation with probability (n-i+1)!/n!.
- ► Termination:

```
RANDOMIZE-IN-PLACE (A, n)

for i = 1 to n

swap A[i] with A[RANDOM(i, n)]
```

- ▶ **Loop invariant:** Just prior to the *i*th iteration, for each possible (i-1)-permutation, A[1..i-1] contains this (i-1)-permutation with probability (n-i+1)!/n!.
- ► Termination:
- At termination, i = n + 1, so A[1..n] is a given n-permutation with probability

$$\frac{(n-n)!}{n!} = \frac{1}{n!}$$

► How many people must be in a room before there is a 50% chance of two of them having the same birthday?

- ► How many people must be in a room before there is a 50% chance of two of them having the same birthday?
- Assumptions:
 - ▶ $Pr\{b_i = r\} = 1/n \text{ for } i = 1..k \text{ and } r = 1..n$
 - ▶ $\Pr\{b_i = r \text{ and } b_j = r\} = \Pr\{b_i = r\} \Pr\{b_j = r\} = 1/n^2$

- ► How many people must be in a room before there is a 50% chance of two of them having the same birthday?
- Assumptions:
 - ▶ $Pr\{b_i = r\} = 1/n \text{ for } i = 1..k \text{ and } r = 1..n$
 - ▶ $\Pr\{b_i = r \text{ and } b_j = r\} = \Pr\{b_i = r\} \Pr\{b_j = r\} = 1/n^2$
- ► Hence, the probability that two randomly selected people have the same birthday:

$$\Pr\{b_i = b_j\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \Pr\{b_i = r \text{ and } b_j = r\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (1/n^2)$$

$$= 1/n$$

- ► The probability that two or more people have the same birthday, is 1 minus the probability that everybody's birthday is different.
- Event that k people have different birthdays:

$$B_k = \bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i$$
 $A_i = \{ ext{events where } i ext{'s birthday}
eq j ext{'s, for all } j < i \}$

$$B_k = A_k \cap B_{k-1}$$
 $\Pr\{B_k\} = \Pr\{B_{k-1}\} \Pr\{A_k | B_{k-1}\}$
 $\Pr\{B_1\} = \Pr\{A_1\} = 1$
 $\Pr\{A_k | B_{k-1}\} = (n - (k-1))/n$

What is the recurrence?



$$\begin{split} \Pr\left\{B_{k}\right\} &= \Pr\left\{B_{1}\right\} \Pr\left\{A_{2}|B_{1}\right\} \Pr\left\{A_{3}|B_{2}\right\} \cdots \Pr\left\{A_{k}|B_{k-1}\right\} \\ &= 1 \cdot \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{n-k+1}{n}\right) \\ &= 1 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n}\right) \end{split}$$

$$\Pr\{B_k\} \leq e^{-1/n}e^{-2/n}\cdots e^{-(k-1)/n} \qquad ext{since } 1+x \leq e^x$$
 $= e^{-k(k-1)/2n} \leq 1/2$ when $-k(k-1)/2n \leq \ln(1/2)$, or $k \geq \frac{1+\sqrt{1+(8\ln 2)n}}{2} = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$

$$\Pr\left\{B_k\right\} \leq 1/2$$

when

$$k \geq \frac{1+\sqrt{1+(8\ln 2)n}}{2} = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$$

When k = 365 we must have $k \ge 23$.

$$\Pr\left\{B_k\right\} \leq 1/2$$

when

$$k \geq \frac{1+\sqrt{1+(8\ln 2)n}}{2} = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$$

When k = 365 we must have $k \ge 23$.

On Mars, a year is 669 days long, so we need 31 Martians.

The birthday paradox using indicator RVs

$$X_{ij} = I \{ person \ i \ and \ j \ have the same birthday \}$$
 $E[X_{ij}] = Pr \{ person \ i \ and \ j \ have the same birthday \}$
 $= 1/n$

Expected number of pairs of people with the same birthday:

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{j=i+1}^{K} X_{ij}$$

$$E[X] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{k} X_{ij}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{k} E[X_{ij}]$$

$$= \binom{k}{2} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{k(k-1)}{2n}$$

The birthday paradox using indicator RVs

Expected number of pairs of people with the same birthday:

$$E[X] = \frac{k(k-1)}{2n}$$
$$E[X] \ge 1 \iff k(k-1) \ge 2n$$

- ▶ Thus, if we have at least $\sqrt{2n} + 1$ people in the room, we can expect at least two have the same birthday.
- ▶ On earth, 28 people will make the expected value 1.0356.
- ▶ On Mars, 38 Martians are needed.

The birthday paradox using indicator RVs

Expected number of pairs of people with the same birthday:

$$E[X] = \frac{k(k-1)}{2n}$$
$$E[X] \ge 1 \iff k(k-1) \ge 2n$$

- ▶ Thus, if we have at least $\sqrt{2n} + 1$ people in the room, we can expect at least two have the same birthday.
- ▶ On earth, 28 people will make the expected value 1.0356.
- ▶ On Mars, 38 Martians are needed.

Difference between the analyses

- ▶ First analysis determined the number necessary for the probability of at least two people with the same birthday to exceed 1/2.
- ▶ Second analysis determined the number necessary for the expected number of pairs of matching birthdays to be at least 1.
- ▶ The exact numbers differ, but both are $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$.