

## Analysis of the Problem - Task and Feedback Sheet

✓	What the examiner's looking for	Done?
	<b>PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION</b>	
	Describe the problem you are solving / what you will be developing	
	Describe and justify features that make problem solvable by computational methods and explain why the problem is responsive to a computational approach	
	<b>STAKEHOLDERS</b>	
	Identify and describe those who will have an interest in the solution.	
	Explain how the solution is appropriate to their needs.	
	<b>RESEARCH THE PROBLEM</b>	
	Research the problem and solutions to similar problems. Identify and justify suitable approaches based on this research	
	Identify the essential features of the proposed solution explaining these choices	
	Identify and explain with justification any limitations of the proposed solution	
	<b>SPECIFY THE PROPOSED SOLUTION</b>	
	Identify and justify measureable success criteria for the proposed solution	
	Specify and justify the requirements for the solution	
	Specify and justify Hardware and Software configuration	

<b>Mark Band</b>	
------------------	--

<b>Comments</b>
-----------------

**Analysis of the Problem - Mark Scheme****Total 10 Marks (14.3% of available coursework marks)**

AO 2.2 Analysis (maximum 10 marks)			
1–2 marks	3–5 marks	6–8 marks	9–10 marks
<b>The candidate will have:</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identified some features that make the problem solvable by computational methods.</li> <li>Identified suitable stakeholders for the project and described them and some of their requirements.</li> <li>Identified some appropriate features to incorporate into their solution.</li> <li>Identified some features of the proposed computational solution.</li> <li>Identified some limitations of the proposed solution.</li> <li>Identified some requirements for the solution.</li> <li>Identified some success criteria for the proposed solution.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Described the features that make the problem solvable by computational methods.</li> <li>Identified suitable stakeholders for the project and described how they will make use of the proposed solution.</li> <li>Researched the problem looking at existing solutions to similar problems identifying some appropriate features to incorporate into their solution.</li> <li>Identified the essential features of the proposed computational solution.</li> <li>Identified and described some limitations of the proposed solution.</li> <li>Identified most requirements for the solution.</li> <li>Identified some measurable success criteria for the proposed solution.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Described the features that make the problem solvable by computational methods and why it is amenable to a computational approach.</li> <li>Identified suitable stakeholders for the project and described them and how they will make use of the proposed solution and why it is appropriate to their needs.</li> <li>Researched the problem in depth looking at existing solutions to similar problems identifying and describing suitable approaches based on this research.</li> <li>Identified and described the essential features of the proposed computational solution.</li> <li>Identified and explained any limitations of the proposed solution.</li> <li>Specified the requirements for the solution including (as appropriate) any hardware and software requirements.</li> <li>Identified measurable success criteria for the proposed solution.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Described and justified the features that make the problem solvable by computational methods, explaining why it is amenable to a computational approach.</li> <li>Identified suitable stakeholders for the project and described them explaining how they will make use of the proposed solution and why it is appropriate to their needs.</li> <li>Researched the problem in depth looking at existing solutions to similar problems, identifying and justifying suitable approaches based on this research.</li> <li>Identified the essential features of the proposed computational solution explaining these choices.</li> <li>Identified and explained with justification any limitations of the proposed solution.</li> <li>Specified and justified the requirements for the solution including (as appropriate) any hardware and software requirements.</li> <li>Identified and justified measurable success criteria for the proposed solution.</li> </ul>

0 marks = no response or no response worthy of credit.

## Evidence of Iterative Development - Task and Feedback Sheet

You need to show evidence of iterative development and the structure of your evidence in your report should reflect this. The number of iterations you require will vary but 6 is an approximate guide.

	What the examiner's looking for	Done
	<b>Iteration Number</b> A heading to show which iteration number (e.g. 1,2,3...) the evidence is for	
DESIGN	Evidence of discussion with stakeholder regarding this iteration Update post development Usability Testing Plan. <i>Note: this could be done after iterative development is complete and before you actually carry out the post-development usability testing.</i>	
ANALYSIS OF THE PROBLEM	<b>Specify the proposed solution (2)</b> Detailed success criteria and requirements for iteration	
DESIGN	<b>Decomposing the problem</b> Breakdown the problem systematically into a series of smaller problems suitable for computational solutions explaining and justifying the process (annotated structure diagram)	
	<b>Describe the solution (1)</b> Explain and justify structure of solution. Usability features (e.g. annotated wireframes)	
	<b>Describe the solution (2)</b> Identify key variables, data structures, classes justifying choices and any necessary validation	
	<b>Describe the approach to testing</b> Identify and justify test data for testing during iterative development for this iteration Identify and justify test data for testing post development (update test plans) <i>Note: this could be done after iterative development is complete and before you actually carry out the post-development tests.</i>	
	<b>Describe the solution (3)</b> Pseudo code algorithms with annotation/inline comments Justification of how these form a complete solution e.g. trace table showing dry runs, matching up algorithms with requirements and success criteria	
DEVELOPING THE CODED SOLUTION	<b>Coding</b> Include narrative of development process justifying all decisions made. Relate this to the breakdown of the problem from the analysis stage explaining what you did and justifying why. Provide annotated evidence of prototype solutions for each stage of the process justifying any decision made Comment (annotate) the code as it is developed to aid future maintenance Use appropriate names for all variables, structures and subroutines Show evidence of validation for all key elements of the solution Ensure solution is well structured and modular in nature	
	<b>Testing to inform development</b> Show annotated evidence of testing for this iteration justifying reasons for tests. Show annotated evidence of any failed tests and the remedial actions taken with full justification for any actions taken	
	<b>Stakeholder review and sign-off of iteration</b> The development must show review at all key stages in the process	

<b>Design Mark Band (out of 15 marks)</b>	
<b>Developing the coded solution – Iterative development of a coded solution Mark Band (out of 15 marks)</b>	
<b>Developing the coded solution – Testing to inform development Mark Band (out of 10 marks)</b>	

**Comments**

**Evidence of Iterative Development - Mark Schemes****Design = 15 marks and Development of Solution = 25 marks****Total 40 Marks (57.1% of available coursework marks)**

AO 3.1 Design (maximum 15 marks)			
1–4 marks	5–8 marks	9–12 marks	13–15 marks
<b>The candidate will have:</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Described elements of the solution using algorithms.</li> <li>Described some usability features to be included in the solution.</li> <li>Identified the key variables / data structures / classes (as appropriate to the proposed solution).</li> <li>Identified some test data to be used during the iterative or post development phase of the process.</li> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Broken the problem down systematically into a series of smaller problems suitable for computational solutions describing the process.</li> <li>Defined the structure of the solution to be developed.</li> <li>Described the solution fully using appropriate and accurate algorithms.</li> <li>Described the usability features to be included in the solution.</li> <li>Identified the key variables / data structures / classes (as appropriate to the proposed solution) and any necessary validation.</li> <li>Identified the test data to be used during the iterative development of the solution.</li> <li>Identified any further data to be used in the post development phase.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Broken the problem down systematically into a series of smaller problems suitable for computational solutions explaining the process.</li> <li>Defined in detail the structure of the solution to be developed.</li> <li>Described the solution fully using appropriate and accurate algorithms explaining how these algorithms form a complete solution to the problem.</li> <li>Described, explaining choices made, the usability features to be included in the solution.</li> <li>Identified and justified the key variables / data structures / classes (as appropriate to the proposed solution) explaining any necessary validation.</li> <li>Identified and justified the test data to be used during the iterative development of the solution.</li> <li>Identified and justified any further data to be used in the post development phase.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Broken the problem down systematically into a series of smaller problems suitable for computational solutions, explaining and justifying the process.</li> <li>Defined in detail the structure of the solution to be developed.</li> <li>Described the solution fully using appropriate and accurate algorithms justifying how these algorithms form a complete solution to the problem.</li> <li>Described, justifying choices made, the usability features to be included in the solution.</li> <li>Identified and justified the key variables / data structures / classes (as appropriate to the proposed solution) justifying and explaining any necessary validation.</li> <li>Identified and justified the test data to be used during the iterative development of the solution.</li> <li>Identified and justified any further data to be used in the post development phase.</li> </ul>

0 marks = no response or no response worthy of credit.

AO 3.2 Developing the coded solution (maximum 25 marks)			
Iterative development of a coded solution (maximum 15 marks)			
1–4 marks	5–8 marks	9–12 marks	13–15 marks
<b>The candidate will have:</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided evidence of some iterative development for a coded solution.</li> <li>• Solution may be linear.</li> <li>• Code may be inefficient.</li> <li>• Code may not be annotated appropriately.</li> <li>• Variable names may be inappropriate.</li> <li>• There will be little or no evidence of validation.</li> <li>• There will be little evidence of review during the development.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided evidence for most stages of the iterative development process for a coded solution describing what they did at each stage.</li> <li>• Solution will have some structure.</li> <li>• Code will be briefly annotated to explain key components.</li> <li>• Some variable and/or structure names will be largely appropriate.</li> <li>• There will be evidence of some basic validation.</li> <li>• There will be evidence that the development was reviewed at some stage during the process.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided evidence of each stage of the iterative development process for a coded solution relating this to the break down of the problem from the analysis stage and explaining what they did at each stage.</li> <li>• Provided evidence of some prototype versions of their solution.</li> <li>• The solution will be modular in nature.</li> <li>• Code will be annotated to explain all key components.</li> <li>• Most variables and structures will be appropriately named.</li> <li>• There will be evidence of validation for most key elements of the solution.</li> <li>• The development will show review at most key stages in the process.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided evidence of each stage of the iterative development process for a coded solution relating this to the break down of the problem from the analysis stage and explaining what they did and justifying why.</li> <li>• Provided evidence of prototype versions of their solution for each stage of the process.</li> <li>• The solution will be well structured and modular in nature.</li> <li>• Code will be annotated to aid future maintenance of the system.</li> <li>• All variables and structures will be appropriately named.</li> <li>• There will be evidence of validation for all key elements of the solution.</li> <li>• The development will show review at all key stages in the process.</li> </ul>
Testing to inform development (maximum 10 marks)			
1–2 marks	3–5 marks	6–8 marks	9–10 marks
<b>The candidate will have:</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided some evidence of testing during the iterative development process.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided some evidence of testing during the iterative development process.</li> <li>• Provided evidence of some failed tests and the remedial actions taken.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided evidence of testing at most stages of the iterative development process.</li> <li>• Provided evidence of some failed tests and the remedial actions taken with some explanation of the actions taken.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided evidence of testing at each stage of the iterative development process.</li> <li>• Provided evidence of any failed tests and the remedial actions taken with full justification for any actions taken.</li> </ul>

0 marks = no response or no response worthy of credit.

## Evaluation - Task and Feedback Sheet

What the examiner's looking for	Done?
<b>TESTING TO INFORM EVALUATION</b>	
Provide annotated evidence of post development system testing for function and robustness	
Provide annotated evidence of usability testing	
<b>EVALUATION OF SOLUTION</b>	
<b>Success of the solution</b> Evaluate against success criteria using test evidence from testing during and post development. You must use the test evidence to cross reference with the success criteria to evaluate the solution and explain how the evidence shows that the criteria has been fully, partially or not met in each case. Provide comments on how any partially or unmet criteria could be addressed in further development.	
<b>Describe the final product</b> Provide annotated evidence of the implemented usability features from Describe the solution (1) justifying their success, partial success or failure as effective usability features. Provide comments on how any issues with partially met or unmet usability features could be addressed in further development.	
<b>Maintenance and Development</b> Discuss maintenance issues and limitations of the solution. Describe how the program could be developed to deal with limitations. Describe potential further development on the solution in relation to improvements and changes. Ensure there is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented should be relevant and substantiated.	

<b>Mark Band</b>	
------------------	--

<b><u>Comments</u></b>
------------------------



**Evaluation - Mark Scheme Total 20 Marks (28.6% of available coursework marks)**

AO 3.3 Evaluation (maximum 20 marks)				
Testing to inform evaluation (maximum 5 marks)				
1 mark	2 marks	3–4 marks	5 marks	
The candidate will have:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Provided evidence of some post development testing.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Provided evidence of final product testing for function.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Provided annotated evidence of post development testing for function.</li><li>• Provided annotated evidence for usability testing.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Provided annotated evidence of post development testing for function and robustness.</li><li>• Provided annotated evidence for usability testing.</li></ul>	
Evaluation of solution (maximum 15 marks)				
1–4 marks	5–8 marks	9–12 marks	13–15 marks	
The candidate will have:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Commented on the success or failure of the solution with some reference to test data.</li><li>• The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Cross referenced some of the test evidence with the success criteria and commented on the success or otherwise of the solution.</li><li>• Provided evidence of usability features.</li><li>• Identified some limitations on the solution.</li><li>• The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Used the test evidence to cross reference with the success criteria to evaluate the solution identifying whether the criteria have been met, partially met or unmet.</li><li>• Provided comments on how any partially or not met criteria could be addressed in further development.</li><li>• Provided evidence of the usability features.</li><li>• Considered maintenance issues and limitations of the solution.</li><li>• There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most part relevant and supported by some evidence.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Used the test evidence to cross reference with the success criteria to evaluate the solution explain how the evidence shows that the criteria has been fully, partially or not met in each case.</li><li>• Provided comments on how any partially or unmet criteria could be addressed in further development.</li><li>• Provided evidence of the usability features justifying their success, partial success or failure as effective usability features.</li><li>• Provided comments on how any issues with partially or unmet usability features could be addressed in further development.</li><li>• Considered maintenance issues and limitations of the solution.</li><li>• Described how the program could be developed to deal with limitations of potential improvements / changes.</li><li>• There is a well developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.</li></ul>	

0 marks = no response or no response worthy of credit.