

Geodesic Convolutional Neural Networks

Outline

- ▶ Introduction & Motivation
- ▶ Background
 - i. Point Clouds & Meshes
 - ii. Laplace Beltrami Operator & Heat Diffusion
 - iii. Spectral Shape Descriptors (Related Work)
- ▶ Methods
- ▶ Results
- ▶ Demonstration

Introduction & Motivation

Aim to generalize the idea of a convolutional “filter” to process patches of mesh objects instead of patches of images.




Figure 1: Geodesic patches on a shape

Background

Formal Definition of a 3D Shape

1. Connected, smooth compact two-dimensional manifold X
2. Locally, each point x is homeomorphic to a 2-D Euclidean space (tangent plane, $T_x X$)


Discretization of a 3D Shape from Point Clouds

Given a realized point cloud $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\} \in X$, we can define a **triangular mesh** (V, E, F)

Point cloud




Polygon mesh



Discretization of a 3D Shape from Point Clouds (contd.)

1. Each *interior* edge $ij \in E$ is only shared by 2 triangular faces $ikj, jhi \in F$ while *boundary* edges only have 1 associated triangular face
2. Vertices are located at $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$
3. A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is sampled on V and can be defined by $\mathbf{f} = (f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_N))^T$, a N dimensional vector
4. The set of vertices directly connected to i is called the *1-ring* of i



Laplace Beltrami Operator

Generalization of the Laplacian to non-Euclidean space

1. Intrinsic (dependent only on the Riemannian metric)
2. Isometric (invariant to distance preserving deformations of a manifold)
3. Yields an eigen-decomposition with real non-negative eigenvalues λ_i , and an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions $\phi_i(x)$.

Laplace Beltrami Operator (on a mesh!)

Since we can't work in the function space (we only have points on the manifold), we work on the discretized version defined by

$$L = A^{-1}W$$

where L is a $N \times N$ matrix.

- ▶ We can define its eigenvalues and orthonormal basis with the traditional matrix eigen-decomposition of L .

The main takeaway is that you can construct a mesh, and define an operator on it.

Spectral Shape Descriptors

Most take the form of

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \tau(\lambda_k) \phi_k^2(x) \approx \sum_k^K \tau(\lambda_k) \phi_k^2(x) \quad (1)$$

where $\tau(\cdot)$ is some transfer function, and λ_k and $\phi_k(\cdot)$ are the respective eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the LBO.

1. Heat Kernel Signature

- a) $\tau_t(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda t}$
- b) Poor localization

2. Wave Kernel Signature

- a) $\tau_\nu(\lambda) = e^{\frac{\log \nu - \log \lambda}{2\sigma^2}}$
- b) Poor globalization

3. Optimal Spectral Descriptors

- a) $\tau_q(\lambda) = \sum_{m=1}^M a_{qm} \beta_m(\lambda)$
- b) Have to learn the spline parameters

Distance on a Riemannian Manifold

The length of a differentiable curve $L(\gamma)$ on a Riemannian manifold with metric g can be given by

$$L(\gamma) = \int_a^b \sqrt{g_{\gamma(t)}(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))} dt \quad (2)$$

Consequently, the distance $d(p, q)$ on a Riemannian manifold is $L(\gamma^*)$, where γ^* is the infimum of all differentiable curves which satisfy $\gamma(a) = p$, $\gamma(b) = q$

Finding the geodesic distance on a mesh can be done numerically using any Boundary Value Problem solver (fast marching algorithm, etc)

Methods

Geodesic Convolution

1. Defining a patch operator
2. Defining a convolution

Defining a Patch Operator

Let $B_{\rho_0}(x)$ be a geodesic ball of size ρ_0 .

$$\Omega(x) : B_{\rho_0}(x) \rightarrow [0, \rho_0] \times [0, 2\pi]$$

Patch operator interpolates a function f in local coordinates

$$(Df(x))(\rho, \theta) = (f \circ \Omega^{-1}(x))(\rho, \theta) \quad (3)$$

$$(Df(x))(\rho, \theta) = \int_X v_{\rho, \theta}(x, y) f(y) dy \quad (4)$$

$$v_{\rho, \theta}(x, y) = v_{\rho}(x, y) v_{\theta}(x, y) \quad (5)$$

1. $v_{\rho}(x, y) \approx$ geodesic distance between x, y
2. $v_{\theta}(x, y) \approx$ geodesic distance between the point y and the geodesic generated at x , in the direction θ

Defining a Patch Operator (Discrete's Version)




Figure 2: Construction of local geodesic polar coordinates on a triangular mesh. Shown clock-wise: division of 1-ring of vertex x_i into N_θ equi-angular bins; propagation of a ray (bold line) by unfolding the respective triangles (marked in green).

Defining A Convolution

$$(f \star a)(x) = \sum_{\rho, \theta} a(\theta + \Delta\theta, \rho)(Df(x))(\rho, \theta) \quad (6)$$

where $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a filter.


Effectively, we are projecting x onto local angular coordinates, and performing a convolution on those coordinates.

Convolutional Layers

1. Linear Layer (standard)
2. Geodesic Convolution (GC)
 - ▶ $\sum_{p=1}^P (f_p \star a_{\Delta\theta, qp})(x)$
 - ▶ is computed for all N_θ (similar to other GCNN paper)
3. Angular Max Pooling (AMP)
 - ▶ $\max_{\Delta\theta} f_{\Delta\theta, p}^{in}(x)$
 - ▶ follows GC layer
4. Fourier Transform Magnitude
 - ▶ $f_p^{out}(\rho, w) = |\sum_{\theta} e^{-iw\theta} (Df(x))(\rho, \theta)|$
 - ▶ removes rotational ambiguity
5. Covariance (COV)
 - ▶ $f^{out} = \int_X (f^{in}(x) - \mu)(f^{in}(x) - \mu)^T dx$
 - ▶ produces a global descriptor

The spectral shape descriptors can be recovered from some specific parametrization of the above.

Example Architecture



Results

Three tasks:

1. Shape Retrieval
 - ▶ discriminate between classes of shapes
2. Shape Correspondence
 - ▶ vertex labeling problem
3. Invariant descriptors
 - ▶ produces a local descriptor of x

Invariant Descriptors




Figure 4: Normalized Euclidean distance between the descriptor at a reference point on the shoulder (white sphere) and the descriptors computed at the rest of the points for different transformations (shown left-to-right: near isometric deformations, non-isometric deformations, topological noise, geometric noise, uniform/non-uniform subsampling, missing parts). Cold and hot colors represent small and large distances, respectively; distances are saturated at the median value. Ideal descriptors would produce a distance map with a sharp minimum at the corresponding point and no spurious local minima at other locations.

Shape Correspondence




Figure 7: Example of correspondence obtained with GCNN (bottom) and random forest (top). Similar colors encode corresponding points.

Shape Retrieval




Figure 8: Performance (in terms of Precision-Recall) of shape retrieval on the FAUST dataset using different descriptors. Higher curve corresponds to better performance.

Code Demo

Some notes:

1. Code lives at <https://github.com/andreasMazur/geoconv>
2. The `environment.yml` file contains the necessary python dependencies from (1)
3. Use the following

```
conda env create -f environment.yml
conda activate ece594n_geodesic_convolutional_networks
git clone https://github.com/andreasMazur/geoconv.git
cd ./geoconv
pip install .
```