# Lecture 3: The Friedmann Equation

Dr. James Mullaney
January 31, 2018

### 1 The Robertson Walker metric

#### Slide 2

- Saw in lecture 2 that an isotropic, homogeneous Universe can be fully described by just three numbers:  $\kappa$ ,  $R_0$ , a(t).
- a(t) is paricularly important: it tells us how the Universe expands and contracts over time.
- a(t) also enables us to relate redshifts (which are easily measured) to distances (which are much more difficult to measure).
- However, let's first focus on curvature, described by  $\kappa$  and  $R_0$ .

#### 1.1 What is curvature in the context of a universe?

#### Slide 3

- Curvature in a universe would manifest itself in terms of the perceived sizes of distant objects.
- The perceived size of an object is the angular size it subtends.
- As such, it is convenient to think in terms of a triangle, with the object at one end, and the angular size at the other.
- In a flat universe, the angles of this triangle all add up to 180 degrees, and the objects subtends the angle that we have come to expect for flat geometry.
- In a negatively curved universe, the interior angles of the triangle add up to less than 180 degrees, and the object subtends a *smaller* angle that we would expect. This would be witnessed as distant galaxies appearing disproportionately smaller than nearby ones.
- By contrast, in a negatively curved universe, the interior angles of the triangle add up to more than 180 degrees, and the object subtends a *larger* angle that we would expect. This would be witnessed as distant galaxies appearing disproportionately larger than nearby ones.
- Such disproportionately large or small distant galaxies are not seen when we look to higher and higher distances (i.e., redshifts), so we can conclude that if the Universe is curved, then its radius of curvature,  $R_0$  is much larger than the size of the observable Universe.

## 2 Relating curvature to content

- General relativity tells us that a universe's curvature is dictated by its content (whether mass or energy, since they are one and the same thing in relativity).
- The Field Equation links the two it tells spacetime  $(G_{\mu,\nu})$  how to curve in the presence of stress-energy  $(T_{\mu,\nu})$ .
- Unfortunately, both  $G_{\mu,\nu}$  and  $T_{\mu,\nu}$  are  $4 \times 4$  tensors (i.e., matrices), and the equation as a whole represents ten non-linear second-order differential equations!
- Thus, in general, it can be extremely difficult to solve for  $G_{\mu,\nu}$ . Slide 5
- However, on large scales, we can make some sweeping simplifications.
- On very large scales, we can ignore the "clumpy" nature of the Universe and instead describe it as being filled with a uniform (i.e., homogeneous), isotropic gas or pressure P(t) and (energy) density  $\varepsilon(t)$ .
- In such a case, then  $T_{\mu,\nu}$  only depents on P(t) and  $\varepsilon(t)$ , and the metric is given by the Robertson Walker metric.
- Our goal, therefore, is simply to relate a(t),  $\kappa$ , and  $R_0$  to P(t) and  $\varepsilon(t)$ .

## 3 The Friedmann Equation

- To relate a(t),  $\kappa$ , and  $R_0$  to P(t) and  $\varepsilon(t)$ , we'll consider the gravitational influence of the aforementioned perfect gas.
- The full General Relativistic approach to this is beyond the scope of this course, so we'll instead consider the Newtonian equivalent, which gives a good sense of the physics involved.
- We'll start with considering a large sphere of the universe, containing a perfect gas with energy density  $\varepsilon(t)$ .
- Since spacetime itself is affected by energy density, the surface of this sphere will expand or contract according to the gravitaional influence of the perfect gas.
- This expnasion/contraction is given by Newton's law of gravitation:

$$\frac{d^2R_s}{dt^2} = -\frac{GM_s}{R_s(t)^2} \tag{1}$$

- To solve this to get  $R_s(t)$  is a bit tricky, since  $R_s$  is a function of time, so we can't immediately put all  $R_s$  terms on side and all t terms on the other.
- So, we have to be a bit clever. First, we'll multiply both sides by  $\frac{dR_s}{dt}$ :

$$\frac{d^2R_s}{dt^2}\frac{dR_s}{dt} = -\frac{GM_s}{R_s(t)^2}\frac{dR_s}{dt} \tag{2}$$

• How has that helped us to integrate this function to solve for  $R_s$ ?

• First, for the LHS of Eq. 2, consider the product rule:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{dy}{dx}\frac{dy}{dx}\right) = \frac{dy}{dx}\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + \frac{dy}{dx}\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = 2\frac{dy}{dx}\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$$
(3)

• By comparing the RHS of Eq. 3 to the LHS of Eq. 2, we can see that:

$$\int \frac{d^2 R_s}{dt^2} \frac{dR_s}{dt} dt = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dR_s}{dt} \frac{dR_s}{dt} + C = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dR_s}{dt}\right)^2 + C \tag{4}$$

• So now, Eq. 2 has become:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{dR_s}{dt} \right)^2 + C = -\int \frac{GM_s}{R_s^2} \frac{dR_s}{dt} dt \tag{5}$$

where C is a constant of integration.

• For the RHS of Eq. 5, we consider the chain rule:

$$\frac{d\frac{GM_s}{R_s}}{dt} = \frac{d\frac{GM_s}{R_s}}{dR_s} \frac{dR_s}{dt} = -\frac{GM_s}{R_s^2} \frac{dR_s}{dt}$$
 (6)

• Meaning:

$$-\int \frac{GM_s}{R_s^2} \frac{dR_s}{dt} dt = \int \frac{d\frac{GM_s}{R_s}}{dt} dt = \frac{GM_s}{R_s} + U$$
 (7)

where U is a constant of integration, which we can combine with C to give:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{dR_s}{dt} \right)^2 = \frac{GM_s}{R_s} + U \tag{8}$$

• Since the mass,  $M_s$ , within the sphere is constant (there's no net flow in or out of the sphere), we can say:

$$M_s = \frac{4}{3}\pi R_s(t)^3 \rho(t) \tag{9}$$

and we can also say that  $R_s = a(t)r_s$ , where a(t) is the scale factor and r is the radius of the sphere in spherical co-moving coordinates.

• Substituting for  $M_s$  and  $R_s$  (and since  $r_s$  is constant with time) gives:

$$\frac{1}{2} (r_s \dot{a})^2 = \frac{4}{3} \pi \frac{Ga(t)^3 r_s^3}{a(t) r_s} + U$$
 (10)

• where  $\dot{a} = da/dt$ . When rearranged, this becomes:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho(t) + \frac{2U}{r_s^2} \frac{1}{a(t)^2} \tag{11}$$

• which is the Newtonian form of the Friedmann Equation.

• By contrast, the full GR Friedmann equation is given by:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{c^2} - \frac{\kappa c^2}{R_0^2} \frac{1}{a(t)^2}$$
(12)

- There are clear similarities between the two. Notice especially that mass density,  $\rho(t)$ , has become energy density,  $\varepsilon(t)/c^2$ , in the relativistic form of the Friedmann Equation. This is a direct result of the equivalence of mass and energy within relativity:  $E = mc^2$ .
- And the second term becomes associated with the curvature of the universe ( $\kappa$  and  $R_0$ ).