

# ttbar Analysis Status

## NTUA

### 31/8/2020

George Bakas, Ioannis Papakrivopoulos



# Introduction




## Signal Selection

Variables	Selected Cut
pT (both leading jets)	> 400 GeV
Njets	> 1
N leptons	= 0
eta  (both leading jets)	< 2.4
mJJ	> 1000 GeV
jetMassSoftDrop (only for fit)	(50,300) GeV
Top Tagger	> 0.2, 0, 0.1
B tagging (2 btagged jets)	> Medium WP
Signal Trigger	

## Control Region Selection


Variables	Selected Cut
pT (both leading jets)	> 400 GeV
Njets	> 1
N leptons	= 0
eta  (both leading jets)	< 2.4
mJJ	> 1000 GeV
jetMassSoftDrop (only for fit)	(50,300) GeV
Top Tagger	> 0.2, 0, 0.1
B tagging (0 btagged jets)	< Medium WP
Control Trigger	



# Efficiency and Acceptance Plots


b tagging SF's

Parton Efficiency '16,'17,'18 NominalMC



without b tagging SF's


Parton Efficiency '16,'17,'18 NominalMC



# Efficiency and Acceptance Plots


b tagging SF's

Parton Acceptance '16,'17,'18 NominalMC



without b tagging SF's


Parton Acceptance '16,'17,'18 NominalMC



# Efficiency and Acceptance Plots


b tagging SF's

Parton Efficiency '16,'17,'18 NominalMC



without b tagging SF's


Parton Efficiency '16,'17,'18 NominalMC



# Efficiency and Acceptance Plots


b tagging SF's

Parton Acceptance '16,'17,'18 NominalMC



without b tagging SF's

Parton Acceptance '16,'17,'18 NominalMC




# Response Matrices 2016


b tagging SF's

without b tagging SF's

Response Reco-Parton jetPt0 2016 NominalMC



Response Reco-Parton jetPt0 2016 NominalMC






# Response Matrices 2016


b tagging SF's

without b tagging SF's

Response Reco-Parton jetY0 2016 NominalMC



Response Reco-Parton jetY0 2016 NominalMC




# Response Matrices **2017**


b tagging SF's

without b tagging SF's

Response Reco-Parton jetPt0 2017 NominalMC



Response Reco-Parton jetPt0 2017 NominalMC




# Response Matrices 2017


b tagging SF's

without b tagging SF's

Response Reco-Parton jetY0 2017 NominalMC



Response Reco-Parton jetY0 2017 NominalMC




# Response Matrices 2018


b tagging SF's

without b tagging SF's

Response Reco-Parton jetPt0 2018 NominalMC



Response Reco-Parton jetPt0 2018 NominalMC




# Response Matrices 2018


b tagging SF's

without b tagging SF's

Response Reco-Parton jetY0 2018 NominalMC




Response Reco-Parton jetY0 2018 NominalMC




# Contamination Plots Medium WP (CR, SR) [2016](#)

b tagging SF's




without b tagging SF's




# Contamination Plots Medium WP (CR, SR) 2017

b tagging SF's




without b tagging SF's




# Contamination Plots Medium WP (CR, SR) 2018

b tagging SF's




without b tagging SF's





# Contamination Plots Medium WP (CR, SR) 2018



- Both SR and Control Region use the Medium btag WP.
- Intuition is to remove the ttbar and subdominant bkg contribution from the data Control Region

# Simple Mass Fit 2016

## A RooPlot of "mTop"

$$QCD_0(m^t) = D_0(m^t) - T_0(m^t) - Sub_0(m^t)$$

### Without tag SF:


Floating Parameter	FinalValue +/-	Error
kMassResol	9.2245e-01 +/-	2.72e-02
kMassScale	9.9906e-01 +/-	2.01e-03
kQCD_2b	6.8926e-02 +/-	5.06e-02
nFitBkg_2b	2.5236e+02 +/-	1.44e+02
nFitQCD_2b	2.9886e+03 +/-	1.73e+02
nFitSig2b	5.2694e+03 +/-	1.65e+02

Signal strength:  $r = 0.671244 \pm 0.0252439$  (old)

### With b tag sf:

Floating Parameter	FinalValue +/-	Error
kMassResol	9.2251e-01 +/-	2.73e-02
kMassScale	9.9891e-01 +/-	2.01e-03
kQCD_2b	6.9753e-02 +/-	5.26e-02
nFitBkg_2b	2.4472e+02 +/-	1.47e+02
nFitQCD_2b	2.9890e+03 +/-	1.74e+02
nFitSig2b	5.2763e+03 +/-	1.67e+02

Signal strength:  $r = 0.686668 \pm 0.0263103$



# Simple Mass Fit 2017

## A RooPlot of "mTop"

### Without b tag SF:


Floating Parameter	FinalValue +/-	Error
kMassResol	1.0990e+00 +/-	4.05e-02
kMassScale	9.8328e-01 +/-	2.64e-03
kQCD_2b	1.6702e-02 +/-	7.79e-03
nFitBkg_2b	6.3994e+02 +/-	2.81e+02
nFitQCD_2b	2.0219e+03 +/-	3.23e+02
nFitSig2b	4.8080e+03 +/-	1.51e+02

Signal strength:  $r = 0.553099 \pm 0.0198563$  (old)

### With b tag SF:

Floating Parameter	FinalValue +/-	Error
kMassResol	1.0998e+00 +/-	4.02e-02
kMassScale	9.8340e-01 +/-	2.66e-03
kQCD_2b	1.6593e-02 +/-	7.44e-03
nFitBkg_2b	4.9791e+02 +/-	2.68e+02
nFitQCD_2b	2.1662e+03 +/-	3.11e+02
nFitSig2b	4.8059e+03 +/-	1.50e+02

Signal strength:  $r = 0.644361 \pm 0.023851$  (new)



# Simple Mass Fit 2018

## A RooPlot of "mTop"

### Without b tag SF:


Floating Parameter	FinalValue	+/-	Error
kMassResol	1.0171e+00	+/-	2.87e-02
kMassScale	9.8961e-01	+/-	1.92e-03
kQCD_2b	1.3178e-02	+/-	3.01e-03
nFitBkg_2b	3.0164e+02	+/-	2.73e+02
nFitQCD_2b	4.7747e+03	+/-	3.04e+02
nFitSig2b	7.7140e+03	+/-	1.85e+02

Signal strength:  $r = 0.615816 \pm 0.017298$  (old)

### With b tag SF:

Floating Parameter	FinalValue	+/-	Error
kMassResol	1.0156e+00	+/-	2.79e-02
kMassScale	9.8998e-01	+/-	1.92e-03
kQCD_2b	1.2365e-02	+/-	2.50e-03
nFitBkg_2b	1.6612e+00	+/-	6.55e+03
nFitQCD_2b	5.0843e+03	+/-	1.80e+02
nFitSig2b	7.7041e+03	+/-	1.80e+02

Signal strength:  $r = 0.687217 \pm 0.0194349$




# Signal Extraction 2016


b tagging SF's

without b tagging SF's

Fiducial DataOverMC ratio (2016, TOP18013)




Fiducial DataOverMC ratio (2016, TOP18013)



# Signal Extraction 2017


b tagging SF's

Fiducial DataOverMC ratio (2017, TOP18013)



without b tagging SF's


Fiducial DataOverMC ratio (2017, TOP18013)



# Signal Extraction 2018


b tagging SF's

Fiducial DataOverMC ratio (2018, TOP18013)



without b tagging SF's

Fiducial DataOverMC ratio (2018, TOP18013)



# Tag And Probe Calculations 2016

## b tagging SF's

Efficiency--with btagging SF's

eff data:  $0.781 \pm 0.038$

eff ttbar:  $0.772 \pm 0.014$

-----

Efficiency per Pt region

eff data pT[400-600]:  $0.761 \pm 0.042$

eff ttbar pT[400-600]:  $0.778 \pm 0.016$

-----

eff data pT[600-800]:  $0.851 \pm 0.100$

eff ttbar pT[600-800]:  $0.748 \pm 0.031$

-----

eff data pT[800-Inf]:  $0.886 \pm 0.160$

eff ttbar pT[800-Inf]:  $0.775 \pm 0.063$

## without b tagging SF's

Efficiency--without btagging SF's

eff data:  $0.782 \pm 0.039$

eff ttbar:  $0.772 \pm 0.014$

-----

Efficiency per Pt region

eff data pT[400-600]:  $0.762 \pm 0.043$

eff ttbar pT[400-600]:  $0.778 \pm 0.016$

-----

eff data pT[600-800]:  $0.854 \pm 0.103$

eff ttbar pT[600-800]:  $0.748 \pm 0.031$

-----


eff data pT[800-Inf]:  $0.888 \pm 0.161$

eff ttbar pT[800-Inf]:  $0.775 \pm 0.064$








# TagAndProbe Efficiency (2016)



Tight TopTagger + SR TopTagger



Tight TopTagger + Probe



# Tag And Probe Calculations 2017

## b tagging SF's

Efficiency-- with btagging SF's

eff data:  $0.857 \pm 0.040$

**eff ttbar:  $0.875 \pm 0.0072$**

-----

Efficiency per Pt region

eff data pT[400-600]:  $0.872 \pm 0.047$

eff ttbar pT[400-600]:  $0.874 \pm 0.008$

-----

eff data pT[600-800]:  $0.795 \pm 0.088$

eff ttbar pT[600-800]:  $0.876 \pm 0.018$

-----

eff data pT[800-Inf]:  $0.797 \pm 0.186$

eff ttbar pT[800-Inf]:  $0.899 \pm 0.045$

## without b tagging SF's

Efficiency-- without btagging SF's

eff data:  $0.864 \pm 0.043$

**eff ttbar:  $0.875 \pm 0.007$**

-----

Efficiency per Pt region

eff data pT[400-600]:  $0.880 \pm 0.049$

eff ttbar pT[400-600]:  $0.874 \pm 0.008$

-----

eff data pT[600-800]:  $0.8 \pm 0.091$

eff ttbar pT[600-800]:  $0.876 \pm 0.018$


-----

eff data pT[800-Inf]:  $0.796 \pm 0.2$


eff ttbar pT[800-Inf]:  $0.898 \pm 0.045$




# TagAndProbe Efficiency (2017)




**b tagging SF's**



**Tight TopTagger + Probe**



**No b tagging SF's**



# Tag And Probe Calculations 2018

## b tagging SF's

Efficiency-- with tag SF's

eff data:  $0.816 \pm 0.032$

eff ttbar:  $0.839 \pm 0.005$

-----

Efficiency per Pt region

eff data pT[400-600]:  $0.8176 \pm 0.038$

eff ttbar pT[400-600]:  $0.837 \pm 0.006$

-----

eff data pT[600-800]:  $0.809 \pm 0.063$

eff ttbar pT[600-800]:  $0.847 \pm 0.013$

-----

eff data pT[800-Inf]:  $0.772 \pm 0.132$

eff ttbar pT[800-Inf]:  $0.868 \pm 0.032$

## without b tagging SF's

Efficiency-- without tag sf's

eff data:  $0.822 \pm 0.034$

eff ttbar:  $0.839 \pm 0.005$

-----

Efficiency per Pt region

eff data pT[400-600]:  $0.824 \pm 0.039$

eff ttbar pT[400-600]:  $0.837 \pm 0.006$

-----

eff data pT[600-800]:  $0.819 \pm 0.066$

eff ttbar pT[600-800]:  $0.847 \pm 0.013$


-----

eff data pT[800-Inf]:  $0.789 \pm 0.141$


eff ttbar pT[800-Inf]:  $0.868 \pm 0.032$




# TagAndProbe Efficiency (2018)




**b tagging SF's**



Tight TopTagger + SR TopTagger



**No b tagging SF's**




Tight TopTagger + Probe



# Data Vs MC Stacks for BDT output [2016](#)


b tagging SF's

Data vs MC



without b tagging SF's


Data vs MC



# Data Vs MC Stacks for BDT output 2017


b tagging SF's

Data vs MC



without b tagging SF's


Data vs MC



# Data Vs MC Stacks for BDT output 2018


b tagging SF's

Data vs MC



without b tagging SF's

Data vs MC





# BACKUP SLIDES




## Response Matrices 2016


### b tagging SF's

without b tagging SF's

## Response Reco-Parton mJJ 2016 NominalMC



Response Reco-Parton mJJ 2016 NominalMC




# Response Matrices 2017


b tagging SF's

without b tagging SF's

Response Reco-Parton mJJ 2017 NominalMC



Response Reco-Parton mJJ 2017 NominalMC




# Response Matrices 2018

b tagging SF's

without b tagging SF's

Response Reco-Parton mJJ 2018 NominalMC



Response Reco-Parton mJJ 2018 NominalMC

