HEP NTUA Top Angular Report

27/3/2021

George Bakas






Summary Z' Analysis

- Switch to mJJ > 1000 GeV cut:
 - No sensitivity for higher Z' masses (> 2.5 TeV)
 - Calculate significance and Brazilian plots for different mJJ cuts
 - mJJ Cuts: [1000, 1200, 1400, 1600, 1800, 2000] GeV
 - $Significance = \frac{Signal}{\sqrt{Signal + Bkg}}$ where signal is the Z' distribution and $Bkg \coloneqq ttbar + QCD + Subdominant$
 - I was using ttbar as the extracted signal from data: Instead I use the ttbar MC distribution (scaled to the signal strength)
 - For QCD I use the QCD MC distribution which is scaled to data (using k-factor)
 - Both the <u>Significance</u> and <u>Brazilian</u> plots use these files as input → this is the reason that the Brazilian plots are different than what I showed on the HEP NTUA weekly on 24th of March
 - Sliding mJJ Cut?



Significance Graphs (2017)




 $M_{z'} = 1600$, w = 1%

 $M_{z'}$ = 1800, w = 1%




Significance Graphs (2017)



- 4

Significance Graphs (2017)



Significance 0.05

 $M_{z'}$ =4000, w = 1%

0.05

0.04

0.035

0.03

0.025

0.02

0.015

0.01

1200


1400

1600

2000 mJJCut (GeV)

1800

Significance_M4500_W45




 $M_{z'}$ =4500, w = 1%




Brazilian Plots (2017)

mJJ > 1000 GeV

mJJ > 1200 GeV









Asymptotic Limits - Brazilian Plots (2017)

mJJ > 1400 GeV











Brazilian Plots (2017)

mJJ > 1800 GeV

mJJ > 2000 GeV







Brazilian Plots (2017 and 2018) with sliding mJJ Cut

Mass Cut Mapping

{"mZ_1200_12":1000, "mZ_1400_14":1200, "mZ_1600_16":1400, "mZ_1800_18":1600, "mZ_2000_20":1600, "mZ_2500_25":2000, "mZ_3000_30":2000, "mZ_3500_35":2000, "mZ_4000_40":2000, "mZ_4500_45":2000}

2017 2018






BACKUP



Angular Distributions (Brazilian Plot using data!!!!)

Assymptotic limits for M Z': 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5 TeV Width 1%





Angular Distributions (Brazilian Plot using extracted signal!!) vs B2G-16-015

Assymptotic limits for M Z': 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5 TeV Width 1%

2017

B2G-16-015









Angular Distributions (Brazilian Plot using extracted signal!!) vs B2G-16-015

Assymptotic limits for M Z': 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5 TeV Width 1%

2018

B2G-16-015







Signal Selection

Variables	Selected Cut
pT (both leading jets)	> 400 GeV
Njets	> 1
N leptons	= 0
eta (both leading jets)	< 2.4
mJJ	> 1000 GeV
jetMassSoftDrop (only for fit)	(50,300) GeV
Top Tagger	> 0.2, 0, 0.1
B tagging (2 btagged jets)	> Medium WP
Signal Trigger	

Control Region Selection

Variables	Selected Cut
pT (both leading jets)	> 400 GeV
Njets	> 1
N leptons	= 0
eta (both leading jets)	< 2.4
mJJ	> 1000 GeV
jetMassSoftDrop (only for fit)	(50,300) GeV
Top Tagger	> 0.2, 0, 0.1
B tagging (0 btagged jets)	< Medium WP
Control Trigger	



Top Angular Distributions

- We employ the dijet angular variable χ from the rapidities of the two leading jets
- Why χ?
 - The distributions associated with the final states produced via QCD interactions are relatively flat in comparison with the distributions of the BSM models or new particles, which typically peak at low values of x
- We can measure the variable χ in two ways
 - 1. By measuring the difference of the rapidities of the two leading jets such as the corresponding rapidity in the ZMF is:

$$y^* = \frac{1}{2}(y_1 - y_2)$$

X is defined as $\chi = e^{|y^*|} = e^{|y_1 - y_2|}$ (1) and can be measured by creating the TLorentzVector, boost it to the ZMF and find the rapidity difference of the two leading jets

2. By measuring the scattering angle θ^* (angle between top quark and z-axis in the Zero Momentum Frame) We define as $y^* = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\frac{1 + |cos\theta^*|}{1 - |cos\theta^*|})$ and from (1) we can find that:

$$\chi = \frac{1 + |\cos\theta^*|}{1 - |\cos\theta^*|}$$

