Heart Disease Prediction using Logistic Regression and K-Nearest Neighbors

As a part of the course on Data Science we are to choose our own project and use models / algorithms and predict the outcomes based on the dataset. The project, "Heart Disease Prediction using Logistic Regression and K-Nearest Neighbours" has been chosen by me. The project requires coding in R. The project report has been covered under four major heads, viz:

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Analysis
- 3. Results
- 4. Conclusion
- 5. Introduction

This section describes the dataset and variables, and summarizes the goal of the project and key steps that were performed.

1.1. Data Source

Context This data set dates from 1988 and consists of four databases: Cleveland, Hungary, Switzerland, and Long Beach V. It contains 76 attributes, including the predicted attribute, but all published experiments refer to using a subset of 14 of them. The "target" field refers to the presence of heart disease in the patient. It is integer valued 0 = no disease and 1 = disease. The dataset utilized in this project comprises comprehensive information pertaining to patients diagnosed with heart disease. It encompasses a wide array of data derived from multiple factors. Should you wish to access and acquire this dataset, it is readily available for download on Kaggle. (https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/johnsmith88/heart-disease-dataset)

Here are some brief explanations of the variables: • age: Age of the individual in years (integer) • sex: Gender of the individual (1 = male; 0 = female) (integer) • cp: Chest pain type (1 = typical angina; 2 = atypical angina; 3 = non:anginal pain; 4 = asymptomatic) (integer) • trestbps: Resting blood pressure (in mm Hg on admission to the hospital) (integer) • chol: Serum cholesterol in mg/dl (integer) • fbs: Fasting blood sugar level > 120 mg/dl (1 = true; 0 = false) (integer) • restecg: Resting electrocardiographic results (0 = normal; 1 = having ST:T; 2 = hypertrophy) (integer) • thalach: Maximum heart rate achieved (integer) • exang: Exercise induced angina (1 = yes; 0 = no) (integer) • oldpeak: ST depression induced by exercise relative to rest (numeric) • slope: The slope of the peak exercise ST segment (1 = upsloping; 2 = flat; 3 = downsloping) (integer) • ca: Number of major vessels (0:3) colored by flourosopy (integer) • thal: Thalassemia is an inherited blood disorder that affects the body's ability to produce haemoglobin and red blood cells. 0 = normal; 1 = fixed defect; 2 = reversable defect (integer) • target: the predicted attribute: diagnosis of heart disease (angiographic disease status). Value 0 = no disease < 50% diameter narrowing; - Value 1 = disease > 50% diameter narrowing. (integer)

1.2. Objective

The main objective of this project is to predict whether a given person is at risk of having heart disease or not. This prediction is made by analysing several contributing factors, including age, cholesterol level, and the type of chest pain experienced by the individual etc.

In order to achieve this objective, we employ the following algorithms:

- Logistic Regression: This algorithm is a statistical method that is commonly used for binary classification tasks, such as determining the presence or absence of heart disease. It calculates the probability of an individual having heart disease based on the input factors and then classifies them accordingly.
- K-Nearest Neighbours (K-NN): K-Nearest Neighbours is a machine learning algorithm used for classification tasks. In this context, it works by identifying the k-nearest individuals in the dataset who share similar characteristics with the person being evaluated. The algorithm then predicts the presence of heart disease based on the majority class of these nearest neighbours.

1.3. Key Steps

1.3.1 Library

In this project, we have incorporated several essential libraries to facilitate our data analysis and model building. These libraries include: > library(tidyverse) > library(readr) > library(dplyr) > library(ggplot2) > library(lmtest) > library(caret) > library(car) > library(MLmetrics) > library(class) > library(GGally) > library(tinytex)

```
library(tidyverse)
library(readr)
library(dplyr)
library(ggplot2)
library(lmtest)
library(caret)
library(caret)
library(Garet)
library(full test)
library(tinytex)
```

1.3.2. Data Preparation

The Data Preparation process consists of two primary activities: Loading Data and Data Wrangling. We will use the already downloaded data set from Kaggle. The link for downloading of data is mentioned above. The data set with file name heart.csv has been uploaded in the GitHub so that the data is universally availble at the time of running the code by all the stake holders. We will use the read.csv function to read the raw data into RStudio using the GitHub link. Following this, we will perform the Data Wrangling, where we perform data cleaning, transformation, and structuring tasks, ensuring that the data is in an optimal and reliable state for further analysis and model development.

i. Load Data

```
heart <- read.csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/georgebhilai/Heart_Risk_Prediction_Model/main/heart heart %>% head()
```

We get the following output:

age sex cp trestbps chol fbs restecg thalach exang oldpeak slope ca thal 1 52 1 0 125 212 0 1 168 0 1.0 2 2 3 2 53 1 0 140 203 1 0 155 1 3.1 0 0 3 3 70 1 0 145 174 0 1 125 1 2.6 0 0 3 4 61 1 0 148 203 0 1 161 0 0.0 2 1 3 5 62 0 0 138 294 1 1 106 0 1.9 1 3 2 6 58 0 0 100 248 0 0 122 0 1.0 1 0 2 target 1 0 2 0 3 0 4 0 5 0 6 1

ii. Data Wrangling

In Data Wrangling we focus on transforming and structuring the data to prepare it for analysis and modeling. We begin by utilizing the str function. This function allows us to get insight into the data's structure. It gives information about the number of observations and variables, as well as the data types of each variable.

```
str(heart)
```

```
'data.frame': 1025 obs. of 14 variables: $ age : int 52 53 70 61 62 58 58 55 46 54 ... $ sex : int 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 ... $ cp : int 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ... $ trestbps: int 125 140 145 148 138 100 114 160 120 122 ... $ chol : int 212 203 174 203 294 248 318 289 249 286 ... $ fbs : int 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 ... $ restecg : int 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 0 0 0 ... $ thalach : int 168 155 125 161 106 122 140 145 144 116 ... $ exang : int 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1
```

 $\begin{array}{l} 0\ 1\ \dots\ \$\ \text{oldpeak}:\ \text{num}\ 1\ 3.1\ 2.6\ 0\ 1.9\ 1\ 4.4\ 0.8\ 0.8\ 3.2\ \dots\ \$\ \text{slope}:\ \text{int}\ 2\ 0\ 0\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 2\ 1\ \dots\ \$\ \text{ca}:\ \text{int}\ 2\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ \dots \end{array}$

While going through the data we find many variables that need to be converted into factor data types. These variables include sex, cp, fbs, restecg, exang, slope, ca, thal and target. Being categorical variables if the data types are made factor type we will be able to handle these categorical variables in our analysis and modeling, and also help us to better comprehend through visualizations.

```
heart <- heart %>% mutate(sex = as.factor(sex), cp = as.factor(cp), fbs = as.factor(fbs), restecg = as.factor(heart)
```

'data.frame': 1025 obs. of 14 variables: \$ age : int 52 53 70 61 62 58 58 55 46 54 ... \$ sex : Factor w/ 2 levels "0", "1": 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 ... \$ cp : Factor w/ 4 levels "0", "1", "2", "3": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ... \$ trestbps: int 125 140 145 148 138 100 114 160 120 122 ... \$ chol : int 212 203 174 203 294 248 318 289 249 286 ... \$ fbs : Factor w/ 2 levels "0", "1": 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 ... \$ restecg : Factor w/ 3 levels "0", "1": 2 1 2 2 2 1 3 1 1 1 ... \$ thalach : int 168 155 125 161 106 122 140 145 144 116 ... \$ exang : Factor w/ 2 levels "0", "1": 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 ... \$ oldpeak : num 1 3.1 2.6 0 1.9 1 4.4 0.8 0.8 3.2 ... \$ slope : Factor w/ 3 levels "0", "1", "2": 3 1 1 3 2 2 1 2 3 2 ... \$ ca : Factor w/ 5 levels "0", "1", "2", "3", ... 3 1 1 2 4 1 4 2 1 3 ... \$ thal : Factor w/ 4 levels "0", "1", "2", "3": 4 4 4 4 3 3 2 4 4 3 ... \$ target : Factor w/ 2 levels "0", "1": 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 ...

2. Analysis

2.1. Exploratory Data Analysis

The Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) section of our project gives insights from the dataset.

i. Proportions Target Class

We assess the distribution of target variable to understand the prevalence of heart disease.

prop.table(table(heart\$target))

$0\ 1\ 0.4868293\ 0.5131707$

The distribution of the target class in dataset shows that it is balanced, with approximately 48.68% of instances falling into category 0 and around 51.32% into category 1, there is no significant imbalance between the two classes. A balanced distribution of the target class is a favorable sign for our analysis and modeling processes. It ensures that both outcomes are adequately represented in dataset.

ii. Missing Value

This involves an examination of missing data points, with a view to ensuring data completeness and integrity.

anyNA(heart)

[1] FALSE

colSums(is.na(heart))

age sex cp trestbps chol fbs restecg thalach 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 exang oldpeak slope ca thal target 0 0 0 0 0 0

The dataset has no missing values, signifying a good data completeness and integrity. This absence of gaps in the data streamlines analysis and modeling processes

iii. Correlation

Correlation helps to find out the relationships between variables to uncover potential patterns and associations

```
a <- ggcorr(heart, label = TRUE, label_size = 2.5, hjust = 1, layout.exp = 2)
plot(a)</pre>
```

We find that only some correlations between variables can be displayed because the ggcorr library ignores data types other than numeric. Among the displayed correlations, there is a negative correlation between oldpeak and thalach, with a value of -0.3. A negative correlation indicates that when one variable increases, the other tends to decrease, and vice versa. In this context, the value of -0.3 suggests a weak negative relationship between oldpeak (ST segment depression after exercise) and thalach (maximum heart rate achieved during exercise). This implies that as oldpeak increases, thalach tends to decrease, and vice versa. Similar relationship is observed between Thalach and age

2.2. Cross Validation

Before proceeding with model training, the dataset is divided into two distinct sets: the training data and the test data. The training data, constituting 75% of the dataset, is utilized for model training, allowing the model to learn from the data's patterns and relationships. The remaining 25% of the data is reserved for the test data, which serves as a benchmark to assess the model's ability to make accurate predictions on new, unseen data. We are keeping the ratio as 75: 25 since we need the training set to be large enough to train the model. The training is where most data is needed so that the model can reach its full potential and the test set is a much smaller amount of data to help us choose which of the models will perform best on unseen data.

```
RNGkind(sample.kind = "Rounding")
set.seed(231)
index <- sample(x = nrow(heart), size = nrow(heart)*0.75)
heart_train <- heart[index,]
heart_test <- heart[-index,]
prop.table(table(heart_train$target))</pre>
```

$0\ 1\ 0.5091146\ 0.4908854$

With these relatively balanced proportions, it can be inferred that the class distribution in the model remains fairly balanced. This implies that both class 0 and class 1 maintain a comparable representation within the dataset.

2.3. Model Building

We start constructing predictive models to gain valuable insights into heart disease diagnosis. This section is subdivided into three core components: Scaling, Logistic Regression, and K-Nearest Neighbour.

2.4. Scaling

Scaling plays a major role in ensuring the accuracy and effectiveness of the k-Nearest Neighbours (kNN) algorithm in this project. Specifically, z-score standardization is employed to prepare the dataset for kNN classification.

Using the summary() function to obtain summary statistics for each variable in dataset, which includes information such as minimum, 1st quartile, median (2nd quartile), mean, 3rd quartile, and maximum values. This will help understand the range of values in your dataset.

summary(heart)

```
trestbps
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             chol
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     fbs
                                       age
                                                                                       sex
                                                                                                                             ср
\label{eq:min.stress} \mbox{Min.} : 29.00 \ 0:312 \ 0:497 \ \mbox{Min.} : 94.0 \ \mbox{Min.} : 126 \ 0:872 \ \mbox{1st} \ \mbox{Qu.:} \ 48.00 \ 1:713 \ 1:167 \ \mbox{1st} \ \mbox{Qu.:} 120.0 \ \mbox{Min.} : 126 \ \mbox{Min.}
1st Qu.:211 1:153 Median : 56.00 2:284 Median :130.0 Median :240
Mean: 54.43 3: 77 Mean: 131.6 Mean: 246
3rd Qu.: 61.00 3rd Qu.:140.0 3rd Qu.:275
Max.: 77.00 Max.: 200.0 Max.: 564
restec<br/>g thalach exang oldpeak slope ca thal 0:497 Min.: 71.0 0:680 Min.:<br/>0.000 0: 74 0:578 0: 7 \,
1:513 1st Qu.:132.0 1:345 1st Qu.:0.000 1:482 1:226 1: 64 2: 15 Median :152.0 Median :0.800
2:469\ 2:134\ 2:544
Mean: 149.1 Mean: 1.072 3: 69 3:410
3rd Qu.:166.0 3rd Qu.:1.800 4: 18 Max. :202.0 Max. :6.200
target 0:499
1:526
```

The analysis shows that the dataset has a wide range of values for various variables, indicating that scaling is necessary to ensure that variables have a similar influence in the modeling process.

Before applying scaling, it is crucial to separate the data into two distinct parts: the predictor variables, which will be used for modeling, and the target variable, which we aim to predict. This separation is essential to ensure that the scaling process doesn't impact the target variable, allowing us to maintain the integrity of the predictive relationship during kNN modeling.

```
heart_train_x <- heart_train %>% select_if(is.numeric)
heart_test_x <- heart_test %>% select_if(is.numeric)
heart_train_y <- heart_train[,"target"]
heart_test_y <- heart_test[,"target"]
heart_train_xs <- scale(heart_train_x)
heart_test_xs <- scale(heart_test_x, center = attr(heart_train_xs, "scaled:center"), scale = attr(heart_train_xs, "scaled:center")</pre>
```

2.5. Models / Algorithms

2.5.1. Logistic Regression

Logistic Regression using backward feature selection is a model-building process that starts with all available predictor variables and iteratively removes the least significant variables until a subset with the most influential variables remains. This technique helps simplify the model, potentially improving its performance and interpretability while retaining essential predictive factors.

i. Building Model

```
heart_pred_lr <- glm(target ~ ., data = heart_train, family = "binomial")
heart_model_step <- step(object = heart_pred_lr, direction = "backward",trace = F)</pre>
```

ii. Summary Model

```
summary(heart_model_step)
```

Call: $glm(formula = target \sim age + sex + cp + trestbps + chol + thalach + exang + oldpeak + slope + ca + thal, family = "binomial", data = heart_train)$

```
Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) 0.133489 2.486789 0.054 0.957191
age 0.029109 \ 0.015923 \ 1.828 \ 0.067534 .
\mathtt{sex1} - 2.220298 \ 0.363135 - 6.114 \ 9.70e - 10 \ \ \boldsymbol{cp1} \ \boldsymbol{1.122160} \ \boldsymbol{0.370357} \ \boldsymbol{3.030} \ \boldsymbol{0.002446} \ \ \boldsymbol{cp2} \ \boldsymbol{2.027204} \ \boldsymbol{0.324136}
6.254 4.00e-10 cp3 2.443180 0.446890 5.467 4.58e-08 trestbps -0.026347 0.007310 -3.604 0.000313
chol -0.006279 0.002576 -2.437 0.014799 *
thalach 0.027332 0.007788 3.509 0.000449 exanq1 -0.830875 0.282849 -2.938 0.003308 oldpeak -
0.426937 0.144101 -2.963 0.003049 slope1 -0.978950 0.540180 -1.812 0.069945.
slope2 0.346692 0.584982 0.593 0.553412
ca1 -2.252339 0.318190 -7.079 1.46e-12 ca2 -3.630050 0.514034 -7.062 1.64e-12 ca3 -1.967510
0.573945 -3.428 0.000608 ** ca4 1.574593 0.978595 1.609 0.107609
thal1 3.026894 1.911558 1.583 0.113314
thal2 2.141184 1.862130 1.150 0.250203
thal3 1.131188 1.866392 0.606 0.544460
— Signif. codes: 0 '' 0.001 '' 0.01 '' 0.05 '' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
```

Null deviance: 1064.42 on 767 degrees of freedom

Residual deviance: 465.72 on 748 degrees of freedom AIC: 505.72

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6

iii. Interpretation Model

The model results indicate the relationship between the target variable (presence or absence of heart disease) and several predictor variables. The coefficients associated with each predictor variable represent their impact on the log-odds of the target variable.

Notable findings from the model include:

• age has a positive coefficient, suggesting that as a person's age increases, the likelihood of having heart disease also increases. • sex with a value of 1 (indicating male) has a significant negative coefficient, implying that being male is associated with a lower likelihood of heart disease. • cp (chest pain type) are significant predictors, with positive coefficients, indicating a positive correlation with heart disease. • trestbps (resting blood pressure) and chol (serum cholesterol) have negative coefficients, suggesting that higher values of these variables are associated with a lower likelihood of heart disease. • exang1 (presence of exercise-induced angina) has a negative coefficient, indicating a reduced likelihood of heart disease when angina is present during exercise. • ca variable (number of major vessels colored by fluoroscopy) are significant predictors. Higher values of ca are associated with a lower likelihood of heart disease.

iv. Prediction

```
heart_test$pred_lr <- predict(heart_model_step, heart_test, type = "response")
heart_test$pred_label_lr <- ifelse(heart_test$pred_lr >= 0.5, yes = 1, no = 0)
heart_test %>% select(target, pred_lr, pred_label_lr) %>% rmarkdown::paged_table()
```

```
target pred_lr pred_label_lr
```

 $0.9220497047\ 1\ 77\ 1\ 0.5275600593\ 1\ 83\ 0\ 0.3822461696\ 0\ 85\ 1\ 0.9971579669\ 1\ 87\ 1\ 0.9737352985\ 1\ 89\ 0$ $0.1104813713\ 0\ 91\ 1\ 0.9980979113\ 1\ 92\ 1\ 0.9866379426\ 1\ 93\ 0\ 0.0028130324\ 0\ 102\ 1\ 0.6737050744\ 1\ 105\ 1$ $0.9921096318\ 1\ 109\ 0\ 0.5243669302\ 1\ 116\ 0\ 0.2780940867\ 0\ 120\ 1\ 0.8965638340\ 1\ 126\ 1\ 0.9964653306\ 1\ 127\ 1$ $0.4737891438\ 0\ 131\ 1\ 0.9964653306\ 1\ 134\ 1\ 0.9760927126\ 1\ 135\ 1\ 0.8268110136\ 1\ 136\ 0\ 0.0244142173\ 0\ 153\ 0$ $0.0450457111\ 0\ 163\ 0\ 0.2828536600\ 0\ 164\ 0\ 0.2312628549\ 0\ 168\ 1\ 0.9058702511\ 1\ 169\ 1\ 0.4112509555\ 0\ 170\ 1$ $0.9719165347\ 1\ 172\ 0\ 0.0381859776\ 0\ 182\ 1\ 0.8168239305\ 1\ 185\ 1\ 0.8978164362\ 1\ 186\ 0\ 0.0043099629\ 0\ 191\ 1$ $0.9818989157\ 1\ 195\ 0\ 0.0041831577\ 0\ 196\ 1\ 0.9220497047\ 1\ 197\ 0\ 0.2581013415\ 0\ 209\ 1\ 0.9939565169\ 1\ 212\ 0$ $0.0072817521\ 0\ 221\ 0\ 0.8420484863\ 1\ 226\ 1\ 0.8034593345\ 1\ 229\ 1\ 0.9679022461\ 1\ 231\ 0\ 0.0450457111\ 0\ 232\ 1$ $0.9540588680\ 1\ 238\ 0\ 0.7295454491\ 1\ 241\ 1\ 0.7844535775\ 1\ 242\ 0\ 0.6142708223\ 1\ 246\ 1\ 0.9586001649\ 1\ 249\ 1$ $0.9732827718\ 1\ 254\ 0\ 0.0043099629\ 0\ 258\ 1\ 0.7463930991\ 1\ 259\ 0\ 0.3831541810\ 0\ 263\ 1\ 0.9066792555\ 1\ 267\ 0$ $0.0002970236\ 0\ 272\ 1\ 0.8467604190\ 1\ 274\ 0\ 0.0247060710\ 0\ 276\ 0\ 0.0381859776\ 0\ 280\ 1\ 0.9632693533\ 1\ 291\ 1$ $0.9939373130\ 1\ 303\ 1\ 0.9768889306\ 1\ 306\ 0\ 0.0005575060\ 0\ 308\ 1\ 0.9482118073\ 1\ 314\ 1\ 0.7659301046\ 1\ 316\ 1$ $0.3843490991\ 0\ 322\ 1\ 0.9901239474\ 1\ 325\ 1\ 0.8124024714\ 1\ 327\ 0\ 0.1580155401\ 0\ 330\ 1\ 0.9216275468\ 1\ 336\ 0$ $0.0625883721\ 0\ 342\ 1\ 0.9769654158\ 1\ 343\ 1\ 0.9886979839\ 1\ 346\ 0\ 0.0932831970\ 0\ 350\ 0\ 0.2549770870\ 0\ 351\ 1$ $0.4737891438\ 0\ 353\ 0\ 0.0032283080\ 0\ 356\ 1\ 0.6892537671\ 1\ 364\ 1\ 0.8534858902\ 1\ 367\ 0\ 0.1940346732\ 0\ 374\ 0$ $0.6331238547\ 1\ 376\ 1\ 0.5867905557\ 1\ 377\ 1\ 0.8600831824\ 1\ 378\ 1\ 0.0349464594\ 0\ 381\ 0\ 0.0033412467\ 0\ 390\ 1$ $0.9548539066\ 1\ 393\ 1\ 0.9535362310\ 1\ 398\ 0\ 0.0360589178\ 0\ 404\ 1\ 0.9967835603\ 1\ 406\ 0\ 0.2947696141\ 0\ 407\ 1$ $0.9737352985 \ 1 \ 411 \ 1 \ 0.9632693533 \ 1 \ 412 \ 0 \ 0.0216458492 \ 0 \ 422 \ 1 \ 0.7764848577 \ 1 \ 424 \ 0 \ 0.5914721633 \ 1 \ 436 \ 1$ $0.9058702511\ 1\ 439\ 1\ 0.9752796519\ 1\ 444\ 1\ 0.9590355793\ 1\ 447\ 1\ 0.8534858902\ 1\ 448\ 0\ 0.0029430923\ 0\ 449\ 1$ $0.9942576146\ 1\ 450\ 0\ 0.4110702346\ 0\ 452\ 1\ 0.9543437176\ 1\ 456\ 1\ 0.2947958869\ 0\ 462\ 1\ 0.5275600593\ 1\ 466\ 1$ $0.9444739741\ 1\ 482\ 0\ 0.0207518602\ 0\ 487\ 0\ 0.7160222271\ 1\ 491\ 1\ 0.7500828256\ 1\ 492\ 1\ 0.9590355793\ 1\ 497\ 0$ $0.1412411694\ 0\ 499\ 1\ 0.6557647058\ 1\ 501\ 1\ 0.9010932265\ 1\ 508\ 1\ 0.9870591133\ 1\ 516\ 0\ 0.1364185089\ 0\ 518\ 1$ $0.9632693533\ 1\ 523\ 1\ 0.9645521156\ 1\ 525\ 0\ 0.1940346732\ 0\ 526\ 1\ 0.9930330167\ 1\ 531\ 0\ 0.0181863672\ 0\ 535\ 1$ $0.9980979113\ 1\ 539\ 0\ 0.4011243026\ 0\ 541\ 0\ 0.0005907641\ 0\ 542\ 1\ 0.9696653207\ 1\ 543\ 1\ 0.6718924993\ 1\ 544\ 0$ $0.5514269750\ 1\ 547\ 0\ 0.0127819518\ 0\ 551\ 0\ 0.1178235453\ 0\ 554\ 1\ 0.9311450845\ 1\ 567\ 1\ 0.9807260741\ 1\ 570\ 1$ $0.9299799040\ 1\ 572\ 0\ 0.0005236161\ 0\ 581\ 1\ 0.9018543162\ 1\ 588\ 0\ 0.0047515904\ 0\ 594\ 0\ 0.1176090044\ 0\ 598\ 1$ $0.9065169157\ 1\ 622\ 0\ 0.0098990436\ 0\ 626\ 0\ 0.1178235453\ 0\ 627\ 0\ 0.1145046370\ 0\ 629\ 1\ 0.8337441792\ 1\ 633\ 1$ $0.9664406759 \ 1 \ 634 \ 0 \ 0.0064911660 \ 0 \ 647 \ 0 \ 0.9728686786 \ 1 \ 648 \ 1 \ 0.0349464594 \ 0 \ 650 \ 1 \ 0.9664406759 \ 1 \ 651 \ 0$ $0.0005575060\ 0\ 652\ 1\ 0.9719044871\ 1\ 653\ 1\ 0.9635181135\ 1\ 656\ 1\ 0.9258574778\ 1\ 657\ 0\ 0.8420484863\ 1\ 664\ 1$ $0.8454966631\ 1\ 667\ 1\ 0.9467420447\ 1\ 670\ 0\ 0.0932831970\ 0\ 676\ 0\ 0.2581013415\ 0\ 678\ 0\ 0.0188366565\ 0\ 689\ 0$ $0.0012093558\ 0\ 690\ 1\ 0.9955091482\ 1\ 691\ 1\ 0.6524051123\ 1\ 698\ 0\ 0.6142708223\ 1\ 702\ 0\ 0.0362127476\ 0\ 710\ 0$ $0.5885980319\ 1\ 713\ 1\ 0.9719165347\ 1\ 723\ 1\ 0.9645521156\ 1\ 724\ 1\ 0.9650618099\ 1\ 727\ 0\ 0.2312628549\ 0\ 733\ 1$ $0.5316495290\ 1\ 738\ 0\ 0.0013198292\ 0\ 743\ 0\ 0.0143253570\ 0\ 745\ 1\ 0.9787878577\ 1\ 752\ 1\ 0.9791692558\ 1\ 753\ 1$ $0.8124024714\ 1\ 754\ 1\ 0.6605698205\ 1\ 760\ 0\ 0.9712212632\ 1\ 762\ 1\ 0.9673476310\ 1\ 763\ 1\ 0.8563951733\ 1\ 764\ 1$ $0.9934693626\ 1\ 769\ 1\ 0.9018543162\ 1\ 776\ 1\ 0.7546938980\ 1\ 780\ 1\ 0.9948034146\ 1\ 787\ 0\ 0.0157840306\ 0\ 788\ 0$ $0.0006978933 \ 0 \ 791 \ 0 \ 0.0036976643 \ 0 \ 800 \ 1 \ 0.4574525673 \ 0 \ 805 \ 1 \ 0.8383908975 \ 1 \ 809 \ 1 \ 0.5316495290 \ 1 \ 810 \ 1$ $0.9864572975\ 1\ 814\ 0\ 0.0916222977\ 0\ 817\ 1\ 0.8606685265\ 1\ 828\ 0\ 0.2007225937\ 0\ 829\ 1\ 0.9377136080\ 1\ 838\ 1$ $0.7965809089 \ 1 \ 845 \ 0 \ 0.0054195470 \ 0 \ 846 \ 0 \ 0.0154921789 \ 0 \ 848 \ 0 \ 0.0064911660 \ 0 \ 852 \ 1 \ 0.8557615642 \ 1 \ 857 \ 1 \ 0.8567615642 \ 1 \ 0.85676156442 \ 1 \ 0.85676156444 \ 1 \ 0.856761564444 \ 1 \ 0.856761564444 \ 1 \ 0.856761564444 \ 1 \ 0.856761564444 \ 1 \ 0.856761564444 \ 1 \ 0.8567615644444 \ 1 \ 0.85676156444444 \ 1 \ 0.85676144444 \ 1 \ 0.856761444444 \ 1 \ 0.856761444444 \ 1 \ 0.856761444444444 \ 1 \ 0.856761$ $0.9650618099\ 1\ 859\ 1\ 0.9650618099\ 1\ 860\ 0\ 0.1860429464\ 0\ 872\ 1\ 0.9444739741\ 1\ 880\ 1\ 0.8526330265\ 1\ 887\ 0$ $0.0031827617\ 0\ 903\ 0\ 0.1104813713\ 0\ 906\ 0\ 0.0149568713\ 0\ 907\ 1\ 0.7991652043\ 1\ 919\ 0\ 0.5514269750\ 1\ 924\ 1$ $0.6557647058 \ 1 \ 928 \ 1 \ 0.9299799040 \ 1 \ 930 \ 0 \ 0.0011300512 \ 0 \ 933 \ 1 \ 0.8174265469 \ 1 \ 940 \ 1 \ 0.9543437176 \ 1 \ 950 \ 1$ $0.5084024326\ 1\ 952\ 0\ 0.2549770870\ 0\ 955\ 1\ 0.8165919112\ 1\ 970\ 1\ 0.9205806984\ 1\ 972\ 1\ 0.7965809089\ 1\ 974\ 1$ $0.7803830528 \ 1 \ 975 \ 1 \ 0.6942670438 \ 1 \ 978 \ 1 \ 0.9679022461 \ 1 \ 984 \ 1 \ 0.0045226753 \ 0 \ 988 \ 0 \ 0.4746161950 \ 0 \ 991 \ 1$ $0.9540588680\ 1\ 995\ 0\ 0.0129912862\ 0\ 996\ 1\ 0.8467604190\ 1\ 1000\ 0\ 0.0157840306\ 0\ 1008\ 1\ 0.7992820883\ 1\ 1012$ $1\ 0.8978164362\ 1\ 1013\ 0\ 0.8009941281\ 1\ 1014\ 0\ 0.0838275258\ 0\ 1015\ 1\ 0.9955029094\ 1\ 1018\ 0\ 0.0002970236$ $0\ 1022\ 0\ 0.0039652194\ 0\ 1025\ 0\ 0.0113591703\ 0$

2.5.2. K-Nearest Neighbor

i. finding optimum k

sqrt(nrow(heart_train_xs))

[1] 27.71281

The choice of k can significantly impact the model's performance. To find the ideal k, a common approach is to calculate sqrt(nrow(data)) which helps provide a starting point for k selection. In this case, this calculation yields approximately 27.71281. Since the dataset contains binary target classes (0 and 1), it is advisable to opt for an odd value of k to avoid potential ties in the voting process. Therefore, setting k to 27, as suggested by the calculation.

ii. Building Model

```
heart_pred_knn <- knn(train = heart_train_xs, test = heart_test_xs, cl = heart_train_y, k = 27)</pre>
```

- 3. Results
- 3.1. Evaluation
- 3.1.1. Logistic Regression

```
confusionMatrix(data = as.factor(heart_test$pred_label_lr), reference = heart_test$target, positive = "
```

Confusion Matrix and Statistics Reference Prediction 0 1 0 91 11 1 17 138

Accuracy : 0.8911

95% CI: (0.8464, 0.9264)

No Information Rate : 0.5798P-Value [Acc > NIR] : <2e-16

Kappa: 0.7747

Mcnemar's Test P-Value : 0.3447

Sensitivity: 0.9262 Specificity: 0.8426 Pos Pred Value: 0.8903 Neg Pred Value: 0.8922 Prevalence: 0.5798 Detection Rate: 0.5370 Detection Prevalence: 0.6031 Balanced Accuracy: 0.8844

'Positive' Class : 1

3.1.2. K-Nearest Neighbour

```
confusionMatrix(data = heart_pred_knn, reference = heart_test_y, positive = '1')
```

Confusion Matrix and Statistics Reference Prediction 0 1 0 69 22 1 39 127

Accuracy: 0.7626

95% CI: (0.7058, 0.8133) No Information Rate: 0.5798

P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 6.304e-10

Kappa: 0.5021

Mcnemar's Test P-Value : 0.0405

Sensitivity: 0.8523

Specificity: 0.6389 Pos Pred Value: 0.7651 Neg Pred Value: 0.7582 Prevalence: 0.5798 Detection Rate: 0.4942

Detection Prevalence: 0.6459 Balanced Accuracy: 0.7456

'Positive' Class: 1

3.2. Comparison

Metric Logistic. Regression K-Nearest. Neighbor Accuracy 0.8911 0.7626 Sensitivity 0.9262 0.8523 Specificity 0.8426 0.6389

The comparison of the confusion matrix that represents the classified TP values, FP values, FN values and TN values shows that the False negative of 11 and false positive of 17 in case of Logistic Regression approach depicts a better result than that of K-NN approach with a False negative of 22 and False positive of 39.

4. Conclusion

We find that both model performances exhibited notable improvements. A thorough examination of the comparison table reveals that the Logistic Regression model achieved higher scores across crucial metrics, including Recall, Accuracy, Specificity, and Precision, in contrast to the K-Nearest Neighbor (K-NN) model. The metrics to consider depends on the specific objective at hand, and in this context, we are placing significant emphasis on the Recall metric. This is particularly important when we aim to minimize instances where the model incorrectly predicts patients as Target 1 when they are, in fact, healthy, or vice versa. Consequently, the Logistic Regression models emerge as the preferred choice, as they exhibit superior predictive accuracy in identifying individuals with health issues. The Recall value of 92.62% achieved by the Logistic Regression models surpasses the performance of the K-NN models.