

3. **Fall 2007.** Let  $T$  be a linear transformation on a complex vector space  $V$ , not necessarily finite dimensional. Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$  be distinct eigenvalues of  $T$ .

- (a) Suppose that for each  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ),  $v_j$  is an eigenvector of  $T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ . Prove that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$  is linearly independent.
- (b) Now suppose that for each  $j$ ,  $v_j$  is a generalized eigenvector of  $T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ ; that is, there is some integer  $m_j \geq 1$  such that

$$(T - \lambda_j)^{m_j} v_j = 0.$$

Again conclude that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$  is linearly independent. (As a matter of notational convenience, assume each  $m_j$  is chosen to be minimal;  $(T - \lambda_j)^{m_j-1} v_j \neq 0$ .)

a) Assume  $\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$  is not LI. Let  $k < s$  be the largest integer such that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is LI. Then  $v_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i$ , where at least one  $c_i \neq 0$ . Because all  $v_i$  are eigenvectors, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T v_{k+1} &= T \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k c_i T v_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \lambda_i v_i \end{aligned}$$

and also,

$$\begin{aligned} T v_{k+1} &= \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1} \\ &= \lambda_{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \lambda_{k+1} v_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{So } T v_{k+1} - T v_{k+1} &= \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \lambda_{k+1} v_i - \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \lambda_i v_i \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) c_i v_i \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

As all  $\lambda_i$  are distinct,  $(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \neq 0$ . Thus as the  $v_i$ s are LI (not all the  $v_i$ s, just these ones), we must have that  $c_i = 0 \quad \forall$

b) Recall a generalized eigenvector  $v_j$  of rank  $m_j$  satisfies

$$(T - \lambda_j)^{m_j} v_j = 0$$

for a minimal  $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , i.e.,  $(T - \lambda_j)^{m_j-1} v_j \neq 0$ .

Assume  $\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$  are not LI; i.e.,  $\sum_{i=1}^s c_i v_i = 0$  with at least

one nonzero  $c_i$ . We will show WLOG that  $c_1 = 0$ , and thus that all  $c_i = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

Let  $w = (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_1-1} v_1$ . <sup>(nonzero by definition)</sup> Then  $(T - \lambda_1 I)w = 0$ , meaning  $w$  is an eigenvector of  $T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ .

Thus we know:  $Tw = \lambda_1 w \Rightarrow Tw - \lambda_j w = \lambda_1 w - \lambda_j w \quad (\because)$

$$\Rightarrow (T - \lambda_j I)w = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)w$$

$$\Rightarrow (T - \lambda_j I)^n w = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)^n w \rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(T - \lambda_j I)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)^n w \\
 &= (T - \lambda_j I)w (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)^n \\
 &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)w (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)^n \\
 &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)^{n+1} w
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we can knock out all but one of the general eigenvectors by applying a giant transformation:

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i v_i$$

order doesn't matter:

$$\begin{aligned} (T - \lambda_i I)(T - \lambda_j I) &= T^2 - (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)T + \lambda_i \lambda_j I \\ &= T^2 - (\lambda_j + \lambda_i)T + \lambda_i \lambda_j I \\ &= (T - \lambda_j I)(T - \lambda_i I) \end{aligned}$$

and then I like do that forever

also on this side but like cancel

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \left( \underbrace{(T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_1-1}}_{\text{is } w \text{ but no } v_1} \underbrace{\prod_{i=2}^s (T - \lambda_i I)^{m_i}}_{\text{kills all } v_j \text{ except } v_1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^s c_i v_i$$

$$= \left( (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_1-1} \prod_{i=2}^s (T - \lambda_i I)^{m_i} \right) c_1 v_1$$

$$= c_1 \left( \prod_{i=2}^s (T - \lambda_i I)^{m_i} \right) (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_1-1} v_1$$

$$= c_1 \left( \prod_{i=2}^s (T - \lambda_i I)^{m_i} \right) w \quad \uparrow \text{eigenvector!}$$

$$= c_1 \prod_{i=2}^s (\lambda_1 - \lambda_i)^{m_i} w \quad (\text{do a lot of associativity})$$

$$= 0$$

But each  $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_i)^{m_i}$  as well as  $w$  are nonzero, so  $c_1 = 0$ . The result follows because I said WLOG before so I win