

Design of a PID Controller for a Molten Salt Microreactor

Master's Plan

Sam J. Root,¹

Major Professor: Michael McKellar,¹

Committee Members: Robert A. Borrelli¹, Dakota Roberson²

University of Idaho · Idaho Falls Center for Higher Education

¹Department of Nuclear Engineering and Industrial Management

²Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering


2022.10.13

Outline

Scope

Molten Salt Nuclear Battery (MSNB)


- Self-Contained liquid fueled molten salt micro-reactor - 10 year design
- 1 MW design using HALEU UF_4 dissolved in $FLiNaK$
- Criticality is manipulated using axial control drums
 - Neutron absorber plate covering cylinders of neutron reflector
 - Drums are rotated to point more absorber towards the core to insert negative control reactivity



Simplified schematic drawing of an MSNB

Molten Salt Nuclear Battery (MSNB)

- Self-Contained liquid fueled molten salt micro-reactor - 10 year design
- 1 MW design using HALEU UF_4 dissolved in $FLiNaK$
- Criticality is manipulated using axial control drums
 - Neutron absorber plate covering cylinders of neutron reflector
 - Drums are rotated to point more absorber towards the core to insert negative control reactivity



Simplified schematic drawing of an MSNB

Molten Salt Nuclear Battery (MSNB)

- Self-Contained liquid fueled molten salt micro-reactor - 10 year design
- 1 MW design using HALEU UF_4 dissolved in $FLiNaK$
- Criticality is manipulated using axial control drums
 - Neutron absorber plate covering cylinders of neutron reflector
 - Drums are rotated to point more absorber towards the core to insert negative control reactivity

MsNB Control Drums

Background on MSNB

Neutronics [?]

- Control drums give a uniform axial and radial flux profile for all reactivity insertions
- Fission product poisoning is the biggest challenge to reach the desired 10-year lifespan
- Control drum vs. reactivity curve is sinusoidal

Thermal Hydraulics [?]

Process Control

[?]

[?]

Background on MSNB

Neutronics [?]

Thermal Hydraulics [?]

- The counteracting passive feedback effects of temperature reactivity and flow reactivity produce stable autonomous load following for relatively small ramp function power demand transients
- An in-core helix device can be used to manipulate temperature and power profiles in the core, as well as minimize advective loss of delayed-neutron precursors

Process Control

[?]

[?]

Background on MSNB

Neutronics [?]

Thermal Hydraulics [?]

Process Control


- Design controller *complement* the autonomous capabilities provided by the passive feedback mechanisms
- Allow larger faster, more aggressive power changes
- Additional focus on time periods with a high degree of time variance (start-up, shut-down, re-start)

[?]

[?]

Applied Literature Review

Passive Feedback



Simplified block diagram of two primary passive feedback mechanisms in an MSNB

Passive Feedback

Temperature Reactivity

- Doppler broadening of resonance peaks results in more epithermal neutrons absorbed by ^{238}U etc. [?, Ch. 6]
- Molten salt fuels have high thermal expansion coefficient [?]
- Increased temperature leads to lower heavy metal density and smaller macroscopic fission cross-section at high temperature
- Similar to moderator thinning in LWRs
- These two effects combine to result in less power production at higher temperature

[?]

[?]

Passive Feedback

Flow Reactivity

- Driven by advection of delayed neutron precursors [?, Ch. 3]
 - Most fission events release daughter neutrons *promptly*
 - Sometimes, unstable nuclides which decay by neutron emission are produced instead
 - $t_{1/2}$ from less than a second to over a minute [?, Ch. 6]
- Precursors produced near the core exit and long lived precursors may emit their neutrons outside of the core, so they are effectively *lost* from the fission chain reaction
- In natural circulation, larger power transport requires a higher flow rate, and greater delayed neutron losses


[?]

[?]

Main Operational Control Problem - Transport Delay

- Start design process by discussing dynamics associated with anticipated transients
 - Natural circulation flow mode
 - Passive feedback mechanisms
 - Transport delays separating heat exchanger and core
- Thought Experiment
 - Step increase in power demand to a steady-state critical MSNB
 - Set-point is instantaneously equal to heat exchanger power consumption
 - Ideal controller which produces rapid load following with minimal overshoot


Main Operational Control Problem - Transport Delay



Simplified schematic drawing of a natural circulation MSNB


Main Operational Control Problem - Transport Delay

Immediate Response




Simplified schematic drawing of a natural circulation MSNB

Main Operational Control Problem - Transport Delay



Simplified schematic drawing of a natural circulation MSNB


Main Operational Control Problem - Transport Delay




Simplified schematic drawing of a natural circulation MSNB

Design Decisions

Pre-Filter



Pre-Filter on a step-function



Pre-Filter on a ramp-function

Design Decisions

Dead-band

- Minor perturbations will cause power fluctuations
- Fine grain and constant control actuation would burn out servos prematurely
- When the error is small, allow the passive feedback mechanisms to fine tune

Design Decisions

Disturbance Feedforward

- Disturbances, particularly temperature reactivity, are extremely high frequency - On order of mean neutron lifetime [?, Ch. 7]
- Control actuation is similarly quick
- Time delays on the order of dozens of seconds to minutes
- Inserting control reactivity to counteract temperature reactivity at the exact right moment would be difficult or impossible, so it is unlikely that a disturbance feedforward controller could reject the disturbance before it causes error [?, Ch. 10]
- Disturbance rejection best left to feedback PID controller

[?]

[?]

Time-Variance and Non-Linearity

Operational Control

- Sinusoidal control drum angle vs. reactivity curve - non-linear reactivity actuation - Taylor Series approximation to linearize around the operating point [?, Ch. 2]
- Fissile depletion changes the amount of control reactivity required to make the core critical - time-variant controller bias - Bias and gain schedule [?]
- Control drums manipulate *criticality*, not power directly - highly time-dependent control actuation

[?]

[?]

Time-Variance and Non-Linearity

Special Cases

- Equilibrium Poisoning
 - Poisons like ^{135}Xe and ^{149}Sm build-up after the reactor starts - this causes a negative reactivity insertion
 - Reach equilibrium over the first ~ 100 hours
 - Gain/Bias schedule may be used
 - Alternatively, a burnable poison with appropriate *effective* half-life could be selected - ^{157}Gd shows promise to counteract ^{135}Xe build-up
- Restart Poisoning
 - ^{135}Xe levels increase following shut-down because its beta-precursor (^{135}I) decays faster
 - Requires a lot of excess control reactivity and very good control to counteract the positive reactivity insertion of poison burn-out after restart
 - Low-flux burn-out instead of full shut-down
 - Stripping ^{135}Xe and other fission gasses before re-start

Future Work

Control Drum Characterization

MCNP

- Use KCODE to develop control drum vs. reactivity curve at various points in the core lifespan
- Use Burn-up routine to study how the core criticality at different conditions effects the control drum vs. reactivity
 - Cold/clean start-up
 - Burnable poison start-up
 - Equilibrium poisoning
 - Long-term depletion of fissile isotopes
- Develop bias/unity point schedule
- Will to use HPC or Falcon

Process Simulation

Python

- 1D+time finite element model that accounts for passive feedback mechanisms during unsteady state subcritical, critical, and supercritical modes to calculate the core power and flow loop temperature profile over time
- Simulate system response to:
 - Control actuation
 - Heat exchanger transients
- Empirical fitting of reactor transfer function
- Studies can be conducted locally or with cluster resources

Controller Tuning

MATLAB-Simulink

- Model control loop in Simulink
- Investigate system stability using frequency response tests
- Use built-in numerical methods to implement a PID controller tuning method
- Repeat for different core conditions to develop gain-schedule and/or look-up table for the controller parameters

Implementation and Testing

Python

- Implement control drum reactivity, pre-filter, and PID controller into the process simulation
- Test autonomous response to heat exchanger power demand transients
- Repeat with controller active
- Quantitatively compare response using settling time, dampening ratio, peak overshoot ratio etc.

Table: Timeframe for Execution of Project

Tasks	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.
Control Drums	X	X	X				
Process Simulation		X	X	X			
Controller Tuning				X	X		
Implementation					X	X	
Cross-Cutting						X	X
Defend							X

Final Remarks

Other Considerations

- Poison perturbations following power transients [?]
- Melting of *in-situ* frozen salt
- SCRAM system must be passive
- Decay heat system [?]
- Flow rate control

[?]

[?]

- Non-Linearity vs. Time-Variance
- Control Loop

Acknowledgements

This work and my coursework is being completed under a Graduate Fellowship funded by
Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)

References

Temporary page!

\LaTeX was unable to guess the total number of pages correctly. As there was some u data that should have been added to the final page this extra page has been added t If you rerun the document (without altering it) this surplus page will go away, beca now knows how many pages to expect for this document.