Design of a PID Controller for a Molten Salt Microreactor

A Thesis
Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science
with a
Major in Nuclear Engineering
in the
College of Graduate Studies
University of Idaho
by
Sam J. Root

Major Professor: Michael McKellar, Ph.D.
Committee Members: Dakota Roberson, Ph.D.; Robert A. Borrelli, Ph.D.
Department Administrator: Indrajit Charit, Ph.D.

May, 2023

# Abstract

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

# Dedication

To my mother, Tammy, who planted and nurtured my love of science. To my father, Paul, who taught me how to design and build, and showed me that I am an engineer. To my cats, Babe and Bunyan, who stayed up with me all those long nights studying and writing. Thank you for your endless support.

# Table of Contents

# LIST OF TABLES

# LIST OF FIGURES

# LIST OF CODES

# Acronyms

**HPC** High Performance Computing.

**INL** Idaho National Laboratory.

**LTI** Linear Time-Invariant.

**LWR** Light Water Reactor.

**MSNB** Molten Salt Nuclear Battery.

**MSR** Molten Salt Reactor.

**MSRE** Molten Salt Reactor Experiment.

**NPP** Nuclear Power Plant.

**NRC** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**NREL** National Renewable Energy Laboratory.

**ORNL** Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

**PID** Proportional-Integral-Derivative.

# CHAPTER 1

# INTRODUCTION

The world is working to move away from fossil fuel as its main energy source [1]. National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) has partnered with over 700 organizations, including large manufacturing companies, to de-carbonize supply chains [2]. Nuclear power has been well established as an alternative for base-load electrical generation with 93 facilities in the United States and 435 globally which each generate on the order of 1 GWe, but there remains a need for smaller reactors to be deployed in more dynamic applications such as small remote grids (including military bases [3]), manufacturing, and power-peaking [4]. These small energy utilizers could turn to microreactors to fill their needs; to make this a reality, a robust control system for microreactors must be designed that is capable of ramping production up and down to meet demand.

## 1.1 MICROREACTORS

Microreactors, as the name suggests, are small nuclear reactors which are designed to be fully assembled when shipped, rather than constructed on site. This is a hot area of research in the private sector as companies are working to capitalize on the growing need for clean and dependable small scale electrical generation [5]. They aim not to replace the utility scale Nuclear Power Plants (NPPs) which handle base-load electrical generation, but the diesel or natural gas engines that are found at countless manufacturing facilities, peaking stations, military bases, islands, and other locations where on-site generation is the primary or only source of power.

The goal is to deliver a prefabricated microreactor to a site, integrate it to the necessary power cycles and process heat applications, and meet the needs of the site for a long period of time - up to a decade - without the need for refueling or significant maintenance. One of the biggest challenges in implementing microreactors is the transients that these applications often require. Engines handle these quite well, simply adjusting the flow rates of fuel and combustion air. Nuclear reactor load following is a bit more complicated, as the reactor must be made supercritical to ramp up power or subcritical to decrease power. This necessitates characterization of the reactor's criticality control & actuation system and reactivity feedback mechanisms, so an effective 'reactor-following-turbine' controller can be tuned [6, Ch. 8].

## 1.2 Molten Salt Reactors

Molten salts are highly desirable in high temperature applications due to their excellent thermophysical properties [7]. Salt mixtures have been developed to have very wide liquid temperature ranges (i.e. low melting point and high vaporization point). They also have very high volumetric heat capacities compared to other high temperature coolants (which tend to be gaseous), and are able to operate at or slightly above ambient pressure. These properties combine to make molten salts excellent choices in heat transfer and thermal storage applications. Furthermore, they are extremely strong electrolytes which cn be useful as solvents, catalysts, or reagents in certain chemical reactions including a pyrometallurgical method for reprocessing spent nuclear fuel [8].

Molten Salt Reactors (MSRs) are a family of nuclear reactor in which a fuel salt (containing fissile and/or fertile nuclides) is dissolved in a coolant salt [9]. The concept was proven by the Molten Salt Reactor Experiment (MSRE) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) in the 1960s [10]. It has yet to take off beyond the research reactor sector, but it has re-emerged as a Gen-IV reactor concept, with a team at the Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics gaining approval to operate a now fully constructed thorium breeding MSR [11]. Some of the benefits of MSRs over more conventional LWRs include:

- Higher operating temperatures allow for use in applications requiring high-grade process heat, and yield higher thermal efficiency [9];

- Lower operating pressures contribute to inherent safety, and permit less expensive components [7];

- The ability to burn minor actinides supports the goal of reducing global stockpiles of high-level waste [7];

- Natural circulation of the fuel introduces an additional feedback mechanism that presents the possibility of autonomous load following of certain power demand transients [12];

- There is no concern of core melt-down as the reactor is designed for liquid fuel;

- The liquid state homogenizes nuclides throughout the core, which minimizes burn-up gradient to produce a flatter temperature and power profile within the core [13, Ch. 3]. The flowing nature also allows for online reprocessing, removing fission products and poisons during operation;

They also carry some demerits:

- Molten salts are very corrosive, often requiring more expensive materials [14];

- The chemistry of the coolant (not only the fuel) is constantly changing due to fission, transmutation, and impurities from corrosion;

- Lithium is commonplace in molten salts, so tritium production is unavoidable, being formed by both the $^6Li(n,\alpha)^3H$ (Rxn. 1.1) and $^7Li(n,n\alpha)^3H$ reaction . Off-gas systems need to be robust to handle tritium as well as radionuclide noble gasses, halides, and inter-halides [15];

$$^6Li + n \rightarrow {}^3H + \alpha \qquad \text{(Rxn. 1.1)}$$

## 1.3 MOLTEN SALT NUCLEAR BATTERY

The Molten Salt Nuclear Battery (MSNB) is a self contained design for a liquid fueled molten salt microreactor [16, 5]. It is fueled by an inorganic form of uranium, $UF_4$, dissolved in a coolant salt such as $FLiNaK$ (a eutectic mixture of three alkali fluorides) or $FLiBe$ (a mixture of $LiF$ and $BeF_2$) [9]. Heat is generated in the core by fission and is rejected in an integrated heat exchanger (Fig. 1.1). Criticality is manipulated using axial control drums, which may be rotated to aim either a neutron reflecting material or a neutron absorbing material towards the core. The design studied in this work is intended to produce up to 10 MWth, although larger designs of up to 50 MWth also exist .

update the power capabilities when that is confirmed



Figure 1.1: Simplified schematic drawing of an MSNB. Heat is generated in the core by fission, is transported by natural circulation of the coolant/fuel salt, and rejected to a secondary working fluid in the heat exchanger before returning to the inlet plenum through the downcomer.

## 1.4 SCOPE

As a developing design, work has been done on neutronics [5], thermal-hydraulics and autonomous load following [16], and corrosion concerns [17]. However, until now, little to no work has been done on the control system. First and foremost, this work details a multiphysics characterization of the MSNB required to design a feedback controller capable of matching the core power generation to the secondary power demand. In addition to the main control mode of following power transients during normal operation, specific discussion is centered around more dynamic time periods, namely: 1) initial start-up; 2) shutdown, both planned and emergency; and 3) restart;

This work is focused on the operational control system. There are a number of related systems that will also need to be considered, such as: 1) *in-situ* melting of salt immediately following delivery and installation; 2) neutron seed for initial start-up; and 3) decay heat removal for both planned and emergency shut-down; These are important systems but are out of scope for this project.

CHAPTER 2

# PROCESS CONTROL ENGINEERING

There are two main goals in process control engineering: 1) Reference tracking, where a process variable is matched to a set-point which may be changed over time; and 2) Disturbance rejection, where the process variable is held to the set-point despite outside influence upsetting it; This is usually achieved by a controller which measures the system inputs $^{and}/_{or}$outputs using a sensor/transmitter and controls the process variable by manipulating an actuator.

## 2.1 FEEDBACK



Figure 2.1: Feedback control loop. The process-variable ($PV$) is measured by the transducer ($H$) and compared to the set-point ($SP$). The controller ($C$) uses the actuator ($A$) to control the process ($P$) based on the error ($e$).

The most common type of controller is a feedback controller. Fig. 2.1 shows a simple feedback control loop with an output sensor/transmitter (*i.e.* transducer), controller, and actuator working together to control a process. The controller takes action (u) based on the 'error' ($e$) between the set-point ($SP$) and process-variable ($PV$) (Eqn. 2.1). These systems are typically modeled using transfer functions in the Laplace (or 's') domain.

$$e(t) = PV(t) - SP(t) \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2.1)}$$

The action, or controller output ($u$) is often determined by a Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) equation (Eqn. 2.2), which considers the instantaneous, cumulative, and predictive error in determining the proper actuation [18, Ch. 5]. This equation has three terms:

1) Proportional control term. The control output is manipulated in proportion to the error defined by the proportional gain constant ($K_P$). A high gain yields an aggressive controller that is prone to overshooting the set-point, while a low gain may result in steady-state offset.

2) Integral control term, which considers the historical cumulative error (calculated by taking the time integral of the error) in an effort to eliminate steady-state offset that a P-Only controller may exhibit. As the process variable settles around the set-point, the cumulative error approaches a constant value and the effect of the integral controller diminishes.

3) Derivative control term, which estimates the time rate of change of the error to dampen overshoot. This mechanism, sometimes referred to as anticipatory control, slightly reduces the proportional response to the error when the error is changing rapidly. This results in reducing the peak overshoot. A well tuned anticipatory gain can allow a more aggressive proportional gain to be used without the large overshoot.

$$u(t) = \underbrace{K_P e(t)}_{\text{Proportional}} + \underbrace{K_I \int_0^t e(t)dt}_{\text{Integral}} + \underbrace{K_D \frac{de(t)}{dt}}_{\text{Derivative}} \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2.2)}$$

Instead of using three different gain constants, it is common for controllers to be tuned in terms of a single controller gain ($K_C$) plus two time constants: 1) The integral time constant ($\tau_I$); and 2) The derivative time constant ($\tau_D$); In this case, Eqn. 2.2 is rewritten as:

$$u(t) = K_C \left( e(t) + \tau_I^{-1} \int_0^t e(t)dt + \tau_D \frac{de(t)}{dt} \right) \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2.3)}$$

## 2.2 FEEDFORWARD

The term 'Feedforward' can be used to refer to any element in the control block diagram that exists outside of the feedback loop. In process control, feedforward controllers are almost always implemented alongside, not instead of feedback controllers because a standalone feedforward controller is not guaranteed to reach the set-point.

### DISTURBANCE FEEDFORWARD

In many processes, the process variable is effected by phenomena other than the actuator. These other phenomena are defined as disturbances. A well-tuned feedback controller is capable of disturbance rejection, but only after the disturbance causes error. In some cases, a disturbance feedforward controller may be added to the feedback controller to cause the actuator to counteract the effect of the disturbance before it occurs [18, Ch. 10]. Fig. 2.2 shows a feedback control loop with the addition of a disturbance feedforward controller.

The most prevalent disturbances that would effect the power output of the core of a MSNB are the temperature reactivity feedback effect common to all nuclear reactors and the flow reactivity specific to natural circulation driven liquid fueled MSRs [12].

Figure 2.2: Feedback control loop with disturbance feedforward. It is identical to Fig. 2.1 with the addition of a disturbance ($D$) which effects the process variable ($PV$) according to the disturbance dynamics ($P_D$), and is measured by the disturbance transducer ($H_D$). The signal from $H_D$ is sent to the disturbance feedforward controller ($C_D$) who's output ($u_D$) is combined with ($u$) to form the total control output ($u^*$).

Temperature reactivity feedback is dominated by Doppler Broadening, where the radiative capture resonance peaks of nuclides such as $^{238}U$ are depressed to cover a wider epithermal neutron spectrum [19, Ch. 2]. This results in a lower resonance escape probability [19, Ch. 3] and a negative correlation between fuel temperature and fuel reactivity. Liquid fuels also have a high thermal-expansion coefficient, so higher core temperatures lead to lower heavy metal density and lower macroscopic fission cross-section in the core [5].

Flow reactivity is driven by the advection of delayed neutron precursors [6, Ch. 3]. Not all fission neutrons are released promptly; sometimes an unstable nuclide which eventually decays by neutron emission produced instead. These unstable nuclides are called delayed neutron precursors and have half-lives ranging from less than a second to over a minute [20, Ch. 7]. An example is given by Rxn. 2.1

$$^{87}Br \xrightarrow[56sec]{\beta^-} {}^{87}Kr^* \to {}^{86}Kr + n \tag{Rxn. 2.1}$$

Since the fuel in a MSNB is flowing, some delayed neutron precursors will leave the core by advection before the neutron is emitted in a much less reactive part of the reactor. When the temperature differential between the core and primary heat exchanger is increased, the natural circulation flow rate increases too. This decreases the likelihood of delayed neutrons being emitted in the core, and negatively impacts core reactivity. Helix devices meant to elongate the in-core flow path may minimize delayed neutron losses [16].

Disturbance feedforward will not be utilized in the design of the controller outlined in this work. When the outlet temperature of the heat exchanger is decreased, it takes time for the cooler salt to reach the core. The disturbance transport delay is on the order of minutes. Contrastly, Doppler Broadening has a nearly instantaneous effect, so disturbance dynamics are on the order of milliseconds, governed by the mean neutron lifetime [20, Ch. 7]. The effect of control actuation are similarly prompt. Even with a temperature sensor just at the inlet of the core it would be nearly impossible to reliably predict the exact moment that control reactivity would be need to be inserted to counteract the temperature reactivity.

## PRE-FILTER



Figure 2.3: Feedback control loop with pre-filter. It is identical to Fig. 2.1 with the addition of the pre-filter ($F$) which reshapes changes to the set-point ($SP$) before calculating the error ($e$).

The control loop for a feedback system with a pre-filter is included as Fig. 2.3. Pre-filters are another type of feedforward mechanism common in control systems. They are typically first-order transfer functions such as Eqn. 2.4 function used to improve the performance of the associated feedback controller (as depicted by Fig. 2.4) by 'slowing down' the rate of change of the set-point. The gain (numerator) for a pre-filter is always unity because the desire is only to reshape the input, not resize it. The time-constant ($\tau_F$) describes how quickly the output equilibrates with the input.

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{\tau_F s + 1}$$
(Eqn. 2.4)



(a) Step-function

(b) Ramp-function

Figure 2.4: Pre-filter on step-function and ramp-function. When the pre-filter acts on a step-function, it follows an exponential curve, reaching 63.2% of the magnitude of the step in 1 time constant, 86.5% in 2 time constants, 95.0% in 3 time constants and so on. The ramp-function exhibits similar but more complicated dynamics due to the changing input.

By resisting instantaneous set-point changes, or step functions, the pre-filter minimizes the instantaneous error during transients and minimizes overshoot by reducing over-actuation or actuator saturation. This is particularly useful in a control system such as the one designed in this report where the set-point is coupled to some other value. In this case, the core power generation needs to match the demand of the secondary system (*e.g.* power cycle). This method allows the secondary system to immediately change to its necessary value while giving the reactor core time to safely and effectively respond.

The area between the solid blue and dashed orange curves corresponds the net amount of energy expelled from the molten salt loop following the transient. This manifests as a drop in the average salt temperature. If the reactor begins to operate near thermophysical boundaries, it may be necessary for the core to over-produce in order to bring the temperature back up. An alternative approach could be to use a slightly under-damped second-order pre-filter so the core power generation briefly overshoots the heat exchanger power consumption to balance the energy inequality.

## 2.3 Transport Delay Problem

A good place to start in the design of this controller is discussing the dynamics associated with anticipated transients. The prominent factors here are the natural circulation flow mode and the transport delay separating the heat exchanger and core. A common transient for the MSNB is a step-increase in power demand to a steady-state critical MSNB where the core power generation set-point is instantaneously equal to the heat exchanger power consumption. For this thought experiment, consider an ideal controller which produces rapid load following with minimal overshoot.



(a) Immediate Response     (b) Heat Exchanger Perturbation     (c) Core Perturbations

Figure 2.5: Simplified schematic drawings of the transport delay problem in a natural circulation MSNB. These figures illustrate how an ideal controller would create hot (shown in red) and cold (shown in blue) 'edges' in the temperature profile of the primary loop. These edges result in periodic disturbances in the form of sharp temperature reactivity insertions to the core, and are caused by three key events: a) The instantaneous power changes upsetting the outlet temperature of both the core and heat exchanger; b) The first hot salt reaching the heat exchanger $\theta_{riser}$ after the power change; and c) The first cold salt reaching the core $\theta_{downcomer}$ after the power change; It would take a very long time for these periodic disturbances to dampen with a controller that very quickly counteracts reactivity feedback.

### Immediate Response

The heat-exchanger immediately rejects more thermal energy to the secondary loop and the core immediately generates more power. The core outlet temperature increases quite sharply, but since there is a transport delay between the core outlet and heat exchanger inlet ($\theta_{riser}$), this hot salt does not instantaneously reach the heat exchanger, and the heat exchanger outlet temperature drops sharply. Thus, the heat exchanger outlet temperature also drops.

As these hot and cold regions propagate and grow, the natural circulation driving force increases which results in a negative flow reactivity insertion. This is a gradual disturbance which the ideal controller can effectively reject by a counteracting insertion of positive control reactivity.

## Heat Exchanger Perturbation

Following the response to the initial step-increase, the first notable event occurs when the hot region in the riser reaches the heat exchanger. This produces a hot 'edge' in the downcomer temperature profile that lags the cold edge by approximately $\theta_{riser}$, and again disturbs the core through a change in flow reactivity.

## Core Perturbations

The next event occurs when the cold edge reaches the core inlet, $\theta_{downcomer}$ after the step-increase, causing a rapid insertion of positive temperature reactivity which must be rejected by the controller. $\theta_{riser}$ later, the hot edge inserts negative temperature reactivity. Each of these responses cause subsequent temperature edges which rise to the heat exchanger and continue through the system. It is apparent that the 'ideal' controller actually inhibits the ability for the reactor to return to steady-state following a transient, instead prolonging both flow reactivity and temperature reactivity oscillations.

A pre-filter that reshapes the core set-point will make the initial hot edge more gradual. Proper tuning of the pre-filter time-constant will allow the reactivity oscillations to decay more quickly. Previous work [12] has shown that the passive feedback mechanisms (temperature and flow reactivity) are capable of autonomous load following for small transient, though not at the level of performance that may be required in certain applications. Still, this provides the opportunity to minimize fine and rapid actuation while dampening oscillations by using a dead-band; the 'ringing out' of minor perturbations could be left to the passive feedback mechanisms after the active feedback controls the bulk of the power change.

## 2.4 Time-Variance and Non-Linearity

In control systems, it is typically preferred to work with Linear Time-Invariant (LTI) systems. There are a number of non-linearities and time variances at play in the control of the MSNB which must be handled:

- The Control Drum Angle vs. Reactivity Curve, which describes the relationship between control actuation and system response, is not linear, but sinusoidal [5]. Over small changes to the control drum angle, the curve may be linearized using Taylor Series approximation [18, Ch. 2];

- The core reactivity decreases over the course of months and years due to the depletion of fissile nuclides [20, Ch. 7]. This means that the bias-point (or unity-point) of the control drums will drift to provide less negative control reactivity. The bias-point, controller gain, and time constants will need to change with time. These parameters will be gain-scheduled according to the core's burn-up level, similarly to how autopilot systems for high-altitude aircraft account for the different air properties and mach-number at different altitudes [21];

- The control drums manipulate the criticality of the core, making it supercritical to increase the power, and subcritical to decrease. This is a highly time dependant exponential control mechanism. The derivative control time constant will need to be carefully tuned to minimize the likelihood of significant overshoot following a power transient;

In addition to the relatively slow time variance of fissile fuel depletion during steady-state critical operation, there are specific times in a MSNB's expected operational life-cycle that exhibit a higher degree of time variance: 1) Start-up; 2) Shut-down; and 3) Re-start.

## Start-up

Prior to start-up, it is very likely that the molten salt fuel/coolant mixture will need to be thawed. Initial start-up will also require a neutron source as a seed for the fission chain reaction [19, Ch. 2]. Each of these systems are important considerations for the design of the MSNB and warrant further investigation, but are out of scope for this work and are briefly discussed in Chapter 6.2. The key consideration regarding the start-up control system is the build-up of fission product neutron poisons in the first hours and days of operation.

### Xenon Poisoning

$^{135}Xe$ is the strongest known neutron poison, with a microscopic neutron capture cross-section of 2.65 Mb [20, Table II.2]. The concentration of $^{135}Xe$ is described by a system of differential equations which quantifies the generation, consumption, and decay of itself and its beta-precursor $^{135}I$. Equations Eqn. 2.5 and Eqn. 2.6 can be used to track the concentrations of iodine-135 and xenon-135 during start-up, steady-state operation, power transients, shut-down, and dead-time [20, Ch. 7].

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \underbrace{\gamma_I \Sigma_f^F \phi(t)}_{\text{Fission Yield}} - \underbrace{\lambda_I I(t)}_{\text{Beta Decay}} \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2.5)}$$

$$\frac{dXe}{dt} = \underbrace{\gamma_{Xe} \Sigma_f^F \phi(t)}_{\text{Fission Yield}} + \underbrace{\lambda_I I(t)}_{\text{Precursor Decay}} - \underbrace{\lambda_{Xe} Xe(t)}_{\text{Beta Decay}} - \underbrace{Xe(t)\sigma_a^{Xe}\phi(t)}_{\text{Radiative Capture}} \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2.6)}$$

Each nuclide is formed by $^{235}U$ fission, and removed by beta-decay. Additionally, $^{135}Xe$ is formed by the beta-decay of $^{135}I$ and removed by radiative neutron capture[1]. Each nuclide builds-up in the reactor until the formation terms, i.e. fission yield and precursor decay, equilibrate with the removal terms, beta decay and radiative capture. By setting the time derivatives to zero, the neutron flux dependent equilibrium concentrations (Eqn. 2.7 and Eqn. 2.8) may be derived algebraically [20, Ch. 7] and can contribute over 2000 pcm of negative poison reactivity to the core.

$$I_\infty(\phi) = \frac{\gamma_I \Sigma_f^F}{\lambda_I} \phi \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2.7)}$$

$$Xe_\infty(\phi) = \frac{(\gamma_I + \gamma_{Xe})\Sigma_f^F}{\lambda_I + \sigma_a^{Xe}\phi} \phi \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2.8)}$$

---

[1]In reality, the decay chain is a bit more complicated than this. $^{135}Te$, the direct beta-precursor to $^{135}I$ is formed by $^{235}U$ fission [22]. It's half-life is orders of magnitude shorter than $^{135}I$, so it is common to neglect its formation and instead lump its fission yield with $^{135}I$. $^{135m}Xe$ is also formed by $^{135}I$ beta-decay and is neglected for similar reasons.

**Samarium Poisoning**

The second most important neutron poison to consider after $^{135}Xe$ is $^{149}Sa$ . $^{149}Pm$ is formed by fission[2]and decays to $^{149}Sa$ , which is stable, and has a large neutron capture cross-section [20, Ch. 7]. Eqn. 2.9 looks identical to Eqn. 2.5, but Eqn. 2.10 is simpler than Eqn. 2.6 as $^{149}Sa$ is stable and is not a direct fission product.

$$\frac{dPm}{dt} = \underbrace{\gamma_{Pm}\Sigma_f^F\phi(t)}_{\text{Fission Yield}} - \underbrace{\lambda_{Pm}Pm(t)}_{\text{Beta Decay}} \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2.9)}$$

$$\frac{dSa}{dt} = \underbrace{\lambda_{Pm}Pm(t)}_{\text{Precursor Decay}} - \underbrace{Sa(t)\sigma_a^{Sa}\phi(t)}_{\text{Radiative Capture}} \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2.10)}$$

This system too reaches an equilibrium which may be found algebraically where the time derivatives are null. Eqn. 2.11 is of the same form as Eqn. 2.7. Interestingly Eqn. 2.12 is independent of the neutron flux in the core. Because it is stable, it is only removed by the same neutron flux that forms it, and contributes a relatively constant 442 pcm of poison reactivity.

$$Pm_\infty(\phi) = \frac{\gamma_P m\Sigma_f^F}{\lambda_{Pm}}\phi \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2.11)}$$

$$Sa_\infty(\phi) = \frac{\gamma_{Pm}\Sigma_f^F}{\sigma_a^{Sa}} \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2.12)}$$

**Burnable Poison Control**

LWRs are commonly controlled using neutron absorbing additives in two ways [6, Ch. 8]: 1) Burnable poisons (typically poisons who's daughter are neutron-transparent [19, Ch. 14]) are placed in certain fuel rods to flatten the power profile; and 2) Soluble poisons (*i.e.* chemical shim) dissolved in the moderator/-coolant to account for non-equilibrium fission product poison build-up and fissile material depletion.

MSRs may be able to use the intersection of these two concepts; a neutron poison may be added to the molten salt mixture to counter-act the poison reactivity built-up by equilibrium poisons like $^{135}Xe$ and $^{149}Sa$ . Enough poison would need be added to the fuel salt to equate the reactivity of fresh fuel to the reactivity fuel with $^{135}Xe$ and $^{149}Sa$ at their equilibrium levels. There are many candidates for such a soluble poison, including boron-10 and isotopes of gadolinium, hafnium, and other lanthanides.

An ideal poison for this application should form a compound that is soluble in the molten salt at the desired level, and it should be burnt at a rate similar to the formation rate of its fission product counterpart. As the concentration of the fission product poisons build up, the concentration of the burnable poison depletes, and unlike chemical shimming, the consumed poison would not be replenished. Making the average core reactivity equivalent upon cold-clean start-up and equilibrium would simplify the gain and bias scheduling early in the fuel lifetime, particularly if the burnable poison has an appropriate effective half-life [?].

---

[2]As with $^{135}I$ , $^{149}Pm$ actually comes from the decay of a much shorter lived nuclide $^{149}Nd$ . Because neither $^{149}Pm$ nor $^{149}Nd$ are strong neutron absorbers, the presence of $^{149}Nd$ may be neglected in favor of its longer lived daughter product.

Shut-down

Planned shut-down, either for scheduled maintenance or due to a lack of demand, is quite simple. The heat exchanger load is brought to zero or very low power, and the core set-point gradually follows. Careful tuning of the pre-filter time constant can alleviate potential thermal hydraulic (*e.g.* stagnation or reverse-flow) and thermophysical (*e.g.* salt precipitation, freezing, or vaporization) concerns [12]. Whether the reactor is shut down for planned purposes or in an emergency, a decay heat [13] removal system will need to be designed to keep the salt from vaporizing. The emergency shut-down (SCRAM) system and decay heat removal systems are briefly discussed in Chapter 6.2.

Re-start

Compound dynamics in the $^{135}Xe$ decay chain result in the generation of a large poison reactivity in the hours following a shut-down. This can make restarting the reactor difficult or impossible for a period of approximately two days. When the reactor is shut down, each flux-containing term in the system of ODEs (Eqn. 2.5 and Eqn. 2.6) goes to zero, and the concentrations of the two nuclides are described by the Bateman equations [23], which have a readily available solution (Eqn. 2.13 and Eqn. 2.14), given some initial condition [20]. $^{135}I$ follows simple exponential decay. $^{135}Xe$ has a longer half-life than $^{135}I$ , as well as a lower equilibrium concentration (owing to its huge radiative capture cross-section). This causes an inverse response, where the $^{135}Xe$ concentration initially spikes before its population grows and the $^{135}I$ population shrinks to the point that the rate of $^{135}Xe$ decay exceeds its formation.

$$I(t) = I_0 e^{-\lambda_I t} \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2.13)}$$

$$Xe(t) = Xe_0 e^{-\lambda_{Xe} t} + \frac{\lambda_I I_0}{\lambda_I - \lambda_{Xe}}(e^{\lambda_{Xe} t} - e^{\lambda_I t}) \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2.14)}$$

This system of equations is not ideal, as it results in mandatory reactor dead time. Most nuclear reactors are not designed with enough excess control reactivity to overcome the iodine pit, so they must wait for the xenon to decay. Even if the control system does have the ability to restart during the xenon peak, it can be very unsafe to do so. When the fission chain reaction is restarted, the neutron flux increases and begins transmuting $^{135}Xe$ . The removal of poisons is akin to positive reactivity insertion [22]. Decreasing poison concentration increases the neutron population and therefore the rate of poison removal. This is a positive feedback system which could run away quickly if control reactivity is not removed to match.

The duration of the xenon spike may be shortened by adding an additional negative term to Eqn. 2.6. This is not physically realizable in cladded solid fuel reactors. It is however possible to remove a gaseous solvent from a liquid (such as MSR fuel) through a process called stripping [24, Ch. 10]. Helium may be bubbled through the molten salt in a sparge tube, and the xenon (as well as any other fission gasses [25]) would preferentially transport into the gaseous phase which would later be separated in a cyclone or similar apparatus [26]. This advective removal of $^{135}Xe$ would allow the MSNB to be restarted without having to wait for the poison to decay [?]. $^{149}Sa$ is stable and strongly dissolved in the molten salt, so it is always 'burnt off' during restart [20, Ch. 7].

CHAPTER 3

# REACTOR CHARACTERIZATION



Figure 3.1: Control loop of a natural circulation MSNB. It is a normal feedback loop with a pre-filter, with the addition of the passive feedback mechanisms. The core ($\dot{Q}_{Core}$) and heat exchanger ($\dot{Q}_{HEX}$) powers go through the respective temperature dynamics ($G_C$ and $G_H$) and time delays for the riser ($\theta_R$) and downcomer ($\theta_{DC}$) before being converted to reactivity by the temperature($\alpha_T$) and flow ($\alpha_F$) feedback mechanisms. The passive reactivity feedback is combined with the control drum reactivity ($\rho_{CD}$) and fed into the reactor dynamics ($P(s)$).

## 3.1 REACTOR DESIGN SELECTION

Accident tolerant control drums

## 3.2 NEUTRONICS MODELING

Alternating criticality and burn-up modeling - poisoning and burn-up

Excess Reactivity and Shutdown Margin

Neutron Spectra

## 3.3 Process Simulation

CHAPTER 4

# CONTROLLER DESIGN

4.1 CORE POWER TRANSDUCER

4.2 ACTUATOR

4.3 REACTOR TRANSFER FUNCTION

4.4 TUNING METHODOLOGY

CHAPTER 5

# RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

# CHAPTER 6

# CONCLUSIONS

## 6.1 LIMITATIONS

## 6.2 FUTURE WORK

### FUEL SALT THAWING

Because microreactors are meant to be delivered in a fully or mostly assembled state, it is likely that the MSNB will be shipped with the molten fuel/coolant salt mixture frozen *in-situ*. The salt will need to be melted before initial start-up, and the heat source for melting the cannot be fission, as the MSNB requires advective heat removal caused by natural circulation; this is not possible if the flow channels between the core and heat exchanger are frozen. One possible method for salt thawing involves passing low-voltage high-current electricity through the pipes in contact with the salt, similar to how frozen water pipes are thawed [27]; this would be coupled with the introduction of hot secondary coolant into the heat exchanger to provide the necessary hot reservoir.

### NEUTRON SOURCE

A neutron source will be required to start the fission chain reaction after installation, and after any long periods of inactivity. $^{235}U$ undergoes spontaneous fission [28, Ch. 6], and at high enrichment may be used as the only neutron seed simply by putting the control actuators in a supercritical orientation. More commonly, a dedicated source is used, such as $^{252}Ca$, which undergoes spontaneous fission much more rapidly, or a composite of a strong alpha-emitter (*e.g.* $^{238}Pu$, $^{241}Am$, $^{210}Po$, or $^{226}Ra$) and $^{9}Be$ which emits a neutron according to Rxn. 6.1 [29, Ch. 2]. Dedicated neutron seed materials composed of these nuclides could be placed in the core through specialized mechanisms, though the introduction of the seed species as a soluble salt warrants a feasibility analysis.

$$^{9}Be + \alpha \rightarrow {}^{12}C + n + \gamma \qquad \text{(Rxn. 6.1)}$$

## SCRAM System

The emergency shutdown (*i.e.* SCRAM) system must be passive. In LWRs, this is achieved by including large control rods which are actively held out of the core, so that a loss of power results in automatic insertion. Larger MSR designs may include a SCRAM tank into which the fuel/coolant salt drains in the event of power failure. These systems are often actuated by a freeze plug [30] and put the salt in a subcritical orientation by the inclusion of neutron control materials [31, Ch. 1] and high geometric buckling [20, Ch. 6].

In the MSNB, the control drums will be actively actuated such that loss of power results in a negative control reactivity insertion of the greatest possible magnitude. Still, a freeze plug SCRAM tank system should also be included to make the system truly fail-safe

how to emphasize that this is author opinion

## Decay Heat Removal

Thermal power continues to be released by the decay of radio-nuclides after the fission chain reaction is stopped. For non-emergency shut-down, this heat may be removed by the same heat exchanger used for the secondary loop. There should also be a passive system that rejects decay heat in the event of total power failure, such as a direct contact system which removes heat through the vaporization of sodium [32] in the scram tank. This latent heat driven system is ideal because it minimizes the possibility of the salt freezing due to over-cooling.

## Flow Rate Control

# 6.3 Summary Remarks

# REFERENCES

[1] Valluri, Sriram Kumar, 2021. Carbon Capture and Utilization. Ph.D. thesis, Michigan Technological University.

[2] NREL, 2021. Technology partnerships. Technical report, National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL).

[3] Secretary of the Air Force, Public Affairs, 2022. Request for propasal released for eielson air force base micro-reactor pilot program. Energy Installations and Environment.

[4] Nuclear Energy Institute, 2018. Roadmap for the deployment of micro-reactors for u.s. department of defense domestic installations. Technical report, Nuclear Energy Institute.

[5] Peterson, John, 2019 8. An Analysis of the Nuclear Characteristics of a Molten Salt Microreactor. Master's thesis, University of Idaho.

[6] Kerlin, Thomas W., Upadhyaya, Belle R., 2019. Dynamics and Control of Nuclear Reactors. Elsevier Inc., Knoxville, Tennessee.

[7] Roper, Robin, Harkema, Megan, Sabharwall, Piyush, Riddle, Catherine, Chisholm, Brandon, Day, Brandon, Marotta, Paul, 2022. Molten salt for advanced energy applications: A review. Annals of Nuclear Energy 169, 108924. ISSN 0306-4549. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2021.108924. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030645492100801X

[8] Simpson, Michael F., 2012. Development of spent nuclear fuel pyroprocessing technology at Idaho National Laboratory (INL). Technical report, Idaho National Laboratory (INL).

[9] Roper, Robin V., Sabharwall, Piyush, Christensen, Richard, 2019. Chemical overview of molten salts. ANS Annual Meeting.
URL https://www.ans.org/pubs/transactions/article-45524/

[10] Haubenreich, P N, Engel, J R, Prince, B E, Claiborne, H C, 1964 2. Msre design and operations report. part iii. nuclear analysis. Technical report, Oak Ridge National Laboratory. doi:10.2172/41 14686.
URL https://www.osti.gov/biblio/4114686

[11] World Nuclear News, 2022. Chinese molten-salt reactor cleared for start up. World Nuclear News.
URL `https://www.world-nuclear-news.org/articles/chinese-molten-salt-reactor-cleared-for-start-up`

[12] Carter, John P., Christensen, Richard, Yoon, Sujong, 2022. Numerical analysis of dynamic load following response in a natural circulation molten salt power reactor system. Nuclear Engineering and Design .

[13] Todreas, Neil E., Kazimi, Mujid S., 1990. Nuclear Systems Volume I: Thermal Hydraulic Fundamentals. Taylor and Francis, USA.

[14] Roper, Robin V., Christensen, Richard, 2019. Redox potential control for the molten salt reactor concept. ANS Winter Meeting.
URL `https://www.ans.org/pubs/transactions/article-47571/`

[15] Andrews, Hunter B., McFarlane, Joanna, Chapel, A. Shay, Ezell, N. Dianne Bull, Holcomb, David E., de Wet, Dane, Greenwood, Michael S., Myhre, Kristian G., Bryan, Samuel A., Lines, Amanda, Riley, Brian J., Felmy, Heather M., Humrickhouse, Paul W., 2021. Review of molten salt reactor off-gas management considerations. Nuclear Engineering and Design 385, 111529. ISSN 0029-5493. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nucengdes.2021.111529.
URL `https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0029549321004817`

[16] Carter, John P., 2022. Multi-Physics Investigation of a Natural Circulation Molten Salt Micro-Reactor that Utilizes an Experimental In-pile Device to Improve Core Physics and System Thermal-Hydraulic Performance. Ph.D. thesis, Univesity of Idaho.

[17] Roper, Robin, 2022. The Effect of Impurities and Geometry on the Corrosion and Thermodynamic Behavior of Molten Salts. Ph.D. thesis, Univesity of Idaho.

[18] Bequette, B. Wayne, 2003. Process Control: Modeling Design and Simulation. Pretice Hall, Upper Sadle River, New Jersey.

[19] Duderstadt, James J., Hamilton, Louis J., 1976. Nuclear Reactor Analysis. Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 1st edition.

[20] Lamarsh, John R., Baratta, Anthony J., 2001. Introduction to Nuclear Engineering. Pretice Hall, Upper Sadle River, New Jersey, 3rd edition.

[21] Gahinet, Pascal M., Apkarian, Pierre, 2013. Automated tuning of gain-scheduled control systems. IEEE Conference on Decision and Control.
URL `https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6760297`

[22] Al Rashdan, Ahmad, Roberson, Dakota, 2019. A frequency domain control perspective on xenon resistance for load following of thermal nuclear reactors. IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 66(9), 2034. doi:10.1109/TNS.2019.2934171.

[23] Ding, Zechaun, 2019. Solving bateman equation for xenon transient analysis using numerical methods. Nuclear Engineering and Technology .

[24] Geankoplis, Christie J., 1993. Transport Processes and Unit Operations. Pretice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 3rd edition.

[25] Andrews, Hunter B., McFarlane, Joanna, Chapel, A. Shay, Ezell, N. Dianne Bull, Holcomb, David Eugene, de Wet, Dane, Greenwood, Michael S., Myhre, Kristian G., Bryan, Samuel A., Lines, Amanda, Riley, Brian J., Felmy, Heather M., Humrickhouse, Paul W., 2021 10. Review of molten salt reactor off-gas management considerations. Nuclear Engineering and Design 385. doi:10.1016/j.nucengdes.2021.111529.

[26] Peebles, F.N., 1968. Removal of xenon-135 from circulating fuel salt of the msbr by mass transfer to helium bubbles. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission .

[27] Bohlander, Thomas W., 1963. Electrical method for thawing frozen pipes. Journal AWWA 55(5), 602. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1551-8833.1963.tb01056.x.
URL https://awwa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/j.1551-8833.1963.tb01056.x

[28] Shultis, J. Kenneth, Faw, Richard E., 2002. Fundamentals of Nuclear science and Engineering. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York City, New York, 1st edition.

[29] Kok, Kenneth D., 2009. Nuclear Engineering Handbook. Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, Florida, 1st edition.

[30] Tiberga, Marco, Shafer, Devaja, Lathouwers, Danny, Rohde, Martin, Kloosterman, Jan Leen, 2019. Preliminary investigation on the melting behavior of a freeze-valve for the molten salt fast reactor. Annals of Nuclear Energy 132, 544. ISSN 0306-4549. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2019.06.039.
URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306454919303573

[31] Murty, K. Linga, Charit, Indrajit, 2013. An Introduction to Nuclear Materials: Fundamentals and Applications. Wiley-VCH, Raliegh, NC.

[32] Wang, Shisheng, Massone, Mattia, Rineiski, Andrei, Merle-Lucotte, E., Laureau, A., Gérardin, D., Heuer, D., Allibert, M., 2019. A passive decay heat removal system for emergency draining tanks of molten salt reactors. Nuclear Engineering and Design 341, 423. ISSN 0029-5493. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nucengdes.2018.11.021.
URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0029549318309567

# APPENDIX A

# TEST

## A.1 PYTHON

Code 1: Hello!

```python
print("Hello World") #comment
try:
    a=2/x
except ZeroDivisionError:
    print('undefined')
```

Inline codes like `import` numpy or `x = 1`

Code 2: F strings

```python
x = 4
print(f"The numeral four: {x}")
#comment
```

## A.2 SERPENT

Code 3: Fuel!

```
1    /*
2    Enriched (4%) Uranium Metal
3    */
4    mat fuel     -10.1
5    92235.03c    -0.04
6    92238.03c    -0.96
7    'string'
```

Inline codes like `surf s1 sqc 0.0 0.0 100.0`.

Code 4: Physics Cards

```
1    % _____Physics cards_____
2    set power 1e7 %10MWth
3    set pop 1000000 500 100 1
4    %dep daystep 1 1 1 1 1
5    %set mcvol 10000000
6    %set nbuf 10
7    %set printm 1 1e-10
8    %set inventory "all"
9    %set pcc leli 10 10
10   set acelib "endfb71r1_p2" "endfb71r1" "jeff31u"
```

# APPENDIX B

# DECK WRITING SCRIPT

Code 5: drum.py

```python
def card(deg):
    with open('cards/drum.txt','w') as drumcards:
        drumcards.write(f'% Control drum absorber pads, numbered clockwise from 9 o clock
                        \n')
        drumcards.write(f'surf 80 pad -45.05 0 16 17 {deg-45} {deg+45} % drum 1\n')
        drumcards.write(f'surf 81 pad -31.8551605 31.8551605 16 17 {deg-0} {deg+90} %
                        drum 2\n')
        drumcards.write(f'surf 82 pad 0 45.05 16 17 {deg+45} {deg+135} % drum 3\n')
        drumcards.write(f'surf 83 pad 31.8551605 31.8551605 16 17 {deg+90} {deg+180} %
                        drum 4\n')
        drumcards.write(f'surf 84 pad 45.05 0 16 17 {deg+135} {deg+225} % drum 5\n')
        drumcards.write(f'surf 85 pad 31.8551605 -31.8551605 16 17 {deg+180} {deg+270} %
                        drum 6\n')
        drumcards.write(f'surf 86 pad 0 -45.05 16 17 {deg-135} {deg-45} % drum 7\n')
        drumcards.write(f'surf 87 pad -31.8551605 -31.8551605 16 17 {deg-90} {deg+0} %
                        drum 8\n')
```

Code 6: salt.py

```python
def uranium(enrich):
    total = 1.40246e-2 + 5.69859e-2
    u235 = total*enrich/100
    u238 = total - u235
    return '{:.5e}'.format(u235), '{:.5e}'.format(u238)

def card(enrich):
    u235, u238 = uranium(enrich)
    with open('cards/salt.txt','w') as saltcards,\
         open ('cards/salttemps.txt','r') as temps:

        saltcards.write('%_____Material Cards_____\n')
        saltcards.write('%LiF-NaF-KF-UF4\n')
        saltcards.write(f'%18 mole percent UF4 at {enrich} percent enrichment\n')

        for line in temps:
            saltcards.write(line)
            with open('cards/flinak.txt','r') as flinak:
                for l in flinak:
                    saltcards.write(l)
            saltcards.write(f'92235.06c {u235}\n')
            saltcards.write(f'92238.06c {u238}\n')
```

Code 7: makedeck.py

```python
import datetime,os
import salt, drum
now =datetime.date.today()

deg = float(input(''))
enrich = 19.75 #percentage
folder = f'./results/{deg}'

if not os.path.exists(folder):
    os.makedirs(folder)

print(folder)
salt.card(enrich)
drum.card(deg)

with open(f'{folder}/MSNB', 'w') as deck,\
     open('cards/cell.txt','r') as cell,\
     open('cards/surface.txt','r') as surface,\
     open('cards/drum.txt','r') as drum,\
     open('cards/salt.txt','r') as salt,\
     open('cards/material.txt','r') as material,\
     open('cards/physics.txt','r') as physics,\
     open('cards/plot.txt','r') as plot:

    deck.write(f'set title "Root MSNB at {deg} Degrees, {enrich} percent enrichment"\n')
    deck.write(f'%Revised {now}\n%\n')

    for line in cell:
        deck.write(line)
    for line in surface:
        if not 'WRITE_PADS' in line:
            deck.write(line)
        else:
            for l in drum:
                deck.write(l)
    for line in salt:
        deck.write(line)
    for line in material:
        deck.write(line)
    for line in physics:
        deck.write(line)
    for line in plot:
        deck.write(line)
```

Code 8: cell.txt

```
 1  % _____CELL CARDS_____
 2  %
 3  % Fuel in Inlet, Outlet, and Downcomer
 4  cell 101 0 Salt0 13 -16 45 -46 101 102 %Fuel in Downcomer
 5  cell 102 0 Salt0 12 -13 41 -46 101 102 %Fuel in Lower Spoke
 6  cell 103 0 Salt0 12 -13 -41 % Fuel Lower Plenum
 7  cell 104 0 Salt9 -18 31 -40 %Fuel in Riser
 8  cell 105 0 Salt9 18 -19 -40 %Fuel in Upper Plenum
 9  cell 106 0 Salt9 18 -19 -46 40 101 102 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 % Fuel in Upper Spoke
10  cell 107 0 Salt0 13 -14 -40 101 102 % Fuel passing thru Lower Orifice Plate
11  cell 108 0 Salt9 -31 15 -40 101 102 % Fuel passing thru Lower Orifice Plate
12  %
13  % Fuel in Core
14  cell 112 0 Salt1 21 -22 -40 103 104
15  cell 111 0 Salt0 14 -21 -40 103 104
16  cell 113 0 Salt2 22 -23 -40 103 104
17  cell 114 0 Salt3 23 -24 -40 103 104
18  cell 115 0 Salt4 24 -25 -40 103 104
19  cell 116 0 Salt5 25 -26 -40 103 104
20  cell 117 0 Salt6 26 -27 -40 103 104
21  cell 118 0 Salt7 27 -28 -40 103 104
22  cell 119 0 Salt8 28 -15 -40 103 104
23  %
24  %Fuel in HEX
25  cell 121 0 Salt1 16 -31 43 -46 101 102
26  cell 122 0 Salt2 31 -32 43 -46 101 102
27  cell 123 0 Salt3 32 -33 43 -46 101 102
28  cell 124 0 Salt4 33 -34 43 -46 101 102
29  cell 125 0 Salt5 34 -35 43 -46 101 102
30  cell 126 0 Salt6 35 -36 43 -46 101 102
31  cell 127 0 Salt7 36 -37 43 -46 101 102
32  cell 128 0 Salt8 37 -38 43 -46 101 102
33  cell 129 0 Salt9 38 -18 43 -46 101 102
34  %
35  % Structure
36  cell 200 0 SS304 11 -12 -46 % Base
37  cell 201 0 SS304 13 -14 -45 40 % Reflector Bottom
38  cell 202 0 SS304 14 -15 44 -45 % Downcomer Pipe
39  cell 203 0 SS304 15 -16 42 -45 % Reflector Topper
40  cell 204 0 SS304 17 -18 40 -43 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 % Chimney Absorber Topper
41  cell 205 0 SS304 16 -17 42 -43 % Chimney Absorber Pipe
42  cell 206 0 SS304 10 -19 46 -47 % Reactor Vessel Pipe
43  cell 207 0 SS304 19 -20 -47 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 %Lid
44  %
```

```
45  % Reflector/Absorber
46  cell 300 0 BeO 10 -11 -46 % Bottom Reflector
47  cell 301 0 BeO 15 -17 40 -41 % Chimney Reflector
48  cell 302 0 BeO 14 -15 40 -48 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 % Interstitial Reflector
49  cell 303 0 GRPH 14 -15 48 -44 % Safety Reflector Outer
50  cell 304 0 B4C 15 -17 -42 41 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 % Chimney Absorber
51  cell 305 0 BeO 14 -15 -40 (-103:-104) %In Core Moderator
52  cell 306 0 BeO 15 -31 (-101:-102) -40 %Core Top Orifice Plate
53  %
54  % Control Drum Reflectors
55  cell 401 0 BeO 14 -15 -90 80 60 % drum 1
56  cell 402 0 BeO 14 -15 -91 81 61 % drum 2
57  cell 403 0 BeO 14 -15 -92 82 62 % drum 3
58  cell 404 0 BeO 14 -15 -93 83 63 % drum 4
59  cell 405 0 BeO 14 -15 -94 84 64 % drum 5
60  cell 406 0 BeO 14 -15 -95 85 65 % drum 6
61  cell 407 0 BeO 14 -15 -96 86 66 % drum 7
62  cell 408 0 BeO 14 -15 -97 87 67 % drum 8
63  %
64  % Control Drum Absorbers
65  cell 501 0 B4C 14 -15 -80 60 % drum 1
66  cell 502 0 B4C 14 -15 -81 61 % drum 2
67  cell 503 0 B4C 14 -15 -82 62 % drum 3
68  cell 504 0 B4C 14 -15 -83 63 % drum 4
69  cell 505 0 B4C 14 -15 -84 64 % drum 5
70  cell 506 0 B4C 14 -15 -85 65 % drum 6
71  cell 507 0 B4C 14 -15 -86 66 % drum 7
72  cell 508 0 B4C 14 -15 -87 67 % drum 8
73  %
74  % Control Drum Drive Shafts
75  cell 601 0 SS304 -60 70 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 1
76  cell 602 0 SS304 -61 71 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 2
77  cell 603 0 SS304 -62 72 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 3
78  cell 604 0 SS304 -63 73 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 4
79  cell 605 0 SS304 -64 74 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 5
80  cell 606 0 SS304 -65 75 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 6
81  cell 607 0 SS304 -66 76 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 7
82  cell 608 0 SS304 -67 77 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 8
83  cell 701 0 NiCrMo -70 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 1 fill
84  cell 702 0 NiCrMo -71 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 2 fill
85  cell 703 0 NiCrMo -72 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 3 fill
86  cell 704 0 NiCrMo -73 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 4 fill
87  cell 705 0 NiCrMo -74 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 5 fill
88  cell 706 0 NiCrMo -75 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 6 fill
89  cell 707 0 NiCrMo -76 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 7 fill
90  cell 708 0 NiCrMo -77 14 -20 % driveshaft tube 8 fill
91  %
```

```
 92  % Flow separators
 93  cell 800 0 SS304 -101 12 -13 41 -46 % lower spoke dividers 1
 94  cell 801 0 SS304 -102 12 -13 41 -46 % lower spoke dividers 2
 95  cell 802 0 SS304 -101 13 -16 45 -46 % downcomer surround dividers 1
 96  cell 803 0 SS304 -102 13 -16 45 -46 % downcomer surround dividers 2
 97  cell 804 0 SS304 -101 16 -18 43 -46 % heat exchanger dividers 1
 98  cell 805 0 SS304 -102 16 -18 43 -46 % heat exchanger dividers 2
 99  cell 806 0 SS304 -101 18 -19 40 -46 % upper spoke dividers 1
100  cell 807 0 SS304 -102 18 -19 40 -46 % upper spoke dividers 2
101  cell 808 0 BeO (-101:-102) 13 -14 -40 % core bottom orifice plate dividers 1
102  %
103  % Outside
104  cell 900 0 Conc -10 -100 %  below vessel
105  cell 901 0 Conc 10 47 -20 -100 %  around vessel
106  cell 902 0 Air 20 -100 %  above vessel
107  cell 999 0 outside 100 %void
108  %
```

Code 9: surface.txt

```
 1  % _____SURFACE CARDS_____
 2  %
 3  % Reactor planes
 4  surf 10 pz -11 % Bottom
 5  surf 11 pz -1 % Reactor Vessel base bottom
 6  surf 12 pz 0 % Reactor Vessel base top
 7  surf 13 pz 12 % Reflector clad bottom
 8  surf 14 pz 13 % Reflector clad top
 9  surf 15 pz 179 % core/chimney divider
10  surf 16 pz 180 % step clad upper
11  surf 17 pz 215 % Spoke clad bottom
12  surf 18 pz 216 % Spoke clad top
13  surf 19 pz 228 % Lid top
14  surf 20 pz 231 % Lid top
15  %
16  % Core Stratification
17  surf 21 pz 31.4444 %top of slice 1
18  surf 22 pz 49.8889 %top of slice 2
19  surf 23 pz 69.3333 %top of slice 3
20  surf 24 pz 86.7778 %top of slice 4
21  surf 25 pz 105.2222 %top of slice 5
22  surf 26 pz 123.6667 %top of slice 6
23  surf 27 pz 142.1111 %top of slice 7
24  surf 28 pz 160.5556 %top of slice 8
25  %
```

```
26  % HEX Stratification
27  surf 31 pz 184 %top of slice 1
28  surf 32 pz 188 %top of slice 2
29  surf 33 pz 192 %top of slice 3
30  surf 34 pz 196 %top of slice 4
31  surf 35 pz 200 %top of slice 5
32  surf 36 pz 204 %top of slice 6
33  surf 37 pz 208 %top of slice 7
34  surf 38 pz 212 %top of slice 8
35  %
36  % Reactor cylinders
37  surf 40 cyl 0 0 25 % riser inner
38  surf 41 cyl 0 0 36.5 % chimney reflector outer
39  surf 42 cyl 0 0 48 % chimney absorber outer
40  surf 43 cyl 0 0 49 % absorber liner
41  surf 44 cyl 0 0 66 % safety absorber outer
42  surf 45 cyl 0 0 67 % reflector liner
43  surf 46 cyl 0 0 69.5 % RV inner
44  surf 47 cyl 0 0 72.5 % RV outer
45  surf 48 cyl 0 0 63.5 % reflector outer
46  surf 49 cyl 0 0 2.0 % AS center stem
47  %
48  % Control drum driveshafts
49  surf 60 cyl -45.05 0 2.5 % drum drive tube outer 1
50  surf 70 cyl -45.05 0 2 % drum drive tube inner 1
51  surf 61 cyl -31.8551605 31.8551605 2.5 % drum drive tube outer 2
52  surf 71 cyl -31.8551605 31.8551605 2 % drum drive tube inner 2
53  surf 62 cyl 0 45.05 2.5 % drum drive tube outer 3
54  surf 72 cyl 0 45.05 2 % drum drive tube inner 3
55  surf 63 cyl 31.8551605 31.8551605 2.5 % drum drive tube outer 4
56  surf 73 cyl 31.8551605 31.8551605 2 % drum drive tube inner 4
57  surf 64 cyl 45.05 0 2.5 % drum drive tube outer 5
58  surf 74 cyl 45.05 0 2 % drum drive tube inner 5
59  surf 65 cyl 31.8551605 -31.8551605 2.5 % drum drive tube outer 6
60  surf 75 cyl 31.8551605 -31.8551605 2 % drum drive tube inner 6
61  surf 66 cyl 0 -45.05 2.5 % drum drive tube outer 7
62  surf 76 cyl 0 -45.05 2 % drum drive tube inner 7
63  surf 67 cyl -31.8551605 -31.8551605 2.5 % drum drive tube outer 8
64  surf 77 cyl -31.8551605 -31.8551605 2 % drum drive tube inner 8
65  %
66  WRITE_PADS
67  %
```

```
68   % Control drums, likewise
69   surf 90 cyl -45.05 0 17    % drum 1
70   surf 91 cyl -31.8551605 31.8551605 17   % drum 2
71   surf 92 cyl 0 45.05 17   % drum 3
72   surf 93 cyl 31.8551605 31.8551605 17 % drum 4
73   surf 94 cyl 45.05 0 17 % drum 5
74   surf 95 cyl 31.8551605 -31.8551605 17   % drum 6
75   surf 96 cyl 0 -45.05 17 % drum 7
76   surf 97 cyl -31.8551605 -31.8551605 17 % drum 8
77   %
78   % Flow separators
79   surf 101 cross 0 0 75.5 3
80   surf 102 cross 0 0 75.5 3
81   strans 101 0 0 1 0 0 22.5
82   strans 102 0 0 1 0 0 -22.5
83   %In Core Moderator
84   surf 103 cross 0 0 27 1
85   surf 104 cross 0 0 27 1
86   strans 103 0 0 1 0 0 22.5
87   strans 104 0 0 1 0 0 -22.5
88   %
89   %Extremeties
90   surf 100 sph 0 0 0 300
91   %
```

Code 10: drum.txt

```
1   % Control drum absorber pads, numbered clockwise from 9 o clock
2   surf 80 pad -45.05 0 16 17 66.9 156.9 % drum 1
3   surf 81 pad -31.8551605 31.8551605 16 17 111.9 201.9 % drum 2
4   surf 82 pad 0 45.05 16 17 156.9 246.9 % drum 3
5   surf 83 pad 31.8551605 31.8551605 16 17 201.9 291.9 % drum 4
6   surf 84 pad 45.05 0 16 17 246.9 336.9 % drum 5
7   surf 85 pad 31.8551605 -31.8551605 16 17 291.9 381.9 % drum 6
8   surf 86 pad 0 -45.05 16 17 -23.099999999999994 66.9 % drum 7
9   surf 87 pad -31.8551605 -31.8551605 16 17 21.900000000000006 111.9 % drum 8
```

Code 11: salt.txt

```
 1  %_____Material Cards_____
 2  %LiF-NaF-KF-UF4
 3  %18 mole percent UF4 at 19.75 percent enrichment
 4  mat Salt0 -3.643499 tmp 873 rgb 197 0 0
 5  3006.06c 1.49954e-5
 6  3007.06c 1.49939e-1
 7  9019.06c 6.06524e-1
 8  19039.06c 1.26311e-1
 9  19041.06c 9.11554e-3
10  11023.06c 3.70854e-2
11  92235.06c 1.40246e-02
12  92238.06c 5.69859e-02
13  mat Salt1 -3.628150 tmp 889.66 rgb 204 0 0
14  3006.06c 1.49954e-5
15  3007.06c 1.49939e-1
16  9019.06c 6.06524e-1
17  19039.06c 1.26311e-1
18  19041.06c 9.11554e-3
19  11023.06c 3.70854e-2
20  92235.06c 1.40246e-02
21  92238.06c 5.69859e-02
22  mat Salt2 -3.620470 tmp 897.99 rgb 217 0 0
23  3006.06c 1.49954e-5
24  3007.06c 1.49939e-1
25  9019.06c 6.06524e-1
26  19039.06c 1.26311e-1
27  19041.06c 9.11554e-3
28  11023.06c 3.70854e-2
29  92235.06c 1.40246e-02
30  92238.06c 5.69859e-02
31  mat Salt3 -3.612788 tmp 906.32 rgb 230 0 0
32  3006.06c 1.49954e-5
33  3007.06c 1.49939e-1
34  9019.06c 6.06524e-1
35  19039.06c 1.26311e-1
36  19041.06c 9.11554e-3
37  11023.06c 3.70854e-2
38  92235.06c 1.40246e-02
39  92238.06c 5.69859e-02
40  mat Salt4 -3.605102 tmp 914.65 rgb 242 0 0
41  3006.06c 1.49954e-5
42  3007.06c 1.49939e-1
43  9019.06c 6.06524e-1
44  19039.06c 1.26311e-1
45  19041.06c 9.11554e-3
46  11023.06c 3.70854e-2
47  92235.06c 1.40246e-02
48  92238.06c 5.69859e-02
```

```
49  mat Salt5 -3.597414 tmp 922.98 rgb 255 13 13
50  3006.06c 1.49954e-5
51  3007.06c 1.49939e-1
52  9019.06c 6.06524e-1
53  19039.06c 1.26311e-1
54  19041.06c 9.11554e-3
55  11023.06c 3.70854e-2
56  92235.06c 1.40246e-02
57  92238.06c 5.69859e-02
58  mat Salt6 -3.589722 tmp 931.31 rgb 255 26 26
59  3006.06c 1.49954e-5
60  3007.06c 1.49939e-1
61  9019.06c 6.06524e-1
62  19039.06c 1.26311e-1
63  19041.06c 9.11554e-3
64  11023.06c 3.70854e-2
65  92235.06c 1.40246e-02
66  92238.06c 5.69859e-02
67  mat Salt7 -3.582028 tmp 939.64 rgb 255 39 39
68  3006.06c 1.49954e-5
69  3007.06c 1.49939e-1
70  9019.06c 6.06524e-1
71  19039.06c 1.26311e-1
72  19041.06c 9.11554e-3
73  11023.06c 3.70854e-2
74  92235.06c 1.40246e-02
75  92238.06c 5.69859e-02
76  mat Salt8 -3.574330 tmp 947.97 rgb 255 51 51
77  3006.06c 1.49954e-5
78  3007.06c 1.49939e-1
79  9019.06c 6.06524e-1
80  19039.06c 1.26311e-1
81  19041.06c 9.11554e-3
82  11023.06c 3.70854e-2
83  92235.06c 1.40246e-02
84  92238.06c 5.69859e-02
85  mat Salt9 -3.566629 tmp 956.3 rgb 255 64 64
86  3006.06c 1.49954e-5
87  3007.06c 1.49939e-1
88  9019.06c 6.06524e-1
89  19039.06c 1.26311e-1
90  19041.06c 9.11554e-3
91  11023.06c 3.70854e-2
92  92235.06c 1.40246e-02
93  92238.06c 5.69859e-02
```

Code 12: material.txt

```
1  %
2  % 304 Stainless Steel
3  mat SS304 -7.5983 tmp 923 rgb 192 192 192
4  26054.06c -0.037589 %54-Fe
5  26056.06c -0.611384 %56-Fe
6  26057.06c -0.014379 %57-Fe
7  26058.06c -0.001932 %58-Fe
8  7014.06c -0.000995 %14-N
9  7015.06c -0.000004 %15-N
10 28058.06c -0.070485 %58-Ni
11 28060.06c -0.028087 %60-Ni
12 28061.06c -0.001241 %61-Ni
13 28062.06c -0.004025 %62-Ni
14 28064.06c -0.001058 %64-Ni
15 24050.06c -0.008338 %50-Cr
16 24052.06c -0.167231 %52-Cr
17 24053.06c -0.019327 %53-Cr
18 24054.06c -0.004902 %54-Cr
19 14028.06c -0.006881 %28-Si
20 14029.06c -0.000363 %29-Si
21 14030.06c -0.000248 %30-Si
22 16032.06c -0.000284 %32-S
23 16033.06c -0.000002 %33-S
24 16034.06c -0.000014 %34-S
25 15031.06c -0.000020 %31-P
26 25055.06c -0.019980 %55-Mn
27 6000.06c -0.000799 %(Carbon)
28 %
29 % Graphite
30 mat GRPH -2.12 tmp 923 moder
31 grph 6000 rgb 250 250 0
32 6000.06c -1 %(Carbon)
33 therm grph 1010 gre7.00t gre7.26t
34 %
35 % Boron Carbide (10-B enriched) Absorber
36 mat B4C -2.52 tmp 923 rgb 110 240 80
37 5010.06c 0.8 %10-B
38 %5011.06c 0.4 %11-B
39 6000.06c 0.2 %(Carbon)
40 %
41 % "Air"
42 mat Air -0.001205 tmp 300 rgb 255 170 220
43 6000.50c 0.000150
44 7014.50c 0.784431
45 8016.50c 0.210748
46 18000.59c  0.004671
47 %
```

```
48  % Beryllium Oxide Reflector
49  mat BeO -3.01 tmp 923 rgb 110 190 250
50  4009.06c 0.5
51  8016.06c 0.5
52  %
53  % Hastelloy CHIMNEY REFLECTOR
54  mat NiCrMo -8.89 tmp 956 rgb 160 160 160
55  28058.06c -0.48
56  24052.06c -0.07
57  26056.06c -0.05
58  14028.06c -0.01
59  28060.06c -0.23
60  42092.06c -0.02344
61  42094.06c -0.014704
62  42095.06c -0.025392
63  42096.06c -0.026672
64  42097.06c -0.015328
65  42098.06c -0.038864
66  42100.06c -0.0156
67  %
68  % Barite Concrete
69  mat Conc -3.35 tmp 600 rgb 90 100 90
70  1001.06c 0.109602
71  8016.06c 0.600189
72  12000.06c 0.001515
73  13027.06c 0.004777
74  14000.06c 0.011473
75  16000.06c 0.103654
76  20000.06c 0.038593
77  26000.06c 0.026213
78  56130.06c 0.000114381
79  56132.06c 0.000103983
80  56134.06c 0.002516389
81  56135.06c 0.00685248
82  56136.06c 0.008162666
83  56137.06c 0.011677291
84  56138.06c 0.074555811
85  %
```

Code 13: physics.txt

```
1  % _____Physics cards_____
2  set power 1e7 %10MWth
3  set pop 1000000 500 100 1
4  %dep daystep 1 1 1 1 1
5  %set mcvol 10000000
6  %set nbuf 10
7  %set printm 1 1e-10
8  %set inventory "all"
9  %set pcc leli 10 10
10 set acelib "endfb71r1_p2" "endfb71r1" "jeff31u"
11 %set declib "sss_jeff31.dec"
12 %set nfylib "sss_jeff31.nfy"
13 det EnergyDetector dm Salt4 de EnergyGrid
14 ene EnergyGrid 3 500 1e-11 2e1
```

Code 14: plot.txt

```
1  % _____Plotter_____
2  %
3  plot 22 1080 1800 [0 -100 100 -20 320] %Axial
4  plot 31 640 640 [6 -100 100 -100 100] %Lower Plenum/Spoke
5  plot 31 640 640 [12.5 -100 100 -100 100] %Lower Orifice
6  plot 31 1080 1080 [100 -100 100 -100 100] %Core
7  plot 31 640 640 [179.5 -100 100 -100 100] %HEX Bottom/Upper Orifice
8  plot 31 640 640 [186 -100 100 -100 100] %Heat Exchanger
9  plot 31 640 640 [215.5 -100 100 -100 100] %Heat Exchagner Top
10 plot 31 640 640 [220 -100 100 -100 100] %Upper Plenum/Spoke
```