# Detecting Manual Alterations in Biological Image Data Using Contrastive Learning and Pairwise Image Comparison

Georgii Nekhoroshkov MIPT

Moscow, Russia nekhoroshkov.gs@phystech.edu **Daniil Dorin** 

MIPT Moscow, Russia dorin.dd.contact@gmail.com

Andrii Hraboviy MIPT Moscow, Russia grabovoy.av@phystech.edu

#### Abstract

In this paper, we address the problem of detecting manipulations in biological images. Ensuring the integrity of biological image data is essential for reliable scientific research. The study focuses on developing a model for pairwise image comparison using contrastive learning, demonstrating high pairwise comparison metrics to detect manual modifications or more subtle alterations. The proposed method outperforms state-of-the-art models, including CLIP and Barlow Twins, in the task of biological image comparison on fMRI scans and cell datasets. This work contributes to automated fraud detection and data validation in biological research.

**Keywords:** Machine Learning, Pairwise Image Comparison, Self-Supervised Learning, Fine-Tuning, Automated Fraud Detection, Detecting Data Alterations

### 1 Introduction

Our work aims to develop a machine learning solution for the problem of reusing existing biological and medical snapshots to demonstrate results in newly published biological articles. Fake images negatively impact on medicine by providing false or fabricated results and undermining the credibility of new scientific work in these fields. Existing state-of-the-art self-supervised learning approaches demonstrate remarkable results in pairwise image comparison tasks (SimCLR [1], CLIP [2], Barlow Twins [3]). However, their performance significantly worsens when applied to complex biological data. It requires developing model that is more sensitive to subtle changes in the image content while remaining resistant to various manual alterations, such as color jittering, flipping, rotation, noise application, and random affine transformations. At present, the problem of matching biological and medical images remains unsolved due to the complexity of distinguishing snapshots of similar objects, where differences can only be identified by experts in the field.

We propose a solution, based on Barlow Twins [3], trained and fine-tuned specifically for complex biological scans. The model belongs to the family of self-supervised learning (SSL) methods, which have been proven to be competitive with supervised representations ([1], [2], [3], [4], [5]). By leveraging a pretrained model, it does not require large snapshot datasets to achieve state-of-the-art accuracy on the Haxby fMRI, CIL Epithelial Cell, CIL Lymphocyte Cell datasets. This

solution can be widely used by biological articles proofreaders to verify the authenticity of provided images and detect borrowings from known datasets.

#### 2 Problem

Given dataset  $\mathcal{D}$ , consisting of N biological snapshots:

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_i \in [0, 256)^{l \times l \times 3}, i \in [0, N)\}$$

where  $d_i$  is the RGB-decoded image, l – the length of an image side.

For simplicity, we will refer to a pair of images with the same content before alterations as a *similar* pair; otherwise, it will be called *dissimilar*. Our goal is to build a model  $\mathcal{M}$  using self-supervised contrastive learning (SSCL), which should be able to distinguish dissimilar pairs of images and identify similar ones.

Let x and y be two images  $(x, y \in [0, 256)^{l \times l \times 3})$ . The model consists of two main parts:

$$\mathcal{M}(x,y) = h(f_{\theta}(x), f_{\theta}(y)))$$

where  $f_{\theta}$  is an encoder with a trainable parameter set  $\theta$ , and h is the linear classifier:

$$f_{\theta}(x) = v_x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$h(v_x, v_y) = s \in \{0, 1\}$$

Value s=1 corresponds to similar pairs, s=0 represents dissimilar pairs.

To train the encoder, let us define the loss function  $\mathcal{L}$ :

$$\mathcal{L}(v_x, v_y, I(x, y)) \in [0, +\infty)$$

where  $v_x$  and  $v_y$  are the embeddings of images x and y, respectively, and I(x,y) is defined as follows:

$$I(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \text{ and } y \text{ are similar,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The model's accuracy will be evaluated by counting the number of correctly classified similar pairs and incorrectly classified ones, producing a single accuracy value to compare with other state-of-the-art models.

#### 3 Method

#### **DRAFT**

The problem of detecting reused biological and medical images is rooted in the complexity of distinguishing between similar images while remaining invariant to various transformations. The dataset consists of biological snapshots from publicly available sources, we will store them as an array D with elements  $d_i \in [0,256)^{l \times l \times 3}$ , where l is the length of images sides. Let us define the solution – a method which meant to solve the stated problem. Also we will use the termini model – the machine learning solution, which is proposed in our work.

Structure of the model is the same as in Barlow Twins [3]. It takes a batch of images X, each image  $x_i$  is being augmented in two ways, producing two modified pictures  $y_i^A$  and  $y_i^B$  for each original. The resulted two batches of distorted images  $Y^A$  and  $Y^B$  are then fed to a deep network function  $f_\theta$ , and the produced embeddings  $Z^A$  and  $Z^B$  are used to evaluate loss function  $\mathcal L$  with the following formula, proposed in Barlow Twins [3]:

$$\mathcal{L}(Z^A, Z^B) = \sum_{i} (1 - \zeta_{ii})^2 + \lambda \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \zeta_{ij}^2$$

where  $\lambda$  is the positive constant, and  $\zeta_{ij}$  – cross-correlation matrix, computed between the outputs of the two identical networks along the batch dimension:

$$\zeta_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{b} z_{b,i}^{A} z_{b,j}^{B}}{\sqrt{\sum_{b} (z_{b,i}^{A})^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{b} (z_{b,j}^{B})^{2}}}$$

For the further simplicity, we will refer to a pair of images with the same content before alterations as a *similar* pair, otherwise it will be called *dissimilar*. The idea behind this loss function is to minimize the distance between similar pairs embeddings and to make it bigger for dissimilar.

The model is trained on the 70% dataset D data, then validated on another 10% and tested on the remaining 20% – let  $D_{test}$  be this part. For accuracy evaluation, we follow the widely used linear evaluation protocol (here comes the links from [1]).

#### 4 Related Work

Topic #1. TODO

Topic #2. TODO

#### 5 Preliminaries

#### 5.1 General notation

In this section, we introduce the general notation used in the rest of the paper and the basic assumptions.

## 5.2 Assumptions

**TODO** 

## 6 Experiments

To verify the theoretical estimates obtained, we conducted a detailed empirical study...

#### 7 Discussion

**TODO** 

### 8 Conclusion

**TODO** 

## References

- [1] Ting Chen, Simon Kornblith, Mohammad Norouzi, and Geoffrey Hinton. A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In *International Conference on Machine Learning* (*PMLR*), 2020.
- [2] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2021.

- [3] Jure Zbontar, Li Jing, Ishan Misra, Yann LeCun, and Stéphane Deny. Barlow twins: Self-supervised learning via redundancy reduction. In *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2021.
- [4] Iaroslav Melekhov, Juho Kannala, and Esa Rahtu. Siamese network features for image matching. In 23rd International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR), 2016.
- [5] Grill Jean-Bastien, Strub Florian, Altché Florent, Tallec Corentin, H. Richemond Pierre, Buchatskaya Elena, Doersch Carl, Avila Pires Bernardo, Daniel Guo Zhaohan, Gheshlaghi Azar Mohammad, Piot Bilal, Kavukcuoglu Koray, Munos Rémi, and Valko Michal. Bootstrap your own latent: A new approach to self-supervised learning. In *NeurIPS*, 2020.

## A Appendix / supplemental material

## A.1 Additional experiments

TODO