## Practical 01

#### 200007413

## **Q1.**

Interpretation  $I: \mathcal{D} = \{0, \mathbb{N}\}.$ 

**a.** 
$$\exists y. \forall x. (y < x)$$

This formula's semantics are: there exists some  $y \in \mathcal{D}$ , s.t. for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ , (y < x)

Given an arbitrary object  $I[a] \in \mathcal{D}$ , we h

**b.** 
$$\exists x. \forall y. (y < x)$$

The semantics of this formula are: There exists some  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ , s.t. for all  $y \in \mathcal{D}$ , y < x.

Given an arbitrary object  $I[a] \in \mathcal{D}$ , where x = a, we are left with the formula  $\forall y.(y < a)$ . The formula is true if a value of a exists which makes this true.

If a = 0, then we have  $\forall y . (y < 0)$ , which is false by counter example of y = 1.

For all  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , the definition of < means that if y = a, then (y < a) is false for all values of a, as (a < a) is false.

Therefore, by definition of  $\mathcal{D}$ , and  $\forall$ , the formula is false for all values of x, and so  $\exists x. \forall y (y < x)$  is false by definition of  $\exists$ .

**c.** 
$$\forall y. \forall x (y = x \lor y > x)$$

The semantics of this formula are: for all  $y \in \mathcal{D}$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ , y == x or y > x.

Therefore, we can prove this to be false by counter example. If x = 1, and y = 0, then y = x is false, and y > x is false by the definition of y = x is false by the definition of y = x is false, as y = x is false, and y = x is false, as y = x is false, and y = x is false.

Therefore, we have an example x and y where the formula is false, and so  $\forall y. \forall x. (y = x \lor y > x)$  is false by defintion of  $\forall$ .

**d.** 
$$\forall x.(odd(x) \lor odd(x+2))$$

The semantics of this formula are: for all values of  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ , x is odd, or x + 2 is odd.

We can prove this to be false by counter example. If x = 2, then odd(2) is false by definition of odd and even.

By definition of +, 2 + 2 = 4. By definition of *odd* and *even*, odd(4) is false and so odd(2 + 2) is false and so by definition of  $\lor$ ,  $odd(2) \lor odd(2 + 2)$  is false.

By definition of  $\forall$ ,  $\forall x.(odd(x) \lor odd(x+2))$  is false by counter example of x=2.

# **Q2.**

a.

P	$P \rightarrow P$
0	1
1	1

 $P \rightarrow P$  is a tautology

### b.

P	$\neg P$	$P \rightarrow \neg P$	
0	1	1	
1	0	0	

 $P \rightarrow \neg P$  is contingent

#### c.

P	$P \rightarrow P$	$\neg (P \rightarrow P)$
0	1	0
1	1	0

 $\neg (P \rightarrow P)$  is contradictory

### d.

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q$	$\neg (\neg P \to \neg Q)$	$P \vee \neg Q$	$(P \vee \neg Q) \wedge \neg (\neg P \to \neg Q)$
0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1

 $(P \lor \neg Q) \land \neg (\neg P \to \neg Q)$  is a tautology

e.

P	Q	R	$P \wedge Q$	$P \wedge Q \rightarrow R$	$P \rightarrow R$	$Q \to R$	$(P \land Q \to R) \to (P \to R)$	$(P \land Q \to R) \to (P \to R) \to (Q \to R)$
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

$$(P \land Q \rightarrow R) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)$$
 is contingent

f.

P	Q	R	$P \lor Q$	$P \rightarrow R$	$Q \rightarrow R$	$ P \to R) \land (Q \to R) $	$P \lor Q \to R$	$(P \lor Q \to R) \Leftrightarrow ((P \to R) \land (Q \to R))$
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

$$(P \lor Q \to R) \Leftrightarrow ((P \to R) \land (Q \to R))$$
 is tautological

g.

D		מו	l c	1	I	1	I	I	1	I
P	Q	R	S	$P \rightarrow Q$	$R \rightarrow S$	$P \vee R$	$Q \vee S$	$P \lor R \to Q \lor S$	$(P \to Q) \land (R \to S)$	$(P \to Q) \land (R \to S) \to (P \lor R \to Q \lor S)$
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1
1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

$$(P \to Q) \land (R \to S) \to (P \lor R \to Q \lor S)$$
 is a tautology

**Q3.** 

Prove:  $\neg((P \land Q) \land ((P \lor (R \land P)) \land (\neg Q \land \neg P)) \land (\neg \neg P \lor (Q \lor \neg Q))) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ Starting with:

$$\neg((P \land Q) \land ((P \lor (R \land P)) \land (\neg Q \land \neg P)) \land (\neg \neg P \lor (Q \lor \neg Q)))$$

Double negation rule  $\neg \neg P = P$ :

$$\neg((P \land Q) \land ((P \lor (R \land P)) \land (\neg Q \land \neg P)) \land (P \lor (Q \lor \neg Q)))$$

Complementation  $Q \vee \neg Q = \mathbb{T}$ :

$$\neg((P \land Q) \land ((P \lor (R \land P)) \land (\neg Q \land \neg P)) \land (P \lor \mathbb{T}))$$

Distributive law  $P \lor (R \land P) = (P \lor R) \land (P \lor P)$ :

$$\neg((P \land Q) \land (((P \lor R) \land (P \lor P)) \land (\neg Q \land \neg P)) \land (P \lor \mathbb{T}))$$

Idempotent law  $P \vee P = P$ :

$$\neg((P \land Q) \land (((P \lor R) \land P) \land (\neg Q \land \neg P)) \land (P \lor \mathbb{T}))$$

Associative law 
$$(((P \lor R) \land P) \land (\neg Q \land \neg P)) = (((\neg Q \land \neg P) \land P) \land (P \lor R))$$

$$\neg((P \land Q) \land (((\neg Q \land \neg P) \land P) \land (P \lor R)) \land (P \lor \mathbb{T}))$$

Associative law  $((\neg Q \land \neg P) \land P) = ((P \land \neg P) \land \neg Q)$ 

$$\neg((P \land Q) \land (((P \land \neg P) \land \neg Q) \land (P \lor R)) \land (P \lor \mathbb{T}))$$

Complementation  $P \land \neg P = \mathbb{F}$ 

$$\neg((P \land Q) \land ((\mathbb{F} \land \neg Q) \land (P \lor R)) \land (P \lor \mathbb{T}))$$

Domination law  $P \vee \mathbb{T} = T$  and  $(\mathbb{F} \wedge \neg Q) = \mathbb{F}$ :

$$\neg((P \land Q) \land (\mathbb{F} \land (P \lor R)) \land \mathbb{T})$$

Domination law  $(\mathbb{F} \land (P \lor R)) = \mathbb{F}$ 

$$\neg((P \land Q) \land \mathbb{F} \land \mathbb{T})$$

Domination law  $(P \wedge Q) \wedge \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}$ 

$$\neg(\mathbb{F}\wedge\mathbb{T})$$

Domination law  $\mathbb{F} \wedge \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{F}$ 

$$\neg \mathbb{F}$$

$$\neg \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{T}$$
, therefore  $\neg ((P \land Q) \land ((P \lor (R \land P)) \land (\neg Q \land \neg P)) \land (\neg \neg P \lor (Q \lor \neg Q))) = \mathbb{T}$  giving us  $T \Leftrightarrow T$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T & T \Leftrightarrow T \\
\hline
0 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}$$

QED.

# **Q4.**

**a.**  $P \rightarrow Q, Q \rightarrow R \vdash P \rightarrow R$ 1 (1)  $P \rightarrow Q$  Premise
2 (2)  $Q \rightarrow R$  Premise

3 (3) P Assumption  $(\rightarrow E, \rightarrow I)$ 

1,3 (4) Q 1,3, $\rightarrow E$  1,2,3 (5) R 2,4, $\rightarrow E$ 

1,2 (6)  $P \rightarrow R$  3,5, $\rightarrow I$ 

QED.

### b.

1 (1) RAssumption (for  $\rightarrow I$ ) 2 (2) *P* Assumption (for  $\rightarrow I$ ) 3 (3) *Q* Assumption (for  $\rightarrow I$ ) 1 (4)  $P \rightarrow R$  $1, 2 \rightarrow I$ (5)  $Q \rightarrow R$  $1, 3 \rightarrow I$ 1 (6)  $(P \to R) \land (Q \to R)$ 1  $4, 5, \land I$ 2 (7)  $P \lor Q$  $2, \forall I_1$ 1 (8)  $(P \lor Q) \to R$  $1, 7, \rightarrow I$  $(9) \quad ((P \lor Q) \to R) \to ((P \to R) \land (Q \to R)) \quad 6, 8, \to I$ 

QED.

#### c.

Assumption (for  $\rightarrow I$ ) 1 (1) *R* 2 (2) *P* Assumption (for  $\land I$ ) 3 (3) QAssumption (for  $\land I$ ) 2,3 (4)  $P \wedge Q$  $2,3, \wedge I$  $(5) \quad (P \land Q) \rightarrow R$  $1, 4, \rightarrow I$ 1 1 (6)  $Q \rightarrow R$  $1, 3, \rightarrow I$ (7)  $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)$ 1  $2, 6, \rightarrow I$ (8)  $(P \to (Q \to R) \to ((P \land Q) \to R) \quad 5, 7, \to I$ 

QED.

### d.

1  $P \vee Q$ Premise (1) 2 (2)  $\boldsymbol{P}$ Assumption (for  $\vee E$ ) 3 (3) Assumption (for  $\vee E$ ) Q4  $\neg P \wedge \neg Q$ Assumption (for  $\neg E$ ) (4) 4  $\neg P$  $4, \wedge E$ (5) 2,4 (6) false  $2, 5, \neg E$ 2  $\neg(\neg P \land \neg Q)$  $4, 6, \neg I$ (7) 4  $4, \wedge E$ (8)  $\neg Q$ 3,4 (9) false  $3, 8, \neg E$ 3 (10)  $\neg (\neg P \land \neg Q) \quad 4, 9, \neg I$ 1 (11)  $\neg (\neg P \land \neg Q)$  1, 2, 3, 7, 10,  $\lor E$ 

QED.

# **Q5.**

- **a.**  $\forall x. (P(x) \to Q) \vdash ((\exists x. P(x)) \to Q)$ 1 (1)  $\forall x. (P(x) \to Q)$  Premise
- 1 (2)  $P(a) \rightarrow Q$  1,  $\forall E[a/x]$ 3 (3) P(a) Assumtion (for  $\rightarrow E$ )
- 1,3 (4) Q 2,3, $\rightarrow E$  3 (5)  $\exists x.P(x)$  3, $\exists I[a/x]$
- 1 (6)  $(\exists x. P(x) \rightarrow Q)$  4, 5,  $\rightarrow I$

QED.

## **b.** $((\exists x.P(x)) \rightarrow Q) \vdash \forall x.(P(x) \rightarrow Q)$

- 1 (1)  $(\exists x.P(x)) \rightarrow Q$  Premise
- 2 (2)  $\exists x.P(x)$  Assumption (for  $\rightarrow E, \exists E$ )
- $1,2 \quad (3) \quad Q \qquad \qquad 1,2,\rightarrow E$
- 4 (4) P(a) Assumption (for  $\exists E$ )
- 2 (5) P(a) 2, 4,  $\exists E$
- 1 (6)  $P(a) \rightarrow Q$  3, 5,  $\rightarrow I$
- 1 (7)  $\forall x.(P(x) \rightarrow Q)$  6,  $\forall I$

QED.